3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Robert L. Starr (SBN 183052) Adam Rose (210880) Matthew A. Giovannucci. (SBN 311732) THE LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT L. STARR, A 23901 Calabasas Road, Suite 2072 Calabasas, California 91302 Telephone: (818) 225-9040 Facsimile: (818) 225-9042 robert@starrlaw.com matthew@starrlaw.com Stephen M. Harris, (SBN 110626) THE LAW OFFICE OF STEPHEN M. HARRIS 6230 Canoga Avenue, Suite 1500 Woodland Hills, California 91367 Telephone: (818) 924-3103 Facsimile (818) 924-3079 Stephen@smh-legal.com Attorneys for Plaintiff ROSAURA DERAS, individed	S, APC
11	on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals	
12		
13	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
14	NORTHERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
15		
16	ROSAURA DERAS, individually and on behalf	Case No.:
17	of a class of similarly situated individuals,	COMPLAINT
18	Plaintiff, v.	1. Breach of Warranty (Magnuson-
19	VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.	Moss Warranty Act); 2. Unjust Enrichment;
20	Defendant.	3. Violations of Business and Professions Code 17200;
21		4. Violation of Consumer Legal Remedies Act;
22		5. Breach of Implied Warranty6. Fraud.
23		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
		DEMAND FOR SURT TRIAL
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	

INTRODUCTION 1 Plaintiff Rosaura Deras ("Deras" or "Plaintiff") on behalf of herself, and all others similarly 2 situated, brings this action against Defendant Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. ("VW" or "Defendant"). 4 5 **SUMMARY OF CASE** 6 1. Sunroofs are sliding, pop-up, spoiler, inbuilt, top-mounted, or panoramic glass 7 panels within the roof of an automobile (i.e., sheet(s) of glass). 8 2. Moonroof is another term coined by Ford Motor Company in 1973 to help market 9 the sunroof feature in its Lincoln Continental Mark IV. 11 3. Sunroofs pose a significant engineering challenge. Replacing metal portions of automobile roofs with large plates of glass requires precision in the strengthening, attachment, and stabilization of the glass. 13 4. VW has failed to meet these engineering challenges, and as a result, many of the 14 vehicles designed, and distributed by VW have sunroofs which spontaneously shatter. (Sunroof 15 Defect).1 16 5. The shattering events are so powerful that startled drivers compare it to the sound of 17 a gunshot, after which glass fragments rain down upon the occupants of the vehicle, sometimes 18 while driving at highway speeds. 6. VW does not warn current or potential drivers of the existence of or dangers 20 associated with the Sunroof Defect. 7. VW continues to sell and lease its vehicles to consumers with the Sunroof Defect. 22 8. VW has known about the Sunroof Defect for many years. A review of the National 23 Highway Safety Administration reveals the following: 25 26 27 ¹ Regardless of the vehicle make and model, all sunroofs will be referred to as "defective sunroofs" or "sunroof defect" in this complaint. 28

- On December 14, 2009, a consumer complained that the sunroof of their 2010 Volkswagen
 Jetta spontaneously exploded outward, and that the vehicle was going to be taken to a VW
 authorized repair facility for repairs (ODI Complaint Number 10295634);
- On April 27, 2010, a consumer complained that the sunroof of their 2007 Volkswagen Jetta spontaneously exploded. The consumer stated that the consumer went to the VW authorized dealership, and advised as to what happened, as well as contacting VW directly. VW gave the consumer a case number of 100150276 (ODI Complaint Number 10327723);
- On February 9, 2010, a consumer complained that the sunroof of their 2010 Volkswagen
 Golf spontaneously exploded. The consumer stated that VW contends the problem is caused
 by an outside influence, however the VW authorized dealership did the repair under
 goodwill, apparently not charging the consumer (ODI Complaint Number 10308217);
- On January 22, 2011, a consumer complained that the sunroof of their 2010 Volkswagen
 Jetta spontaneously exploded, while the vehicle was parked. The consumer stated that the
 consumer went to the VW authorized dealership, and the dealership refused to cover the
 damage (ODI Complaint Number 10378311);
- 9. The incidents referred to above reveal that VW has known about the Sunroof Defect dating back to at least December of 2009, and probably before. There have also been numerous other consumer complaints lodged with the National Highway and Transportation Safety Administration ("NHTSA") regarding the Sunroof Defect. Moreover, on December 7, 2014 VW issued a voluntary recall of 2013 2015 MY VW Beetles with panoramic sunroofs (media.vw.com/release/856/; accessed August 16, 2017). At least nine (9) NHTSA complaints relating to the shattering of VW sunroofs have been filed from December of 2009 through June of 2013 [the end date occurring before Plaintiff leased her VW vehicle]. There are also numerous other consumer complaints to NHTSA which are discussed below.
- 10. It is obvious that VW knew of the Sunroof Defect prior to Plaintiff leasing her VW vehicle, however the defect was not fixed, and Plaintiff was not warned of the defect.

11. VW's conduct violates federal and California consumer protection and warranty 1 laws. Plaintiff brings this action on her own behalf, as well as on behalf of all other class members, as defined herein, who purchased or leased class vehicles, as defined herein, in the state of California. 5 II. PARTIES 12. Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of San Bruno California, in San Mateo County, 6 California. 7 13. VW is a New Jersey corporation with its headquarters and principal place of 8 business in Herndon, Virginia. 9 10 14. At all times relevant to this action, VW marketed, distributed, sold, leased, and 11 warranted the vehicles at issue in the State of California and throughout the United States. **JURISDICTION AND VENUE** III. 12 15. This Court has jurisdiction over this class action under the Class Action Fairness 13 Act, 23 U.S.C. § 1332(d). There are at least one hundred members of the proposed classes. The 14 15 aggregated claims of the individual Class Members exceed the sum value of \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and this is a class action in which VW and more than two-thirds of 16 the proposed Classes are citizens of different states. 17 16. This Court may exercise jurisdiction over VW because it is registered to conduct 18 business in California (e.g., California Secretary of State Entity Number: C0322599), it has 19 20 sufficient minimum contacts in California, and it intentionally avails itself of the markets within California through the promotion, sale, marketing, and distribution of its vehicles, thus rendering 21 jurisdiction by this Court proper and necessary. 22 17. Subject-matter jurisdiction also arises under the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act 23 claims asserted under 15 U.S.C. § 2301, et seq. 25 /// /// 26 27 /// 28

18. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because VW transacts business in this District, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff and proposed Class Members' claims occurred in this District. Additionally, VW distributes in this District, receives substantial compensation and profits from sales, maintenance, and service of affected vehicles in this District, and has and continues to conceal and make material omissions in this District so as to subject it to suit in this District.

IV. SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

A. The VW Sunroof Defect.

- 19. Defendant markets and distributes mass produced automobiles in the United States under the Volkswagen brand name.
- 20. The VW automobile models that are subject of this case are all the 2005 2017 Jetta models, 2015 2017 Golf models, 2006 2015 GTI models, 2009 2010 CC models, 2007 2016 Eos models, 2006 2009 Rabbit models, 2012 2017 Passat models, 2004 2006 Touareg models, 2011 2017 Touareg models, 2008 R32 Base, and 2009 2017 Tiguan models, equipped with factory-installed sunroofs (collectively, the "Class Vehicles"). Plaintiff anticipates amending the Class Vehicles definition upon VW identifying in discovery all of its vehicles manufactured and sold with the same or similar sunroofs.
- 21. Plaintiff contends that VW has known of the Sunroof Defect prior to distributing any of the herein referenced Class Vehicles. Furthermore, Plaintiff contends that the herein referenced class vehicles all contain a common defect, the Sunroof Defect.
- 22. The actual material cost of sunroofs is relatively low, making the option a very profitable feature in the automotive industry.
- 23. Sunroofs are made of glass that attaches to tracks, which in turn are set within a frame attached to the vehicle.
 - 24. Most sunroofs, including those offered by VW, include a retractable sunshade.
- 25. The sunroofs in the Class Vehicles all share a common design, and that common design is defective, resulting in the sunroofs of the Class Vehicles spontaneously shattering, as previously defined as the Sunroof Defect.

B. Consumer Complaints Reveal the Magnitude of the Defect.

26. At least fifty seven (57) owners and lessees of Class Vehicles have

reported an incident of their sunroof shattering to the NHTSA. A brief summary of consumer

complaints appear below:

1	0
1	1
1	2

Date of	Date of	Model	VW	NHTSA	NHTSA Consumer
NHTSA	Incident	Year	Model	ID	Complaint Excerpt
Complaint				Number	
08/07/15	08/04/15	2013	Jetta	10747137	"heard a loud bang, sounded
					like a gunshot, immediately
					after glass started raining"
09/26/14	09/26/14	2013	Jetta	10639467	"Sunroof shattered while
					driving in the country."
09/10/14	09/10/14	2013	Jetta	10632336	"heard a loud pop/gunshot
					sound from the sunroof
					luckily I had the interior cover
					closed."
0/15/14	01/15/14	2012	Jetta	10560141	"heard a loud boom, sounded
					like a gunshot, glass from the
					sunroof covered us"
06/26/13	06/26/13	2012	Jetta	10521897	"glass shattered and fell all
					over me I was completely
					terrified"
09/15/15	09/11/15	2014	Jetta	10763955	"the sun roof shattered"
06/06/15	05/06/15	2015	Jetta	10714966	"the sun roof glass exploded"
06/16/14	06/06/13	2014	Jetta -	610598565	"the sun roof exploded"
03/02/13	03/04/13	2011	Jetta	10501227	"there was a loud noise like a

Case 3:17-cv-05452 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 7 of 28

1						gunshot. I swerved into the
2						left lane"
3	01/12/16	01/12/16	2014	Jetta	10819590	"moon roof front panel is
4						shattered"
5	02/28/17	02/27/17	2015	Golf	10957577	"Sunroof exploded"
6	04/13/16	04/13/16	2015	Golf	10855306	"Sunroof was completely
7						shattered spontaneous"
8	01/26/16	01/26/16	2015	Golf	10822447	"sounded like a shotgun"
9	06/20/15	06/10/15	2015	Golf	10726334	"sunroof exploded without
0						warning."
1	01/23/11	01/20/11	2011	Golf	10378335	"Sunroof exploded"
12	02/23/17	02/23/17	2015	GTI	10956665	"sunroof suddenly exploded
3						sending glass shards
4						everywhere"
15	11/21/16	11/16/16	2012	GTI	10927115	"sunroof exploded like a gun
16						shot"
7						1
8						
9	10/20/16	10/20/16	2016	GTI	10917627	"loud banging noise was
20						heard"
21	10/26/13	10/24/13	2012	GTI	10549608	"hear a loud bang"
22	04/11/17	03/30/17	2017	Passat	10971933	"sunroof spontaneously
23						shattered with no impact"
24	12/28/15	12/28/15	2015	Passat	10816640	"I hear a boom!"
25	12/18/15	12/11/15	2014	Passat	10811216	"exploding sunroof"
26	08/01/15	07/31/15	2013	Passat	10745576	"a loud explosion sounding
27						like a bomb or gunshot"
28						

-7-

Case 3:17-cv-05452 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 8 of 28

1	04/21/15	04/20/15	2015	Passat	10712027	"my sunroof spontaneously
2						shattered"
3	02/02/15	01/19/15	2014	Passat	10680602	"suddenlysunroof
4						exploded"
5	01/28/15	01/24/15	2014	Passat	10679489	"sunroof shattered"
6	01/24/14	12/06/14	2014	Passat	10668125	"sunroof shattered"
7	10/24/14	10/23/14	2013	Passat	10649618	"Sunroof exploded upward"
8	10/07/14	09/20/14	2013	Passat	10643045	"sunroof exploded
9						outwards"
10	01/27/14	01/22/2014	2012	Passat	10561722	"I heard a loud gunshot
11						sound"
12	12/20/13	12/20/13	2013	Passat	10556864	"sunroofexploded
13						outwards"
14	03/31/17	03/30/17	2015	Tiguan	10969700	"sunroof exploded and
15						bubbled up"
16	02/27/17	02/27/17	2016	Tiguan	10957453	"sunroof spontaneously
17 18						shattered"
19	10/06/16	10/06/16	2015	Tiguan	10914350	"sunroof glass exploded"
20	06/09/16	06/08/16	2011	Tiguan	10873448	"sunroof exploded"
21	11/23/15	11/23/15	2015	Tiguan	10806332	"sun roof exploded without
22						warning"
23	05/31/16	05/28/16	2011	Touareg	10871581	"sunroof panel (panoramic)
24						shattered"
25	01/09/16	01/07/16	2014	Touareg	10819131	"Panoramic sunroof exploded
26						from the inside out"
27	10/30/15	10/25/15	2014	Eos	10787218	"glass sunroof of my car
28						exploded & shattered"

-8-

Case 3:17-cv-05452 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 9 of 28

1	03/02/15	02/07/14	2014	Eos	10691636	"sunroof just exploded"
2	02/04/14	02/03/14	2012	Eos	10562896	"sunroof fractured into small
3						pieces"
4	05/07/13	05/06/13	2009	CC	10510917	"sunroof glass shattered"
5	10/10/16	02/29/16	2009	Eos	10915021	"sunroof exploding raining
6						shards of glass on me"
7	11/28/16	11/19/16	2009	Eos	10928251	"sun roof shattered without
8						warning"
9	11/13/12	11/09/12	2008	Eos	10484485	"sun roof exploded"
10	02/09/10	01/22/10	2010	Golf	10308217	"sunroof exploded"
11	08/08/13	08/07/13	2010	GTI	10534357	"Heard a loud bang, like a
12						gun shot''
13	02/07/15	02/07/15	2010	Jetta	10681905	"sunroof exploded outward"
14	03/29/14	03/29/14	2009	Jetta	10575552	"sunroof burstriding with
15						son and friend."
16	03/29/13	03/29/13	2009	Jetta	10533206	"the sunroof exploded"
17	04/11/13	04/11/13	2009	Jetta	10505914	"sunroof exploded upwards"
18 19	01/23/11	01/22/11	2010	Jetta	10378311	"panoramic sunroof
20						shattered"
21	04/27/10	04/14/09	2007	Jetta	10327723	"sun roof exploded"
22	12/14/09	12/13/09	2010	Jetta	10295634	"sun roof exploded outward"
23	11/28/14	11/26/14	2010	Passat	10661192	"sunroof exploded"
24	07/02/12	06/29/12	2005	Touareg	10464005	"sun roof glass exploded on
25				8		its own"
26						

27

C. Volkswagen's Knowledge of the Defect:

- 27. VW has long known, through NHTSA complaints, through consumers complaints lodged directly with VW, through consumer complaints lodged through VW dealerships, and through VW's own testing, that the Sunroof Defect exists.
- 28. In addition to monitoring the NHTSA, VW internally tracks information regarding all sunroof failures through the collection of incident reports and other information from drivers and dealers (through VW's TREAD ACT EWR Reporting obligations), including complaints, warranty claims, replacement parts data, dealings with insurance companies, and other aggregated data sources. VW has exclusive access to this information, including pre-release testing of vehicle components, thus establishing that VW had knowledge very early on about the defect.
- 29. VW is also aware that other manufacturers whose vehicles have similarly designed panoramic sunroofs and similar shattering problems have voluntarily initiated safety recalls to notify drivers of the danger and repair shattered sunroofs free of cost.
- 30. VW itself has recalled similarly designed sunroofs with the exact same shattering problem via a voluntary safety recall to notify drivers of the danger and repair shattered sunroofs free of cost. VW has failed, however, to fully address this problem.
- 31. VW has at times taken the position that the sunroofs in question have shattered as a result of impact from roadway objects.
- 32. Rocks or other objects thrown up by cars and trucks on the roadway would not impact the sunroof with sufficient force to cause it to shatter, let alone shatter outward, a fact that appears in many consumer complaints and of which VW is aware. Moreover, driver reports specifically contradict VW's position. Significantly, some VW sunroofs have spontaneously shattered ("outward") while the vehicles were parked.
- 33. As mentioned above, VW has had at least one recall relating to the shattering of sunroofs. The recall was for its 2013 2015 VW Beetle. While VW issued a recall, that sunroof shattering problem impacted only three model years of its Beetle, and it has done nothing regarding the far more predominant problem relating to all regular and panoramic sunroof shattering that affects potentially hundreds of thousands or more VW vehicles.

D. The Dangers Posed to Class Vehicle Occupants: 1 34. It is undeniable that the spontaneous explosion of a sunroof endangers drivers, 2 passengers, and others on the road. 35. Sunroofs are an expensive upgrade option that can add thousands of dollars to the 4 5 purchase or lease price and hundreds to over a thousand dollars to replace. 36. A reasonable person considering whether to purchase or lease a VW vehicle would 6 want to be informed about the Sunroof Defect so that he or she could opt against paying thousands of dollars for a "luxury upgrade" which is clearly unsafe, or simply forego purchasing or leasing 8 the vehicle altogether. 37. When the sunroofs of Class Vehicles shatter, they usually make a sudden and 10 extremely loud noise, followed by shards of glass raining down onto the driver and passengers. 11 Drivers report that the falling shards of glass have cut them and their passengers and have also caused damage to the interior of the vehicles. Drivers have also reported a number of near-miss 13 accidents that occurred after they were startled or distracted by the shattering. Both VW and the NHTSA have received reports of injuries resulting from VW sunroofs shattering. 15 38. When VW initiated a safety recall for shattering sunroofs, it acknowledged that 16 drivers "could be injured by falling glass, and that "[i]if the glass panel were to break while the vehicle is in motion, it could cause driver distraction, increasing the risk of a crash."² 18 39. When Hyundai initiated a recall relating to shattering sunroofs, it too acknowledged 19 that the shattering sunroofs "relates to motor vehicle safety," including by posing a risk of cutting 20 vehicle occupants. 21 22 23

25

26

27

24

² Jenna Reed, *VW Recalls Certain Beetle Models Over Potential Panoramic Sunroof Issue*, glassBYTES.com (Dec. 11, 2014), http://www.glassbytes.com/2014/12/vw-recalls-certain-beetle-models-over-potential-panoramic-sunroof-issue/; accessed on August 25, 2016 and

Volkswagen of America, Inc., Volkswagen Issues Voluntary Recall (Dec. 7, 2014), https://media.vw.com/release/856/; accessed on August 25, 2016.

- 40. In connection with the Hyundai recall, the NHTSA wrote that the breaking of the panoramic sunroof could lead "to personal injury or a vehicle crash." In connection with an Audi recall, the NHTSA wrote that "should the sunroof's glass break while the vehicle is in use, the falling glass could cut and injure the driver or passengers [and] could also distract the driver, increasing the risk of a crash."
- 41. Korea Automotive and Testing Research Institute (KATRI) likewise concluded that the sudden shattering of a panoramic sunroof while driving may cause "abrasions due to shattered glass" and also cause the "risk of secondary accidents."

E. Volkswagen Refuses to Warn Drivers.

- 42. Despite the high number of complaints and the danger posed by the defect (especially in light of the fact that VW recalled 2013 2015 VW Beetles with very few complaints lodged against it via NHTSA), VW continues to conceal its existence from current drivers and potential customers alike. VW has neither warned consumers at the point of sale/lease nor when drivers who have experienced a shattered sunroof bring their vehicle in for repairs (or instructed its dealerships to do so) thus making no effort to alert consumers of the risk. VW knows of the defect yet continues to profit from the sale and lease of vehicles to unwitting consumers.
- 43. VW continues to conceal the defect even though it knows that the defect is not reasonably discoverable by drivers unless they experience a failure and are exposed to the attendant safety risks.
- 44. VW remains silent even as it continues to receive complaints from concerned drivers internally, and via NHTSA, and even though it recalled one vehicle for the very same defect.
- 45. As a result of VW's inaction and silence, consumers are unaware that they purchased or leased a vehicle that has a defective sunroof, and continue to drive these unsafe vehicles.

1	46.	Other manufacturers who have had vehicles with sunroof problems -Audi,			
2	Hyundai, and VW itself relating to vehicles other than Class Vehicles, have voluntarily initiated				
3	safety recalls as a result, notifying drivers of the danger and offering to repair the sunroofs free of				
4	cost.				
5	F.	VW's Deceptive Warranty Process.			
6	47.	VW and its dealerships advertise that "[e]very new Volkswagen model has a basic			
7	limited warra	anty of 3 years/36,000 miles with roadside assistance, a powertrain warranty of 5			
8	years/60,000	miles (10 years/100,000 miles for base trim Touareg) and corrosion perforation for			
9	12 years/120	,000 miles." (http://www.newcenturyvw.com/blog/2016-volkswagen-warranty-			
10	coverage-and	d-plans/; accessed August 27, 2017).			
11	48.	The relevant terms of the warranties for each of the model years of the Class			
12	Vehicles are	identical or substantially similar.			
13	49.	Plaintiff and Class Members experienced damage from the Sunroof Defect within			
14	the warranty	periods of their vehicles. Plaintiff and Class Members reasonably expected that any			
15	and all dama	ge that resulted from a defect such as the Sunroof Defect would be covered under the			
16	warranty, and	d that they would not be charged for such repairs.			
17	50.	VW has systematically denied coverage with respect to the defective sunroofs.			
18	Plaintiff and	numerous Class Members have been forced to incur substantial repair bills and other			
19	related dama	ges, including being forced to make claims under their automotive insurance policies			
20	and incurring	g substantial deductibles.			
21		V. PLAINTIFF EXPERIENCE			
22	Rosa Deras				
23	51.	Plaintiff Rosa Deras leased her 2013 Volkswagen Jetta around June of 2013 from			
24	Serramonte V	Volkswagen located at 711 Serramonte Blvd, Colma, CA 94014.			
25	52.	Had Ms. Deras known that the sunroof in her vehicle could possibly explode and			
26	rain down sh	ards of glass onto her and her family's heads during operation she would not have			

obtained the vehicle.

1	61. Members of the proposed classes are readily ascertainable because the class
2	definitions are based upon objective criteria.
3	62. <u>Numerosity.</u> VW sold many thousands of Class Vehicles, including a substantial
4	number in California. Class Members likely number in the hundreds of thousands and are thus too
5	numerous to practically join in a single action. Class Members may be notified of the pendency of
6	this action by mail, supplemented by public notice (if deemed necessary or appropriate by the
7	Court).
8	63. <u>Commonality and Predominance.</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to
9	all proposed members of the Classes and predominate over questions affecting only individual
10	Class Members. These common questions include:
11	a. Whether the sunroofs installed in Class Vehicles are designed defectively
12	such that they have a propensity to spontaneously shatter;
13	b. Whether VW knew of the Sunroof Defect, and if so, when it discovered this;
14	c. Whether the knowledge of this propensity to shatter would be important to a
15	reasonable person, for example, because it poses an unreasonable safety hazard;
16	d. Whether VW failed to disclose to or concealed from potential consumers:
17	the existence of the Sunroof Defect;
18	e. Whether VW breached its express warranty obligations;
19	f. Whether VW has a pattern and practice of attributing damages claimed by
20	Plaintiff and Class Members to causes other than the complained-of defect;
21	g. Whether VW should be required to notify Class Members about the Sunroof
22	Defect;
23	h. Whether this Court should grant declaratory relief requested herein;
24	i. Whether VW had a duty to disclose to Plaintiff and Class Members the true
25	character, quality, and nature of the Class Vehicles and the Sunroof Defect;
26	j. Whether VW's conduct, as alleged herein, violates the Magnuson-Moss
27	Warranty Act ("MMWA"), 15 U.S.C. § 2301, et seq.;
20	

incompatible standards of conduct for VW;

- 1
- 4
- 5
- 6

8

- 10 11

- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 20
- 21

- 26
- 28

- b. the prosecution of individual actions could result in adjudications which, as a practical matter, would be dispositive of the interests of non-party class members or which would substantially impair their ability to protect their interests; and
- VW has acted or refused to act on the grounds generally applicable to the proposed Classes, thereby making appropriate final and injunctive relief with respect to members of the proposed Classes as a whole.

TOLLING OF THE STATUES OF LIMITATIONS VII.

- 68. **Discovery Rule.** Plaintiff's respective statute of limitations accrued upon discovery of the Sunroof Defect, which occurred this year, when her sunroof exploded.. While VW knew and concealed the fact that the sunroofs installed in the Class Vehicles have a defect that causes spontaneous shattering, Plaintiff and the Class did not discover this fact until after they experienced such spontaneous shattering first-hand, and even if they experienced shattering, VW has concealed the defect from them in such instances. VW has claimed that its sunroofs shatter only as a result of impact from objects on the roadway and concealed and conceals from Plaintiff and Class Members that the sunroofs are defective. Plaintiff and Class Members who experienced exploding sunroofs also could not know that the new sunroofs that were installed in their Class Vehicles presented the same danger of spontaneously shattering.
- 69. **Active Concealment Tolling.** Any statues of limitations are tolled by VW's knowing and active concealment of the fact that the sunroofs installed in the Class Vehicles suffered from the same inherent defect. VW kept Plaintiff and Class Members ignorant of vital information essential to the pursuit of their claims, without any fault or lack of diligence on the part of Plaintiff or the proposed Class. The details of VW's efforts to conceal its above-described unlawful conduct are in its possession, custody, and control, to the exclusion of Plaintiff and Class Members. Plaintiff and Class Members could not have reasonably discovered the fact that the sunroofs installed in their Class Vehicles were defective.
- 70. **Estoppel.** VW was and is under a continuous duty to disclose to Plaintiff and Class Members the true character, quality, and nature of the sunroofs installed in Class Vehicles. At all relevant times, and continuing to this day, VW knowingly, affirmatively, and

1	actively misrepresented and concealed the true character, quality, and nature of the sunroofs				
2	installed in the Class Vehicles. The details of VW's efforts to conceal its above-described				
3	unlawful conduct are in its possession, custody, and control, to the exclusion of Plaintiff and Class				
4	Members. Plaintiff reasonably relied upon VW's knowing, affirmative, and/or active concealment				
5	and affirmative misrepresentations. Based on the foregoing, VW is estopped from relying on any				
6	statutes of limitations defense of this action.				
7	71. Equitable Tolling. VW took active steps to conceal the fact that it wrongfully,				
8	improperly, illegally, and repeatedly marketed, distributed, sold, and/or leased Class Vehicles with				
9	defective sunroofs. The details of VW's efforts to conceal its above-described unlawful conduct				
10	are in its possession, custody, and control, to the exclusion of Plaintiff and Class Members. When				
11	Plaintiff learned about this material information, she exercised due diligence by thoroughly				
12	investigating the situation, retaining counsel, and pursuing her claims. VW fraudulently concealed				
13	its above-described wrongful acts. Should such tolling be necessary, therefore, all applicable				
14	statutes of limitation are tolled under the doctrine of equitable tolling.				
15	VIII. <u>CLAIMS FOR RELIEF</u>				
16	Violation of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act ("MMWA"),				
17	15 U.S.C.§ 2301, et seq.				
18	(Plaintiff individually and on behalf of those similarly situated)				
19	72. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if				
20	fully set forth herein.				
21	73. The Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §2301(d)(1) provides a cause of				
22	action for any consumer who is damaged by the failure of a warrantor to comply with a written or				
23	implied warranty.				
24	74. Plaintiff and Class Members are "consumers" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C.				
25	§2301(3).				
26	75. VW is a "supplier" and "warrantor" within the meanings of 15 U.S.C. §2301(4)-(5).				
27	76. Class Vehicles are "consumer products" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. §2301(1).				

- 83. The amount in controversy of Plaintiff's individual claims meets or exceeds the sum value of \$25.00. In addition, the amount in controversy meets or exceeds the sum or value of \$50,000.00 (exclusive of interest and costs) computed on the basis of all claims to be determined in this suit.
- 84. VW has been afforded reasonable opportunity to cure its breaches of warranty, including when Plaintiff brought her vehicle in for repair of the defective sunroof.
- 85. Pursuant to provisions of 15 U.S.C. §2310(e), Plaintiff and Class Members have all sufficiently notified VW, thus providing VW with a reasonable opportunity to correct its business practices and cure its breach of warranties under the MMWA. Plaintiff has already sent MMWA notice letters to VW or are sending concurrently with the filing of the instant complaint.
- 86. VW has not cured the breach of warranty described above and continues to deny warranty coverage when Class Members present their vehicles for repair after their Class Vehicles' sunroofs spontaneously shattered.
- 87. Resorting to any informal dispute settlement procedure or affording VW another opportunity to cure its breach of warranty is unnecessary and futile. Any remedies available through any informal dispute settlement procedure would be inadequate under the circumstances, as VW has repeatedly failed to disclose the sunroof defect or provide repairs at no cost and, therefore, has indicated no desire to participate in such a process at this time. Any requirement under the MMWA or otherwise that Plaintiff submit to any informal dispute settlement procedure or otherwise afford VW a reasonable opportunity to cure its breach of warranty(ies) is excused and/or has been satisfied.
- 88. As a direct and proximate result of VW's breach, Plaintiff and Class Members sustained damages and other losses to be determined at trial. VW's conduct damaged Plaintiff and Class Members, who are entitled to recover damages, specific performance, costs, attorneys' fees, and other appropriate relief.

COUNT 2 1 **Unjust Enrichment** 2 3 (Plaintiff individually and on behalf of the Class) 89. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if 4 5 fully set forth herein. 90. As describe above, VW sold Class Vehicles to Plaintiff and Class Members even 6 though the sunroofs installed in those Class Vehicles were defective and posed a safety hazard. VW failed to disclose its knowledge of the sunroof defect and the defect's attendant risks – at the 8 point of sale or otherwise. 10 91. VW unjustly charged and charges Plaintiff and Class Members for repairs and/or replacement of the defective sunroofs without disclosing that the defect is widespread and that the 11 repairs do not address the root cause of the defect. 92. As a result of its acts and omissions related to the defective sunroofs, VW obtained 13 monies that rightfully belong to Plaintiff and Class Members. 93. 15 VW appreciated, accepted, and retained the non-gratuitous benefits conferred by Plaintiff and Class Members who, without knowledge of the defect, paid a higher price for their 16 vehicles than Plaintiff and Class Members would have, or Plaintiff and Class Members would have 17 otherwise not purchased, had they been aware of the defect. 18 94. It would be inequitable and unjust for VW to retain these wrongfully obtained 19 20 profits. 95. VW's retention of these wrongfully-acquired profits would violate fundamental 21 principles of justice, equity, and good conscience. 23 24 25 26 27 28

COUNT 3 1 Violation of the Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), 2 Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq. 3 (Plaintiff Rosaura Deras individually and on behalf of the Class) 4 96. 5 Plaintiff Rosaura Deras re-alleges and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 6 97. VW has violated and continues to violate California's UCL, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code 7 § 17200, et seq., which prohibits unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business acts or practices. 8 98. 9 VW's acts and practices, alleged in this complaint, constitute unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices, in violation of the UCL. In particular, VW sold Class Vehicles to 10 Deras and Class Members even though the sunroofs installed in those Class Vehicles were 11 defective, posing a safety hazard. Further, VW failed to disclose its knowledge of the defect and the attendant risks of the defect at the point of sale or otherwise. 99. VW's business acts and practices are unlawful in that they violate the Consumers 14 15 Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civil Code § 1750, et seq. for the reasons set forth below. VW's acts and practices also constitute fraudulent practices in that they are likely to deceive a reasonable 16 consumer. As described above, VW knowingly concealed, continues to conceal, failed, and 17 continues to fail to disclose at the point of sale and otherwise that Class Vehicles' sunroofs have a 18 propensity to spontaneously shatter, endangering the personal safety of the drivers. Had VW 19 disclosed that information, Plaintiff and Class Members would not have purchased Class Vehicles 20 or would have paid significantly less for them. Furthermore, VW charges for repairs of Plaintiff's 21 and Class Members' shattered sunroofs without disclosing that the problem is widespread and that 22 the repairs do not address the root cause(s) of the defect. 23 100. VW's conduct also constitutes unfair business practices for at least the following 24 25 reasons: The gravity of potential harm to Plaintiff and Class Members as a result of 26 VW's acts and practices far outweighs any legitimate utility of VW's conduct;

1	b. VW's conduct is immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, or				
2	substantially injurious to Plaintiff and Class Members; and				
3	c. VW's conduct undermines or violates stated policies underlying the UCL –				
4	to protect consumers against unfair and sharp business practices and to promote a basic level of				
5	honesty and reliability in the marketplace.				
6	101. As a direct and proximate result of VW's business practices described herein,				
7	Plaintiff and Class Members suffered a foreseeable injury-in-fact and lost money or property				
8	because they purchased and paid for Class Vehicles that, had they known of the defect, they would				
9	not have purchased or, in the alternative, they only would have purchased for a lower amount.				
10	102. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to equitable relief, including an order				
11	directing VW to disclose the existence of the defect inherent in its sunroofs and to provide				
12	restitution and disgorgement of all profits paid to VW as a result of its unfair, deceptive, and				
13	fraudulent practices, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and a permanent injunction enjoining				
14	such practices.				
	COUNT 4				
15	COUNT 4				
1516	COUNT 4 Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"),				
16	Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"),				
16 17	Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq.				
16 17 18	Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq. (Plaintiff Rosaura Deras individually and on behalf of the Class)				
16 17 18 19	Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq. (Plaintiff Rosaura Deras individually and on behalf of the Class) Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if				
16 17 18 19 20	Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq. (Plaintiff Rosaura Deras individually and on behalf of the Class) 103. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.				
16 17 18 19 20 21	Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq. (Plaintiff Rosaura Deras individually and on behalf of the Class) 103. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 104. VW is a "person" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1761(c) and 1770, and				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq. (Plaintiff Rosaura Deras individually and on behalf of the Class) 103. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 104. VW is a "person" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1761(c) and 1770, and has provided "goods" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1761(b) and 1770.				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq. (Plaintiff Rosaura Deras individually and on behalf of the Class) 103. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 104. VW is a "person" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1761(c) and 1770, and has provided "goods" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1761(b) and 1770. 105. Plaintiff and members of the proposed Class are "consumers" within the meaning of				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq. (Plaintiff Rosaura Deras individually and on behalf of the Class) 103. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 104. VW is a "person" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1761(c) and 1770, and has provided "goods" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1761(b) and 1770. 105. Plaintiff and members of the proposed Class are "consumers" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1761(d) and 1770 and have engaged in a "transaction" within the meaning of				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq. (Plaintiff Rosaura Deras individually and on behalf of the Class) 103. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 104. VW is a "person" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1761(c) and 1770, and has provided "goods" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1761(b) and 1770. 105. Plaintiff and members of the proposed Class are "consumers" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1761(d) and 1770 and have engaged in a "transaction" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1761 and 1770.				

VW represents that its vehicles with sunroofs had characteristics, values, or 1 a. benefits which they do not have; VW advertises its goods with intent not to sell them as advertised; 3 b. VW represents that its vehicles' sunroofs are of a particular standard, 4 5 quality, or grade when they are not; d. VW represents that a transaction conferred or involved rights, remedies, or 6 obligations which they do not; and 8 e. VW represents that its goods have been supplied in accordance with a previous representation when they have not. 10 107. As described herein, VW sold vehicles to Plaintiff and Class Members even though the sunroofs installed in those Class Vehicles are defective and pose a safety hazard, and VW 11 failed to disclose its knowledge of its sunroof defect and further failed to disclose the attendant risks associated with the defect at the point of sale or otherwise. VW intended that Plaintiff and Class Members rely on this omission in deciding to purchase their vehicles. Plaintiff and Class Members did in fact rely on said omission. 15 108. Had VW adequately disclosed the defect inherent in its sunroofs, Plaintiff and Class 16 Members would not have purchased their Class Vehicles or, in the alternative, they would have 17 only been willing to pay less for their Class Vehicles. Furthermore, VW charged Plaintiff and 18 Class Members (and continues to charge) for the repair and replacement of defective sunroofs 19 without disclosing that this spontaneous shattering problem is widespread and that the repairs do 20 not address the root cause(s) of the defect. Nor does VW disclose that the replacement part used in 21 repairs is substantially identical to the original factory-installed sunroof, such that it may also 22 spontaneously explode. 23 109. Pursuant to the provisions of the CLRA, Plaintiff gave notice of the defect to VW 24 and the period described in Cal. Civ. Code Section 1782, subd. (d), has expired. 110. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to actual damages, injunctive relief, 26 restitution, as well as attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT 5 1 Violation of the Song-Beverly Consumer Warranty Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1790 et seq. 2 3 (Plaintiff Rosaura Deras individually and on behalf of the Class) 111. 4 Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 5 112. Class Vehicles are "consumer goods" and Plaintiff and Class Members are "buyers" 6 within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code § 1791. VW is also a "manufacturer," "distributor," or "retail seller" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code § 1791. 8 113. The implied warranty of merchantability included with the sale of each Class 9 Vehicle means that VW warranted that each Class Vehicle: 10 a. would pass without objection in trade under the contract 11 description; was fit for the ordinary purposes for which the Class Vehicle 12 b. would be used; and 13 c.conformed to the promises or affirmations of fact made on the 14 container label. 15 114. The Class Vehicles would not pass without objection in the automotive trade 16 because their sunroofs are inherently defective in that they have a propensity to spontaneously 17 explode, shatter, or otherwise fail, making them unfit for the ordinary purpose for which the Class 18 Vehicles are normally used. 19 115. The Class Vehicles are not adequately labeled because their labeling fails to 20 disclose the sunroofs' propensity to spontaneously shatter and does not advise Plaintiff or Class 21 Members of the existence of the defect. 22 116. VW's actions have deprived Plaintiff and Class Members of the benefit of their 23 bargains and have caused Class Vehicles to be worth less than what Plaintiff and Class Members 24 paid for them. 25 117. As a direct and proximate result of VW's conduct as described herein, Plaintiff and 26 Class Members received goods in a condition that substantially impairs their value. Plaintiff and 27 Class Members have been damaged by the diminished value of their Class Vehicles, among other 28 ways.

-25-

1	1 118. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitle	ed to damages and other legal and equitable				
2	relief, including, at their election, the right to revoke	acceptance of Class Vehicles or to recover for				
3	the overpayment or diminution in the value of their C	the overpayment or diminution in the value of their Class Vehicles. They are also entitled to all				
4	4 incidental and consequential damages resulting from	Volkswagen's conduct, as well as reasonable				
5	attorneys' fees and costs.					
6	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>6</u>				
7	7 Fraud by Om	ission				
8	(Plaintiff Rosaura Deras individuall	y and on behalf of the Class)				
9	Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by	y reference the preceding paragraphs as if				
10	fully set forth herein.					
11	1 120. VW knew that the Sunroof Defect in i	ts Class Vehicles was a condition rendering				
12	the Class Vehicles defectively designed or manufactu	ared, causing the Class Vehicles to experience				
13	the Sunroof Defect, rendering the vehicles not suitable	the Sunroof Defect, rendering the vehicles not suitable for their intended use.				
14	4 121. Defendant concealed from and failed to	to disclose to Plaintiff and the Class the				
15	defective nature of the Class Vehicles.					
16	122. Defendant was under a duty to Plaintiff and Class to disclose the defective nature of					
17	the Sunroof Defect because:					
18	a. Defendant was in a superior po	osition to know the true state of facts about the				
19	Sunroof Defect in the Class Vehicles;					
20	b. Defendant made partial disclos	sures about the quality of the Class Vehicles				
21	without revealing the defective nature of the Class Vo	ehicles; and				
22	c. Defendant actively concealed to	he defective nature of the Class Vehicles				
23	from Plaintiff and the Class.					
24	The facts concealed or not disclosed b	y Defendant to Plaintiff and the Class				
25	Members are material in that a reasonable person wo	uld have considered them to be important in				
26	deciding whether to purchase the Class Vehicles. Ha	d Plaintiff and other Class Members known				
27	that the Class Vehicles had the Sunroof Defect, Plain	tiff and the Class Members would not have				
28	purchased and leased Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for them.					

Case 3:17-cv-05452 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 28 of 28

1	F. An order awarding Plaintiff and Class Members pre-judgment and post-judgment			
2	interest as allowed under the law;			
3	G. An order awarding Plaintiff and Class Members reasonable attorneys' fees and			
4	costs of suit, including expert witness fees; and			
5	H. An order awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and			
6	proper.			
7	X. <u>JURY DEMAND</u>			
8	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury all issues so triable under			
9	the law.			
10				
11	DATED: September 20, 2017 Respectfully submitted,			
12				
13	/s/ Adam Rose			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
2728				
40	-28-			
	-20-			