1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2	x
3	STAR ATHLETICA, L.L.C., :
4	Petitioner : No. 15-866
5	v. :
6	VARSITY BRANDS, INC., ET AL., :
7	Respondents. :
8	x
9	Washington, D.C.
10	Monday, October 31, 2016
11	
12	The above-entitled matter came on for oral
13	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States
14	at 11:07 a.m.
15	APPEARANCES:
16	JOHN J. BURSCH, ESQ., Caledonia, Mich.; on behalf of the
17	Petitioner.
18	WILLIAM M. JAY, ESQ., Washington, D.C.; on behalf of the
19	Respondents.
20	ERIC J. FEIGIN, ESQ., Assistant to the Solicitor
21	General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; for
22	United States, as amicus curiae, supporting the
23	Respondents.
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	JOHN J. BURSCH, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	WILLIAM M. JAY, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondents	26
8	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
9	ERIC J. FEIGIN, ESQ.	
10	For United States, as amicus curiae,	
11	supporting the Respondents	45
12	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
13	JOHN J. BURSCH, ESQ.	
14	On behalf of the Petitioner	55
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (11:07 a.m.) 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument next in Case 15-866, Star Athletica v. Varsity Brands. 4 5 Mr. Bursch. ORAL ARGUMENT OF JOHN J. BURSCH 6 7 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER MR. BURSCH: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice, 8 9 and may it please the Court: 10 Congress did not intend to grant a century-long copyright monopoly in cheerleader uniform 11 12 design. And there are three points that support that 13 conclusion. 14 First, by subjecting two-dimensional pictures and graphics as well as sculptures to Section 15 16 101 separability test, Congress made clear that 17 two-dimensional and three-dimensional designs must be analyzed for separability. 18 19 Second, under Section 101's text, the 20 dispositive questions are twofold: Whether the deign 21 features can be identified separately from the useful 22 article's utilitarian aspects; and second, whether they 23 can exist independently, that is, the design features do 24 not add to or change the useful article's utilitarian --25 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Why, in this case, would

Alderson Reporting Company

1 we even need to get to any question of separability? 2 What was submitted was a two-dimensional artwork. It may not be like Mondrian, but it is chevrons and other 3 4 things.

They are not submitting the cheerleader's 5 6 uniform itself. They are not saying anything about the 7 shape of the uniform, the cut of the uniform. They are just saying these zigzag designs -- and you can choose 8 9 from five different ones that are interchangeable, the 10 design.

11 So why isn't this a -- a case of not -- not 12 part -- the pictorial graphic element is not part of the 13 design of the cheerleader's uniform; it's superimposed 14 on it. It's reproduced on it. It's applied to it. 15 MR. BURSCH: There two reasons, Justice 16 Ginsburg. First, consider the example where you have a 17 designer who designs a military uniform. And on that military uniform, they design the best desert camouflage 18 19 that's been ever designed in the history of the world. 20 And they submit it to the copyright office, and they 21 don't claim the design in the uniform, they only claim 22 copyright in the design on the uniform.

23 There is no question they would have the copyright in the design, but the courts would still look 24 25 to see whether that adds to the utilitarian aspects of

1 that uniform such that that design copyright holder 2 could not prevent the military from producing a military 3 uniform that uses that design.

That's why it's so important to understand that in Section 101, not only two-dimensional -- or three-dimensional, but also two-dimensional designs are subject to separability.

8 And there's a second reason, Justice 9 Ginsburg. What you're referring to, generally, is kind 10 of the area of fabric design. And a good example of 11 fabric design is the -- the flowers on the fabric in the 12 Folio Impressions case that we reprint on page 7 of our 13 reply brief.

And those flowers, you could expand the design, you could contract the design, you can make any article of clothing out of it whatsoever, you could rotate it 45 degrees, and it always works functionally the same.

Here, when you're talking about these cheerleader uniform designs, the arrangement of the color blocks and the chevrons and the stripes, if you made it smaller and put it in the center of a uniform, it would no longer have the slimming effects. It wouldn't make the wearer look taller. I mean, if you put it on a hat or a lunch box, it wouldn't have those

Alderson Reporting Company

1 functions.

2	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But they have put it on
3	those other items. That's their whole point, that
4	they've taken the pictorial design and applied it not
5	just to a by the way, this is not conceding, I think,
6	by them. They'll talk on their own and tell me that
7	this isn't obvious and and some of your amici brief
8	seemed to take that position, that if all we're looking
9	at is a picture of this color blocks and stripes, that
10	it may be too obvious to qualify for copyright
11	protection, or not original enough, whatever.
12	But my point is that they already have done
13	that. They've taken the designs and not put it on a
14	cheerleading uniform. They've put it on sweats.
15	They've put it on both tops and bottoms.
16	So what does that do for you?
17	MR. BURSCH: Let me respond to both of those
18	points, that the obvious in the second; first, the other
19	garments.
20	To the extent they're putting it on other
21	garments, if you look closely at those pictures, the
22	design changes. It's not the same design anymore. And
23	to the extent that it remains similar, it's because, for
24	example, the warmup jackets are putting those lines in
25	the same place.

6

1	So the great example of this is on page 21
2	of the Fromer and Buccafusco brief, where it is the
3	Stella McCartney dresses on Kate Winslet. And she's got
4	those slimming, dark lines along the sides that change
5	how she is perceived. It makes her shape look different
6	to someone who is looking at her, and the lines on these
7	uniforms do the exact same thing.
8	Similarly, you've got in in these
9	uniforms you've got the waist-narrowing Vs on the sides.
10	It creates the optical illusion that the wearer is
11	thinner than they actually are, slimmer. You've got the
12	Müller-Lyer lines
13	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: How could you copyright
14	anything under your use of "utility," under your
15	definition of "utility"?
16	MR. BURSCH: Because my definition
17	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Every form I suspect
18	in most cases, every form gives something else, an
19	attractiveness, to the purchaser. That's why you have
20	designs of anything, even pictorial designs.
21	MR. BURSCH: We completely agree. And
22	that's why it's so important that you focus on the
23	statutory text, because under the exists-independently
24	requirement that we cite this is right from Section
25	101 the feature cannot add to or change the

7

1	"utilitarian aspects" of the "useful article."
2	So let's say that I've got a T-shirt with a
3	happy face on it, and maybe that makes me look better
4	because I appear happier. Well, that design has the
5	same effect whether I'm wearing it on my shirt, my
6	pants, my hat, or carrying it on my notebook.
7	But here, these designs only work when
8	they're on the article for which they are designed in
9	the exact place where they were designed.
10	JUSTICE KENNEDY: Suppose you have a Picasso
11	painting or Mondrian or Klee, and suppose they're alive
12	and they licensed the use of their picture on a garment
13	and it does just what you say: This Picasso painting or
14	Mondrian just completely captures the shape of the
15	article you want. The fact that it completely captures
16	it means that it can be copied?
17	MR. BURSCH: No, it does not, because the
18	Picasso
19	JUSTICE KENNEDY: What's the difference
20	between Mondrian or Picasso and these and these
21	lines?
22	MR. BURSCH: Because I could take the
23	Picasso and I could just have it in a frame on the
24	chest, or I could expand it to the entire breadth of the
25	uniform.

Alderson Reporting Company

1	With these designs, it has the side lines
2	have to be right here. The Vs have to be right here.
3	The Müller-Lyer line has to be right here. And if you
4	would move it, say rotate that 45 degrees, you can do
5	that with the Picasso and it would still look like a
6	Picasso. If you turned one of these designs 45 degrees,
7	it would no longer make the cheerleader look taller and
8	thinner.
9	JUSTICE KAGAN: How is your argument
10	different from this tuxedo shirt that the government
11	talks about it's on page 10 of the government's
12	brief
13	MR. BURSCH: Yes.
14	JUSTICE KAGAN: where the lines really do
15	have to be just in a particular place on the piece of
16	apparel in order for it to make any sense at all and, in
17	there, that was found to be copyrightable?
18	Is that is there there any difference
19	between the tuxedo shirt and this?
20	MR. BURSCH: Well, there are differences,
21	and I think even the government would tell you, if you
22	asked them that question, that that design wouldn't
23	prevent you from making the tuxedo. And what we're
24	talking about here is making the cheerleader uniform,
25	the actual three-dimensional cheerleader uniform that

you wear, and that's the lesson of one Section -- or 1 2 Section 113. 3 JUSTICE KAGAN: No. But it prevents you -it prevents you from making this T-shirt with these 4 lines on it in the same way that you're saying nobody 5 should be able to make a short dress --6 7 MR. BURSCH: Right. And the point --JUSTICE KAGAN: -- with those particular 8 9 lines in that particular place. 10 MR. BURSCH: The point is you can have a copyright in that design. We don't contest that Varsity 11 has a copyright in the design. We wouldn't contest in 12 13 the hypothetical I used with Justice Ginsburg you could have a copyright in the camouflage design. But that 14 copyright doesn't extend to prevent you from making the 15 16 useful article depicted. That's where the line stops. 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So I quess I'm not sure about your -- does that mean if you can have a 18 19 copyright in the tuxedo shirt design that somebody 20 couldn't draw a tuxedo shirt because that's copyrighted 21 two-dimensional? 22 MR. BURSCH: Correct, right. You could not 23 replicate the design, but you could make the tuxedo. 24 That's the lesson of Jack Adelman in Section 1. 25 JUSTICE KAGAN: But you're saying you

Alderson Reporting Company

couldn't make the tuxedo shirt -- is that correct? -- or

1

2 you could? 3 MR. BURSCH: You could not. Let's take it back to the cheerleader uniform, and then bring it back 4 to the text of the statute. 5 6 We don't contest that Varsity could take 7 these designs and put it on a notebook, put it on a 8 lunchbox, put it on a hat, and no one could copy that. 9 That's clearly within the subject matter of their 10 copyright. 11 What they can't do is prevent someone from 12 making the entire uniform. And the reason the statute 13 requires that is because you have this 14 identified-separately requirement. 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So are you suing them 16 just for the pictures that are in their catalogue? Is 17 that what you're suing them for? 18 MR. BURSCH: We're not suing them; they're 19 suing us. 20 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm sorry. You're 21 right. I apologize. 22 So can you get -- you could stop them from 23 using their pictures of their uniforms in their 24 catalogue --25 MR. BURSCH: No.

11

1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- but you can't stop 2 them from selling their --3 MR. BURSCH: We can't stop them from using their copyright to do anything on a printed page, or 4 even to take these designs and, like I said, put it on a 5 lunchbox or a notebook. But their copyright does not --6 7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I transposed the two of you. I apologize. 8 9 MR. BURSCH: No problem, Justice Sotomayor. 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Can -- are they stopping you from -- you think you can sell your uniforms 11 anywhere. 12 13 MR. BURSCH: Correct. 14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So what can't you do? If we don't agree with you, if we agree with them that 15 16 this is a -- eligible for copyright absent some other 17 disgualification, like obviousness or lack of creativity or whatever else, okay, assume we agree with them; what 18 19 can they stop you from doing? 20 MR. BURSCH: Well, actually, if you agree 21 with them that they have a copyright, then you're 22 agreeing with us, too, because we all agree they have a 23 copyright in the sketch. 24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Okay. MR. BURSCH: They have a copyright in the 25

Alderson Reporting Company

1	image. Our position is that they cannot then take that
2	image and prohibit us from making the actual
3	three-dimensional uniform. And the reason for that
4	under Section 101 is because the design neither can be
5	identified separately, nor can exist independently,
6	which are the statutory requirements of the "utilitarian
7	aspects" of the cheerleader uniform.
8	JUSTICE KAGAN: So but if I could just go
9	back and make sure I understand my question about the
10	tuxedo shirt.
11	MR. BURSCH: Yes.
12	JUSTICE KAGAN: If I have a copyright in the
13	design of the tuxedo shirt, not in a tuxedo
14	MR. BURSCH: Correct.
15	JUSTICE KAGAN: I have a copyright in the
16	design of a tuxedo shirt, can I prevent other people
17	from manufacturing tuxedo shirts?
18	MR. BURSCH: Yes.
19	JUSTICE KAGAN: So why isn't that exactly
20	the same?
21	MR. BURSCH: Because
22	JUSTICE KAGAN: Because here, I have a
23	design in a copyright dress, and now I'm trying to
24	prevent other people from manufacturing that cheerleader
25	dress with that design.

1	MR. BURSCH: Because then that's like
2	manufacturing the tuxedo. And what Section 113(b) says
3	is that all of the copyright law with respect to this
4	kind of issue, that was
5	JUSTICE KAGAN: I don't understand why it's
6	like manufacturing a tuxedo. It seems as though it's
7	like manufacturing the thing that I have the design in.
8	I have design in the I have a design of a tuxedo
9	shirt, so you can't make a tuxedo shirt. I have a
10	design in a cheerleader dress, so you can't make the
11	cheerleader dress.
12	MR. BURSCH: It has to do with the very
13	careful statutory requirement that they exist
14	independently. What that means is the feature can't add
15	to or change the "utilitarian aspects" of the article.
16	When you're talking about the tuxedo design,
17	it's not adding anything functional to the T-shirt.
18	It's simply putting a design on it. When you're talking
19	about these designs on a cheerleader uniform, it
20	advances a number of utilitarian designs, and let me
21	just tick those off quickly.
22	First, it changes how the wearer is
23	perceived through optical illusion. And that's some of
24	the things that I've been referring to: the slimming
25	lines on the side, the waist-narrowing Vs, the

Alderson Reporting Company

1 Müller-Lyer lines --2 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Is that the same for all 3 five of the --MR. BURSCH: All five. And I'll tick 4 5 through this list, and then let's look at the uniforms 6 and I can show you how they do that. The second, like all uniforms, Justice 7 Kagan, it actually identifies the cheerleader as a 8 9 cheerleader, which was Judge McKeaque's point, Judge 10 Cleland's point below. Because if these cheerleaders were wearing wrestling singlets, no one would identify 11 12 them as cheerleaders. 13 And uniforms have a special identification 14 function. You think about military people who are in a scene mixed up with civilians, and it's the military 15 16 uniforms that identify them as members of the military 17 that tells you whether the rules of war apply to them or 18 not. 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: I thought conveying information doesn't make an article useful. 20 21 MR. BURSCH: I don't think that optical 22 illusions are conveying information. I don't think that 23 identification is conveying optical illusion. 24 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, you said -- you 25 said a function of the cheerleader -- cheerleader's

Alderson Reporting Company

1 dress is to identify the person as a cheerleader. 2 MR. BURSCH: Yes. That's a second function. So let me first make clear, the optical illusions isn't 3 conveying information; it's actually causing you to see 4 the person differently than they actually are. So at a 5 minimum, that doesn't fall within this. 6 7 I think with the identification, that allows you to sort people. That's different than conveying 8 9 information like facts and figures. 10 In addition, Your Honor, the conveyance of information is actually something that has to be 11 considered as part of the exists-independently prong. 12 13 And you can see this on page 2 of the blue brief where we have Section 101, both the "pictorial graphic" and 14 "sculptural works" definition and the "useful article" 15 16 definition. 17 And if you start with the "useful article" definition, to determine whether something is a useful 18 19 article in the first instance, you ask whether it has an 20 intrinsic utilitarian function that is not merely to 21 portray the appearance of the article or to convey 22 information.

23 So portraying the appearance and conveying 24 information are utilitarian functions, but if that's the 25 only thing that they do, then it's not a useful article.

Alderson Reporting Company

1 But once you've decided that a garment like a 2 cheerleader uniform is a useful article, now you turn to 3 the separability test. So now we look at the PGS definition right above the "useful article" definition, 4 and there you are measuring the features against the 5 6 utilitarian aspects of the article. 7 It doesn't say only the intrinsic 8 utilitarian functions; it's any "utilitarian aspect." 9 So having made the first decision, yes, this 10 garment is a useful article, the statute requires us to consider all the "utilitarian aspects" of the article. 11 12 And -- and that has to be the case or otherwise, again, 13 military uniforms, their identifying functions, you 14 could have lay people making military uniform designs and barring the government from ever being able to 15 16 manufacture those uniforms themselves, even if everyone 17 agrees that that is a useful thing. Two other quick things on functionality. 18 These designs also define the uniform's style line and 19 20 their actual three-dimensional shape. 21 So, Justice Kagan, the -- the zigzag that

they have on the bottom of 299A and 299B on page 4 of our -- our blue brief, it's actually defining the shape, and everyone agrees that the shape of a uniform can never be copyrighted. There is no dispute about that.

Alderson Reporting Company

1 The last thing --2 JUSTICE GINSBURG: I thought that the -- the 3 shape was the same on all of these. We have different designs, five different designs, same shape. 4 5 MR. BURSCH: No. The -- maybe this would be 6 a good time to turn to the pictures on pages 4 to 5 of 7 the blue brief, because these are the actual copyright deposits involved. And what you can see -- I'll give 8 9 you a moment to pull up pages 4 and 5 of the blue brief. 10 On page 4, you have two of the deposits. This is 299A on the bottom, 299B on the top, and that 11 12 zigzag actually defines the shape. And it also has the 13 functional utility of covering up the seams and making 14 that waistband stronger, not allowing it to stretch when the cheerleader puts it on and off. 15 16 The stripes at the top that define the shape 17 of the collar, again, that's not copyrightable, also 18 cover up seams. 19 That stripe that goes across diagonally 20 right there that separates the white color block from 21 the red color block, those two color blocks have to be 22 stitched together, and what they do is they put those stitches on the outside so that it'll be smooth on the 23 inside where the cheerleader is, and they have to cover 24 25 up those sales with a stripe. So all these things

Alderson Reporting Company

1 are -- are functional. 2 So now let's look --3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So do you get a different -- a different result if, instead of stitched, 4 the design is applied? 5 MR. BURSCH: If it's -- it's subliminated, 6 7 which is something that they -- they've talked about. It's no different with respect to the optical illusion 8 or the identifying function, because if you print the 9 10 same design in a cheerleader uniform, you'd have to print it exactly the same way they stitch it. 11 12 Let's focus now on page 5. The bottom 13 drawing there is number 815. This is the red one with the blue stripes that go down the side. It's those blue 14 stripes on the side, just like the Stella McCartney 15 16 dress, that cause the cheerleader to be perceived as 17 slimmer and more curvy than they actually are. JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Bursch, I go back to 18 19 this point, because I'm a little confused. You started 20 by saying to me you don't want to be stopped from manufacturing this particular uniform. 21 22 MR. BURSCH: Correct. 23 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What do they -- what do you think they have a copyright in? 24 MR. BURSCH: They have a copyright --25

Alderson Reporting Company

1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Or the -- what do you 2 think is eligible for copyright in this picture? 3 MR. BURSCH: I think the copyright 4 eligibility in this picture is the design, so long as it's not being used to prevent the manufacturer of the 5 useful article that it was intended to be -- to appear 6 7 on. 8 Like I said, they --9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Isn't that the 10 government's position too? MR. BURSCH: Well, I -- I think they agree 11 with us on that point. If you look at --12 13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: On that point. 14 MR. BURSCH: Yeah. If you look at page 22 and 23 of their brief, they have our little black dress, 15 16 and then they have this very design here, number 815, 17 and they explain that if we are right that this design has functionality --18 19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So what can't you do, 20 assuming that you accept what they have a copyright in, sort of the -- this thing, but not in stopping the 21 22 manufacture of the uniform --23 MR. BURSCH: Yes. 24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- what do you think 25 their copyright stops you from doing?

20

Official - Subject to Final Review

21

1 MR. BURSCH: Everything else. We could not 2 print this on a notebook cover. We couldn't put it on a lunch pail. We couldn't put it on a hat. The -- the 3 only thing it allows us to do is to actually produce the 4 5 useful article itself. And I -- I'm -- I'm talking so much about 6 cheerleader uniforms. I want to focus back on the text 7 just for a moment because the purpose of having a 8 separability test under Section 101 is to make sure that 9 10 anything that enhances in any way the functionality of a useful article is not within the subject matter of 11 12 copyright. 13 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, I guess that's the 14 question, is it really in any way, because the opposite way of reading this statute is that the "utilitarian 15 16 aspects" of the article that you're talking about in 17 terms of the separability test? 18 MR. BURSCH: Yes. JUSTICE KAGAN: That -- that those 19 20 "utilitarian aspects" should be understood to encompass only the utilitarian functions that make something a 21 22 useful article in the first place, which means that they 23 should be held to exclude things that relate to the -portraying the appearance of the article or things that 24 25 relates to conveying information, and that seems to me a

pretty good -- I mean, it's a confusing statute, but it seems to me a pretty good holistic understanding of this statute.

But that is what this statute is trying to do, is to say there are certain kinds of things that might in a broad sense be considered utilitarian, which has to do with portraying appearance or conveying information, that, for this inquiry, we want you to exclude, that the separability test does not relate to those kinds of things.

11 MR. BURSCH: And, Justice Kagan, that would 12 be a possible policy that Congress could have adopted, 13 but that's not what the statute says.

14 When you're applying the separability test, you're comparing the features to the "utilitarian 15 16 aspects" of the article, not the intrinsic utilitarian 17 function. Not the ones that made it a useful article, but all "utilitarian aspects" of the article. And --18 19 and if you excluded things like uniform's identifying 20 functions, then someone would be able to prevent the military from producing camouflage uniforms if they got 21 22 to that design first, and that's exactly the opposite of 23 what Congress intended.

You know, in fact, there has been a hundred
years of proposed legislation where people have tried --

Alderson Reporting Company

1	JUSTICE KAGAN: But the reason why
2	camouflage is such a good example for your side is that
3	camouflage actually we can understand it as having a
4	utilitarian function that is different from simply
5	conveying information and it is different from simply
6	making something appear a certain way.
7	MR. BURSCH: Yeah.
8	JUSTICE KAGAN: In other words, the
9	utilitarian function that camouflage has is to hide you
10	in the woods.
11	MR. BURSCH: Yes.
12	JUSTICE KAGAN: And so so that function
13	would not be excluded by these things.
14	MR. BURSCH: Right, but the utilitarian
15	function of the fabric only works of the design only
16	works in conjunction with the useful article, and the
17	same is true here. When you talk about camouflage, it's
18	creating an optical illusion, right? We've got that
19	picture on page 1 of our reply brief, and when you first
20	look at that, you don't immediately see that there is a
21	person standing in that tree because they are
22	camouflaged.
23	Well, in the same way with these
24	cheerleader-uniform designs, it creates the optical
25	illusion that they are taller, that they're slimmer,

Alderson Reporting Company

1 that they're -- they're curvier. You know, all these 2 functions work exactly the same way as camouflage. 3 JUSTICE BREYER: Forget the special things. 4 I have a picture of a dress now. The dress is in my mind. I have abstracted it. When I look at those forms 5 6 in space, it looks like a dress. 7 MR. BURSCH: Yes. 8 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. Now, you'd say, 9 I take it, that when I've tried to identify the design 10 separately, I've ended up with something that is not capable of existing independently of the -- of the 11 "utilitarian aspects" of the dress, because it looks 12 13 like a dress. So when Marcel Duchamp has a shovel on 14 the wall and says it's a work of art, he can have a copyright as long as he doesn't try to sue people who 15 16 make shovels. 17 MR. BURSCH: Exactly. That's it. 18 JUSTICE BREYER: MR. BURSCH: That is the "identified 19 20 separately" portion of our argument. 21 JUSTICE BREYER: That's your point. That's 22 your point. 23 MR. BURSCH: That's one of two points. 24 JUSTICE BREYER: And then if you fail on 25 that, you have all the specialized arguments about

Alderson Reporting Company

special purposes of cheerleader costumes. 1 2 MR. BURSCH: Right. Now, part --JUSTICE BREYER: But the basic argument is 3 what I said; is that right? 4 5 MR. BURSCH: Well, the part of your --6 JUSTICE BREYER: Is that right? 7 MR. BURSCH: Yes, but the -- the part of your description that makes me nervous is when you talk 8 9 about, I'm just imagining something, because that's the 10 "side-by-side" test that Varsity advances. That you have to just imagine and visualize whether two things 11 12 can be conceived of --13 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, why not? It says, separable from, so we look to see if it's separable 14 15 from. 16 MR. BURSCH: Right, but what they ignore --17 JUSTICE BREYER: What else do we have --18 MR. BURSCH: But what they ignore --19 JUSTICE BREYER: -- but our imagination? 20 MR. BURSCH: But what they ignore is that it 21 has to be independent. That means completely separate 22 on both sides --23 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. And this --24 MR. BURSCH: -- of the "utilitarian 25 aspects."

Alderson Reporting Company

1	- And so so then you lose the utility. You
2	know, they would say under their test that that here,
3	because I can conceptualize this uniform separate from
4	the fabric, that that's enough. But but it's not,
5	because if that design is doing work on the fabric in
6	the place where it was designed to be, then utilitarian
7	function is lost when you remove it. And that's exactly
8	what Section 101 requires, right?
9	JUSTICE BREYER: True, true.
10	MR. BURSCH: So you have to look not only at
11	the design; you have to look at the article as well.
12	And if the article does less work when that thing is
13	gone, it's not separable. It's protectable.
14	If there are no further questions, I'll
15	reserve the balance of my time.
16	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
17	Mr. Jay.
18	ORAL ARGUMENT OF WILLIAM M. JAY
19	ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
20	MR. JAY: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
21	please the Court:
22	Congress directed the copyright office and
23	the courts to protect applied art as well as fine art.
24	Applied art is art applied to a useful article. And
25	where that directive comes into the statute is in

1 Section 101 and in the -- in its definition of pictorial 2 graphic and sculptural works, and in the substantive 3 provisions that implement that. Now, there are two key directives there, 4 5 which the Copyright Office has faithfully implemented 6 over many years in thousands upon thousands of 7 registration decisions for applied art, and two key 8 principles which the Petitioner rejects. 9 Number one is that this is a hypothetical 10 inquiry capable of existing independently of, can be 11 identified. 12 And the second is that courts and the 13 Copyright Office are not required to get into the 14 question of how effective the visual or artistic expression of the copyrighted work is. 15 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What do you do about 17 the camouflage case? 18 MR. JAY: We think that camouflage -- the 19 idea of camouflage certainly can't be copyrighted under --20 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, no, no. This is 22 a special design that things are curved one way or another that nobody else had thought of. 23 24 MR. JAY: If it's a brand-new, original 25 camouflage pattern --

27

28

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Right. 2 MR. JAY: -- we think -- although, I don't 3 think you need to agree with me about this in order to agree with Varsity in this case. I do think that an 4 5 original camouflage pattern could be copyrighted and 6 then reproduced on backpacks, iPhone covers, you know, 7 other --8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, can it be --9 can it be reproduced on a military uniform? 10 MR. JAY: -- or -- a hunter's garment. I think -- I think it could -- that copyright could extend 11 to that. If -- if that case -- if the camouflage is not 12 13 copyrightable in that extent, that that's for reasons that, I think exactly as Justice Kagan said to my 14 15 friend, for reasons having to do with the utility of the 16 camouflage design itself. None of the useful article on 17 which it appears. And in this case, our position is 18 that the graphic designs, the striped chevrons and color 19 blocks, they are separable from the useful article on 20 which they appear because they have --21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, if you take 22 them off the useful article, you have whatever color the 23 fabric is, a white dress. If you look at somebody in the white dress, you don't say, oh, that's a 24 25 cheerleader.

1	MR. JAY: Well, respectfully, Mr. Chief
2	Justice, you can you can have a white cheerleading
3	uniform worn by a cheerleader with the team name and
4	team logo on it. And and I think that we've given
5	examples of why that is, in fact
6	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: From the 1950s.
7	MR. JAY: Well, you could you could have
8	you could have if you look at page 34 of the Joint
9	Appendix, you'll see in Star's own catalogue examples of
10	uniforms that have neither stripes nor chevrons nor
11	color blocks. Those simply aren't essential to
12	identifying a cheerleader as a cheerleader, even if
13	identifying were a function that's cognizable under the
14	statute, which it is not; ultimately, the design can be
15	taken and put on other articles. It could be, you know,
16	as I said before, an iPhone cover or on the warm-up
17	jackets, warm-ups and jackets that are in reproduced
18	in a joint
19	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Your friend on the

other side concedes that those could be copyrighted. If you take the design of a particular cheerleading uniform and put it on the lunchbox or the computer cover, yes, those can be copyrighted. But because it is the design that makes the dress a cheerleading uniform, you can't separate -- you can't copyright the design applied to

Alderson Reporting Company

1 that functional article.

2 MR. JAY: Well, let me resist the premise, 3 of course, then, that this -- this particular design or these five particular designs and striped chevrons and 4 5 color blocks are what make a cheerleading uniform a cheerleading uniform. But even -- even setting that 6 7 aside, if you look at Section 113A, which sets out what rights the owner of a copyright in a pictorial or 8 graphic sculptural work has, those rights include the 9 10 right to make copies of it to embody the design in or on any kind of article, including a useful article. And 11 you know, now, it would be convenient for Star to have a 12 13 right that just doesn't extend to the product that they 14 want to make. That is not the statute that Congress enacted. You have the owner of a copyright in a visual 15 16 work, pictorial graphic or sculptural work, has the 17 right to embody it on use --

18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I think you're 19 avoiding the question. Yes, you can have a copyright in 20 the pattern; and, yes, you can attach it to a useful 21 article like a lunchbox that's going to have a design. 22 But the question is when the design is what makes that useful article what it is -- the design on a lunchbox 23 24 doesn't make the lunchbox a lunchbox. It's still a 25 lunchbox. But the design on a cheerleading uniform is

Alderson Reporting Company

what makes it a cheerleading uniform, as opposed to a
 plain dress.

3 MR. JAY: Well, I guess I just have to resist the premise that these particular designs are 4 what make a cheerleading uniform a cheerleading uniform. 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But if you -- I 6 7 understand you are resisting the premise as applied to your case. But is that right as a general test, that if 8 9 it is the design that makes the article what it is in 10 its utilitarian aspect, that that cannot be copyrighted? 11 MR. JAY: I think that's exactly the kind of 12 utility that Congress did not write into the statute. 13 That -- that is not the kind of utilitarian function 14 that Congress contemplated. And I think that the best evidence of that is both in the legislative history, 15 16 meaning the House report, and also in the register's 17 testimony about what the Copyright Office had been doing for decades explaining to Congress in the course of 18 adopting doing the '76 Act, both the register and the 19 20 House reports say that virtually all two-dimensional 21 designs applied to useful articles are going to remain 22 copyrightable. 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, the House

24 report is not the law, right?

25 MR. JAY: To be sure -- we are not -- we are

Alderson Reporting Company

1	not saying that it is, but we are saying that if you
2	look, it's perfectly consistent with our view of what a
3	utilitarian aspect of a useful article is. And we also
4	think that, you know, again you even if the House
5	report had not said we are intending to codify this
6	Court's decision in Mazer and the Copyright Office
7	practice, this Court, I think, would have presumed that
8	Congress was doing so. Here, I think that presumption
9	is amply justified.
10	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So you're saying
11	that the cheerleading uniforms do not serve a
12	utilitarian purpose?
13	MR. JAY: We are saying that they don't
14	serve the utilitarian purpose that the purposes my
15	friends attributes to them are not utilitarian aspects
16	of the article under the statute. They are certainly
17	utilitarian. They cover the body. They have a
18	provide mobility.
19	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Does the university that
20	contracts with you know that they have to buy their
21	uniform for you from you for 99 years plus whatever?
22	Every university that you sell these cheerleading
23	uniforms to, do they know that under your copyright,
24	they are stuck with you forever?
25	MR. JAY: No Justice Sotomayor, that's

Alderson Reporting Company

1 that's not the case. 2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Why not? If you have a copyright on this design, and they have adopted their 3 school colors, orange and black, and I presume some of 4 these are cheerleading uniforms belonging to certain 5 6 teams, they buy them and they put their names on them, 7 correct? 8 MR. JAY: Sure. Schools certainly put their 9 names on cheerleading uniforms. 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So why aren't they stuck with you being their only supplier of their school 11 colors for the rest of their existence? 12 13 MR. JAY: There are many, many, many variants available, both copyrighted and uncopyrighted, 14 you know, variants, of cheerleading uniforms, that, you 15 16 know, Varsity and its competitors can sell. Again, 17 there are examples in the Joint Appendix, you know, of 18 the pages I referred to. 19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So I'm right, once a 20 school -- you design a uniform for a school, that's it, 21 forever? 22 MR. JAY: Well, respectfully, Justice 23 Sotomayor -- Justice Sotomayor, if we -- if we design this particular combination of elements and we do own 24 25 the -- we do own a copyright in that combination, but we

1 don't own the idea of an orange and black cheerleader 2 uniform or a black and yellow cheerleader uniform. 3 JUSTICE BREYER: But the point, the question 4 is, I think, if I -- because I have exactly the same question. And it starts with the premise that 5 6 everything has a design. Some -- really, a lot of that set can be copyrighted. All women's clothes have 7 8 design. All men's clothes have design. For a hundred 9 and more-than-that years, the fashion industry has not 10 enjoyed copyright protection. It is an industry on the women's side, I believe, that 225 billion dollars, at 11 12 least, worth of clothes are sold every year. If 13 suddenly in this case we say that dresses are 14 copyrightable, and they are because every one of them has some design, perhaps we'll double the price of 15 16 women's clothes. Now if that's -- that's, I think, the 17 thrust of the question, and that is a practical 18 question. 19 I also have a conceptual question which I'd 20 like to ask, but why don't you disabuse me of my notion that we are into monopoly big-time? 21 22 MR. JAY: Absolutely, Justice Brever, 23 because, you know, Justice Sotomayor's question was

24 about the particular patterns.

25 JUSTICE BREYER: And mine is about all

Alderson Reporting Company

35

1 dresses, all clothes, that's all suits. 2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You're killing -- you're killing knock-offs with -- with copyright. You haven't 3 been able to do it with trademark law. You haven't been 4 able to do it with patent designs. We are now going to 5 6 use copyright law to kill the -- the knockoff industry. 7 I don't know that that's bad. I'm just saying. 8 MR. JAY: So let me clarify at the -- at the 9 outset, we are not claiming the shape, the cut, or 10 anything like that about -- about these garments. Look at page 60 of our brief, and you will see catalogued all 11 12 the places where we set out what our registration is in. 13 It's in the two-dimensional art work that appears on the 14 surface. 15 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, I see it here, and as 16 I look at it and cut away the wings, I have left -- this 17 is page 22 of the ASG's brief -- what I have left is, I have left the design you're after. It is a 18 19 two-dimensional design that looks to me very much like a 20 dress. It looks very much like a two-dimensional 21 picture of a dress that covers a woman's body. Now, did 22 you -- that's what you want to copyright, that thing --23 MR. JAY: Absolutely not, Justice Breyer. 24 JUSTICE BREYER: It's not. So you have a 25 different design. So we are not talking about -- about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

36

figure eight. We are not talking about figure eight. MR. JAY: Our design in figure eight, if you look at the registration that corresponds to that figure, which you will find in the Joint Appendix at G 46, you will see that the registration is for two-dimensional art work. JUSTICE BREYER: Of course. It's a two- -look, I can do a two-dimensional art work that looks like a Rubik's cube. I mean, a two-dimensional can look like a three-dimensional thing. MR. JAY: No, but it's the two-dimensional artwork that appears on this surface. It's not -- it's not that we did a two-dimensional picture of a dress and said now we own the dress. JUSTICE BREYER: You didn't say you own the dress. MR. JAY: Correct. JUSTICE BREYER: What you said is you own the design of the dress. MR. JAY: No. JUSTICE BREYER: I -- now isn't -- you don't? MR. JAY: No. We say -- we say that we own the design that -- that appears on -- in that case on the dress, but that can -- that can also appear on the

1 warmups. It can also appear on the jacket. 2 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Jay, see --3 JUSTICE BREYER: Now, wait. I have a 4 conceptual question. I'm not yet satisfied with your answer to the practical question because I fear that any 5 6 good designer or lawyer could go and take any dress or 7 suit, just about, and produce a picture that looks very much like that and then sue the companies that use the 8 9 same dress or style. That's my practical, but this is 10 the conceptual. 11 Since we can take -- since we can take anything -- anything -- to a two-dimensional picture and 12 13 put it on the wall like Marcel Duchamp, if I decide in your favor, am I not allowing copyright for virtually, 14 assuming other things satisfied, every design of a 15 16 useful article, the very thing that Congress said they 17 did not want? 18 MR. JAY: No, absolutely not, because the 19 ability to take the shovel and hang it on the wall does 20 not make the shovel a sculpture because the things that make the "utilitarian aspect" --21 22 JUSTICE BREYER: I'm not talking about the 23 shovel at the moment. I am talking about an artist's

24 ability to take a piece of clothing, which is a

25 utilitarian object, and do a two-dimensional picture of

37

1 the piece of clothing, which it has some things on it, 2 some chevrons, or nothing. It just looks like a dress. Now on your theory, does that not become copyrightable? 3 MR. JAY: Only in the surface design, not 4 5 the folds, not the pleats, not the shape, not the cut. 6 And my friend agreed with me --7 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Jay, can I --JUSTICE ALITO: As to the -- as to the 8 9 surface design, I have a similar question. But suppose 10 I go to a Museum of Modern Art and I look at a great many famous abstract paintings. I assume that you would 11 say that all of those could be copyrighted, could they 12 13 not? 14 MR. JAY: You know, if they are original and 15 meet the other requisites, one assumes so, yes. 16 JUSTICE ALITO: And so the design on any 17 fabric, potentially, could be copyrighted, could it not? 18 MR. JAY: The surface --19 JUSTICE ALITO: If it began as a painting? 20 MR. JAY: The owner of the copyright in that 21 painting has the right to reproduce it on fabric, on 22 other textiles, you know, or you know, on a -- on a 23 tapestry, on a rug or on wallpaper. Absolutely. 24 JUSTICE KAGAN: And isn't it -- just 25 starting from the premise that Justice Alito suggested,

Alderson Reporting Company

1 the -- fabric designs are copyrightable; is that 2 correct? 3 MR. JAY: That's what -- that's what I was trying to say to Justice Breyer --4 5 JUSTICE KAGAN: Everybody agrees with that. MR. JAY: My friend agreed that the fabric 6 7 design is copyrightable. 8 JUSTICE KAGAN: So it seems to me that the 9 question, right, in this case, is are you going to treat 10 this kind of design just as you would a fabric design? 11 MR. JAY: That's right. 12 JUSTICE KAGAN: And as I understand 13 Mr. Bursch's point, what Mr. Bursch is saying is, no, you shouldn't, because this kind of design has -- it --14 it follows the figure of a human body, essentially. And 15 16 that's the difference between just a design of like 17 stripes and zigzags and chevrons sort of abstractly, and then one that's put on something that looks like a 18 19 particular piece of apparel that's meant to fit onto a 20 human body in a particular way. 21 And that seems to me to be the -- the 22 distinction he's making, and I want you to tell me why 23 that distinction, in your view, doesn't make a 24 difference. 25 MR. JAY: It doesn't make a difference

Alderson Reporting Company

because what he -- he has identified a number of different supposed "utilitarian aspects," and some of which, I think, actually go to the fabric of the dress and were not -- or the uniform. We're not laying claim to that.

6 What he's talking about are the -- are the 7 visual ones, the ones that make the cheerleader look a 8 particular way. And he's saying that you can't 9 copyright those as it applies to a cheerleader uniform 10 because they're especially useful there. Like, you 11 know, that's where they are most effective in conveying 12 this image.

We -- that is not what the definition of utilitarian -- the meaning of "utilitarian aspects" encompasses because any work of visual art conveys a -you know, a visual message or an aesthetic impact. And to have the ones that are most effective be least copyrightable we think doesn't work.

19 And I think that --

JUSTICE ALITO: What is the difference between that utilitarian argument and the utilitarian argument as applied to camouflage?

23 MR. JAY: The utilitarian argument as 24 applied to camouflage is that it's -- I mean, as I said 25 to the Chief Justice, we do think that if you see

Alderson Reporting Company

1 camouflage as portraying the appearance of a -- you 2 know, of a particular thing, you know, or -- or sending 3 a message that you belong in -- in a particular group, that would not be copyright-eligible -- sorry -- that --4 that would not be a "utilitarian aspect." 5 6 If camouflage is not copyright-eligible, 7 it's because it performs something that's different from making you look good in a -- in an anesthetic way. It's 8 9 because it conceals. You know, ultimately, we think the 10 best answer is that --11 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But why -- why should that 12 make a difference? 13 MR. JAY: I mean, the word "utilitarian" is 14 the key word in the definition. And I -- I think maybe using one of the fine arts examples, you know, might 15 16 help to illustrate this point. 17 If you look in the amicus brief of Professors Buccafusco and Fromer, they have in their 18 19 illustration number one is the fresco painted on the 20 dome of a church. And they say that's not copyright-eligible because it uses techniques to make 21 22 the dome look bigger. 23 And now you certainly can't get a copyright in the idea of Trompe-l'oeil art, but you certainly get 24 25 a copyright in a work of fine art that decorates a room

1 or a rug or a useful -- you know, a useful article, and 2 that makes the room look better or makes the person who 3 wears it look better. And the fact that it's effective, that it makes -- you know, it serves to make that 4 particular church look bigger, that is not a reason to 5 6 withhold a copyright protection. 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Your -- the argument is not that it makes the room or whatever look better. 8 9 The argument here is the design makes this look like a cheerleader uniform, which is different and distinctive 10 function in -- than a normal dress. 11 12 MR. JAY: Well --13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It's not simply to 14 cover the body. It's to convey a particular message. It shows that you're a member of the cheerleading squad 15 16 and --17 MR. JAY: Well -- well, if that were true, Mr. Chief Justice, they'd have a decent argument under 18 19 the merger doctrine if there were -- if there were only 20 a few ways of expressing that you are a cheerleader and 21 that you are wearing a cheerleader uniform. You can't 22 get a copyright in an idea. That's 102(b). 23 The merger doctrine, not this utilitarian separability analysis that we've been talking about, the 24 25 merger doctrine is the primary way in which courts and

Alderson Reporting Company

1 the Copyright Office can, you know, prevent the owner of 2 a copyright from locking up the ways of expressing a 3 particular idea. So that if, on the facts, there were 4 only a couple ways of looking like a cheerleader, that would be the way to -- to get at that. 5 6 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Under your -- under your 7 argument, and as you best understand your colleagues' argument, to what extent are there findings of fact 8 9 involved here? I mean, are we the ones that decide 10 this? 11 You wanted to introduce expert testimony. 12 What was the expert testimony you wanted to introduce? 13 MR. JAY: The other side wanted to introduce 14 expert testimony, Your Honor. Petitioner told this Court under page 40 of the petition for cert that this 15 16 is a pure legal question. 17 We agree that, under the correct analysis, it is a pure legal question. We think that's a real 18 19 vice of the other side's view, that they are asserting, 20 you know, essentially, as a matter of law that certain 21 things are necessary to be a cheerleader; that certain 22 things are -- influenced by function or form. 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, you just said that. You said there are a lot of other ways to show 24 25 that somebody's a cheerleader. And, you know, maybe I

Alderson Reporting Company

1 started to try to think of them. And, you know, it's 2 not just that they all look alike, it's -- so maybe 3 that's one area which you have testimony, that 95 percent of the cheerleading squads look like this. So 4 maybe there aren't other ways. 5 6 MR. JAY: Well, ultimately, the question is 7 -- the question is the hypothetical question whether this design can -- can be identified separately from the 8 9 articles on which it appears and whether it has -- it 10 has an existence separate from those articles. 11 And I think --12 JUSTICE KAGAN: And when you say "this 13 design" -- I'm sorry, Mr. Jay. 14 MR. JAY: No, Your Honor --15 JUSTICE KAGAN: When you say "this design," 16 just to make sure I understand what you're claiming 17 copyright in, so if I'm looking at page 4 and there's 18 this design with a blue stripe and a white stripe and a 19 red stripe and a white stripe and a blue stripe, could 20 somebody else come along and just add another red stripe 21 to that? And would that -- would you then say you 22 violated our copyright? 23 MR. JAY: Our copyright is in the arrangement of the design elements. 24 25 JUSTICE KAGAN: The particular arrangement.

Alderson Reporting Company

1	So if somebody just added a different, another stripe.
2	MR. JAY: Well, adding another stripe is
3	I I hesitate to agree with because usually
4	reproducing a copyrighted work and then adding some
5	other stuff around it is not enough to avoid
6	infringement. But if they changed the colors and and
7	reordered the elements, I think they'd have a good
8	argument that it's not infringing.
9	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
10	MR. JAY: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice.
11	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Feigin.
12	ORAL ARGUMENT OF ERIC J. FEIGIN
13	FOR UNITED STATES, AS AMICUS CURIAE,
14	SUPPORTING THE RESPONDENTS
15	MR. FEIGIN: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice,
16	and may it please the Court:
17	This case is about the reproduction of
18	two-dimensional artwork on a useful article. The
19	question here is fundamentally indistinguishable from
20	the tuxedo shirt that my friend conceded would retain
21	copyright protection, or putting a sports team logo on a
22	T-Shirt that identifies somebody as a team member or a
23	fan.
24	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Or putting
25	camouflage on a military uniform?

1	MR. FEIGIN: So, Your Honor, camouflage has
2	been protected by copyright for decades. The Copyright
3	Office's practices on that reflect the view that
4	camouflage is generally eligible, at least for a very
5	thin copyright, in the creative elements of a particular
6	camouflage pattern that aren't dictated by the
7	underlying ideas and scientific principles that make it
8	work.
9	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: As applied to a
10	military uniform.
11	MR. FEIGIN: So as applied to a military
12	uniform, if someone were asserting a copyright in
13	camouflage in such a manner as to prevent the
14	manufacturer of a uniform from actually manufacturing a
15	uniform that would perform a concealment function, and
16	if concealment were, as Justice Kagan was positing,
17	considered to be separate from the sorts of expressive
18	functions that are traditionally protective by
19	copyright
20	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: All of which
21	MR. FEIGIN: then there might
22	infringement
23	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: suggest the
24	answer was yes; right?
25	MR. FEIGIN: Then there might be some

Alderson Reporting Company

1 infringement defenses that would apply in that context. 2 But what I think the dispute in this case is really boiling down to is a question of what the utilitarian 3 aspects of the "useful article" are. And I think there 4 are two the Petitioner's identifying: One is conveying 5 6 the information that someone is a cheerleader, 7 identifying someone as a cheerleader; and the other is affecting the viewer's perception of the wearer's 8 9 appearance. And I'd like to just explain overall --JUSTICE BREYER: What about the woman or the 10 man who wishes -- and, indeed, this is a normal reason 11 12 for wearing clothes -- they are making a statement about 13 themselves? They're saying who they are? The clothes 14 on the hanger do nothing; the clothes on the woman do everything. And that is, I think, what fashion is 15 16 about. 17 JUSTICE KAGAN: That's so romantic. 18 JUSTICE BREYER: It always has been in 19 history. Now, isn't that a -- what? 20 MR. FEIGIN: Well, Your Honor, it is --JUSTICE BREYER: Why do we wear robes? 21 MR. FEIGIN: Your Honor, it is clear and it 22 23 is common ground among the parties and with the Copyright Office that the actual cut and shape of a 24 25 garment isn't copyrightable.

	4
1	JUSTICE BREYER: What about Lemley's
2	MR. FEIGIN: What we're here about
3	JUSTICE BREYER: What about Lemley's test on
4	page 17 of his brief? As I as I read it, as I read
5	it and I thought and I'm sure you've read it and
6	it seemed to me that it does say that a two-dimensional
7	picture of a three-dimensional piece of clothing is not
8	entitled to copyright because it is not a design of
9	anything but a utilitarian object, the clothes, whether
10	they are beautiful clothes, ugly clothes, cheerleader,
11	or anything else.
12	MR. FEIGIN: Well, Your Honor, I think I
13	we disagree that you can't take the aesthetic aspects of
14	the cheerleading uniform and put them in a different
15	medium.
16	JUSTICE BREYER: But do you agree with
17	Lemley's statement, which, I mean, it's such an all
18	the professors are there
19	MR. FEIGIN: No. Your Honor
20	JUSTICE BREYER: and that's why I thought
21	probably you've read it. And it's from all over the
22	country, and I wanted to get your opinion of it.
23	MR. FEIGIN: Your Honor, as you've
24	characterized the statement, we don't agree with it. We
25	do think that the two-dimensional artwork here is

Alderson Reporting Company

separable from the garment, the cut and shape of which
 is not copyrightable. Let me just make a couple of
 points.

One is about the act and what it's doing 4 5 overall. The act overall is drawing a distinction between the kinds of aesthetic communicative expressive 6 7 functions that are traditionally protected by copyright and the kinds of mechanical, pragmatic, utilitarian 8 9 functions that are exclusively the domain of a "useful article." And the kinds of functions that Mr. Bursch is 10 talking about here are fundamentally expressive 11 functions. 12

First of all, conveying the information that someone is a cheerleader. When someone is wearing a particular piece of clothing intended to convey that they're a member of a particular group or that they hold a particular belief, that is the kind of expressive function that copyright traditionally protects.

And, indeed, there is an express exception in the definition of a "useful article" for conveying information. And I think this is indistinguishable from putting a sports team logo on a T-shirt to identify someone as a Washington Capitals fan, for instance. JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, what do you do in Mr. Bursch's examples of military uniforms or police

49

1 officer uniforms, something like that?

2 MR. FEIGIN: So, Your Honor, those also are 3 conveying information. Now, if someone were trying to assert a copyright in the design of a policeman uniform 4 5 in such a way that it turned out there were, say, only a limited number of ways to identify someone as a 6 7 policeman and a copyright were being asserted to prevent 8 identification of someone as a policeman, then the 9 defenses that we discuss at pages 39 to 40 of our brief, the "merger" doctrine, the "scènes à faire" doctrine, 10 would apply to prevent what would effectively be 11 12 monopolization of that idea. 13 So if that were really happening in this 14 case -- and there are some reasons to think that's not actually happening in the cheerleading realm, and we 15 16 discuss those in our brief -- if that were happening, 17 those defenses would apply. JUSTICE GINSBURG: What about the Galiano 18 19 case? That was the casino uniform. Why is that different from the cheerleader uniform? 20 21 MR. FEIGIN: Well, Your Honor, we -- we 22 don't agree with the result in the Galiano case. To the 23 extent there was some sort of unique, two-dimensional

24 elements that some casino wanted to put on its uniforms

25 to identify its employees, that artwork would be

copyrightable and -- just like the cheerleading uniforms
in this case.

3 I also want to address the argument --JUSTICE BREYER: Well, before you get away 4 from that, one thing very quick, because maybe I really 5 6 agree with you. 7 MR. FEIGIN: I hope so. JUSTICE BREYER: I had looked at page 22 of 8 9 your brief at figure 8. And what I had seen there when 10 I took the arms away was a picture of a thing that was a picture of the cut and style and not just a picture of 11 12 chevrons. And so -- but if that's what it is, then you 13 would agree and I would agree that it's not -- everybody would agree, I guess, it's not copyrightable. 14 15 MR. FEIGIN: The point of figure 7 and 8 in 16 our brief, Your Honor, is that everything you see in

17 figure 7 that's also in figure 8 is not copyrightable.
18 That's the cut and shape of the dress. It's the stripes
19 and the coloration, the lines and the design in this
20 particular arrangement.

JUSTICE BREYER: So what happens if when you look at the picture that they submit to the Copyright Office and try to figure out if it's separable what you see is a picture of a dress that goes around a woman which does look like, you know, shape and cut and so

Alderson Reporting Company

1 forth?

2 MR. FEIGIN: So they have generally checked 3 a box, as they did here, that says two-dimensional 4 artwork, and the Copyright Office understands all 5 they're trying to protect is the surface imagery of the 6 garment, and that, they'll register.

I do want to address this idea that making the viewer look different is somehow the kind of non-expressive function that is associated with the "useful article" -- with a "useful article." And I think that approach would be contrary to congressional intent and completely inadministrable.

13 If you look at page 55 of the House report, 14 you'll see that Congress believed that two-dimensional 15 designs on fabric or on wallpaper would be separable and 16 thus copyrightable. But that wouldn't be true under the 17 approach petitioner is urging.

Under that approach, you'd have to look at a particular two-dimensional design on wallpaper and try to assess whether and to what degree it might make the room look bigger or brighter or smaller or darker. I'm not aware of any scientific reliable or consistent way --

JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, that seems a problem.But on the other hand, it seems a little bit strange

Alderson Reporting Company

1 that, you know, take a garment designer who wants to do 2 a design that is slimming. And if -- there are all 3 kinds of structural things you can do that are slimming, and those would not be copyrightable. 4 5 MR. FEIGIN: Correct. 6 JUSTICE KAGAN: Then you're saying that 7 the -- that the placement of stripes or color, that is copyrightable, even though the garment designer is 8 9 really trying to do the same thing through color and 10 through graphic design as he was doing through the shape 11 of the article. 12 MR. FEIGIN: So, Your Honor, I definitely 13 think there is a spectrum here, but let me try to explain why I think this is on the expressive side of 14 the line, not the non-expressive side of the line. 15 16 If I were to wear a shirt that said "Please 17 focus on my very nicely toned arms. I've worked very hard on them," I think we'd all understand the message 18 19 conveyed by that shirt to be expressive and separable 20 from the non-expressive functions that the shirt 21 performs covering my body. 22 Now, what -- the kinds of things that we're 23 talking about here are essentially sending that same message, albeit in a nonverbal, more subconscious way, 24 25 but they're still fundamentally expressive because

1 they're about how the wearer is trying to portray 2 themselves and their appearance to the world, and that's 3 all that's being copyrighted. That's traditionally within the domain of copyright. It is not copyrighting 4 a particular functionality. 5 6 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Is the domain of copyright 7 to copyright the way people present themselves to the 8 world? 9 MR. FEIGIN: The way that someone 10 expresses -- the way that someone expresses an idea to the world -- in this case, the idea would be how they 11 12 look -- is something that is very akin to traditional 13 expression that's protected by copyright. 14 Again, here we're just talking about two-dimensional artwork. If we were -- if we adopted 15 16 petitioner's view, the Copyright Office, when it looks 17 at a particular two-dimensional design, would have to figure out what effect it would have in all sorts of 18 contexts on various "useful articles," which would 19 20 really --21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: The whole point of 22 the case is we're not just talking about two-dimensional 23 artwork. We're talking about two-dimensional artwork applied to a -- the fabric in a way that conveys a 24

25 utilitarian function. It's not just -- your friend

Alderson Reporting Company

1	concedes that if you want to put a picture of this on a
2	lunchbox or whatever that you have a copyright in that.
3	It's only when you apply it to a garment because it
4	is what makes that garment a cheerleading outfit as
5	opposed to somebody else; it serves that utilitarian
6	function that you don't have a copyright.
7	MR. FEIGIN: Your Honor, I think our
8	fundamental disagreement is whether this is actually
9	performing utilitarian functions. If I could just
10	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Sure.
11	MR. FEIGIN: finish my response.
12	And I think one I think one problem with
13	the approach you've just articulated is it really
14	divorces Section 101 and 113(a) because you could get a
15	copyright in the illustration, and then he would say
16	it's not copyrightable in certain applications, and that
17	doesn't make much sense.
18	Thank you.
19	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
20	Mr. Bursch, six minutes.
21	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF JOHN J. BURSCH
22	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
23	MR. BURSCH: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice.
24	I want to start with that final point that
25	the government makes, that if you had a statement that

1 says look at my arms on your shirt that somehow that 2 would be conveying the same message. That -- that's not 3 what this is doing. No one is saying, look at my arms. 4 Like with camouflage, you're not saying, look, you can't 5 see me.

6 The camouflage is actually doing work when 7 it's put in connection with the garment that it was 8 designed for. That's the point.

9 And on that point, Justice Kagan, I just 10 want to make clear about the functionality that we are 11 claiming here with respect to these designs. It's not 12 just that the lines follow the figure of the human body. 13 It's that they actually make the human body appear to 14 look different than it would if they were not wearing 15 those designs. It's just like camouflage.

JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But the colors do. I mean, the colors are just colors. You can -- what he is saying is you can achieve the same thing with probably most colors and stripes and whatever else is in these designs.

21 MR. BURSCH: Well, they are not claiming 22 copyright in the colors. They -- they can't --23 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No, they are not. 24 MR. BURSCH: -- the arrangement. 25 And I would say even as to color, this Court

56

1	in the Qualitex case, that was a trademark case, you
2	said that black, the color black has the ability to make
3	a motor look smaller than it actually is. It has a
4	function that makes it ineligible for trademark
5	protection, and, really, that's the same thing that we
6	are talking about here. That you can use graphic design
7	like camouflage
8	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Before we are going much
9	further, you're now saying that any you're saying
10	there is no copyright on those things.
11	MR. BURSCH: Oh, no. We are saying
12	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: On those pictorial
13	representations
14	MR. BURSCH: Yes.
15	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: because they can
16	always be applied in a way that has a function.
17	MR. BURSCH: That that's not correct,
18	because if you took the sports logo that the government
19	mentioned, that's a perfect example of something that
20	doesn't require the garment for its functionality,
21	because, just like we were discussing earlier, you could
22	take the logo, and you could put it on your hat or your
23	socks or your lunch pail or on your your mitten that
24	you hold up when the folks hit the home run, right,
25	because it doesn't rely at all for the article on which

Alderson Reporting Company

1 it's appeared for its functionality. 2 But here, these designs rely entirely --3 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Certain kinds make you look fatter --4 5 MR. BURSCH: Right. Exactly. JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You believe that --6 7 MR. BURSCH: And if --JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So you're saying none --8 9 even if you find a color rainbow of some weird elk that 10 could never be copyrighted, because --11 MR. BURSCH: They -- they could have the 12 copyright in the two-dimensional design, but if there was an application where it would create functionality 13 when paired with a garment, then, yes, their copyright 14 would not extend to prevent --15 16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: How about the gorilla, a gorilla's hand around your -- one of the pictures in --17 MR. BURSCH: Right. That -- that's a much 18 19 closer case than this one. 20 For starters, if we're walking through the 21 analysis, first you look at the identified separately, 22 and, here, when you look at the arrangements of the 23 stripes and the color blocks and the chevrons, you -you see the cheerleader uniforms. 24 25 And this is Justice Breyer's point about

Alderson Reporting Company

page 17 of the Lemley brief, right, that the aesthetic 1 2 elements exist only as part of a cheerleader uniform. 3 There's nothing to extract. That's why this case is 4 different than the gorilla case. 5 So then what you have to ask is if the --6 the -- the T-shirt in that case was less functional 7 without the design, and I think that's a -- a close 8 call. 9 But -- but this case is like the camouflage 10 because it's -- it's doing the work of changing the way the body is perceived just the way camouflage changes 11 12 the way the body is perceived, and just like military 13 uniforms, having an identifying function which is 14 extremely important. 15 So I -- I bring this all back to what the 16 Chief Justice said, isn't it the test, if it's the 17 design that makes the article what it is, then you can't copyright it. And I would say that that's almost 18 19 exactly right. 20 They can copyright the design and prevent reproduction of the two-dimensional design on the 21 22 notebook or the lunchbox, but if it's the design that 23 makes the article what it is, you can't manufacture --24 JUSTICE GINSBURG: How do we decide that 25 it's -- how do we decide that it's the design that makes

1	it what it is, as opposed to the cut of the garment, the
2	shape, the pleats or whatever, the tightness of the top?
3	What why should we say that that this
4	two-dimensional design, which could be put on many
5	things, is what makes this article utilitarian?
6	But what do you do about the shape?
7	MR. BURSCH: Well, here it's more than just
8	the shape. If we go back and look at page
9	JUSTICE GINSBURG: But we everybody
10	agrees that the shape, the cut of the dress, that the
11	garment itself is not copyrightable, right?
12	MR. BURSCH: Correct. Everyone agrees.
13	JUSTICE GINSBURG: So we are talking about
14	the the design.
15	MR. BURSCH: Yes.
16	JUSTICE GINSBURG: So why why is this
17	close to a fabric design?
18	MR. BURSCH: Because, again, the fabric
19	designs work anywhere, anyplace, no matter how you move
20	them. If we are looking at page 5 and you've got the
21	waist narrowing Vs, which are not the shape of the dress
22	but they they make the illusion that the shape of the
23	dress is cutting in to make you slimmer, those only work
24	in that particular place on this article.
25	If you put those on the lunchbox, they don't

1 make the person look narrower. They don't even make the 2 lunchbox look narrower.

3 The designs, the shape of the designs work just like the shape of the garment itself, and -- and 4 that's why this is different than that flowered print 5 6 that we were talking about in the -- the Folio case. 7 You know, in -- in conclusion, I want to go back to what Justice Breyer and what Justice Sotomayor 8 9 said: That if you recognize their -- their 10 two-dimensional copyright extends to prohibit the manufacture of actual three-dimensional cheerleader 11 uniforms, then -- then you're giving them 100-year of 12 13 copyright monopoly, and that school can't go anywhere 14 else. And that's really ironic because you have to keep in mind that --15 16 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, can't the school just go to somebody who puts the zigzag where the chevron 17 18 was, or the chevron where the zigzag was, or makes it a 19 couple of different colors or adds another stripe? 20 I mean, there's this -- my clerk found --Just add that --21 I'm sorry. 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, you can --23 JUSTICE KAGAN: I'm done with my question. 24 MR. BURSCH: Yeah, as my -- as my friend on 25 the other side said, it -- it would still be a copyright

61

problem because they would claim that it was too close
 to the original.
 And you can see from the last two pictures

that we have in our reply brief, that there are only 4 5 certain places that these stripes and chevrons and color blocks can go, otherwise it doesn't look like a 6 cheerleader uniform anymore. It doesn't identify the 7 8 person. Certainly doesn't have the slimming effect, the 9 making taller effect, and all the other things that 10 camouflage do. 11 So we respectfully request that you not 12 grant a 100-year copyright monopoly in design. 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel. 14 The case is submitted. 15 (Whereupon, at 12:09 p.m., the case in the 16 above-entitled matter was submitted.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

			I	I
Α	51:6,13,13,14	Appendix 29:9	17:10,11 20:6	Assistant 1:20
à 50:10	agreed 38:6 39:6	33:17 36:4	21:5,11,16,22	associated 52:9
a.m 1:14 3:2	agreeing 12:22	application	21:24 22:16,17	assume 12:18
ability 37:19,24	agrees 17:17,24	58:13	22:18 23:16	38:11
57:2	39:5 60:10,12	applications	26:11,12,24	assumes 38:15
able 10:6 17:15	akin 54:12	55:16	28:16,19,22	assuming 20:20
22:20 35:4,5	AL 1:6	applied 4:14 6:4	30:1,11,11,21	37:15
above-entitled	albeit 53:24	19:5 26:23,24	30:23 31:9	Athletica 1:3 3:4
1:12 62:16	alike 44:2	26:24 27:7	32:3,16 37:16	attach 30:20
absent 12:16	Alito 38:8,16,19	29:25 31:7,21	42:1 45:18	attractiveness
absolutely 34:22	38:25 40:20	40:22,24 46:9	47:4 49:10,20	7:19
35:23 37:18	alive 8:11	46:11 54:24	52:10,10 53:11	attributes 32:15
38:23	allowing 18:14	57:16	57:25 59:17,23	available 33:14
abstract 38:11	37:14	applies 40:9	60:5,24	avoid 45:5
abstracted 24:5	allows 16:7 21:4	apply 15:17 47:1	article's 3:22,24	avoiding 30:19
abstractly 39:17	amici 6:7	50:11,17 55:3	articles 29:15	aware 52:22
accept 20:20	amicus 1:22	applying 22:14	31:21 44:9,10	
achieve 56:18	2:10 41:17	approach 52:11	54:19	<u> </u>
act 31:19 49:4,5	45:13	52:17,18 55:13	articulated	back 11:4,4 13:9
actual 9:25 13:2	amply 32:9	area 5:10 44:3	55:13	19:18 21:7
17:20 18:7	analysis 42:24	argument 1:13	artist's 37:23	59:15 60:8
47:24 61:11	43:17 58:21	2:2,5,8,12 3:3	artistic 27:14	61:8
add 3:24 7:25	analyzed 3:18	3:6 9:9 24:20	arts 41:15	backpacks 28:6
14:14 44:20	anesthetic 41:8	25:3 26:18	artwork 4:2	bad 35:7
61:21	answer 37:5	40:21,22,23	36:12 45:18	balance 26:15
added 45:1	41:10 46:24	42:7,9,18 43:7	48:25 50:25	barring 17:15
adding 14:17	anymore 6:22	43:8 45:8,12	52:4 54:15,23	basic 25:3
45:2,4	62:7	51:3 55:21	54:23	beautiful 48:10
addition 16:10	anyplace 60:19	arguments	ASG's 35:17	began 38:19
address 51:3	apologize 11:21	24:25	aside 30:7	behalf 1:16,18
52:7	12:8	arms 51:10	asked 9:22	2:4,7,14 3:7
adds 4:25 61:19	apparel 9:16	53:17 56:1,3	aspect 17:8	26:19 55:22
Adelman 10:24	39:19	arrangement	31:10 32:3	belief 49:17
adopted 22:12	appear 8:4 20:6	5:20 44:24,25	37:21 41:5	believe 34:11
33:3 54:15	23:6 28:20	51:20 56:24	aspects 3:22	58:6
adopting 31:19	36:25 37:1	arrangements	4:25 8:1 13:7	believed 52:14
advances 14:20	56:13	58:22	14:15 17:6,11	belong 41:3
25:10	appearance	art 24:14 26:23	21:16,20 22:16	belonging 33:5
aesthetic 40:16	16:21,23 21:24	26:23,24,24	22:18 24:12	best 4:18 31:14
48:13 49:6	22:7 41:1 47:9	27:7 35:13	25:25 32:15	41:10 43:7
59:1	54:2	36:6,8 38:10	40:2,14 47:4	better 8:3 42:2,3
agree 7:21 12:15	APPEARAN	40:15 41:24,25	48:13	42:8
12:15,18,20,22	1:15	article 5:16 8:1	assert 50:4	big-time 34:21
20:11 28:3,4	appeared 58:1	8:8,15 10:16	asserted 50:7	bigger 41:22
43:17 45:3	appears 28:17	14:15 15:20	asserting 43:19	42:5 52:21
48:16,24 50:22	35:13 36:12,24	16:15,17,19,21	46:12	billion 34:11
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	44:9	16:25 17:2,4,6	assess 52:20	bit 52:25
	l		1	I

black 20:15 33:4	Buccafusco 7:2	camouflaged	checked 52:2	29:19 30:18
34:1,2 57:2,2	41:18	23:22	cheerleader	31:6,23 32:10
block 18:20,21	Bursch 1:16 2:3	capable 24:11	3:11 5:20 9:7	40:25 42:7,13
blocks 5:21 6:9	2:13 3:5,6,8	27:10	9:24,25 11:4	42:18 43:23
18:21 28:19	4:15 6:17 7:16	Capitals 49:23	13:7,24 14:10	45:9,10,11,15
29:11 30:5	7:21 8:17,22	captures 8:14,15	14:11,19 15:8	45:24 46:9,20
58:23 62:6	9:13,20 10:7	careful 14:13	15:9,25 16:1	46:23 54:21
blue 16:13 17:23	10:10,22 11:3	carrying 8:6	17:2 18:15,24	55:10,19,23
18:7,9 19:14	11:18,25 12:3	case 3:4,25 4:11	19:10,16 21:7	59:16 61:22
19:14 44:18,19	12:9,13,20,25	5:12 17:12	25:1 28:25	62:13
body 32:17	13:11,14,18,21	27:17 28:4,12	29:3,12,12	choose 4:8
35:21 39:15,20	14:1,12 15:4	28:17 31:8	34:1,2 40:7,9	church 41:20
42:14 53:21	15:21 16:2	33:1 34:13	42:10,20,21	42:5
56:12,13 59:11	18:5 19:6,18	36:24 39:9	43:4,21,25	cite 7:24
59:12	19:22,25 20:3	45:17 47:2	47:6,7 48:10	civilians 15:15
boiling 47:3	20:11,14,23	50:14,19,22	49:14 50:20	claim 4:21,21
bottom 17:22	21:1,18 22:11	51:2 54:11,22	58:24 59:2	40:4 62:1
18:11 19:12	23:7,11,14	57:1,1 58:19	61:11 62:7	claiming 35:9
bottoms 6:15	24:7,17,19,23	59:3,4,6,9 61:6	cheerleader's	44:16 56:11,21
box 5:25 52:3	25:2,5,7,16,18	62:14,15	4:5,13 15:25	clarify 35:8
brand-new	25:20,24 26:10	cases 7:18	cheerleader-u	clear 3:16 16:3
27:24	39:13 49:10	casino 50:19,24	23:24	47:22 56:10
Brands 1:6 3:4	55:20,21,23	catalogue 11:16	cheerleaders	clearly 11:9
breadth 8:24	56:21,24 57:11	11:24 29:9	15:10,12	Cleland's 15:10
Breyer 24:3,8,18	57:14,17 58:5	catalogued	cheerleading	clerk 61:20
24:21,24 25:3	58:7,11,18	35:11	6:14 29:2,21	close 59:7 60:17
25:6,13,17,19	60:7,12,15,18	cause 19:16	29:24 30:5,6	62:1
25:23 26:9	61:24	causing 16:4	30:25 31:1,5,5	closely 6:21
34:3,22,25	Bursch's 39:13	center 5:22	32:11,22 33:5	closer 58:19
35:15,23,24	49:25	century-long	33:9,15 42:15	clothes 34:7,8,12
36:7,15,18,21	buy 32:20 33:6	3:11	44:4 48:14	34:16 35:1
37:3,22 39:4		cert 43:15	50:15 51:1	47:12,13,14
47:10,18,21	<u> </u>	certain 22:5	55:4	48:9,10,10
48:1,3,16,20	C 2:1 3:1	23:6 33:5	chest 8:24	clothing 5:16
51:4,8,21 61:8	Caledonia 1:16	43:20,21 55:16	chevron 61:17	37:24 38:1
Breyer's 58:25	call 59:8	58:3 62:5	61:18	48:7 49:15
brief 5:13 6:7	camouflage 4:18	certainly 27:19	chevrons 4:3	codify 32:5
7:2 9:12 16:13	10:14 22:21	32:16 33:8	5:21 28:18	cognizable
17:23 18:7,9	23:2,3,9,17	41:23,24 62:8	29:10 30:4	29:13
20:15 23:19	24:2 27:17,18	change 3:24 7:4	38:2 39:17	collar 18:17
35:11,17 41:17	27:19,25 28:5	7:25 14:15	51:12 58:23	colleagues' 43:7
48:4 50:9,16	28:12,16 40:22	changed 45:6	62:5	color 5:21 6:9
51:9,16 59:1	40:24 41:1,6	changes 6:22	Chief 3:3,8	18:20,21,21
62:4	45:25 46:1,4,6	14:22 59:11	10:17 19:3	28:18,22 29:11
brighter 52:21	46:13 56:4,6	changing 59:10	26:16,20 27:16	30:5 53:7,9
bring 11:4 59:15	56:15 57:7	characterized	27:21 28:1,8	56:25 57:2
broad 22:6	59:9,11 62:10	48:24	28:21 29:1,6	58:9,23 62:5
	l	l		

				1
coloration 51:19	connection 56:7	26:22 27:5,13	corresponds	dark 7:4
colors 33:4,12	consider 4:16	28:11 29:25	36:3	darker 52:21
45:6 56:16,17	17:11	30:8,15,19	costumes 25:1	decades 31:18
56:17,19,22	considered	31:17 32:6,23	counsel 26:16	46:2
61:19	16:12 22:6	33:3,25 34:10	45:9 55:19	decent 42:18
combination	46:17	35:3,6,22	62:13	decide 37:13
33:24,25	consistent 32:2	37:14 38:20	country 48:22	43:9 59:24,25
come 44:20	52:22	40:9 41:23,25	couple 43:4 49:2	decided 17:1
comes 26:25	contemplated	42:6,22 43:1,2	61:19	decision 17:9
common 47:23	31:14	44:17,22,23	course 30:3	32:6
communicative	contest 10:11,12	45:21 46:2,2,5	31:18 36:7	decisions 27:7
49:6	11:6	46:12,19 47:24	Court 1:1,13 3:9	decorates 41:25
companies 37:8	context 47:1	48:8 49:7,18	26:21 32:7	defenses 47:1
comparing	contexts 54:19	50:4,7 51:22	43:15 45:16	50:9,17
22:15	contract 5:15	52:4 54:4,6,7	56:25	define 17:19
competitors	contracts 32:20	54:13,16 55:2	Court's 32:6	18:16
33:16	contrary 52:11	55:6,15 56:22	courts 4:24	defines 18:12
completely 7:21	convenient	57:10 58:12,14	26:23 27:12	defining 17:23
8:14,15 25:21	30:12	59:18,20 61:10	42:25	definitely 53:12
52:12	convey 16:21	61:13,25 62:12	cover 18:18,24	definition 7:15
computer 29:22	42:14 49:15	copyright-elig	21:2 29:16,22	7:16 16:15,16
concealment	conveyance	41:4,6,21	32:17 42:14	16:18 17:4,4
46:15,16	16:10	copyrightable	covering 18:13	27:1 40:13
conceals 41:9	conveyed 53:19	9:17 18:17	53:21	41:14 49:20
conceded 45:20	conveying 15:19	28:13 31:22	covers 28:6	degree 52:20
concedes 29:20	15:22,23 16:4	34:14 38:3	35:21	degrees 5:17 9:4
55:1	16:8,23 21:25	39:1,7 40:18	create 58:13	9:6
conceding 6:5	22:7 23:5	47:25 49:2	creates 7:10	deign 3:20
conceived 25:12	40:11 47:5	51:1,14,17	23:24	Department
conceptual	49:13,20 50:3	52:16 53:4,8	creating 23:18	1:21
34:19 37:4,10	56:2	55:16 60:11	creative 46:5	depicted 10:16
conceptualize	conveys 40:15	copyrighted	creativity 12:17	deposits 18:8,10
26:3	54:24	10:20 17:25	cube 36:9	description 25:8
conclusion 3:13	copied 8:16	27:15,19 28:5	curiae 1:22 2:10	desert 4:18
61:7	copies 30:10	29:20,23 31:10	45:13	design 3:12,23
confused 19:19	copy 11:8	33:14 34:7	curved 27:22	4:10,13,18,21
confusing 22:1	copyright 3:11	38:12,17 45:4	curvier 24:1	4:22,24 5:1,3
Congress 3:10	4:20,22,24 5:1	54:3 58:10	curvy 19:17	5:10,11,15,15
3:16 22:12,23	6:10 7:13	copyrighting	cut 4:7 35:9,16	6:4,22,22 8:4
26:22 30:14	10:11,12,14,15	54:4	38:5 47:24	9:22 10:11,12
31:12,14,18	10:19 11:10	correct 10:22	49:1 51:11,18	10:14,19,23
32:8 37:16	12:4,6,16,21	11:1 12:13	51:25 60:1,10	13:4,13,16,23
52:14	12:23,25 13:12	13:14 19:22	cutting 60:23	13:25 14:7,8,8
congressional	13:15,23 14:3	33:7 36:17		14:10,16,18
52:11	18:7 19:24,25	39:2 43:17	D	19:5,10 20:4
conjunction	20:2,3,20,25	53:5 57:17	D 3:1	20:16,17 22:22
23:16	21:12 24:15	60:12	D.C 1:9,18,21	23:15 24:9
		l	l	

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
39:10,14,16 directive 26:25 Duchamp 24:13 51:13 60:9 extend 10:15 42:9 44:8,13 disabuse 34:20 37:13 37:13 28:11 30:13 44:15,18,24 disapree 48:13 E exactly 13:19 58:15 51:19 52:19 discuss 50:9,16 figure 17:25 erlier 57:21 effect 8:5 54:18 28:14 31:11 50:23 57:6 58:12 discussing 57:21 dispositive 3:20 effect 8:5 54:18 28:14 31:11 50:23 59:22,25 60:4 dispositive 3:20 dispute 17:25 effective 27:14 34:4 58:5 59:19 60:14,17 62:12 disqualification 12:17 effects 5:23 eight 36:1,1,2 eight 36:1,1,2 8:8,9 26:6 56:8 distinction 12:17 eight 36:1,1,2 eight 36:1,1,2 5:11 23:15 93:17 41:15 26:4,5 28:23
42:9 44:8,13 44:15,18,24 48:8 50:4 51:19 52:19 53:2,10 54:17 57:6 58:12 69:7,17,20,21 8:8,9 26:6 56:8 designed 4:19 8:8,9 26:6 56:8 designer 4:17 37:6 53:1,8 directives 27:4 disabuse 34:20 disagree 48:13 disagreement 55:8 discuss 50:9,16 discussing 57:21 dispositive 3:20 disputing 57:21 dispositive 3:20 disputing 57:21 disputing 57:21
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
51:19 52:19 disagreement E 2:1 3:1,1 19:11 22:22 extent 6:20,23 53:2,10 54:17 55:8 earlier 57:21 24:2,17 26:7 28:13 43:8 57:6 58:12 discuss 50:9,16 effect 8:5 54:18 28:14 31:11 50:23 59:22,25 60:4 dispositive 3:20 effective 27:14 34:4 58:5 extremely 59:14 60:14,17 62:12 dispute 17:25 effectively 50:11 51:10 6:24 7:1 effect 5:10,11 8:8,9 26:6 56:8 disqualification effects 5:23 eight 36:1,1,2 examples 29:5,9 5:11 23:15 37:6 53:1,8 distinction element 4:12 33:17 41:15 26:4,5 28:23
53:2,10 54:17 55:8 earlier 57:21 24:2,17 26:7 28:13 43:8 57:6 58:12 discuss 50:9,16 effect 8:5 54:18 28:14 31:11 50:23 59:7,17,20,21 dispositive 3:20 effective 27:14 34:4 58:5 59:19 60:14,17 62:12 dispute 17:25 effective 27:14 51:0 6:24 7:1 51:0 6:24 7:1 designed 4:19 47:2 effects 5:23 eight 36:1,1,2 23:2 57:19 51:1 23:15 37:6 53:1,8 distinction eight 36:1,1,2 eight 36:1,1,2 62:4,5 28:23 51:1 23:15
57:6 58:12 discuss 50:9,16 effect 8:5 54:18 28:14 31:11 50:23 59:7,17,20,21 dispositive 3:20 effect 8:5 54:18 28:14 31:11 50:23 59:22,25 60:4 dispositive 3:20 effective 27:14 34:4 58:5 59:19 60:14,17 62:12 dispute 17:25 effective 27:14 59:19 example 4:16 68:gned 4:19 47:2 effects 5:23 effects 5:23 51:0 6:24 7:1 51:1 23:15 8:8,9 26:6 56:8 disqualification 12:17 eight 36:1,1,2 examples 29:5,9 5:11 23:15 37:6 53:1,8 distinction element 4:12 33:17 41:15 26:4,5 28:23
59:7,17,20,21 discussing 57:21 62:8,9 34:4 58:5 extract 59:3 59:22,25 60:4 dispositive 3:20 effective 27:14 34:4 58:5 extract 59:3 60:14,17 62:12 dispute 17:25 40:11,17 42:3 effectively 50:11 59:19 extremely 59:14 8:8,9 26:6 56:8 disqualification 12:17 eight 36:1,1,2 eight 36:1,1,2 examples 29:5,9 5:11 23:15 37:6 53:1,8 distinction element 4:12 33:17 41:15 26:4,5 28:23
59:22,25 60:4 dispositive 3:20 effective 27:14 59:19 extremely 59:14 60:14,17 62:12 dispute 17:25 47:2 effectively 50:11 59:19 example 4:16 8:8,9 26:6 56:8 disqualification 12:17 effects 5:23 23:2 57:19 fabric 5:10,11 9:11 0:0:0 12:17 eight 36:1,1,2 eight 36:1,1,2 examples 29:5,9 5:11 23:15 26:4,5 28:23 26:4,5 28:23 26:4,5 28:23 26:4,5 28:23 26:4,5 28:23
60:122,20:000.1 dispositive 5:20 60:14,17 62:12 dispute 17:25 designed 4:19 47:2 8:8,9 26:6 56:8 disqualification designer 4:17 12:17 37:6 53:1,8 distinction
designed 4:19 47:2 effectively 50:11 5:10 6:24 7:1 F 8:8,9 26:6 56:8 disqualification 12:17 eight 36:1,1,2 23:2 57:19 fabric 5:10,11 37:6 53:1,8 distinction element 4:12 33:17 41:15 26:4,5 28:23
acting for this
designer 4:1712:17eight 36:1,1,2examples 29:5,95:11 23:1537:6 53:1,8distinctionelement 4:1233:17 41:1526:4,5 28:23
active active<
designs 3:17 4:8 39:22,23 49:5 elements 33:24 49:25 38:17,21 39:1
dcsigns 5.17 4.8 55.22,25 45.5 distinctive 42:10 44:24 45:7 exception 49:19 39:6,10 40:3
4.17 5.0,20 distinctive 42.10 6:13 7:20,20 divorces 55:14 46:5 50:24 exclude 21:23 52:15 54:24
8:7 9:1,6 11:7 doctrine 42:19 59:2 22:9 60:17,18
12:5 14:19,20 42:23,25 50:10 eligibility 20:4 excluded 22:19 face 8:3
17:14,19 18:4 50:10 eligible 12:16 23:13 fact 8:15 22:24
18:4 23:24 doing 12:19 20:2 46:4 exclusively 49:9 29:5 42:3 43:8
10.4 25.24 doing 12.17 etc. etc. etc. etc. etc. facts 16:9 43:3 28:18 30:4 20:25 26:5 elk 58:9 exist 3:23 13:5 facts 16:9 43:3
28.16 50.4 20.25 20.5 31:4,21 35:5 31:17,19 32:8 embody 30:10 14:13 59:2 fail 24:24
39:1 52:15 49:4 53:10 30:17 existence 33:12 faire 50:10
55:11 52:15 47:4 55:10 employees 50:25 44:10 faithfully 27:5
50:11,10,20 50:0,000,100 empty state empty state 58:2 60:19 dollars 34:11 enacted 30:15 existing 24:11 fall 16:6
61:3,3 domain 49:9 encompass 27:10 famous 38:11
determine 16:18 54:4,6 21:20 exists-indepen fan 45:23 49:23
diagonally dome 41:20,22 encompasses 7:23 16:12 fashion 34:9
18:19 double 34:15 40:15 expand 5:14 47:15
dictated 46:6 draw 10:20 ended 24:10 8:24 fatter 58:4
difference 8:19 drawing 19:13 enhances 21:10 expert 43:11,12 favor 37:14
9:18 39:16,24 49:5 enjoyed 34:10 expert 43:11,12 fear 37:5
39:25 40:20 dress 10:6 13:23 entire 8:24 explain 20:17 feature 7:25
$\begin{array}{c} 39.23 \ 40.20 \\ 41:12 \\ 13:25 \ 14:10,11 \\ 11:12 \\ 11:12 \\ 47:9 \ 53:14 \\ 14:14 \\ \end{array}$
differences 9:20 16:1 19:16 entirely 58:2 explaining features 3:21,23

	•	•	•	•
17:5 22:15	61:20	50:22	53:10 57:6	hundred 22:24
Feigin 1:20 2:9	frame 8:23	garment 8:12	graphics 3:15	34:8
45:11,12,15	fresco 41:19	17:1,10 28:10	great 7:1 38:10	hunter's 28:10
46:1,11,21,25	friend 28:15	47:25 49:1	ground 47:23	hypothetical
47:20,22 48:2	29:19 38:6	52:6 53:1,8	group 41:3	10:13 27:9
48:12,19,23	39:6 45:20	55:3,4 56:7	49:16	44:7
50:2,21 51:7	54:25 61:24	57:20 58:14	guess 10:17	
51:15 52:2	friends 32:15	60:1,11 61:4	21:13 31:3	<u> </u>
53:5,12 54:9	Fromer 7:2	garments 6:19	51:14	idea 27:19 34:1
55:7,11	41:18	6:21 35:10		41:24 42:22
figure 36:1,1,2,4	function 15:14	general 1:21	<u> </u>	43:3 50:12
39:15 51:9,15	15:25 16:2,20	31:8	hand 52:25	52:7 54:10,11
51:17,17,23	19:9 22:17	generally 5:9	58:17	ideas 46:7
54:18 56:12	23:4,9,12,15	46:4 52:2	hang 37:19	identification
figures 16:9	26:7 29:13	Ginsburg 3:25	hanger 47:14	15:13,23 16:7
final 55:24	31:13 42:11	4:16 5:9 10:13	happening	50:8
find 36:4 58:9	43:22 46:15	15:2,19,24	50:13,15,16	identified 3:21
findings 43:8	49:18 52:9	18:2 50:18	happens 51:21	13:5 24:19
fine 26:23 41:15	54:25 55:6	59:24 60:9,13	happier 8:4	27:11 40:1
41:25	57:4,16 59:13	60:16	happy 8:3	44:8 58:21
finish 55:11	functional 14:17	give 18:8	hard 53:18	identified-sep
first 3:14 4:16	18:13 19:1	given 29:4	hat 5:25 8:6 11:8	11:14
6:18 14:22	30:1 59:6	gives 7:18	21:3 57:22	identifies 15:8
16:3,19 17:9	functionality	giving 61:12	hear 3:3	45:22
21:22 22:22	17:18 20:18	go 13:8 19:14,18	held 21:23	identify 15:11
23:19 49:13	21:10 54:5	37:6 38:10	help 41:16	15:16 16:1
58:21	56:10 57:20	40:3 60:8 61:7	hesitate 45:3	24:9 49:22
fit 39:19	58:1,13	61:13,17 62:6	hide 23:9	50:6,25 62:7
five 4:9 15:3,4	functionally	goes 18:19 51:24	history 4:19	identifying
18:4 30:4	5:17	going 30:21	31:15 47:19	17:13 19:9
flowered 61:5	functions 6:1	31:21 35:5	hit 57:24	22:19 29:12,13
flowers 5:11,14	16:24 17:8,13	39:9 57:8	hold 49:16 57:24	47:5,7 59:13
focus 7:22 19:12	21:21 22:20	good 5:10 18:6	holder 5:1	ignore 25:16,18
21:7 53:17	24:2 46:18	22:1,2 23:2	holistic 22:2	25:20
folds 38:5	49:7,9,10,12	37:6 41:8 45:7	home 57:24	illusion 7:10
Folio 5:12 61:6	53:20 55:9	gorilla 58:16	Honor 16:10	14:23 15:23
folks 57:24	fundamental	59:4	43:14 44:14	19:8 23:18,25
follow 56:12	55:8	gorilla's 58:17	46:1 47:20,22	60:22
follows 39:15	fundamentally	government	48:12,19,23	illusions 15:22
forever 32:24	45:19 49:11	9:10,21 17:15	50:2,21 51:16	16:3
33:21	53:25	55:25 57:18	53:12 55:7	illustrate 41:16
Forget 24:3	further 26:14	government's	hope 51:7	illustration
form 7:17,18	57:9	9:11 20:10	House 31:16,20	41:19 55:15
43:22	G	grant 3:10 62:12	31:23 32:4	image 13:1,2
forms 24:5	$\frac{G}{G3:136:4}$	graphic 4:12	52:13 human 20:15 20	40:12
forth 52:1		16:14 27:2	human 39:15,20	imagery 52:5
found 9:17	Galiano 50:18	28:18 30:9,16	56:12,13	imagination
	•	1	•	1

25:19	22:23 49:15	Judge 15:9,9	56:16,23 57:8	34:23 35:7
imagine 25:11	intending 32:5	Justice 1:21 3:3	57:12,15 58:3	38:14,22,22
imagining 25:9	intent 52:12	3:8,25 4:15 5:8	58:6,8,16,25	40:11,16 41:2
immediately	interchangeable	6:2 7:13,17	59:16,24 60:9	41:2,9,15 42:1
23:20	4:9	8:10,19 9:9,14	60:13,16 61:8	42:4 43:1,20
impact 40:16	intrinsic 16:20	10:3,8,13,17	61:8,16,22,23	43:25 44:1
implement 27:3	17:7 22:16	10:25 11:15,20	62:13	51:25 53:1
implemented	introduce 43:11	12:1,7,9,10,14	justified 32:9	61:7
27:5	43:12,13	12:24 13:8,12	·	
important 5:4	involved 18:8	13:15,19,22	<u> </u>	L
7:22 59:14	43:9	14:5 15:2,7,19	Kagan 9:9,14	L.L.C 1:3
Impressions	iPhone 28:6	15:24 17:21	10:3,8,25 13:8	lack 12:17
5:12	29:16	18:2 19:3,18	13:12,15,19,22	law 14:3 31:24
inadministrable	ironic 61:14	19:23 20:1,9	14:5 15:8	35:4,6 43:20
52:12	issue 14:4	20:13,19,24	17:21 21:13,19	lawyer 37:6
include 30:9	it'll 18:23	21:13,19 22:11	22:11 23:1,8	lay 17:14
including 30:11	items 6:3	23:1,8,12 24:3	23:12 28:14	laying 40:4
independent		24:8,18,21,24	37:2 38:7,24	left 35:16,17,18
25:21	J	25:3,6,13,17	39:5,8,12	legal 43:16,18
independently	J 1:16,20 2:3,9	25:19,23 26:9	44:12,15,25	legislation 22:25
3:23 13:5	2:13 3:6 45:12	26:16,20 27:16	46:16 47:17	legislative 31:15
14:14 24:11	55:21	27:21 28:1,8	49:24 52:24	Lemley 59:1
27:10	Jack 10:24	28:14,21 29:2	53:6 56:9	Lemley's 48:1,3
indistinguisha	jacket 37:1	29:6,19 30:18	61:16,23	48:17
45:19 49:21	jackets 6:24	31:6,23 32:10	Kate 7:3	lesson 10:1,24
industry 34:9,10	29:17,17	32:19,25 33:2	keep 61:14	let's 8:2 11:3
35:6	Jay 1:18 2:6	33:10,19,22,23	KENNEDY	15:5 19:2,12
ineligible 57:4	26:17,18,20	34:3,22,23,25	8:10,19 41:11	licensed 8:12
influenced	27:18,24 28:2	35:2,15,23,24	43:6 54:6	limited 50:6
43:22	28:10 29:1,7	36:7,15,18,21	key 27:4,7 41:14	line 9:3 10:16
information	30:2 31:3,11	37:2,3,22 38:7	kill 35:6	17:19 53:15,15
15:20,22 16:4	31:25 32:13,25	38:8,16,19,24	killing 35:2,3	lines 6:24 7:4,6
16:9,11,22,24	33:8,13,22	38:25 39:4,5,8	kind 5:9 14:4	7:12 8:21 9:1
21:25 22:8	34:22 35:8,23	39:12 40:20,25	30:11 31:11,13	9:14 10:5,9
23:5 47:6	36:2,11,17,20	41:11 42:7,13	39:10,14 49:17	14:25 15:1
49:13,21 50:3	36:23 37:2,18	42:18 43:6,23	52:8	51:19 56:12
infringement	38:4,7,14,18	44:12,15,25	kinds 22:5,10	list 15:5
45:6 46:22	38:20 39:3,6	45:9,10,11,15	49:6,8,10 53:3	little 19:19
47:1	39:11,25 40:23	45:24 46:9,16	53:22 58:3	20:15 52:25
infringing 45:8	41:13 42:12,17	46:20,23 47:10	Klee 8:11	locking 43:2
inquiry 22:8	43:13 44:6,13	47:17,18,21	knock-offs 35:3	logo 29:4 45:21
27:10	44:14,23 45:2	48:1,3,16,20	knockoff 35:6	49:22 57:18,22
inside 18:24	45:10	49:24 50:18	know 22:24 24:1	long 20:4 24:15
instance 16:19	JOHN 1:16 2:3	51:4,8,21	26:2 28:6	longer 5:23 9:7
49:23	2:13 3:6 55:21	52:24 53:6	29:15 30:12	look 4:24 5:24
intend 3:10	joint 29:8,18	54:6,21 55:10	32:4,20,23	6:21 7:5 8:3
intended 20:6	33:17 36:4	55:19,23 56:9	33:15,16,17	9:5,7 15:5 17:3
	I	I	I	Ι

19:2 20:12,14	man 47:11	53:18,24 56:2	58:10	3:6 26:18
23:20 24:5	manner 46:13	Mich 1:16	nicely 53:17	45:12
25:14 26:10,11	manufacture	military 4:17,18	non-expressive	orange 33:4
28:23 29:8	17:16 20:22	5:2,2 15:14,15	52:9 53:15,20	34:1
30:7 32:2	59:23 61:11	15:16 17:13,14	nonverbal 53:24	order 9:16 28:3
35:10,16 36:3	manufacturer	22:21 28:9	normal 42:11	original 6:11
36:8,9 38:10	20:5 46:14	45:25 46:10,11	47:11	27:24 28:5
40:7 41:8,17	manufacturing	49:25 59:12	notebook 8:6	38:14 62:2
41:22 42:2,3,5	13:17,24 14:2	mind 24:5 61:15	11:7 12:6 21:2	outfit 55:4
42:8,9 44:2,4	14:6,7 19:21	mine 34:25	59:22	outset 35:9
51:22,25 52:8	46:14	minimum 16:6	notion 34:20	outside 18:23
52:13,18,21	Marcel 24:13	minutes 55:20	number 14:20	overall 47:9
54:12 56:1,3,4	37:13	mitten 57:23	19:13 20:16	49:5,5
56:14 57:3	matter 1:12 11:9	mixed 15:15	27:9 40:1	owner 30:8,15
58:4,21,22	21:11 43:20	mobility 32:18	41:19 50:6	38:20 43:1
60:8 61:1,2	60:19 62:16	Modern 38:10		
62:6	Mazer 32:6	moment 18:9	0	P
looked 51:8	McCartney 7:3	21:8 37:23	O 2:1 3:1	P 3:1
looking 6:8 7:6	19:15	Monday 1:10	object 37:25	p.m 62:15
43:4 44:17	McKeague's	Mondrian 4:3	48:9	page 2:2 5:12
60:20	15:9	8:11,14,20	obvious 6:7,10	7:1 9:11 12:4
looks 24:6,12	mean 5:24 10:18	monopolization	6:18	16:13 17:22
35:19,20 36:8	22:1 36:9	50:12	obviousness	18:10 19:12
37:7 38:2	40:24 41:13	monopoly 3:11	12:17	20:14 23:19
39:18 54:16	43:9 48:17	34:21 61:13	October 1:10	29:8 35:11,17
lose 26:1	56:17 61:20	62:12	office 4:20 26:22	43:15 44:17
lost 26:7	meaning 31:16	more-than-that	27:5,13 31:17	48:4 51:8
lot 34:6 43:24	40:14	34:9	32:6 43:1	52:13 59:1
lunch 5:25 21:3	means 8:16	motor 57:3	47:24 51:23	60:8,20
57:23	14:14 21:22	move 9:4 60:19	52:4 54:16	pages 18:6,9
lunchbox 11:8	25:21	Müller-Lyer	Office's 46:3	33:18 50:9
12:6 29:22	meant 39:19	7:12 9:3 15:1	officer 50:1	pail 21:3 57:23
30:21,23,24,24	measuring 17:5	Museum 38:10	oh 28:24 57:11	painted 41:19
30:25 55:2	mechanical 49:8	Widstum 50.10	okay 12:18,24	painting 8:11,13
59:22 60:25	medium 48:15	Ν	once 17:1 33:19	38:19,21
61:2	meet 38:15	N 2:1,1 3:1	ones 4:9 22:17	paintings 38:11
01.2	member 42:15	name 29:3	40:7,7,17 43:9	paired 58:14
M	45:22 49:16	names 33:6,9	opinion 48:22	pants 8:6
M 1:18 2:6	members 15:16	narrower 61:1,2	opposed 31:1	part 4:12,12
26:18	men's 34:8	narrowing	55:5 60:1	16:12 25:2,5,7
making 9:23,24	mentioned	60:21	opposite 21:14	59:2
10:4,15 11:12	57:19	necessary 43:21	22:22	particular 9:15
13:2 17:14	merely 16:20	need 4:1 28:3	optical 7:10	10:8,9 19:21
18:13 23:6	merger 42:19,23	neither 13:4	14:23 15:21,23	29:21 30:3,4
39:22 41:8	42:25 50:10	29:10	16:3 19:8	31:4 33:24
47:12 52:7	message 40:16	nervous 25:8	23:18,24	34:24 39:19,20
62:9	41:3 42:14	never 17:25	oral 1:12 2:2,5,8	40:8 41:2,3
	71.3 72.17			- 7-

	I	1	I	
42:5,14 43:3	36:13 37:7,12	possible 22:12	protect 26:23	47:3 61:23
44:25 46:5	37:25 48:7	potentially	52:5	questions 3:20
49:15,16,17	51:10,11,11,22	38:17	protectable	26:14
51:20 52:19	51:24 55:1	practical 34:17	26:13	quick 17:18 51:5
54:5,17 60:24	pictures 3:15	37:5,9	protected 46:2	quickly 14:21
parties 47:23	6:21 11:16,23	· ·	49:7 54:13	4
patent 35:5	18:6 58:17	-		R
pattern 27:25	62:3	-	-	R 3:1
28:5 30:20	piece 9:15 37:24	1 0		rainbow 58:9
46:6	38:1 39:19	-		read 48:4,4,5,21
patterns 34:24	48:7 49:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	reading 21:15
people 13:16,24	place 6:25 8:9		-	real 43:18
15:14 16:8	9:15 10:9	-	-	really 9:14
17:14 22:25	21:22 26:6	-	1	21:14 34:6
24:15 54:7	60:24	-	-	47:2 50:13
perceived 7:5	placement 53:7		-	
14:23 19:16	places 35:12		· ·	54:20 55:13
59:11,12	62:5			
percent 44:4	plain 31:2	-	<i>,</i>	
perception 47:8	please 3:9 26:21			
perfect 57:19	45:16 53:16	· ·		
perfectly 32:2	pleats 38:5 60:2		- ·	
perform 46:15	plus 32:21		<i>, ,</i>	
performing 55:9	point 6:3,12			
performs 41:7	.	-	,	
53:21	15:10 19:19	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
person 16:1,5				
23:21 42:2	24:22 34:3			0
61:1 62:8				
petition 43:15		• ·		
petition 45.15 petitioner 1:4,17			,	
2:4,14 3:7 27:8	58:25	-	1	0
43:14 52:17				
43.14 <i>32</i> .17 55:22	24:23 49:3			
		-	49.22	U
petitioner's 47:5 54:16	police 49:25		0	
PGS 17:3	50:7,8			0
Picasso 8:10,13		-	1 V	0
· · · · ·	policy 22:12		-	
8:18,20,23 9:5 9:6	portion 24:20 portray 16:21	- 0		· ·
				U U
pictorial 4:12 6:4 7:20 16:14	54:1	-		
	portraying	-	<i>'</i>	
27:1 30:8,16	16:23 21:24			
57:12	22:7 41:1	-	,	
picture 6:9 8:12	positing 46:16			•
20:2,4 23:19	position 6:8 13:1	- 0	·	
24:4 35:21	20:10 28:17	proposed 22:25	י.ו, דד.	
L	-	58:17 practices 46:3 pragmatic 49:8 protection 6:11 34:10 42:6 R 3:1 99:19 31:4,7 34:5 protective 46:18 readlwig 21:15 39:19 31:4,7 34:5 protective 46:18 readlwig 21:15 525 8:9 presume 33:4 provisions 27:3 really 9:14 10:9 presumed 32:7 pull 18:9 21:14 34:6 226:6 presumed 32:7 purchaser 7:19 47:2 50:13 pitent 53:7 32:8 purchaser 7:19 47:2 50:13 pitent 53:7 32:8 purpose 21:8 54:20 55:13 35:12 prety 22:1,2 purpose 21:8 54:20 55:13 39:26:21 13:16,24 20:5 32:14 realm 50:15 38:5 60:2 46:13 50:7,11 put 5:22,25 6:2 13:3 23:1 42:5 53:16 22:20 43:1 put 5:22,23 3:6 28:13,15 50:14 10 15:9 price 34:15 29:15,22 33:6 28:13,15 50:14 10 15:9 primet 92:8 39:18 48:14 recognize 61:9 23:42 problex 27:8 39:18 48:14 recognize 61:9 11:17,78 12:5 real 18:21 19:13 21:2 5:21 23:23<		

remove 26:79:3 10:7,2252:3 56:153:19simply 14:18reordered 45:711:21 17:4scene 15:15separate 25:2123:4,5 29:11replicate 10:2318:20 20:17school 33:4,1144:10 46:17singlets 15:1162:425:2,4,6,16,2333:20,20 61:13separately 3:21six 55:20report 31:16,2426:8 28:161:1613:5 24:10,20sketch 12:2332:5 52:1330:10,13,17Schools 33:844:8 58:21slimmer 7:11reports 31:2031:8,24 33:19scientific 46:7separates 18:2019:17 23:25representations38:21 39:9,1152:22serve 32:11,1460:2357:1346:24 57:24sculptural 16:15serve 32:45:55slimming 5:23reproduce 38:2159:19 60:11sculpture 37:20set3:7 35:127:4 14:24 53reproduced 4:14rights 30:8,9sculptures 3:15setting 30:6smaller 5:2245:426:16 27:16,215:8 6:18 15:717:24 18:3,4socks 57:23reproducing10:17 19:3second 3:19,228:14 17:20,23smooth 18:2345:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20require 67:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20require 67:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:59:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13<	
replicate 10:23 reply 5:13 23:19 62:418:20 20:17 23:14,18 24:8 25:2,4,6,16,23scènes 50:10 school 33:4,11 33:20,20 61:13 61:1626:3 29:25 44:10 46:17 singlets 15:11 singlets 15:11 six 55:20report 31:16,24 32:5 52:1326:8 28:1 30:10,13,1761:1613:5 24:10,20 separately 3:21sketch 12:23 six 55:20reports 31:20 57:1331:8,24 33:19 46:24 57:24scientific 46:7 separates 18:20separates 18:20 serve 32:11,14 60:2319:17 23:25 serve 32:11,14 60:23reproduce 38:21 59:19 60:1159:19 60:11 sculptures 3:15 second 3:19,22set 34:7 35:12 set 34:7 35:127:4 14:24 53 set 34:7 35:12reproduce 4:14 28:6,9 29:17rights 30:8,9 10:17 19:3sculptures 3:15 second 3:19,22set 30:6 set 31:9,22smaller 5:22 second 3:19,2245:4 26:16 27:16,2126:16 27:16,21 5:8 6:18 15:753:16 60:2,6,8 17:24 18:3,4 socks 57:23socks 57:23 sold 34:1245:4 reporduction 45:17 59:21 29:19 30:185:5 7:24 10:1,2 5:7:24 10:1,249:1 51:18,25 sold 34:12sold 34:12 Solicitor 1:20require 57:20 require 27:13 45:9,11,2421:9 26:8 27:1 30:7 55:1461:3,4 31:0,21,2261:17 somebody'srequire d27:13 44:12 6:14 13:661:22 62:13 see 4:25 16:4,13 13:6 romatic 47:17 25:14 29:913:10,20 11:1 14:9,20 11:1 43:25requirement 13:6 requires 11:13 17:10 26:8romatic 47:17 42:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:1sort 16:8 20:2 39:17 50:2353:10,19,20sort 16:8 20:2 39:17 50:23 </th <th></th>	
reply 5:13 23:1923:14,18 24:8school 33:4,1144:10 46:17singlets 15:1162:425:2,4,6,16,2333:20,20 61:13separately 3:21six 55:20sketch 12:2332:5 52:1330:10,13,17Schools 33:844:8 58:21slimmer 7:11reports 31:2031:8,24 33:19Scientific 46:7separatels 18:2019:17 23:25representations38:21 39:9,1152:22serve 32:11,1460:23s7:1346:24 57:24sculptural 16:15serve 42:4 55:5slimming 5:23reproduce 38:2159:19 60:11sculpture 37:20set 30:753:3 62:8reproduced 4:14rights 30:8,9sculpture 37:20set 30:753:3 62:8second 3:19,228:14 17:20,23smooth 18:2345:426:16 27:16,215:8 6:18 15:717:24 18:3,4socks 57:23reproduction28:1,8,21 29:616:2 27:1218:12,16 35:9sold 34:12sequire 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20require 67:1042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20require 67:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20 <td< th=""><th></th></td<>	
62:425:2,4,6,16,2333:20,20 61:13separately 3:21six 55:20report 31:16,2426:8 28:161:1613:5 24:10,20sketch 12:2332:5 52:1330:10,13,17Schools 33:844:8 58:21slimmer 7:11reports 31:2031:8,24 33:19scientific 46:7separates 18:2019:17 23:25representations38:21 39:9,1152:22serve 32:11,1460:2357:1346:24 57:24sculptural 16:15serves 42:4 55:5slimming 5:23reproduce 38:2159:19 60:11sculpture 37:20set 34:7 35:127:4 14:24 53reproduce 4:14rights 30:8,9sculptures 3:15setting 30:6smaller 5:2228:6,9 29:17ROBERTS 3:3second 3:19,228:14 17:20,23smooth 18:2345:426:16 27:16,215:8 6:18 15:717:24 18:3,4socks 57:23reproduction28:1,8,21 29:616:2 27:1218:12,16 35:9sold 34:1245:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20require 47:1346:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody 10:requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirements <th></th>	
report 31:16,2426:8 28:161:1613:5 24:10,20sketch 12:2332:5 52:1330:10,13,17Schools 33:844:8 58:21slimmer 7:11reports 31:2031:8,24 33:19scientific 46:7separates 18:2019:17 23:25representations38:21 39:9,1152:22serve 32:11,1460:2357:1346:24 57:24sculptural 16:15serves 42:4 55:5slimming 5:23reproduce 38:2159:19 60:11sculpture 37:20set 34:7 35:127:4 14:24 53reproduced 4:14rights 30:8,9sculpture 31:13,18shape 4:7 7:552:21 57:3reproducing10:17 19:3second 3:19,228:14 17:20,23smooth 18:2345:426:16 27:16,215:8 6:18 15:717:24 18:3,4socks 57:23reproduction28:1,8,21 29:616:2 27:1218:12,16 35:9sold 34:1245:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20require 62:1131:6,23 32:105:5 7:24 10:1,249:1 51:18,25somebody 10:require 67:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20require d27:1345:9,10,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 41	
32:5 52:1330:10,13,17Schools 33:844:8 58:21slimmer 7:11reports 31:2031:8,24 33:19scientific 46:7separates 18:2019:17 23:25representations38:21 39:9,1152:22serve 32:11,1460:2357:1346:24 57:24sculptural 16:15serves 42:4 55:5slimming 5:23reproduce 38:2159:19 60:11sculpture 37:20set 34:7 35:127:4 14:24 53reproduced 4:14rights 30:8,9sculptures 3:15set 30:753:3 62:8reproducing10:17 19:3second 3:19,228:14 17:20,23smooth 18:2345:426:16 27:16,215:8 6:18 15:717:24 18:3,4socks 57:23reproduction28:1,8,21 29:616:2 27:1218:12,16 35:9sold 34:1245:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:13romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:13 <th></th>	
reports 31:2031:8,24 33:19scientific 46:7separates 18:2019:17 23:25representations38:21 39:9,1152:22serve 32:11,1460:2357:1346:24 57:24sculptural 16:15serves 42:4 55:5slimming 5:23reprint 5:1258:5,18 59:127:2 30:9,16set 34:7 35:127:4 14:24 53reproduce 38:2159:19 60:11sculpture 37:20set 30:753:3 62:8reproduced 4:14rights 30:8,9sculptures 3:15setting 30:6smaller 5:2228:6,9 29:17ROBERTS 3:3second 3:19,228:14 17:20,23smooth 18:2345:426:16 27:16,215:8 6:18 15:717:24 18:3,4socks 57:23reproduction28:1,8,21 29:616:2 27:1218:12,16 35:9sold 34:1245:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:13room 41:25 42:235:11,15 36:553:16,19,20sort 16:8 20:217:1	
representations38:21 39:9,1152:22serve 32:11,1460:2357:1346:24 57:24sculptural 16:15serves 42:4 55:5slimming 5:23reprint 5:1258:5,18 59:127:2 30:9,16set 34:7 35:127:4 14:24 53reproduce 38:2159:19 60:11sculpture 37:20set 30:753:3 62:8reproduced 4:14rights 30:8,9sculptures 3:15set 30:752:21 57:3reproducing10:17 19:3second 3:19,228:14 17:20,23smooth 18:2345:426:16 27:16,215:8 6:18 15:717:24 18:3,4socks 57:23reproduction28:1,8,21 29:616:2 27:1218:12,16 35:9sold 34:1245:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:13romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2052:16:8 20:217:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
57:1346:24 57:24sculptural 16:15serves 42:4 55:5slimming 5:23reprint 5:1258:5,18 59:127:2 30:9,16set 34:7 35:127:4 14:24 53reproduce 38:2159:19 60:11sculpture 37:20sets 30:753:3 62:8reproduced 4:14rights 30:8,9sculptures 3:15setting 30:6smaller 5:2228:6,9 29:17ROBERTS 3:3second 3:19,228:14 17:20,23smooth 18:2345:426:16 27:16,215:8 6:18 15:717:24 18:3,4socks 57:23reproduction28:1,8,21 29:616:2 27:1218:12,16 35:9sold 34:1245:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20requires 62:1131:6,23 32:105:5 7:24 10:1,249:1 51:18,25somebody 10:require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:13room 41:25 42:235:11,15 36:553:16,19,20sort 16:8 20:217:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
reprint 5:1258:5,18 59:127:2 30:9,16set 34:7 35:127:4 14:24 53reproduce 38:2159:19 60:11sculpture 37:20sets 30:753:3 62:8reproduced 4:14rights 30:8,9sculptures 3:15setting 30:6smaller 5:2228:6,9 29:17ROBERTS 3:3second 3:19,228:14 17:20,23smooth 18:23reproducing10:17 19:3second 3:19,228:14 17:20,23smooth 18:2345:426:16 27:16,215:8 6:18 15:717:24 18:3,4socks 57:23reproduction28:1,8,21 29:616:2 27:1218:12,16 35:9sold 34:1245:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:13room 41:25 42:235:11,15 36:553:16,19,20sort 16:8 20:217:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
reproduce 38:2159:19 60:11sculpture 37:20sets 30:753:3 62:8reproduced 4:14rights 30:8,9sculptures 3:15setting 30:6smaller 5:2228:6,9 29:17ROBERTS 3:3second 3:19,228:14 17:20,23smooth 18:2345:426:16 27:16,215:8 6:18 15:717:24 18:3,4socks 57:23reproduction28:1,8,21 29:616:2 27:1218:12,16 35:9sold 34:1245:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20request 62:1131:6,23 32:105:5 7:24 10:1,249:1 51:18,25somebody 10:require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:2117:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
reproduced 4:14rights 30:8,9sculptures 3:15setting 30:6smaller 5:2228:6,9 29:17ROBERTS 3:3second 3:19,228:14 17:20,2352:21 57:3reproducing10:17 19:3second 3:19,228:14 17:20,23smooth 18:2345:426:16 27:16,215:8 6:18 15:717:24 18:3,4socks 57:23reproduction28:1,8,21 29:616:2 27:1218:12,16 35:9sold 34:1245:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20request 62:1131:6,23 32:105:5 7:24 10:1,249:1 51:18,25somebody 10:require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:2117:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	:2
28:6,9 29:17ROBERTS 3:3seams 18:13,18shape 4:7 7:552:21 57:3reproducing10:17 19:3second 3:19,228:14 17:20,23smooth 18:2345:426:16 27:16,215:8 6:18 15:717:24 18:3,4socks 57:23reproduction28:1,8,21 29:616:2 27:1218:12,16 35:9sold 34:1245:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20requist 62:1131:6,23 32:105:5 7:24 10:1,249:1 51:18,25somebody 10:require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsromantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:2113:6rom 41:25 42:235:11,15 36:553:16,19,20sort 16:8 20:217:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
reproducing 45:410:17 19:3 26:16 27:16,21second 3:19,22 5:8 6:18 15:78:14 17:20,23 17:24 18:3,4smooth 18:23 socks 57:23reproduction 45:17 59:2128:1,8,21 29:6 29:19 30:1816:2 27:12 Section 3:15,1918:12,16 35:9 38:5 47:24sold 34:12 Solicitor 1:20request 62:11 require 57:2031:6,23 32:10 42:7,13 43:235:5 7:24 10:1,2 10:24 13:449:1 51:18,25 53:10 60:2,6,8somebody 10: 28:23 44:20required 27:13 required 27:1345:9,11,24 46:9,20,2314:2 16:14 21:9 26:8 27:160:10,21,22 61:3,445:1,22 55:5 61:3,4requirement 14:1361:22 62:13 robes 47:21see 4:25 16:4,13 18:8 23:2010:19,20 11:1 13:10,13,1643:25 sorry 11:20 41 43:25requires 11:13 17:10 26:8room 41:25 42:2 42:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
45:426:16 27:16,215:8 6:18 15:717:24 18:3,4socks 57:23reproduction28:1,8,21 29:616:2 27:1218:12,16 35:9sold 34:1245:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20request 62:1131:6,23 32:105:5 7:24 10:1,249:1 51:18,25somebody 10:require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requires 11:13robes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6rom 41:25 42:235:11,15 36:553:16,19,20sort 16:8 20:217:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
reproduction28:1,8,21 29:616:2 27:1218:12,16 35:9sold 34:1245:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20request 62:1131:6,23 32:105:5 7:24 10:1,249:1 51:18,25somebody 10:require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:13room 41:25 42:235:11,15 36:553:16,19,20sort 16:8 20:217:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
45:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20request 62:1131:6,23 32:105:5 7:24 10:1,249:1 51:18,25somebody 10:require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:13room 41:25 42:235:11,15 36:553:16,19,20sort 16:8 20:217:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
45:17 59:2129:19 30:18Section 3:15,1938:5 47:24Solicitor 1:20request 62:1131:6,23 32:105:5 7:24 10:1,249:1 51:18,25somebody 10:require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:13room 41:25 42:235:11,15 36:553:16,19,20sort 16:8 20:217:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
request 62:1131:6,23 32:105:5 7:24 10:1,249:1 51:18,25somebody 10:require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:1342:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
require 57:2042:7,13 43:2310:24 13:453:10 60:2,6,828:23 44:20required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:13room 41:25 42:235:11,15 36:553:16,19,20sort 16:8 20:217:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	19
required 27:1345:9,11,2414:2 16:1460:10,21,2245:1,22 55:5requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:13room 41:25 42:235:11,15 36:553:16,19,20sort 16:8 20:2217:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
requirement46:9,20,2321:9 26:8 27:161:3,461:177:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:13room 41:25 42:235:11,15 36:553:16,19,20sort 16:8 20:2117:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
7:24 11:1454:21 55:10,1930:7 55:14shirt 8:5 9:10,19somebody's14:1361:22 62:13see 4:25 16:4,1310:19,20 11:143:25requirementsrobes 47:2118:8 23:2013:10,13,16sorry 11:20 4113:6romantic 47:1725:14 29:914:9,9 45:2044:13 61:21requires 11:13room 41:25 42:235:11,15 36:553:16,19,20sort 16:8 20:2217:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
14:13 requirements61:22 62:13 robes 47:21see 4:25 16:4,13 18:8 23:2010:19,20 11:1 13:10,13,1643:25 sorry 11:20 41 44:13 61:2113:6 requires 11:13 17:10 26:8romantic 47:17 42:8 52:2125:14 29:9 35:11,15 36:514:9,9 45:20 53:16,19,2044:13 61:21 sort 16:8 20:2	
requirements 13:6 requires 11:13robes 47:21 romantic 47:1718:8 23:20 25:14 29:913:10,13,16 14:9,9 45:20sorry 11:20 41 44:13 61:21 53:16,19,2017:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
13:6 requires 11:13 17:10 26:8romantic 47:17 room 41:25 42:225:14 29:9 35:11,15 36:514:9,9 45:20 53:16,19,2044:13 61:21 sort 16:8 20:217:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	:4
requires 11:13room 41:25 42:235:11,15 36:553:16,19,20sort 16:8 20:217:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	
17:10 26:842:8 52:2137:2 40:2556:139:17 50:23	l
requisites 38:15 rotate 5:17 9:4 51:16,24 52:14 shirts 13:17 sorts 46:17	
reserve 26:15 Rubik's 36:9 56:5 58:24 short 10:6 54:18	
resist 30:2 31:4 rug 38:23 42:1 62:3 shovel 24:13 Sotomayor 6:2	,
resisting 31:7 rules 15:17 seen 51:9 37:19,20,23 7:13,17 11:1	
respect 14:3 run 57:24 sell 12:11 32:22 shovels 24:16 11:20 12:1,7	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$, ~
respectfully <u>S</u> selling 12:2 shows 42:15 19:18,23 20:	1
29:1 33:22 S 2:1 3:1 sending 41:2 side 9:1 14:25 20:9,13,19,2	
62:11 sales 18:25 53:23 19:14,15 23:2 32:19,25 33:	
respond 6:17 satisfied 37:4,15 sense 9:16 22:6 29:20 34:11 33:10,19,23,	
Respondents 1:7 saying 4:6,8 55:17 25:20 55:11 55:10,10 55:10,10	
1:19,23 2:7,11 10:5,25 19:20 separability 61:25 57:8,12,15	<i>,</i>
26:19 45:14 32:1,1,10,13 3:16,18 4:1 5:7 side's 43:19 58:3,6,8,16	
response 55:11 35:7 39:13 17:3 21:9,17 side s 45:19 50:5,0,0,10 61:8	
rest 33:12 40:8 47:13 22:9,14 42:24 25:10 Sotomayor's	
result 19:4 53:6 56:3,4,18 separable 25:14 sides 7:4,9 25:22 34:23	
Solution Solutity and andiff for theading for the form of theading for the form	
solution solution solution solution solution solution space 24.0	
right 7:24 9:2,2 24:14 25:13 21:23 52:15 Similarly 7:8 24:3 25:1	
HERE 1.27 J.2.7 J	

		1		1
27:22	44:19,20 45:1	Supreme 1:1,13	39:22	32:4,7,8 34:4
specialized	45:2 61:19	sure 10:18 13:9	tells 15:17	34:16 40:3,18
24:25	striped 28:18	21:9 31:25	terms 21:17	40:19,25 41:9
spectrum 53:13	30:4	33:8 44:16	test 3:16 17:3	41:14 43:18
sports 45:21	stripes 5:21 6:9	48:5 55:10	21:9,17 22:9	44:1,11 45:7
49:22 57:18	18:16 19:14,15	surface 35:14	22:14 25:10	47:2,4,15
squad 42:15	29:10 39:17	36:12 38:4,9	26:2 31:8 48:3	48:12,25 49:21
squads 44:4	51:18 53:7	38:18 52:5	59:16	50:14 52:11
standing 23:21	56:19 58:23	suspect 7:17	testimony 31:17	53:13,14,18
Star 1:3 3:4	62:5	sweats 6:14	43:11,12,14	55:7,12,12
30:12	stronger 18:14		44:3	59:7
Star's 29:9	structural 53:3	T	text 3:19 7:23	thinner 7:11 9:8
start 16:17	stuck 32:24	T 2:1,1	11:5 21:7	thought 15:19
55:24	33:10	T-shirt 8:2 10:4	textiles 38:22	18:2 27:23
started 19:19	stuff 45:5	14:17 45:22	Thank 3:8 26:16	48:5,20
44:1	style 17:19 37:9	49:22 59:6	45:9,10,15	thousands 27:6
starters 58:20	51:11	take 6:8 8:22	55:18,19,23	27:6
starting 38:25	subconscious	11:3,6 12:5	62:13	three 3:12
starts 34:5	53:24	13:1 24:9	theory 38:3	three-dimensi
statement 47:12	subject 5:7 11:9	28:21 29:21	they'd 42:18	3:17 5:6 9:25
48:17,24 55:25	21:11	37:6,11,11,19	45:7	13:3 17:20
States 1:1,13,22	subjecting 3:14	37:24 48:13	thin 46:5	36:10 48:7
2:10 45:13	subliminated	53:1 57:22	thing 7:7 14:7	61:11
statute 11:5,12	19:6	taken 6:4,13	16:25 17:17	thrust 34:17
17:10 21:15	submit 4:20	29:15	18:1 20:21	tick 14:21 15:4
22:1,3,4,13	51:22	talk 6:6 23:17	21:4 26:12	tightness 60:2
26:25 29:14	submitted 4:2	25:8	35:22 36:10	time 18:6 26:15
30:14 31:12	62:14,16	talked 19:7	37:16 41:2	told 43:14
32:16	submitting 4:5	talking 5:19	51:5,10 53:9	toned 53:17
statutory 7:23	substantive 27:2	9:24 14:16,18	56:18 57:5	top 18:11,16
13:6 14:13	suddenly 34:13	21:6,16 35:25	things 4:4 14:24	60:2
Stella 7:3 19:15	sue 24:15 37:8	36:1 37:22,23	17:18 18:25	tops 6:15
stitch 19:11	suggest 46:23	40:6 42:24	21:23,24 22:5	trademark 35:4
stitched 18:22	suggested 38:25	49:11 53:23	22:10,19 23:13	57:1,4
19:4	suing 11:15,17	54:14,22,23	24:3 25:11	traditional
stitches 18:23	11:18,19	57:6 60:13	27:22 37:15,20	54:12
stop 11:22 12:1	suit 37:7	61:6	38:1 43:21,22	traditionally
12:3,19	suits 35:1	talks 9:11	53:3,22 57:10	46:18 49:7,18
stopped 19:20	superimposed	taller 5:24 9:7	60:5 62:9	54:3
stopping 12:10	4:13	23:25 62:9	think 6:5 9:21	transposed 12:7
20:21	supplier 33:11	tapestry 38:23	12:11 15:14,21	treat 39:9
stops 10:16	support 3:12	team 29:3,4	15:22 16:7	tree 23:21
20:25	supporting 1:22	45:21,22 49:22	19:24 20:2,3	tried 22:25 24:9
strange 52:25	2:11 45:14	teams 33:6	20:11,24 27:18	Trompe-l'oeil
stretch 18:14	suppose 8:10,11	techniques	28:2,3,4,11,11	41:24
stripe 18:19,25	38:9	41:21	28:14 29:4	true 23:17 26:9
44:18,18,19,19	supposed 40:2	tell 6:6 9:21	30:18 31:11,14	26:9 42:17
	1	I	I	Ι

52:16	understanding	8:1 10:16	vice 43:19	21:15 23:6,23
try 24:15 44:1	22:2	15:20 16:15,17	view 32:2 39:23	24:2 27:22
51:23 52:19	understands	16:18,25 17:2	43:19 46:3	39:20 40:8
53:13	52:4	17:4,10,17	54:16	41:8 42:25
trying 13:23	understood	20:6 21:5,11	viewer 52:8	43:5 50:5
22:4 39:4 50:3	21:20	21:22 22:17	viewer's 47:8	52:22 53:24
52:5 53:9 54:1	uniform 3:11	23:16 26:24	violated 44:22	54:7,9,10,24
turn 17:2 18:6	4:6,7,7,13,17	28:16,19,22	virtually 31:20	57:16 59:10,11
turned 9:6 50:5	4:18,21,22 5:1	30:11,20,23	37:14	59:12
tuxedo 9:10,19	5:3,20,22 6:14	31:21 32:3	visual 27:14	ways 42:20 43:2
9:23 10:19,20	8:25 9:24,25	37:16 40:10	30:15 40:7,15	43:4,24 44:5
10:23 11:1	11:4,12 13:3,7	42:1,1 45:18	40:16	50:6
13:10,13,13,16	14:19 17:2,14	47:4 49:9,20	visualize 25:11	we'll 3:3 34:15
13:17 14:2,6,8	17:24 19:10,21	52:10,10 54:19	Vs 7:9 9:2 14:25	we're 6:8 9:23
14:9,16 45:20	20:22 26:3	uses 5:3 41:21	60:21	11:18 40:4
two 4:15 12:7	28:9 29:3,21	usually 45:3		48:2 53:22
17:18 18:10,21	29:24 30:5,6	utilitarian 3:22	W	54:14,22,23
24:23 25:11	30:25 31:1,5,5	3:24 4:25 8:1	waist 60:21	58:20
27:4,7 47:5	32:21 33:20	13:6 14:15,20	waist-narrowi	we've 23:18 29:4
62:3	34:2,2 40:4,9	16:20,24 17:6	7:9 14:25	42:24
two- 36:7	42:10,21 45:25	17:8,8,11	waistband 18:14	wear 10:1 47:21
two-dimensio	46:10,12,14,15	21:15,20,21	wait 37:3	53:16
3:14,17 4:2 5:5	48:14 50:4,19	22:6,15,16,18	walking 58:20	wearer 5:24
5:6 10:21	50:20 59:2	23:4,9,14	wall 24:14 37:13	7:10 14:22
31:20 35:13,19	62:7	24:12 25:24	37:19	54:1
35:20 36:6,8,9	uniform's 17:19	26:6 31:10,13	wallpaper 38:23	wearer's 47:8
36:11,13 37:12	22:19	32:3,12,14,15	52:15,19	wearing 8:5
37:25 45:18	uniforms 7:7,9	32:17 37:21,25	want 8:15 19:20	15:11 42:21
48:6,25 50:23	11:23 12:11	40:2,14,14,21	21:7 22:8	47:12 49:14
52:3,14,19	15:5,7,13,16	40:21,23 41:5	30:14 35:22	56:14
54:15,17,22,23	17:13,16 21:7	41:13 42:23	37:17 39:22	wears 42:3
58:12 59:21	22:21 29:10	47:3 48:9 49:8	51:3 52:7 55:1	weird 58:9
60:4 61:10	32:11,23 33:5	54:25 55:5,9	55:24 56:10	whatsoever 5:16
twofold 3:20	33:9,15 49:25	60:5	61:7	white 18:20
	50:1,24 51:1	utility 7:14,15	wanted 43:11,12	28:23,24 29:2
U	58:24 59:13	18:13 26:1	43:13 48:22	44:18,19
ugly 48:10	61:12	28:15 31:12	50:24	WILLIAM 1:18
ultimately 29:14	unique 50:23		wants 53:1	2:6 26:18
41:9 44:6	United 1:1,13,22	V	war 15:17	wings 35:16
uncopyrighted	2:10 45:13	v 1:5 3:4	warm-up 29:16	Winslet 7:3
33:14	university 32:19	variants 33:14	warm-ups 29:17	wishes 47:11
underlying 46:7	32:22	33:15	warmup 6:24	withhold 42:6
understand 5:4	urging 52:17	various 54:19	warmups 37:1	woman 47:10,14
13:9 14:5 23:3	use 7:14 8:12	Varsity 1:6 3:4	Washington 1:9	51:24
31:7 39:12	30:17 35:6	10:11 11:6	1:18,21 49:23	woman's 35:21
43:7 44:16	37:8 57:6	25:10 28:4	way 6:5 10:5	women's 34:7
53:18	useful 3:21,24	33:16	19:11 21:10,14	34:11,16
	Í Í			

woods 23:10	62:12	5 18:6,9 19:12	
word 41:13,14	101 3:16 5:5	60:20	
words 23:8	7:25 13:4	55 2:14 52:13	
work 8:7 24:2	16:14 21:9		
24:14 26:5,12	26:8 27:1	6	
27:15 30:9,16	55:14	60 35:11	
30:16 35:13	101's 3:19		
36:6,8 40:15	102(b) 42:22	7	
40:18 41:25	11:07 1:14 3:2	7 5:12 51:15,17	
45:4 46:8 56:6	113 10:2	76 31:19	
59:10 60:19,23	113(a) 55:14		
61:3	113(b) 14:2	8	
worked 53:17	113A 30:7	8 51:9,15,17	
works 5:17	12:09 62:15	815 19:13 20:16	
16:15 23:15,16	15-866 1:4 3:4	9	
27:2	17 48:4 59:1		
world 4:19 54:2	1950s 29:6	95 44:3	
54:8,11	1750527.0	99 32:21	
worn 29:3	2		
worth 34:12	2 16:13		
wouldn't 5:24	2016 1:10		
5:25 9:22	21 7:1		
10:12 52:16	22 20:14 35:17		
wrestling 15:11	51:8		
write 31:12	225 34:11		
witte 51.12	23 20:15		
X	26 2:7		
x 1:2,8	299A 17:22		
	18:11		
Y	299B 17:22		
Yeah 20:14 23:7	18:11		
61:24			
year 34:12	3		
years 22:25 27:6	3 2:4		
32:21 34:9	31 1:10		
yellow 34:2	34 29:8		
	39 50:9		
Z			
zigzag 4:8 17:21	4		
18:12 61:17,18	4 17:22 18:6,9		
zigzags 39:17	18:10 44:17		
	40 43:15 50:9		
0	45 2:11 5:17 9:4		
1	9:6		
<u>1</u> 10:24 23:19	46 36:5		
1 10:24 23:19 10 9:11			
	5		
100-year 61:12			