Exhibit 1

~:	SOLUHESS COUNTY CLERK'S CIFICE
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF OUTCHESS	RECEIVED
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2016 SEP 29 AM 11: 52
ANNA WURTZBURGER,	
Plaintiff/Petitioner,	Index No. 2016 2125
- against -	Index No.
KENTUCKY FRIED CHICKEN,	
Defendant/Respondent,	
NOTICE OF OPT-OUT FROM PAR IN ACTION SUBJECT TO MANDATORY B	RTICIPATION ELECTRONIC FILING
Pursuant to Section 202.5-bb of the Uniform Rules for the Trin electronic filing in this mandatory e-filed case.	rial Courts, I hereby opt out of participation
For Attorneys:	
I certify in good faith that I am unable to participate in mandato on behalf of my client, ANNA WORTERS ROLL, because [place	ory electronic filing of documents in this case expour initials in the applicable space):
I lack [check off the applicable box]:	
I the necessary computer hardware	
a connection to the internet	
a scanner or other device by which docume.	nts may be converted to an electronic format
I lack the knowledge regarding operation of computers and/or filing of documents in this case and no employee of mine or o to my direction possesses such knowledge.	scanners needed to participate in electronic f my firm, office or business who is subject
For Self-Represented Litigants:	
I choose not to participate in electronic filing of documents  Dated: Seftember 29, 2016	in this case.
· K	H.VIC. List (TITE) (Name)
	(Firm Name)
¥.	O 150x 34SS (Address)
	DOUGHXEEDSIE MIZE 3

OUTCHESS COURTY CLERK'S OFFICE RECEIVED

COUNTY CLERK, DUTCHESS COUNTY

Application for INDEX NUMBER pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 8018 2016 SEP 29 AN II: 52 INDEX NUMBER 2016 212

FEE -\$210.00

Spaces below to be TYPED or PRINTED by applicant

STATE OF NEW YORK						
SUPREME COURT		F DUTCHESS	CHECK AS A	PPLICABLE		
ANNA WURTZBUR	GER	**************************************				
	Plaintiff,		CONSUMER CREDIT TRANSACTION			
-against-			NOT CONSUMER GREDIT			
KENTUCKY FRIED	CHICKEN,		TRANSACTION	X		
	Defendant.		NON PAYMENT OF CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATION			
Name and Address of Attorney for Plaintiff(s Or Petitioner Telephone No.:		PAMELA J. ( P.O. Box 345; Poughkeepsie (845) 471-244	5 New York 12603			
Name and address of Attorney for Defendan Or Respondent Telephone No.	t					
A. Nature and obje TRADE PRACTICE Or	ect of action:	FALSE/DECE	PTIVE ADVERTISING	, DECEPTIVE		
Nature of specia	al proceeding:			* Agreement (chin		
IS THIS ACTION OR OF NEW YORK?	PROCEEDIN	G CONFIDEN	TIAL UNDER ANY LA			
B. Application for Inde	ex Number file		NO X			
SEPTEMBER 28, 2016 Date	5	-	Defendant SIGNATURE	LOG-		

DUICHESS LOUNI'S CLERK'S OFFICE RECEIVED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF SEV 250 PM 11: 52 COUNTY OF DUTCHESS

ANNA WURTZBURGER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KENTUCKY FRIED CHICKEN,

Defendant.

Index No.: 2016 2125
Date Summons Filed:
Plaintiff designates
Diachess
County as the place of trial
The basis of venue is:

**SUMMONS** 

Plaintiff's residence

Plaintiff resides at 48 Homlock Drive Hopewell Junction, NY 12533

To the above named Defendant:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to herve a copy of your answer on the *Plaintiff's Attorney* within twenty (20) days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service, where service is made by delivery upon you personally within the state, or within thirty (30) days after completion of service where service is made in any other manner. In case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: SEPTEMBER 29, 2016

PAMELA J. GABIGER Attorney for Plaintiff P.O. Box 3455 Poughkeepsie, New York 12603 (845) 471-2447

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF DUTCHESS	DUTCHESS SOUNT; CLERK'S OFFICE RECEIVED			
	2016 SEP 29	AH II	: 52	
ANNA WURTZBURGER,				
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT			
-against-	index No	o.:	2016	2125
KENTUCKY FRIED CHICKEN,				
Defendant.				
Plaintiff, through attorney, PAMELA GABIO	- SER, compl	ainir	ng of	

 At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff was a resident of Hopewell Junction, County of Dutchess, State of New York.

defendant, states:

2. At all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant was and still is a domestic corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the state of New York with its principal place of business at Route 82 and Route 376, Hopewell Junction, County of Dutchess, State of New York.

- 3. That on or about July, 2016, plaintiff purchased an eight piece bucket of chicken from defendant.
- 4. Defendant sold to plaintiff the aforementioned product pursuant to the terms and conditions contained therein.
- 5. That on or about July, 2016 the full purchase price of the sum of Twenty and no/100 \$20.00 Dollars was paid by the plaintiff to the defendant.
- 6. That the merchandise given from defendant to the plaintiff was given to plaintiff in a carton bucket.
- 7. That the nationally well-known producer of chicken is (Kentucky Fried Chicken) whose company produces chicken with trade name Kentucky Fried Chicken.
- 8. That upon information and belief, defendant delivered to plaintiff merchandise which was misleading and constituted a false and deceptive trade practice in that the advertisement on television showed a bucket overflowing with chicken and when plaintiff

received the bucket of chicken there were only eight pieces of chicken in it.

- 9. That defendant's conduct constitutes a violation of the applicable sections of the general business law of the State of New York pertaining to false advertising and misleading trade practices.
- in Georgia who stated that defendant intentionally showed the false, deceptive advertisement of an overflowing bucket of chicken on a television commercial so that the public could see the chicken.
- 11. Defendant therefore was aware that defendant was intentionally misleading and deceiving the public when it advertised an overflowing bucket of chicken on television but knew that they would only sell 8 pieces of chicken to the public and to plaintiff.
- 12. The act or practice of defendant was consumer oriented.

- 13. The act or practice of defendant was misleading in a material respect.
- 14. Plaintiff was injured as a result of the deceptive act or practice.
- 15. The act or practice affected the public consumer.
- 16. That as a result of the actions of defendant, plaintiff has suffered damages in the sum of Twenty Million and no/100 (\$20,000,000.00) Dollars.
- 17. By reason of the false advertising and deceptive trade practices of the defendant plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be awarded by a jury in the trial of this action.
- 18. WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against defendant in the sum of Twenty Million and no/100 (\$20,000.00) Dollars, an amount to be awarded by a jury upon the trial of this action

pursuant to CPLR 3017 together with interest, costs and disbursements of this action and for such other and further relief as to this Court may seem just and proper.

Dated:

September 28, 2016

PAMELA GABIGE

Attorney for Plaintiff

P.O. Box 3455, Poughkeepsie, NY

12603

(845) 471-2447