

H.R. 1735—FY16 NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION BILL

CHAIRMAN’S MARK

SUMMARY OF BILL LANGUAGE.....	1
BILL LANGUAGE.....	63
DIRECTIVE REPORT LANGUAGE.....	443
ADDENDUM: SUMMARY TABLES*	491

*NOTE: THE SUMMARY TABLES ARE INFORMATIONAL ONLY AND WILL BE INCLUDED AS PART OF
THE COMMITTEE REPORT.

SUMMARY OF BILL LANGUAGE

Table Of Contents

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 101—Authorization of Appropriations

SUBTITLE D—AIR FORCE PROGRAMS

Section 132—Backup Inventory Status of A-10 Aircraft

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 201—Authorization of Appropriations

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 301—Authorization of Appropriations

SUBTITLE B—ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Section 313—Exclusions from Definition of "Chemical Substance" under Toxic Substances Control Act

SUBTITLE C—LOGISTICS AND SUSTAINMENT

Section 321—Amendment to Limitation on Authority to Enter into a Contract for the Sustainment, Maintenance, Repair, or Other Overhaul of the F117 Engine

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE B—RESERVE COMPONENT MANAGEMENT

Section 512—Ready Reserve Continuous Screening Regarding Key Positions Disqualifying Federal Officials from Continued Service in the Ready Reserve

SUBTITLE I—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 594—Report on Rulemaking under the Military Lending Act

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 800—Sense of Congress on the Desired Tenets of the Defense Acquisition System

SUBTITLE A—ACQUISITION POLICY AND MANAGEMENT

Section 801—Report on Linking and Streamlining Requirements, Acquisition, and Budget Processes within Armed Forces

Section 802—Required Review of Acquisition-related Functions of the Chiefs of Staff of the Armed Forces

Section 803—Independent Study of Matters Related to Bid Protests

Section 804—Procurement of Commercial Items

Section 805—Amendment Relating to Multiyear Contract Authority for Acquisition of Property

Section 806—Compliance with Inventory of Contracts for Services

SUBTITLE B—WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT AND RELATED MATTERS

Section 811—Amendments to Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund

Section 812—Dual-Track Military Professionals in Operational and Acquisition Specialties

Section 813—Provision of Joint Duty Assignment Credit for Acquisition Duty

Section 815—Mandatory Requirement for Training Related to the Conduct of Market Research

Section 816—Independent Study of Implementation of Defense Acquisition Workforce Improvement Efforts

Section 817—Extension of Demonstration Project Relating to Certain Acquisition Personnel Management Policies and Procedures

SUBTITLE C—WEAPON SYSTEMS ACQUISITION AND RELATED MATTERS

Section 821—Sense of Congress on the Desired Characteristics for the Weapon Systems Acquisition System

Section 822—Acquisition Strategy Required for Each Major Defense Acquisition Program and Major System

Section 823—Revision to Requirements Relating to Risk Management in Development of Major Defense Acquisition Programs and Major Systems

Section 824—Modification to Requirements Relating to Determination of Contract Type for Major Defense Acquisition Programs and Major Systems

Section 825—Required Determination before Milestone A Approval or Initiation of Major Defense Acquisition Programs

Section 826—Required Certification and Determination before Milestone B Approval of Major Defense Acquisition Programs

SUBTITLE D—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS

Section 831—Codification and Amendment of Mentor-Protege Program

Section 832—Amendments to Data Quality Improvement Plan

Section 833—Notice of Contract Consolidation for Acquisition Strategies

Section 834—Clarification of Requirements Related to Small Business Contracts for Services

Section 835—Review of Government Access to Intellectual Property Rights of Private Sector Firms

Section 837—Policy Regarding Solid Rocket Motors Used in Tactical Missiles

Section 838—FAR Council Membership for Administrator of Small Business Administration

SUBTITLE E—OTHER MATTERS

Section 841—Additional Responsibility for Director of Operational Test and Evaluation

Section 842—Use of Recent Prices Paid by the Government in the Determination of Price Reasonableness
Section 843—Codification of Other Transaction Authority for Certain Prototype Projects
Section 844—Amendments to Certain Acquisition Thresholds
Section 845—Revision of Method of Rounding when Making Inflation Adjustment of Acquisition-Related Dollar Thresholds
Section 847—Examination and Guidance Relating to Oversight and Approval of Services Contracts
Section 849—Consideration of Strategic Materials in Preliminary Design Review
Section 851—Amendments Concerning Detection and Avoidance of Counterfeit Electronic Parts
Section 852—Revision to Duties of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Developmental Test and Evaluation and the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Engineering

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 901—Redesignation of the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps
Section 902—Change of Period for Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Review of the Unified Command Plan
Section 903—Update of Statutory Specification of Functions of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Relating to Joint Force Development Activities
Section 904—Sense of Congress on the United States Marine Corps
Section 905—Additional Requirements for Streamlining of Department of Defense Management Headquarters
Section 906—Department of Defense Workforce Restructuring

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—FINANCIAL MATTERS

Section 1001—General Transfer Authority
Section 1003—Accounting Standards to Value Certain Property, Plant, and Equipment Items

SUBTITLE B—COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

Section 1011—Extension of Authority to Provide Additional Support for Counter-drug Activities of Certain Foreign Governments
Section 1012—Statement of Policy on Plan Central America

SUBTITLE C—NAVAL VESSELS AND SHIPYARDS

Section 1021—Restrictions on the Overhaul and Repair of Vessels in Foreign Shipyards

SUBTITLE D—COUNTERTERRORISM

Section 1032—Congressional Notification of Sensitive Military Operations

Section 1034—Reports to Congress on Contact between Terrorists and Individuals Formerly Detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

Section 1035—Inclusion in Reports to Congress Information about Recidivism of Individuals Formerly Detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

Section 1036—Prohibition on the Use of Funds for the Transfer or Release of Individuals Detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

Section 1037—Prohibition on Use of Funds to Construct or Modify Facilities in the United States to House Detainees Transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

Section 1038—Prohibition on Use of Funds to Transfer or Release Individuals Detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to Combat Zones

Section 1039—Requirements for Certifications Relating to the Transfer of Detainees at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to Foreign Countries and Other Foreign Entities

Section 1040—Submission to Congress of Certain Documents Relating to Transfer of Individuals Detained at Guantanamo to Qatar

Section 1041—Submission of Unredacted Copies of Documents Relating to the Transfer of Certain Individuals Detained at Guantanamo to Qatar

SUBTITLE E—MISCELLANEOUS AUTHORITIES AND LIMITATIONS

Section 1052—Department of Defense Excess Property Program

Section 1053—Space Available Travel for Environmental Morale Leave by Certain Spouses and Children of Deployed Members of the Armed Forces

SUBTITLE F—STUDIES AND REPORTS

Section 1061—Provision of Defense Planning Guidance and Contingency Planning Guidance Information to Congress

Section 1063—Report on Implementation of the Geographically Distributed Force Laydown in the Area of Responsibility of United States Pacific Command

Section 1064—Independent Study of National Security Strategy Formulation Process

SUBTITLE G—REPEAL OR REVISION OF NATIONAL DEFENSE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Section 1072—Repeal or Revision of Certain Reports Relating to Readiness

Section 1076—Repeal or Revision of Reporting Requirements Related to Acquisition

Section 1077—Repeal or Revision of Reporting Requirements Related to Civilian Personnel

SUBTITLE H—OTHER MATTERS

Section 1081—Technical and Clerical Amendments

Section 1083—Navy Support of Ocean Research Advisory Panel

Section 1084—Level of Readiness of Civil Reserve Air Fleet Carriers

TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 1102—Authority to Provide Additional Allowances and Benefits for Defense Clandestine Service Employees

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING

Section 1201—One-Year Extension of Logistical Support for Coalition Forces Supporting Certain United States Military Operations

Section 1202—Strategic Framework for Department of Defense Security Cooperation

Section 1203—Two-Year Extension of National Guard State Partnership Program

Section 1204—Extension of Authority for Non-Reciprocal Exchanges of Defense Personnel Between the United States and Foreign Countries

SUBTITLE B—MATTERS RELATING TO AFGHANISTAN AND PAKISTAN

Section 1211—Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan

Section 1212—Extension and Modification of Authority for Reimbursement of Certain Coalition Nations for Support Provided to United States Military Operations

Section 1213—Sense of Congress on United States Policy and Strategy in Afghanistan

Section 1214—Extension of Authority to Acquire Products and Services Produced in Countries along a Major Route of Supply to Afghanistan

Section 1215—Extension of Authority to Transfer Defense Articles and Provide Defense Services to the Military and Security Forces of Afghanistan

SUBTITLE C—MATTERS RELATING TO SYRIA AND IRAQ

Section 1221—Extension of Authority to Support Operations and Activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq

Section 1222—Comprehensive Strategy for the Middle East and to Counter Islamic Extremism

Section 1223—Modification of Authority to Provide Assistance to Counter the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant

Section 1224—Report on United States Armed Forces Deployed in Support of Operation Inherent Resolve

Section 1225—Modification of Authority to Provide Assistance to the Vetted Syrian Opposition

Section 1226—Assistance to the Government of Jordan for Border Security Operations

SUBTITLE D—MATTERS RELATING TO IRAN

Section 1231—Extension of Annual Report on Military Power of Iran

Section 1232—Sense of Congress on the Government of Iran's Nuclear Program and its Malign Military Activities

SUBTITLE F—MATTERS RELATING TO THE ASIA-PACIFIC REGION

Section 1251—Sense of Congress Recognizing the 70th Anniversary of the End of Allied Military Engagement in the Pacific Theater

Section 1253—Strategy to Promote United States Interests in the Indo-Asia-Pacific Region

SUBTITLE G—OTHER MATTERS

Section 1262—Amendment to the Annual Report under Arms Control and Disarmament Act

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 1302—Funding Allocations

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—MILITARY PROGRAMS

Section 1401—Working Capital Funds

Section 1402—National Defense Sealift Fund

Section 1403—Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense

Section 1404—Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide

Section 1405—Defense Inspector General

Section 1406—Defense Health Program

Section 1407—National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund

SUBTITLE C—WORKING-CAPITAL FUNDS

Section 1421—Limitation on Furlough of Department of Defense Employees Paid Through Working-Capital Funds

Section 1422—Working-Capital Fund Reserve Account for Petroleum Market Price Fluctuations

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 1501—Purpose

Section 1502—Procurement

Section 1503—Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation

Section 1504—Operation and Maintenance

Section 1505—Military Personnel

Section 1506—Working Capital Funds

Section 1507—Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide

Section 1508—Defense Inspector General

Section 1509—Defense Health Program

SUBTITLE B—FINANCIAL MATTERS

Section 1521—Treatment as Additional Authorizations

Section 1522—Special Transfer Authority

SUBTITLE C—EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE AND RELATED MATTERS

Section 1531—Statement of Policy Regarding European Reassurance Initiative

Section 1532—Assistance and Sustainment to the Military and National Security Forces of Ukraine

SUBTITLE D—LIMITATIONS, REPORTS, AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 1541—Continuation of Existing Limitation on Use of Afghanistan Security Forces Fund

TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—SPACE ACTIVITIES

Section 1612—Plan on Full Integration and Exploitation of Overhead Persistent Infrared Capability

SUBTITLE B—DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED ACTIVITIES

Section 1623—Prohibition on National Intelligence Program Consolidation

Section 1625—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence

Section 1627—Department of Defense Intelligence Needs

Section 1628—Report on Management of Certain Programs of Defense Intelligence Elements

Section 1629—Government Accountability Office Review of Intelligence Input to the Defense Acquisition Process

SUBTITLE C—CYBERSPACE-RELATED MATTERS

Section 1641—Codification and Addition of Liability Protections Relating to Reporting on Cyber Incidents or Penetrations of Networks and Information Systems of Certain Contractors

SUBTITLE E—MISSILE DEFENSE PROGRAMS

Section 1665—Limitations on Availability of Funds for Patriot Lower Tier Air and Missile Defense Capability of the Army

Section 1669—Availability of Funds for Iron Dome Short-Range Rocket Defense System

Section 1670—Israeli Cooperative Missile Defense Program Co-Development and Potential Co-Production

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2306—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2014 Project

Section 2310—Limitation on Project Authorization to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2016 Project

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE B—REAL PROPERTY AND FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION

Section 2813—Additional Master Plan Reporting Requirements Related to Main Operating Bases, Forward Operating Sites, and Cooperative Security Locations of Central Command and Africa Command Areas of Responsibility

SUBTITLE E—MILITARY LAND WITHDRAWALS

Section 2841—Withdrawal and Reservation of Public Land, Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California

SUBTITLE G—OTHER MATTERS

Section 2861—Modification of Department of Defense Guidance on Use of Airfield Pavement Markings

Section 2862—Protection and Recovery of Greater Sage Grouse

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 3101—National Nuclear Security Administration

Section 3102—Defense Environmental Cleanup

Section 3103—Other Defense Activities

SUBTITLE B—PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

Section 3111—Authorized Personnel Levels of National Nuclear Security Administration

Section 3119—Limitation on Authorization of Production of Special Nuclear Material Outside the United States by Foreign Country with Nuclear Naval Propulsion Program

SUBTITLE C—PLANS AND REPORTS

Section 3134—Assessments on Nuclear Proliferation Risks and Nuclear Nonproliferation Opportunities

SUBTITLE D—OTHER MATTERS

Section 3142—Research and Development of Advanced Naval Nuclear Fuel System Based on Low-Enriched Uranium

DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

Section 4001—Authorization of Amounts in Funding Tables

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 101—Authorization of Appropriations

This section would authorize appropriations for procurement at the levels identified in section 4101 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE D—AIR FORCE PROGRAMS

Section 132—Backup Inventory Status of A-10 Aircraft

This section would require that the Secretary of the Air Force not move more than 18 A-10 aircraft in the Active Component to backup flying status pursuant to an authorization made by the Secretary of Defense under section 133(b)(2)(A) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291). This section would also make a conforming amendment to section 133(b)(2)(A) by striking “36” and inserting “18”.

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 201—Authorization of Appropriations

This section would authorize appropriations for research, development, test, and evaluation at the levels identified in section 4201 of division D of this Act.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 301—Authorization of Appropriations

This section would authorize appropriations for operation and maintenance activities at the levels identified in section 4301 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE B—ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Section 313—Exclusions from Definition of "Chemical Substance" under Toxic Substances Control Act

This section would modify section 2602(2)(B) of title 15, United States Code, to add to the exclusions any component of any article, including shot, bullets and other projectiles, propellants when manufactured for or used in such an article, and primers.

SUBTITLE C—LOGISTICS AND SUSTAINMENT

Section 321—Amendment to Limitation on Authority to Enter into a Contract for the Sustainment, Maintenance, Repair, or Other Overhaul of the F117 Engine

This section would amend section 341 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. “Buck” McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291) to require the senior acquisition executive of the Air Force to make a determination that the Air Force has obtained sufficient data to establish that the Air Force is paying a fair and reasonable price for F117 engine sustainment, maintenance, repair, or overhaul.

The committee notes that section 341 was not intended to affect any existing contract or options under an existing contract that was concluded prior to the date of enactment of Public Law 113-291.

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE B—RESERVE COMPONENT MANAGEMENT

Section 512—Ready Reserve Continuous Screening Regarding Key Positions Disqualifying Federal Officials from Continued Service in the Ready Reserve

This section would amend section 10149 of title 10, United States Code, to include members who occupy key Federal positions to the individuals who must be screened for continued service in the Ready Reserve.

SUBTITLE I—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 594—Report on Rulemaking under the Military Lending Act

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by March 1, 2016, describing any rulemaking undertaken by the Department of Defense concerning section 987 of title 10, United States Code, and part 232 of title 32, Code of Federal Regulations. Additionally, the Secretary of Defense may not implement any final regulation concerning section 987 of title 10, United States Code, or part 232 of title 32, Code of Federal Regulations, until the end of a 60 day

period beginning when the required report is submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 800—Sense of Congress on the Desired Tenets of the Defense Acquisition System

This section would express the sense of Congress that past acquisition reform efforts have not significantly changed the acquisition of military equipment and services. The committee proposes a different approach from previous efforts by seeking to improve the environment driving acquisition decisions in the Department of Defense, industry, and Congress. This section would identify key acquisition tenets that should govern the Department's acquisition system once reforms are implemented.

SUBTITLE A—ACQUISITION POLICY AND MANAGEMENT

Section 801—Report on Linking and Streamlining Requirements, Acquisition, and Budget Processes within Armed Forces

This section would require the Chief of Staff of the Army, the Chief of Naval Operations, the Chief of Staff of the Air Force, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps to each submit a report to the congressional defense committees on their efforts to leverage their existing statutory authorities in a manner that links and streamlines their services' requirements, acquisition, and budget processes in order to foster improved outcomes. These reports will inform the committee's consideration of recommendations that the statutory authorities of the senior military officers should be expanded to provide additional influence on the acquisition system.

The committee is concerned that the requirements process, the acquisition system, and the budget process are not sufficiently aligned to provide a desirable outcome. Moreover, the committee believes that previous efforts to reform the defense acquisition system have failed to consider the role of the individuals and leaders, who are not a formal part of the acquisition workforce, in the outcomes generated by the system. The committee believes the senior military officer of each service, as well as military personnel who are not formally considered part of the acquisition workforce, are a critical part of the entire acquisition chain from requirements determination to the sustainment of a fielded system.

Furthermore, the committee is concerned that many uniformed personnel are placed in roles that require them to make decisions related to, or to provide

oversight of, defense procurements, yet they are not considered part of the acquisition workforce and not subject to required training on these matters. Therefore, these personnel are generally not provided dedicated training to enable them to be successful in these duties. While many of these individuals have primary duty responsibilities in roles such as surface warfare officers, artillery officers, pilots, or infantrymen, they also have pivotal roles in requirements development, contract award or renewal, contract management and oversight, and budget processes. The committee believes there may be gaps in training and preparation of these personnel, but is also aware that with high operational tempo and other professional military education requirements, the levying of additional training and education related to requirements, acquisition, and budget processes may not be feasible or could harm the career progression of these personnel by taking them away from their primary duties.

The committee notes that while the senior military officer of each service may not have broad authorities with respect to the acquisition process, the senior military officer has significant authority and influence with respect to the requirements and budgeting processes, as well as authority over all uniformed personnel, including those not considered part of the acquisition workforce, who are assigned to roles that require them to make decisions related to defense procurements. As a result, the committee believes that the senior military officers of each of the services are uniquely empowered to promote integration among the requirements, acquisition, and budget processes. This includes the training and development of all personnel to have a basic understanding of these complex matters.

Elsewhere in this Act, the committee also includes a provision that would require the military service chiefs to review current authorities related to defense acquisitions for the purpose of developing such recommendations that the Chief concerned or the Commandant considers necessary to further or strengthen the role of the Chief concerned or the Commandant in the development of requirements, acquisition processes, and the associated budget practices of the Department of Defense.

Section 802—Required Review of Acquisition-related Functions of the Chiefs of Staff of the Armed Forces

This section would require the Chief of Staff of the Army, the Chief of Naval Operations, the Chief of Staff of the Air Force, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps to review their current authorities provided in sections 3033, 5033, 5043, and 8033 of title 10, United States Code, and other relevant statutes and regulations related to defense acquisitions for the purpose of developing such recommendations that the Chief concerned or the Commandant considers necessary to further or strengthen the role of the Chief concerned or the Commandant in the development of requirements, acquisition processes, and the associated budget practices of the Department of Defense. This section would also require the Chief concerned and the

Commandant to each submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than March 1, 2016, with recommendations developed as a result of the review and a description of the actions the Chief concerned or the Commandant is taking within the Chief's or Commandant's existing authorities to implement those recommendations.

Section 803—Independent Study of Matters Related to Bid Protests

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into a contract, within 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, with an independent research entity that is a not-for-profit entity or a federally funded research and development center with appropriate expertise and analytical capability to carry out a comprehensive study of factors leading to bid protests. The study shall examine the variable influences on the net benefit (monetary and non-monetary) to contractors either filing a protest or indicating intent to file a protest. This section would also require that not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the independent entity shall provide the results of the study along with any recommendations it may have to the Secretary and the congressional defense committees.

Section 804—Procurement of Commercial Items

This section would amend chapter 140 of title 10, United States Code, by adding a new section that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish and maintain a centralized capability with the resources and expertise to oversee the making of commercial item determinations for Department of Defense procurements and to provide public access to Department of Defense commercial item determinations.

This section would also amend section 2306a(b) of title 10, United States Code, to allow the contracting officer to presume that a prior commercial item determination made by a military department, Defense Agency, or other component of the Department of Defense shall serve as a determination for subsequent procurements of such items. If the contracting officer instead proceeds with a procurement of an item previously determined to be commercial using procedures other than those authorized for commercial item procurement, this section would require the contracting officer to request a review of the prior commercial item determination by the head of the contracting activity. The section would require the head of the contracting activity to, within 30 days of receiving a request for review, either confirm that the prior determination was appropriate or issue a revised determination. The committee expects that in conducting such a review, the head of the contracting activity would consult with the centralized capability, required to be established by the Secretary of Defense under this section, to inform such determinations.

Section 805—Amendment Relating to Multiyear Contract Authority for Acquisition of Property

This section would amend section 2306b(a) of title 10, United States Code, to allow the head of an agency to enter into multiyear contracts for the acquisition of property if there is a reasonable expectation that the use of a multiyear contract would result in lower total anticipated costs of carrying out the program than if the program were carried out through annual contracts. This section would strike the existing requirement that the head of an agency must determine that substantial savings would be achieved before entering into a multiyear contract.

Section 806—Compliance with Inventory of Contracts for Services

This section would limit the expenditure of funds authorized for the operation of the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness until certain conditions are met regarding the Department of Defense's compliance with the requirement for an inventory of contracts for services.

The committee notes that the Under Secretary has not fully implemented the plan for documenting the number of full-time contractor employees required by section 8108(c) of the Department of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011 (Public Law 112-10), and has not fully complied with the requirement in section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, to establish a data collection system to provide management information with regard to each purchase of services by a military department or defense agency.

The committee further notes that in a May 9, 2014, letter to the Congress, the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness stated that the Department of Defense had adopted “the reporting tool and successful processes that the Army has used for the past several years with its centralized Contractor Manpower Reporting Application (CMRA)” and that the CMRA software had been made available for all components. However, in January 2015, the Under Secretary’s office briefed the committee that a “single application [is] less than ideal” and that the Under Secretary is now seeking to develop additional hardware, software, network, application, and user interface solutions for each component of the Department of Defense.

Additionally, the Under Secretary stated that “in [fiscal year] 2015 the Department will have additional dedicated resources in this area” by establishing a Total Force Management Support Office “to comprehensively implement [Enterprise] CMRA across the Department.” However, the committee is aware that little has been done to establish such an office or otherwise define business processes for compiling, reviewing, and using inventory data to inform decision-making.

Furthermore, the committee is also aware that the Department’s lack of progress on the inventory was confirmed by the Department of Defense Inspector General who reported on April 15, 2015, that of the 33 Components that submitted

an inventory, only 10 Components included all 8 required elements in their certification letters.

The committee continues to believe an inventory of contracts for services is a fundamental tool for assisting an agency in better understanding how contracted services are being used to support missions and operations and whether contractors' skills are being utilized in an appropriate manner.

SUBTITLE B—WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT AND RELATED MATTERS

Section 811—Amendments to Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund

This section would amend section 1705 of title 10, United States Code, to make permanent the authority for both the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund and the associated expedited hiring authority.

Section 812—Dual-Track Military Professionals in Operational and Acquisition Specialties

This section would amend section 1722a of title 10, United States Code, by reinstituting a dual-tracking system of primary and functional secondary career fields for officers and noncommissioned officers serving in acquisition positions by dual-tracking such personnel in operational and acquisition career fields under the shared accountability and responsibility of the military service chiefs and component acquisition executives for career path management and selections. This section would create a needed balance of experience between acquisition and operations.

Section 813—Provision of Joint Duty Assignment Credit for Acquisition Duty

This section would amend section 668 of title 10, United States Code, by adding to the term "joint matters" the inclusion of acquisition matters addressed by military personnel. This section would enable military acquisition professionals to broaden their promotion and career opportunities by making it easier for them to receive joint professional credit. It would also result in an end to the double experience requirement for military acquisition professionals who must meet the joint duty assignment requirement for promotion in addition to the Defense Acquisition Workforce Improvement Act (chapter 87 of title 10, United States Code) certification requirement. Lastly, it would result in the award of joint duty assignment credit to officers who serve in acquisition positions, thus precluding acquisition assignments from impeding career progression.

Section 815—Mandatory Requirement for Training Related to the Conduct of Market Research

This section would amend section 2377 of title 10, United States Code, by adding a requirement that the Secretary of Defense shall provide mandatory training for members of the Armed Forces and employees of the Department of Defense responsible for the conduct of market research required under subsection (c) of section 2377 of title 10, United States Code. Such mandatory training shall, at a minimum:

- (1) Provide comprehensive information on the subject of market research, and the function of market research in the acquisition of commercial items;
 - (2) Teach best practices for conducting and documenting market research;
- and
- (3) Provide methodologies for establishing standard processes and reports for collecting and sharing market research across the Department.

Furthermore, this section would require the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to ensure that such training requirements are also incorporated into the requirements management certification training mandate of the Joint Capabilities Integration Development System.

Section 816—Independent Study of Implementation of Defense Acquisition Workforce Improvement Efforts

This section would require the Secretary of Defense, within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to enter into a contract with an independent research entity that is a not-for-profit entity or a federally funded research and development center with appropriate expertise and analytical capability to carry out a comprehensive study of the Department of Defense's strategic planning related to the defense acquisition workforce. The study would provide a comprehensive examination of the Department's efforts to recruit, develop, and retain the acquisition workforce, to include: a specific review of the implementation of the Defense Acquisition Workforce Improvement Act (including chapter 87 of title 10, United States Code); the application of the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund (as established under section 1705 of title 10, United States Code); and the effectiveness of professional military education programs, including fellowships and exchanges with industry.

This section would also require that the independent research entity provide a report to the Secretary, not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, containing the results of the study and recommendations to improve the acquisition workforce. Furthermore, this section would require the Secretary of Defense to provide the report, along with any additional views or recommendations of the Secretary, to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the date of the Secretary's receipt of the report.

Section 817—Extension of Demonstration Project Relating to Certain Acquisition Personnel Management Policies and Procedures

This section would amend section 1762 of title 10, United States Code, by extending the demonstration project relating to certain acquisition personnel management policies and procedures through 2020.

SUBTITLE C—WEAPON SYSTEMS ACQUISITION AND RELATED MATTERS

Section 821—Sense of Congress on the Desired Characteristics for the Weapon Systems Acquisition System

This section would express the sense of Congress on the acquisition tenets needed to improve weapon system acquisitions. This section includes a series of findings that the current weapon systems acquisition system, despite significant and repeated attempts at acquisition reform, continues its track record of too many cancellations, schedule slippages, cost overruns, and failures to deliver timely solutions to meet the needs of the Armed Forces. This section would also propose that any new system should be characterized by highly disciplined program initiation, agile program execution, and balanced oversight.

Section 822—Acquisition Strategy Required for Each Major Defense Acquisition Program and Major System

This section would establish a new section in chapter 144 of title 10, United States Code, that requires an acquisition strategy for each major defense acquisition program and each major system approved by a Milestone Decision Authority (MDA). This section would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to issue and maintain requirements for the content of these acquisition strategies as well as the review and approval process for these strategies. This section would also require the Under Secretary to ensure that each strategy addresses several considerations, including: the proposed business, technical management, and sustainment approaches; how it will be implemented with available resources; industrial base considerations; risk management approaches; contract strategies; and other considerations as required in current statute. Additionally, this section would require the MDA to review and approve, as appropriate, these acquisition strategies at key decision points in the acquisition process.

This section is intended to consolidate various existing requirements by allowing other statutory reporting requirements to be met with this single acquisition strategy requirement and to streamline the acquisition strategy approval process. This section also repeals section 803 of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107-314) that established specific requirements for spiral development strategies, many elements of which will now be met with this single acquisition strategy requirement.

Section 823—Revision to Requirements Relating to Risk Management in Development of Major Defense Acquisition Programs and Major Systems

This section would establish a new section in chapter 144 of title 10, United States Code, that requires the program acquisition strategy for each major defense acquisition program or major system to include an identification of major program risks and a risk management and mitigation strategy. This section would also provide acquisition programs with greater flexibility in the ways programmatic risk can be addressed beyond the competitive prototyping requirement in section 203 of the Weapon Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-23).

Competitive prototyping remains an acquisition best practice, particularly as a means to reduce risk during technology development and the period leading up to the critical design review. However, the committee recognizes that prototyping may not be appropriate for all defense acquisition programs.

Finally, this section would repeal section 203 of Public Law 111-23.

Section 824—Modification to Requirements Relating to Determination of Contract Type for Major Defense Acquisition Programs and Major Systems

This section would amend section 2306 of title 10, United States Code, by adding a new subsection, and repealing the requirements in certain subsections of section 818 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364), relating to the modification of Department of Defense regulations. The committee expects the Department to adjust regulations and guidance as appropriate. The new subsection of section 2306 of title 10, United States Code, would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that an acquisition strategy for a major defense acquisition program, major system, or a major automated information system includes: an identification of and justification for the type of contract proposed; an explanation of how the contract type relates to the level of program risk; an explanation of how the use of incentives in the contract supports the objectives of the program; and an explanation of how the plans for the program or system to reduce risk enable the use of fixed-price elements in subsequent contracts.

The committee has observed that, over time, the Department has encouraged the use of one contract type over another and believes that Department should select appropriate contract types best suited to the program objectives and the level of program risk. Therefore, this section would also enable the Secretary of Defense to establish in guidance that the use of incentives in contracts can be appropriate.

Section 825—Required Determination before Milestone A Approval or Initiation of Major Defense Acquisition Programs

This section would amend section 2366a of title 10, United States Code, to require the Milestone Decision Authority to make a written determination, in lieu of a certification, before approving milestone A. This section would also require an explanation of the basis for the determination to be submitted to a congressional defense committee upon request.

The committee remains concerned that the process used to manage the acquisition of weapon systems is inefficient, cumbersome, and bureaucratic, and that an over-focus on paperwork and legal reviews takes time away from conducting day-to-day core program management tasks such as contractor oversight, engineering, and risk management. While the substantive program management work necessary to support a determination remains consistent with that needed to support a certification, a determination requires less bureaucratic reviews of documentation than a certification. Because the Department would be required to make the basis for the determination available to the committee upon request, the committee would retain access to the information needed for oversight and accountability, while enabling a reduction in the time and effort the Department spends on processes that do not appear to provide much added value.

Section 826—Required Certification and Determination before Milestone B Approval of Major Defense Acquisition Programs

This section would amend section 2366b of title 10, United States Code, to require the Milestone Decision Authority (MDA) to make a written determination, instead of a certification, for some of the existing certification requirements before approving milestone B. This section would also require an explanation of the basis for the determination to be submitted to a congressional defense committee upon request.

The committee remains concerned that the process used to manage the acquisition of weapon systems is inefficient, cumbersome, and bureaucratic, and that an over-focus on paperwork takes critical time away from conducting day-to-day core program management tasks such as contractor oversight, engineering, and risk management. The committee believes that requiring a determination by the MDA, instead of a legal certification, in certain cases could increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the Department of Defense's acquisition review process, while reducing the time and effort the Department spends on processes that do not appear to provide much added value.

Because the milestone B approval represents a major commitment of resources by the Department, this section retains certain certification requirements, including of the business case itself, that the business case is supported by a preliminary design review, and that the technology to be used has been demonstrated. However, the committee believes some areas, such as market research, may only need a determination by the MDA. In those areas requiring a determination, the committee would retain access to the information needed for oversight and accountability because the Department would be required to make the basis for the determination available to the committee upon request.

SUBTITLE D—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS

Section 831—Codification and Amendment of Mentor-Protege Program

This section would revise and codify section 831 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510).

The committee is aware that the Department of Defense Mentor-Protege Program was established in 1991 to allow small businesses, or proteges, to partner with large companies, or mentors, under individual, project-based agreements to position those proteges to better compete for prime contract or subcontract awards. Mentors benefit by expanding their sourcing plans to these small firms; proteges benefit by developing needed business and technical capabilities to diversify their customer base. Past reviews by the Government Accountability Office have indicated that "the Mentor-Protege Program was a valuable experience and enhanced business development" and that "[n]inety-three percent of responding proteges reported the Mentor-Protege Program enhanced, at least to some degree, their firms' overall capabilities." The committee believes that the Mentor-Protege Program is a valuable tool for the Department of Defense and is helpful in creating a strong foundation to see it used more broadly by the military services and agencies, and improve linkages between small, non-traditional contractors and larger, mainstream defense contractors.

Section 832—Amendments to Data Quality Improvement Plan

This section would amend section 15(s) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(s)) to require the Administrator of the Small Business Administration to annually provide to the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate certification of the accuracy and completeness of data reported on bundled and consolidated contracts. This section would also require the Comptroller General of the United States to provide a report to the aforementioned committees not later than the first day of fiscal year 2019 on the effectiveness of the certification process and an assessment of whether contracts were accurately labeled as bundled or consolidated.

Section 833—Notice of Contract Consolidation for Acquisition Strategies

This section would amend section 44(c)(2) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657q(c)(2)) to require the senior procurement executive or chief acquisition officer to announce through a public website that a determination has been made to bundle or consolidate contracts within 1 week of making the determination, but no later than 1 week prior to the issuance of a solicitation.

This section would also amend section 15(e)(3) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(e)(3)) to require the head of a contracting agency to announce through a public website that a determination has been made regarding a substantial bundling of contracts for a proposed procurement plan no later than 1 week after such a determination is made, and at least 1 week prior to the publication of any solicitation.

Section 834—Clarification of Requirements Related to Small Business Contracts for Services

This section would amend section 8(a)(17) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a)(17)) to clarify that the statute applies to contracts for goods, but not services or construction. The committee notes that the non-manufacturer rule (NMR) was established to ensure that, when competition for a contract for goods is restricted to small businesses, the goods ultimately purchased were indeed the product of a small business. However, the committee is concerned that the NMR is being applied to services and construction contracts and could limit small business participants contracting for services and construction to the Federal Government. Therefore, the committee believes this clarification to section 8(a)(17) is necessary.

Section 835—Review of Government Access to Intellectual Property Rights of Private Sector Firms

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into a contract with an independent entity with appropriate expertise to conduct a review of Department of Defense regulations and practices related to Government access to and use of intellectual property rights of private sector firms. In conducting the review, the independent entity shall consult with the National Defense Technology and Industrial Base Council. This section would require the Secretary to submit a report on the findings of the independent entity, along with a description of any actions that the Secretary proposes to revise and clarify laws or that the Secretary may take to revise or clarify regulations related to intellectual property rights to the congressional defense committees not later than March 1, 2016.

Section 837—Policy Regarding Solid Rocket Motors Used in Tactical Missiles

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that every tactical missile program of the Department of Defense that uses solid propellant as the primary propulsion system shall have at least one rocket motor supplier within the national technology and industrial base (as defined in section 2500(1) of title 10, United States Code), and would allow the Secretary to waive this requirement in the case of compelling national security reasons.

Section 838—FAR Council Membership for Administrator of Small Business Administration

This section would amend section 1302 of title 41, United States Code, by adding the Administrator of the Small Business Administration to the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council.

SUBTITLE E—OTHER MATTERS

Section 841—Additional Responsibility for Director of Operational Test and Evaluation

This section would amend section 139 of title 10, United States Code, by including a new subsection that would require the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation to consider the potential for increases in program cost estimates or delays in schedule estimates in the implementation of policies, procedures, and activities related to operational test and evaluation, and to take appropriate action to ensure that the conduct of operational test and evaluation activities do not unnecessarily impede program schedules or increase program costs.

Section 842—Use of Recent Prices Paid by the Government in the Determination of Price Reasonableness

This section would amend section 2306a of title 10, United States Code, by adding a new paragraph that would require a contracting officer to consider evidence provided by an offeror of recent purchase prices paid by the Government for the same or similar commercial items in establishing price reasonableness if the contracting officer is satisfied that the prices previously paid remain a valid reference for comparison after considering the totality of other relevant factors such as time elapsed since prior purchase and any difference in quantities purchased or applicable terms and conditions.

Section 843—Codification of Other Transaction Authority for Certain Prototype Projects

This section would make permanent the other transactions authority (OTA) for contracting established in section 845 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160), as modified most recently by section 812 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291). This section would also make changes to the authority to use such contracting mechanisms to clarify that all participants to the contract be small business or nontraditional defense contractors, unless exceptional circumstances exist that require innovative business arrangements that are not feasible under another contract type.

OTA has been an effective tool for research and development contracts, particularly for innovative organizations like the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency. Due to the ability to tailor the contracting language and thus eliminating many aspects of the Federal acquisition regulations that may not be pertinent, OTA requires some discretion to allow for effective and seamless execution. The benefits of this flexibility have been recognized most recently by the Air Force, which would like to extensively rely on OTA contracting vehicles to more rapidly acquire information technology systems. The committee supports the Department of Defense in using flexible tools for its contracting and believes such permanence will give the Department additional confidence in the type of

experimentation and organizational learning that is necessary if the Department is to remain competitive in the commercial marketplace. The committee will continue to review efforts utilizing such contracting mechanisms to prevent abuse or misuse by the Department.

Section 844—Amendments to Certain Acquisition Thresholds

This section would amend section 134 of title 41, United States Code, by raising the simplified acquisition threshold from \$100,000 to \$500,000. The committee is aware that section 807 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375) requires an adjustment every 5 years of acquisition related thresholds for inflation, except for Davis-Bacon Act, Service Contract Act, and trade agreements thresholds, using the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers. The committee notes that the current adjusted simplified acquisition threshold is \$150,000. However, the committee believes changing the simplified acquisition threshold to \$500,000 will further enable Federal agencies to buy products and services more quickly, more economically, and with a focus on small businesses.

In order to maintain parity with other established thresholds, this section would also amend section 1902 of title 41, United States Code, by raising the micro-purchase threshold from \$3,000 to \$5,000. Furthermore, this section would also amend section 1903 of title 41, United States Code, by raising the special emergency procurement authority threshold for purchases inside the United States from \$250,000 to \$750,000 and raising the threshold for such authority for purchases outside the United States from \$1.0 million to \$1.5 million.

Finally, this section would amend section 15(j)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(j)(1)) by raising the small business reservation threshold from \$100,000 to \$500,000.

Section 845—Revision of Method of Rounding when Making Inflation Adjustment of Acquisition-Related Dollar Thresholds

This section would amend section 1908(e)(2) of title 41, United States Code, to change the rounding method that is used when scheduled adjustments are made to certain acquisition-related dollar thresholds, such as the simplified acquisition threshold. Specifically, this section would apply the rounding to the dollar threshold calculated after the adjustment is made based on the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for all-urban consumers. The current method rounds the value of the threshold on the day before the adjustment is calculated and then applies the adjustment based on CPI. Further, this section would provide additional guidance for rounding increments for acquisition-related thresholds that are \$10.0 million or more up to more than \$1.00 billion.

The committee notes that section 1908 of title 41 provides for an inflation adjustment every 5 years of acquisition-related dollar thresholds that are specified in law as a factor in defining the scope of the applicability of the policy, procedure,

requirement, or restriction to the procurement of property or services by an executive agency, as determined by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council. However, the committee is concerned that the current rounding procedures can, in some circumstances, lead to an inconsistent and inappropriate level of rounding.

Section 847—Examination and Guidance Relating to Oversight and Approval of Services Contracts

This section would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to complete an examination by March 1, 2016, of the decision authority related to acquisition of services and to develop and promulgate guidance to improve capabilities related to services contracts requirements development, source selection, and contract oversight and management.

Section 849—Consideration of Strategic Materials in Preliminary Design Review

This section would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to ensure that Department of Defense Instruction 5000.02 and other applicable guidance receive full consideration during preliminary design review for strategic materials requirements over the life cycle of the product.

Section 851—Amendments Concerning Detection and Avoidance of Counterfeit Electronic Parts

This section would amend section 818(c)(2)(B) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) to expand the eligibility for covered contractors to include costs associated with rework and corrective action related to counterfeit electronic parts as allowable costs under Department of Defense contracts.

The committee expects that, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense will revise the Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation to conform with the changes made by this section.

Section 852—Revision to Duties of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Developmental Test and Evaluation and the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Engineering

This section would amend section 139b of title 10, United States Code, to strengthen the authority of the Milestone Decision Authority (MDA) by clarifying that the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Developmental Test and Evaluation and the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Engineering advise the MDA regarding review and approval of developmental test plans and systems engineering plans. Under existing statute, both these positions review and approve or disapprove those plans. The committee is proposing this change to

streamline decision-making authority and strengthen accountability by ensuring that the MDA is fully responsible for determining whether a program is ready to proceed into the next phase of acquisition.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 901—Redesignation of the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps

This section would redesignate the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps, and change the title of its Secretary to the Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps. This section would formally recognize the responsibility of the Office of the Secretary of the Navy over both the Navy and Marine Corps, and the Marine Corps' status as an equal partner with the Navy.

Section 902—Change of Period for Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Review of the Unified Command Plan

This section would amend section 161(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, by modifying the requirement for the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to review the Unified Command Plan (UCP), including the missions, responsibilities, and force structure of each combatant command, from not less than every 2 years to not less than every 4 years. This modification would better align the UCP review with the Defense Strategy Review, required by section 118 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291).

Section 903—Update of Statutory Specification of Functions of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Relating to Joint Force Development Activities

This section would amend section 153 of title 10, United States Code, relating to functions of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to reflect additional joint force integration functions already overseen by the Chairman resulting from the disestablishment of United States Joint Forces Command on August 31, 2011, and the subsequent deletion of that command from the Unified Command Plan.

Section 904—Sense of Congress on the United States Marine Corps

This section would express a series of findings regarding the global security environment and the importance of the United States Marine Corps, as recognized

by the 82nd Congress. This section would also express a sense of Congress reaffirming the Marine Corps' composition and functions as codified in section 5063 of title 10, United States Code, and reaffirming its responsibility as the nation's expeditionary, crisis response force.

The committee notes that similar to the duties of the other military service chiefs, the Commandant of the Marine Corps is charged with significant responsibilities to organize, train, and equip Fleet Marine Forces of combined arms, together with supporting air components, for service with the fleet. The committee believes the Secretary of the Navy should recognize the particular interests of the Marine Corps and should continue to fully enable the Commandant to represent those interests in the same manner as the other military service chiefs.

In this regard, the committee appreciates that particular care must be taken by the Secretary of the Navy to ensure that the Marine Corps, which has fewer personnel to devote to staff duty than the Navy, receives evenhanded treatment in organizing, manning, establishing work priorities, and otherwise structuring and operating within the consolidated Department of the Navy. The committee believes the Secretary's office, and those of the assistant secretaries, should include appropriate numbers of Marine generals and other Marine officers to ensure that the interests of the Marine Corps will be represented and that the Commandant will receive appropriate support from these offices. Finally, the committee also intends that the Headquarters, Marine Corps, shall have an appropriate share of the total number of general officers, other members of the Armed Forces, and civilian employees of the Department of the Navy for the Office of the Secretary of the Navy, the Office of the Chief of Naval Operations, and the Headquarters, Marine Corps.

Section 905—Additional Requirements for Streamlining of Department of Defense Management Headquarters

This section would express a series of findings and the sense of Congress on the commitment of the Department of Defense to reduce its headquarters budgets and personnel by 20 percent and to achieve \$10.00 billion in cost savings over 5 years. It would also amend section 904 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66), which requires the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan for streamlining Department of Defense management headquarters, by requiring an accurate baseline accounting of defense headquarters budgets and personnel, and more specific information on actual and planned reductions in management headquarters. In addition, this section would further modify section 904 of Public Law 113-66 to require the Department to implement its planned reduction in headquarters budgets and personnel.

The committee notes that the Department has already claimed the savings associated with these reductions in the budget requests provided since the 20 percent goal was established in 2013. While the committee is interested in ensuring that true cost savings result from these efficiencies, the committee also desires to

ensure that any reductions are taken in a manner that increase the performance, accountability, and agility of the Department. Moreover, the committee encourages the Department to take the actions necessary to establish accurate baselines and plans against which progress can be measured.

Section 906—Department of Defense Workforce Restructuring

This section would express a series of findings on the workforce of the Department of Defense, to include noting that: reductions are occurring in military end strength while no similar reductions are occurring in the civilian or contractor workforce; these reductions are budget-driven decisions in response to current fiscal restraints and not as a result of a reduction of missions or requirements within the Department of Defense; and current and former senior Department of Defense officials have expressed a need for additional authorities or legislative help to shape and reduce the civilian workforce.

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense, under certain conditions, to consider performance most significantly among other factors when exercising existing authority for workforce restructuring within the Department. The Secretary would first be required to certify that significant defense budget reductions are occurring, resulting in significant military end strength reductions; additional reductions in civilian personnel are required to appropriately balance the total workforce; the Secretary will first use attrition and voluntary incentives to shape the civilian workforce; the number of contractor personnel are also being reduced; the Secretary has a plan to reduce the total workforce within 2 years; and the Department is following established rules for notification of employees and the appeals process. Lastly, this section would limit the Secretary from filling any resulting vacated civilian positions with contractors for a period of 6 years, and then, with limited exceptions, only in accordance with existing law for conversion to contractor performance.

The committee believes that the Department of Defense must have the authorities and tools necessary to shape and manage the total workforce in response to significant financial constraints and to remain agile in addressing the growing array of diverse threats facing the country and missions assigned to the Department. This includes possessing the authorities and tools to retain high performers.

Therefore, elsewhere in this report, the committee includes an item directing the Secretary of Defense to brief the committee on any legislative authority or regulatory policies in place that limit the Secretary of Defense's ability to appropriately balance the military, civilian, and contractor personnel within the Department.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—FINANCIAL MATTERS

Section 1001—General Transfer Authority

This section would allow the Secretary of Defense, with certain limitations, to make transfers between amounts authorized for fiscal year 2016 in division A of this Act. This section would limit the total amount transferred under this authority to \$5.00 billion. This section would also require prompt notification to Congress of each transfer made.

Section 1003—Accounting Standards to Value Certain Property, Plant, and Equipment Items

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to coordinate with the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board to establish accounting standards for large and unordinary general property, plant, and equipment items.

SUBTITLE B—COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

Section 1011—Extension of Authority to Provide Additional Support for Counter-drug Activities of Certain Foreign Governments

This section would extend, by 1 year, the authority to provide support for counterdrug activities of certain foreign governments, originally authorized by subsection (a)(2) of section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105-85), and most recently amended by section 1013 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66).

Section 1012—Statement of Policy on Plan Central America

This section would express a series of findings and a statement of policy on a Plan Central America to address the threatening levels of violence, instability, illicit trafficking, and transnational organized crime that challenge the sovereignty of Central American nations and security of the United States. This section would specifically state that it shall be the policy of the United States to increase Department of Defense efforts to support this plan.

In the past 10 years, violence and instability in Central America has grown due to an increase in transnational organized crime, a constant demand from the United States and other nations for narcotics and illicit products, and a decrease in illicit trafficking in Colombia, which has contributed to the rise in illicit trafficking in Central America. The committee recognizes the Administration's support of regional efforts to address this violence and instability, including its fiscal year 2016 request for \$1.00 billion in Department of State funds to provide assistance to Central America, focused on promoting prosperity and regional economic integration, enhancing security, and promoting improved governance. However, the

committee notes that the request contained no funding for the Department of Defense to support these efforts, despite its responsibility as the lead U.S. Government agency for directing illicit trafficking detection and monitoring activities.

The committee believes that any whole-of-government approach for a Plan Central America should also include the Department of Defense, and leverage the unique capabilities the Department of Defense can provide in areas such as aerial and maritime capabilities, building partnership capacity, and the detection and monitoring of illicit trafficking. Therefore, to complement this statement of policy, elsewhere in this Act the committee recommends an increase of \$50.0 million for Department of Defense Central American programs within the Drug Interdiction & Counter-drug Activities appropriation. This funding should be focused on aerial and maritime interdiction capabilities, building partnership capacity, and increasing detection and monitoring of illicit trafficking in Central America, and complement the Department of State efforts. The committee expects the Department of Defense to provide the committee with details on the execution of these additional funds.

The committee commends the work of the U.S. Government, and particularly the Department of Defense, in addressing the security challenges emanating from Central America. The committee is dedicated to addressing these issues in the Western Hemisphere and protecting U.S. national security.

SUBTITLE C—NAVAL VESSELS AND SHIPYARDS

Section 1021—Restrictions on the Overhaul and Repair of Vessels in Foreign Shipyards

This section would amend section 7310 of title 10, United States Code, to prohibit the Secretary of the Navy from beginning in a shipyard outside the United States or outside a territory of the United States any work that is scheduled to be for a period of more than 6 months for the overhaul, repair, or maintenance of a naval vessel whose homeport is not in the United States or Guam. This limitation does not apply to emergency or voyage repairs required during an overseas homeporting period. This change would be effective on October 1, 2016, or upon enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017, whichever comes later.

The committee notes that a covered naval vessel does not include vessels unable to complete a maintenance availability in the United States or a territory of the United States due to mechanical, hull, or propulsion limitations.

SUBTITLE D—COUNTERTERRORISM

Section 1032—Congressional Notification of Sensitive Military Operations

This section would modify section 130f of title 10, United States Code, by striking the exception to the notification requirement for a sensitive military

operation executed within the territory of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107-40).

Section 1034—Reports to Congress on Contact between Terrorists and Individuals Formerly Detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

This section would amend section 319 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-32), which requires the President to submit a report on individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to certain members and committees of Congress, to include in the reporting requirement a summary of all contact between individuals formerly detained at Guantanamo Bay and individuals known or suspected to be associated with a foreign terrorist group, and whether any of those contacts included information or discussion about hostilities against the United States or its allies or partners. This section would require that the summary of contact between individuals contain any means of communication, including but not limited to telecommunications, electronic or technical means, in person, or written communications. Additionally, the summary of contact between individuals shall include all contact, regardless of content.

The committee notes that the report should account for all former Guantanamo detainees who have been in contact with individuals known or suspected to be associated with foreign terrorist groups regarding hostilities against the United States or its allies or partners regardless of whether the former detainee has been determined to be suspected or confirmed of reengagement using definitions established by the U.S. Intelligence Community.

The requirements of this report are in addition to those already required by section 319 of Public Law 111-32, and should not be construed to terminate, alter, modify, override, or otherwise affect any reporting of information previously required by such section.

Section 1035—Inclusion in Reports to Congress Information about Recidivism of Individuals Formerly Detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

This section would amend section 319 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-32), which requires the President to submit a report on individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to include in future quarterly reports the period of time between the date on which an individual was released or transferred from Guantanamo Bay, and the date on which the individual is suspected or confirmed of reengaging in terrorist activities. This section would also require a summary of the average amount of time for all individuals from the date they were released or transferred from Guantanamo Bay until the date on which the Intelligence Community received the first suspected or confirmed reengagement reports.

Section 1036—Prohibition on the Use of Funds for the Transfer or Release of Individuals Detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

This section would prohibit the use of any amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense to be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2016, to transfer or release detainees at U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to or within the United States, its territories, or possessions.

Section 1037—Prohibition on Use of Funds to Construct or Modify Facilities in the United States to House Detainees Transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

This section would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from using any of the funds available to the Department of Defense during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2016, to modify or construct any facility in the United States, its territories, or possessions to house any detainee transferred from U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, for the purposes of detention or imprisonment in the custody or under the effective control of the Department of Defense.

Section 1038—Prohibition on Use of Funds to Transfer or Release Individuals Detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to Combat Zones

This section would prohibit the use of funds by the Department of Defense to transfer, release, or assist in the transfer or release of any individual detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to a combat zone, as defined in this section.

Section 1039—Requirements for Certifications Relating to the Transfer of Detainees at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to Foreign Countries and Other Foreign Entities

This section would prohibit the use of any amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense to be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2016, to transfer or release any individual detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the individual's country of origin, any other foreign country, or any other foreign entity. This prohibition would apply unless the Secretary of Defense provides a written certification to Congress addressing several requirements at least 30 days prior to the transfer of any such individual.

This section would also prohibit the Secretary of Defense from using any funds for the transfer of any such individual to the custody or effective control of the

individual's country of origin, any other foreign country, or any other foreign entity if there is a confirmed case of any individual transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the same country or entity who engaged in terrorist activity subsequent to their transfer.

This section would allow the Secretary of Defense to waive certain certification requirements if the Secretary determines that alternative actions will be taken, that actions taken will substantially mitigate risks posed by the individual to be transferred, and that the transfer is in the national security interests of the United States. Whenever the Secretary uses the waiver, the Secretary must provide a report that includes a copy of the waiver and determination, a statement of the basis for the determination, and a summary of the alternative actions to be taken.

Finally, this section would repeal current law, as contained in section 1035 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66).

The committee notes that the Department of Defense failed to comply with section 1035 of Public Law 113-66 by failing to notify the appropriate committees of Congress not later than 30 days before the transfer of five individuals detained at Guantanamo Bay to the State of Qatar on May 31, 2014. Additionally, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) concluded that the Department violated section 8111 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2014 (division C of Public Law 113-76) which prohibits the Department from using appropriated funds to transfer any individuals detained at Guantanamo unless the Secretary of Defense notifies certain congressional committees consistent with section 1035 of Public Law 113-66. According to GAO, as a consequence of using its appropriations in a manner specifically prohibited by law, the Department also violated the Antideficiency Act (Public Law 97-258).

The committee also has concerns regarding the Department's recent actions related to the transfer of other detainees from Guantanamo Bay and the implications for U.S. national security.

Section 1040—Submission to Congress of Certain Documents Relating to Transfer of Individuals Detained at Guantanamo to Qatar

This section would require the Secretary of Defense and the Attorney General of the United States to submit to the congressional defense committees and the Committees on the Judiciary of the Senate and the House of Representatives certain correspondence between the Department of Defense and the Department of Justice, or any other agency or entity of the U.S. Government, relating to the May 31, 2014, transfer of five individuals from United States Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the State of Qatar. Since the Department of Defense has failed to comply with the committee's requests, this section would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of 25 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for the Office of the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2016 until the submission of all required correspondence.

Section 1041—Submission of Unredacted Copies of Documents Relating to the Transfer of Certain Individuals Detained at Guantanamo to Qatar

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the House Committee on Armed Services unredacted copies of all documents produced in response to the committee's June 9, 2014, request for information regarding the transfer of five individuals from United States Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the State of Qatar. This requirement would apply to submissions both prior to and subsequent to the date of the enactment of this Act.

This section would also prohibit the obligation or expenditure of 25 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for the Office of the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2016 until the Secretary submits to the committee unredacted copies of documents that the Department has failed to unredact despite the committee's requests.

SUBTITLE E—MISCELLANEOUS AUTHORITIES AND LIMITATIONS

Section 1052—Department of Defense Excess Property Program

This section would make changes to excess defense article donations authorized under section 2576a of title 10, United States Code. Specifically, the provision would require the establishment of a public website containing information on certain transfers made under the program, establish specific criteria for State program managers to be met before the Defense Logistics Agency may transfer certain types of equipment, and mandate several reviews of program objectives and efficacy, to include training recommendations, by a federally funded research and development center, the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Department of Defense.

Section 1053—Space Available Travel for Environmental Morale Leave by Certain Spouses and Children of Deployed Members of the Armed Forces

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to amend the Air Transportation Eligibility Regulation, DOD 4515.13-R (1994) (as modified by the December 6, 2007, memorandum of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Logistics and Materiel Readiness) to authorize space-available travel for environmental morale leave by unaccompanied spouses and dependent children of service members deployed for at least 30 consecutive days under priority category IV. This section also requires the Secretary to update any other instructions, directives, or internal policies necessary to facilitate this expansion.

The committee notes that the Military Compensation and Retirement Modernization Commission, in its final report, found that the average service member deploys 2.6 times during their time of Active Duty service, with many military occupational specialties deploying even more frequently. The Commission also noted that an analysis conducted in 2012 showed that, of the 678,382 Active

Duty personnel deployed from 2001 to 2006 as part of Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom, a significant portion were deployed for fewer than 120 days.

The committee recognizes that the current policy governing the use of space-available travel under section 2641b of title 10, United States Code, allowing unaccompanied space-available travel for environmental morale leave for military dependents of service members deployed for 120 days or more, leaves a significant portion of recently deployed service member dependents ineligible for this important privilege. The committee concurs with the Commission's recommendation that the Department of Defense expand space-available travel to a larger population of dependents by shortening the deployment length needed to qualify for unaccompanied travel on environmental morale leave under priority category IV to 30 days.

SUBTITLE F—STUDIES AND REPORTS

Section 1061—Provision of Defense Planning Guidance and Contingency Planning Guidance Information to Congress

This section would amend section 113(g) of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to provide to the congressional defense committees, not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, a report containing summaries of the defense planning guidance and contingency planning guidance developed in accordance with the requirements of such section, and to include those summaries in the annual budget documents submitted to Congress. Additionally, this section would provide a limitation on the obligation or expenditure of 25 percent of the funds, authorized to be appropriated by this Act for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, for the Office of the Secretary of Defense, until 15 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits the first report required by this section.

Section 1063—Report on Implementation of the Geographically Distributed Force Laydown in the Area of Responsibility of United States Pacific Command

This section would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Commander of U.S. Pacific Command (PACOM), to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than March 1, 2016, on the Department of Defense's plans for implementing the geographically distributed force laydown in the area of responsibility of U.S. Pacific Command.

The committee notes that PACOM has made several posture changes within its area of responsibility in recent years, and several more are planned. These include the realignment of U.S. Marine Corps forces across Japan, Guam, and Hawaii; the rotation of U.S. forces to Darwin in the Commonwealth of Australia; and the planned enhancement of a rotational presence of U.S. forces in the Republic of the Philippines. While the committee understands PACOM's desire

for greater operational resiliency and survivability, particularly given the complex and lethal environment that PACOM expects to operate in, it is concerned about the demands such posture changes place on supporting commands and the military services. For example, the Commandant of the Marine Corps testified before the Senate Committee on Armed Services on March 10, 2015, on the additional lift capabilities that would be required to support PACOM's distributed force laydown. Therefore, the committee seeks to understand the requirements for support assets and infrastructure, and how the Department of Defense plans to address them.

Section 1064—Independent Study of National Security Strategy Formulation Process

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to contract with an independent research entity to carry out a comprehensive study of the Department of Defense role in, and process for, the formulation of national security strategy. The study would include the following:

- (1) A review of case studies of previous national security strategy formulation processes;
- (2) An examination of issues such as the frequency of strategy updates, the synchronization of timelines and content among different strategies, and the links between strategy and resourcing; and
- (3) A review of the current national security strategy formulation process as it relates to the Department of Defense, to include an analysis of current defense-related national security strategy documents, such as the 2015 National Security Strategy, the 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review, and the 2011 National Military Strategy.

The committee believes such a study will enhance its oversight of the national defense and national military strategy development and execution process, and complement its efforts to reform such strategies, such as its reform of the Quadrennial Defense Review contained in section 1072 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291).

The committee further expects that the annual budget request of the Department of Defense will contain clear linkages to the relevant current national security strategies.

SUBTITLE G—REPEAL OR REVISION OF NATIONAL DEFENSE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Section 1072—Repeal or Revision of Certain Reports Relating to Readiness

This section would repeal or revise certain reporting requirements to include:

- (a) Amending chapter 9 of title 10, United States Code, by striking section 228, which requires a biannual report on the allocation of funds within operation and maintenance budget sub-activities.

(b) Amending section 7431 of title 10, United States Code, by striking subsection (c), which requires an annual report on Naval Petroleum Reserve.

(c) Amending chapter 1013 of title 10, United States Code, by striking section 10542, which requires an annual report on Army National Guard combat readiness.

(d) Amending section 922 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) by striking subsection (f), which requires a report on the insider threat detection budget submission.

(e) Repealing section 892 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383), which requires a report on price trend analysis.

(f) Amending section 351 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84) by striking subsection (b), which requires a report on the use of the authority for airlift transportation at Department of Defense rates for non-Department of Defense Federal cargoes.

(g) Amending section 358 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417) by striking subsection (c), which requires a report on the procurement of military working dogs, and by making other technical conforming changes.

(h) Repealing section 958 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), which requires a report on foreign language proficiency.

(i) Amending section 343 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) by striking subsection (g), which requires a report on the arsenal support program initiative.

(j) Amending section 345 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–26) by striking subsection (d), which mandates an ongoing Comptroller General review of the contractor-operated Civil Engineering Supply Stores Program, and by making other technical conforming changes.

(k) Repealing section 8104 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2014 (division C of Public Law 113–76), which requires a quarterly report on end strength.

(l) Repealing section 8105 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2013 (division C of Public Law 113–6), which requires a quarterly report on end strength.

(m) Repealing section 806 of the David L. Boren National Security Education Act of 1991 (title VIII of Public Law 102-183), which requires a report on the David L. Boren National Security Education Act of 1991.

Section 1076—Repeal or Revision of Reporting Requirements Related to Acquisition

This section would repeal or revise certain reporting requirements related to acquisition that are overly burdensome on the Department of Defense, duplicative, or outdated, to include:

(a) Repealing section 8305 of title 41, United States Code, which requires that, not later than 60 days after the end of each fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense submit to Congress a report on the amount of purchases by the Department of Defense from foreign entities in that fiscal year.

(b) Striking subsection (f) of section 2334 of title 10, United States Code, which requires an annual report on cost assessment activities.

(c) Striking subsection (f) of section 2438 of title 10, United States Code, which requires an annual report on performance assessments and root cause analyses activities.

Section 1077—Repeal or Revision of Reporting Requirements Related to Civilian Personnel

This section would repeal or revise certain reporting requirements to include:

(a) Amending section 1110(i) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84), by striking a report on the pilot program for the temporary exchange of information technology personnel.

(b) Amending section 1101(g) of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–261) by striking the annual report on extension and modification of experimental personnel management program for scientific and technical personnel.

SUBTITLE H—OTHER MATTERS

Section 1081—Technical and Clerical Amendments

This section would make a number of technical and clerical amendments of a non-substantive nature to existing law.

Section 1083—Navy Support of Ocean Research Advisory Panel

This section would modify the statutory requirement for the Secretary of the Navy to make funds available on an annual basis to support the activities of the Ocean Research Advisory Panel (ORAP).

The committee believes that this realignment of agency responsibility for supporting the ORAP from the Department of the Navy to another agency is consistent with the function of this advisory board and that the support responsibilities of ORAP are more appropriately aligned outside of the Department of the Navy. The committee notes that this change would not affect or diminish the statutory authorities of the National Ocean Research Leadership Council or the

National Oceanographic Partnership Program, or their respective relationships with the ORAP.

Section 1084—Level of Readiness of Civil Reserve Air Fleet Carriers

This section would make a series of findings about the National Airlift Policy and the Civil Reserve Air Fleet (CRAF). This section would also amend Chapter 931 of title 10, United States Code, by creating a new subsection addressing the readiness of the CRAF. Specifically, this new section would codify the importance of the CRAF and the need to provide appropriate levels of commercial airlift augmentation to maintain networks and infrastructure, exercise the system, and interface effectively within the military airlift system. This section also would require the Secretary of Defense to provide, concurrent with the submission of the President's request:

- 1) An assessment of the number of block hours necessary to achieve sufficient levels of commercial airlift augmentation;
- 2) A strategic plan for achieving necessary levels of commercial airlift augmentation; and
- 3) An explanation of any deviation from the previous fiscal year's assessment.

TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 1102—Authority to Provide Additional Allowances and Benefits for Defense Clandestine Service Employees

This section would enable the Secretary of Defense to include certain civilians who are assigned to the Defense Clandestine Service among the population of the Department of Defense workforce eligible to receive special pay, allowances, and benefits, in addition to basic pay, similar to that provided to employees performing comparable, specialized work. The committee intends that this section provide the authority for the Secretary of Defense to establish a special allowance to help create and maintain a workforce that is more mobile in support of the Defense Intelligence Agency's worldwide mission.

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING

Section 1201—One-Year Extension of Logistical Support for Coalition Forces Supporting Certain United States Military Operations

This section would amend section 1234 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), as most recently amended by section 1223 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291), by authorizing the Secretary of Defense to provide supplies, services, transportation, and other logistical support to coalition forces supporting U.S. operations in the Republic of Iraq and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan during fiscal year 2016.

Section 1202—Strategic Framework for Department of Defense Security Cooperation

This section would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to develop a strategic framework for Department of Defense security cooperation to guide prioritization of resources and activities. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to submit a report on the strategic framework for security cooperation to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act.

The committee notes that key guiding documents for the Department of Defense emphasize security cooperation in general, and building partner capacity specifically, as a priority for the Department. For example, the Department of Defense 2012 strategic guidance, "Sustaining U.S. Global Leadership: Priorities for 21st Century Defense," states that, "Building partnership capacity elsewhere in the world also remains important for sharing the costs and responsibilities of global leadership." Moreover, the Department's 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review states that, as a pillar of defense strategy, the Department will "Build security globally, in order to preserve regional stability, deter adversaries, support allies and partners, and cooperate with others to address common security challenges." The committee recognizes the Department's efforts to conduct a comprehensive review of its security assistance authorities. The committee supports the role of the U.S. Armed Forces in developing and maintaining the security capabilities of partner nations across a broad range of programs, but questions the extent to which the Department has established goals and a comprehensive strategy for its security cooperation efforts. Thus, the committee believes that a strategic framework for security cooperation is necessary. The committee recognizes these efforts should ideally be coordinated with the Department of State and other departments and agencies to achieve whole-of-government success in enhancing the security sectors of partner nations.

Section 1203—Two-Year Extension of National Guard State Partnership Program

This section would amend section 1205(i) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) by extending the authorization for the National Guard State Partnership Program for 2 years, to September 30, 2018.

Section 1204—Extension of Authority for Non-Reciprocal Exchanges of Defense Personnel Between the United States and Foreign Countries

This section would amend section 1207(f) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84) by extending the authorization for non-reciprocal exchanges of defense personnel between the United States and foreign countries for an additional 15 months, to December 31, 2017.

SUBTITLE B—MATTERS RELATING TO AFGHANISTAN AND PAKISTAN

Section 1211—Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan

This section would amend section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), as most recently amended by section 1221 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291), by extending for 1 year the Commanders' Emergency Response Program in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan and authorizing \$5.0 million for fiscal year 2016.

Section 1212—Extension and Modification of Authority for Reimbursement of Certain Coalition Nations for Support Provided to United States Military Operations

This section would amend section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), as most recently amended by section 1222 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291), by extending the authority for reimbursement of coalition nations for support provided to the United States for military operations in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan through fiscal year 2016.

Additionally, this section would extend, through September 30, 2016, the requirement for the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees, prior to making any reimbursement to the Government of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, of any logistical, military, or other support that Pakistan provides to the United States.

Further, this section would extend the requirement for the Secretary of Defense to certify, prior to providing reimbursement to Pakistan, that Pakistan is: maintaining security along the Ground Lines of Communications through Pakistan; taking demonstrable steps to support counterterrorism operations; disrupting cross-border attacks; and countering the threat of improvised explosive devices.

Finally, this section would specify that, of the total amount of reimbursements and support authorized for Pakistan during fiscal year 2016 pursuant to section 1233(d)(1) of Public Law 110-181, as amended, \$400.0 million would not be eligible for a national security waiver unless the Secretary of Defense certifies that Pakistan is conducting military operations against the Haqqani Network in North Waziristan, has prevented the Haqqani Network from using North Waziristan as a safe haven, and is actively coordinating with the Government of Afghanistan to restrict the movement of militants, such as the Haqqani Network, along the Afghanistan-Pakistan border.

Section 1213—Sense of Congress on United States Policy and Strategy in Afghanistan

This section would express a sense of Congress on U.S. policy and strategy in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, including that: the United States continues to have vital national security interests in ensuring that Afghanistan remains stable and sovereign; the Afghan President should be applauded for his leadership; the U.S. President's decision to maintain 9,800 U.S. troops through all of 2015 is appropriate and should be supported by Congress; the U.S. President should withdraw U.S. troops only on a pace that is consistent with the ability of the Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF) to sustain itself and secure Afghanistan; the U.S. President should review maintaining the U.S. advisory mission beyond 2016; the United States should provide monetary and advisory support to the ANSF through 2018; the ANSF should have the independent capability to prevent Al Qaeda, the Haqqani Network, and the Taliban from being able to conduct destabilizing attacks in Afghanistan or against the United States; and the United States should continue to vigorously conduct counterterrorism operations, including against the Haqqani Network, in Afghanistan beyond 2016.

Section 1214—Extension of Authority to Acquire Products and Services Produced in Countries along a Major Route of Supply to Afghanistan

This section would extend section 801 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84), as most recently amended by section 832 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66), through December 31, 2016. The underlying authority provides for limiting competition for products or services that are from one or more countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan or providing a preference for such a product or service, under certain circumstances.

Section 1215—Extension of Authority to Transfer Defense Articles and Provide Defense Services to the Military and Security Forces of Afghanistan

This section would extend section 1222 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), as amended by section

1231 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291), for 1 year through December 31, 2016.

This section also would extend the quarterly reporting requirement for this authority through March 31, 2017. Additionally, this section would authorize that, during fiscal years 2015-16, the excess defense articles transferred from the stocks of the Department of Defense to the military and security forces of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan will not be subject to the authorities and limitations set forth in section 561 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (Public Law 87-195).

SUBTITLE C—MATTERS RELATING TO SYRIA AND IRAQ

Section 1221—Extension of Authority to Support Operations and Activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq

This section would amend and extend section 1215 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), as most recently amended by section 1237 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291), by extending the authority for the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq (OSC-I) for 1 year through fiscal year 2016. This authority would allow the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to authorize OSC-I to conduct training activities in support of the Iraqi Ministry of Defense and Counter Terrorism Service personnel at a base or facility of the Government of the Republic of Iraq in order to address capability gaps; integrate processes relating to intelligence, air power, combined arms, logistics, and maintenance; and manage and integrate defense-related institutions.

This section would limit the total authorized funding for operations and activities for OSC-I to \$143.0 million in fiscal year 2016. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State to submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report within 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, on the activities of OSC-I, including how OSC-I integrates into Operation Inherent Resolve in Iraq.

Section 1222—Comprehensive Strategy for the Middle East and to Counter Islamic Extremism

This section would express findings and a sense of Congress on United States strategy in the Middle East and the state of Islamic extremism, including that Islamic extremism is growing in the Greater Middle East; the Islamic Republic of Iran continues to be a leading state sponsor of terrorism in the Greater Middle East and across the globe; the Building Partnership Capacity approach and limited counterterrorism operations have had some positive effects in some locations but have not prevented the proliferation and violence of terrorist groups or instability in

the Middle East; the United States should take a greater leadership role in fighting Islamic extremism; the United States remains an indispensable actor in the greater Middle East; and the President should ensure that U.S. Armed Forces remain forward postured in the region.

Additionally, this section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees, not later than February 15, 2016, a comprehensive strategy for the Middle East and to counter Islamic extremism, including a detailed description of the following: U.S. objectives; the roles and responsibilities of the Department of Defense in such strategy; actions to prevent the weakening and failing of states; actions to counter Islamic extremism globally; and a detailed definition of states and non-state actors the United States will address in order to counter Islamic extremism.

Section 1223—Modification of Authority to Provide Assistance to Counter the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide \$715.0 million in fiscal year 2016 in assistance to the military and other security forces of or associated with the Government of the Republic of Iraq, including Kurdish and tribal security forces or other local security forces with a national security mission. In addition, this section would require that not less than 25 percent of such funds be expended for direct assistance to the Kurdish Peshmerga, the Sunni tribal security forces with a national security mission, and the Iraqi Sunni National Guard.

Further, this section would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State to submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, an assessment of the extent to which the Government of Iraq is meeting certain conditions relating to political inclusion of ethnic and sectarian minorities within the security forces of Iraq. If the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State do not assess that the Government of Iraq has substantially achieved such conditions, the Secretary of Defense would be required to withhold fiscal year 2016 assistance directly to the Government of Iraq. If the Secretary of Defense withholds such fiscal year 2016 assistance to the Government of Iraq, then the Secretary would be required to provide not less than 60 percent of all fiscal year 2016 unobligated funds to the Kurdish Peshmerga, the Sunni tribal security forces with a national security mission, and the Iraqi Sunni National Guard.

Finally, this section would require that the Kurdish Peshmerga, the Sunni tribal security forces with a national security mission, and the Iraqi Sunni National Guard be deemed a country, which would allow these security forces to directly receive assistance from the United States under this section, should the Secretary of Defense and Secretary of State not submit the assessment required by this section or submit an assessment that the Government of Iraq has not substantially met the conditions related to the requirements for assistance, as outlined in this section.

Section 1224—Report on United States Armed Forces Deployed in Support of Operation Inherent Resolve

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report, 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and every 90 days thereafter, on the U.S. Armed Forces deployed in support of Operation Inherent Resolve, including: the total number of U.S. Armed Forces personnel deployed; the total number of U.S. Armed Forces personnel expected to be deployed; the total number of U.S. Armed Forces conducting force protection and combat search and rescue; the authorities and limitations on such personnel; and any changes to the limitations, authorities, or U.S. policy. This section would terminate on the date that Operation Inherent Resolve terminates or 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act, whichever occurs earlier.

Section 1225—Modification of Authority to Provide Assistance to the Vetted Syrian Opposition

This section would amend section 1209 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291) by striking subsection (f) and inserting a new subsection (f) that would authorize \$531.5 million to be appropriated from Overseas Contingency Operations in title XV for fiscal year 2016 for the Syria Train and Equip Fund for assistance to the vetted opposition in the Syrian Arab Republic.

The committee would authorize \$600.0 million for the overall Syria Train and Equip program, which includes \$531.5 million for the Syria Train and Equip Fund, \$25.8 million for costs that would be incurred by the Army for such program, and \$42.8 million for costs that would be incurred by the Air Force for such program.

The committee notes that the challenges associated with initiating the Syria train and equip program have been great. The committee remains concerned about the elongated nature of the timeline to start the training and equipping of the vetted Syrian opposition. The committee will continue to conduct rigorous oversight of how this program fits into the overall strategy for Operation Inherent Resolve to determine whether it will be able to effectively contribute to the fight against the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant.

Section 1226—Assistance to the Government of Jordan for Border Security Operations

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to provide assistance on a reimbursement basis to the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan to support and enhance the efforts of its Armed Forces to sustain security along its border with the Syrian Arab Republic and the Republic of Iraq.

This section would also authorize \$300.0 million in support of this authority. Additionally, not later than 15 days before providing assistance, the Secretary of Defense would be required to provide to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report that describes the type, amount, and timeline for assistance that would be provided.

Lastly, under this section, the Secretary of Defense would not be authorized to enter into any contractual obligation to provide such assistance, and would not be able to provide assistance after December 31, 2016.

SUBTITLE D—MATTERS RELATING TO IRAN

Section 1231—Extension of Annual Report on Military Power of Iran

This section would amend section 1245(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84), as most recently amended by section 1277 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291), by extending the annual report on the military power of the Islamic Republic of Iran to December 31, 2025.

Section 1232—Sense of Congress on the Government of Iran's Nuclear Program and its Malign Military Activities

This section would set forth certain findings and express the sense of Congress on the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran's nuclear program and its malign military activities. The sense of Congress includes that: Iran's illicit pursuit development, and acquisition of a nuclear weapons capability and its malign military activities constitute a grave threat to regional stability and the national security interests of the United States and U.S. allies and partners; Iran continues to expand its malign military activities in the Middle East and globally, and these activities will likely increase under a Comprehensive Joint Plan of Action (CJPOA); broad, permanent sanctions relief under the CJPOA will provide Iran the ability to increase funding for its ballistic missile development programs, acquisition of destabilizing types and amounts of conventional weapons, support for terrorism, and other malign activities throughout the Middle East and globally; and U.S. bilateral and multilateral sanctions against Iran, once relieved, will be extremely difficult to reconstitute in response to Iranian violations of its international obligations. Additionally, this section would express that the United States should continue to support the defense of allies and partners in the region, including the State of Israel, and that Congress reaffirms that it is U.S. policy that Iran will not be allowed to develop a nuclear weapons capability and that all instruments of U.S. power and influence must remain on the table to prevent this outcome. Finally, Congress reaffirms the right of U.S. allies to exercise their legitimate right to self-defense against the Government of Iran.

SUBTITLE F—MATTERS RELATING TO THE ASIA-PACIFIC REGION

Section 1251—Sense of Congress Recognizing the 70th Anniversary of the End of Allied Military Engagement in the Pacific Theater

This section would express the sense of Congress recognizing the 70th anniversary of the end of the Allied military engagement in the Pacific theater, and the end of the Second World War. It would also express a series of findings highlighting key events related to the Second World War in the Pacific; recognize the tremendous sacrifice, bravery, and loss of U.S. and Allied Forces; and note the close alliance relationship that the United States and Japan have forged in the years since the war.

Section 1253—Strategy to Promote United States Interests in the Indo-Asia-Pacific Region

This section would require the President to develop an overall strategy to promote United States interests in the Indo-Asia-Pacific region that is informed by the U.S. National Security Strategy, the Department of Defense strategy on prioritizing defense interests in the Asia-Pacific region as required by section 1251 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291), and the Department of State strategy for a rebalancing of U.S. policy in Asia as required by section 7043 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 (Public Law 113-76). This section would further require the President to issue a Presidential Policy Directive to relevant Federal departments and agencies that implement the required strategy, and require that the annual budget request submission to Congress include a description of how the programs and projects funded in the request align with the required strategy.

The committee notes that the President has issued Presidential Policy Directives (PPD) containing strategies for other regions of the globe, to include a PPD on Political and Economic Reform in the Middle East and North Africa in 2011, and a PPD on U.S. Strategy for Sub-Saharan Africa in 2012. The committee believes that such a PPD containing a strategy for promoting U.S. interests in the Indo-Asia-Pacific region, which also includes specific implementing guidance to relevant Federal departments and agencies, will help bring focus and cohesiveness to, and establish priorities for, relevant interagency and organization-specific programs and projects.

SUBTITLE G—OTHER MATTERS

Section 1262—Amendment to the Annual Report under Arms Control and Disarmament Act

This section would amend section 2539a of title 22, United States Code, regarding the requirement for the annual briefing on arms control compliance, also known as the "Report on Adherence to and Compliance with Arms Control, Nonproliferation, and Disarmament Agreements and Commitments."

This section would modify the associated requirement for the President to brief certain congressional committees on the report, to require that in any year in which that report is not submitted by the statutorily required submission date of April 15, the Director of National Intelligence shall provide a report, not later than June 15, detailing each instance of inconsistent behavior by a state party to an arms control treaty or related agreement to which the United States is a party.

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 1302—Funding Allocations

This section would allocate specific amounts for each program under the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program from within the overall \$358.5 million that the committee would authorize for the CTR program. The allocation under this section reflects the amount of the budget request for fiscal year 2016.

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—MILITARY PROGRAMS

Section 1401—Working Capital Funds

This section would authorize appropriations for Defense Working Capital Funds at the levels identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1402—National Defense Sealift Fund

This section would authorize appropriations for the National Defense Sealift Fund at the level identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1403—Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense

This section would authorize appropriations for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense at the level identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1404—Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide

This section would authorize appropriations for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide at the level identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1405—Defense Inspector General

This section would authorize appropriations for the Office of the Inspector General at the level identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1406—Defense Health Program

This section would authorize appropriations for the Defense Health Program at the levels identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1407—National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund

This section would authorize appropriations for the National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund at the level identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE C—WORKING-CAPITAL FUNDS

Section 1421—Limitation on Furlough of Department of Defense Employees Paid Through Working-Capital Funds

This section would prohibit the furlough of Department of Defense employees paid through working-capital funds unless the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department determines that the working-capital fund is insolvent or there are insufficient funds to pay working-capital fund labor costs of the employee. This section would also provide the authority for the Secretary concerned to waive the prohibition in the interest of national security.

Section 1422—Working-Capital Fund Reserve Account for Petroleum Market Price Fluctuations

This section would amend section 2208 of title 10, United States Code, by adding a new subsection that establishes a reserve account within the Defense Working Capital Fund to provide fund managers a contingency account for sudden fluctuations in market rates for petroleum. With the volatility of the current fuel market, the committee believes setting fuel rates up to 18 months prior to execution is a difficult and imprecise action. This account would allow fund managers to maintain the standard price for fuel to customers when market prices suddenly increase, which the committee believes would keep operation and maintenance accounts unaffected during the year of execution.

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 1501—Purpose

This section would establish the purpose of this title and make authorization of appropriations available upon enactment of this Act for the Department of Defense, in addition to amounts otherwise authorized in this Act, to provide for additional costs due to overseas contingency operations and other additional funding requirements.

Section 1502—Procurement

This section would authorize additional appropriations for procurement at the levels identified in section 4102 of division D of this Act.

Section 1503—Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation

This section would authorize additional appropriations for research, development, test, and evaluation at the levels identified in section 4202 of division D of this Act.

Section 1504—Operation and Maintenance

This section would authorize additional appropriations for operation and maintenance programs at the levels identified in sections 4302 and 4303 of division D of this Act.

The committee notes that funds authorized for the Syria Train & Equip Fund shall be executed pursuant to section 1209 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291).

Section 1505—Military Personnel

This section would authorize additional appropriations for military personnel at the levels identified in section 4402 of division D of this Act.

Section 1506—Working Capital Funds

This section would authorize additional appropriations for Defense Working Capital Funds at the levels identified in section 4502 of division D of this Act.

Section 1507—Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide

This section would authorize additional appropriations for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide at the level identified in section 4502 of division D of this Act.

Section 1508—Defense Inspector General

This section would authorize additional appropriations for the Office of the Inspector General at the levels identified in section 4502 of division D of this Act.

Section 1509—Defense Health Program

This section would authorize additional appropriations for the Defense Health Program at the levels identified in section 4502 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE B—FINANCIAL MATTERS

Section 1521—Treatment as Additional Authorizations

This section would state that amounts authorized to be appropriated by this title are in addition to amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated by this Act.

Section 1522—Special Transfer Authority

This section would authorize the transfer of up to \$3.5 billion of additional war-related funding authorizations in this title among the accounts in this title.

SUBTITLE C—EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE AND RELATED MATTERS

Section 1531—Statement of Policy Regarding European Reassurance Initiative

This section would express a series of findings highlighting continued aggression and intimidation by the Russian Federation against U.S. allies and partners in Europe, in particular, and include a statement of policy on efforts by the United States to continue and expand initiatives to reassure U.S. allies and partners and to deter aggression and intimidation by the Russian Government, in order to enhance security and stability in the region.

Section 1532—Assistance and Sustainment to the Military and National Security Forces of Ukraine

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense, in concurrence with the Secretary of State, to provide assistance and sustainment to the military and national security forces of Ukraine through September 30, 2016. This assistance would include the explicit authority for the Secretary of Defense to provide lethal weapons of a defensive nature to the security forces of Ukraine.

This section would also require a notification to specified congressional committees containing a description of the plan for providing assistance, and require a quarterly report on the status of the activities and assistance. Lastly, this section would authorize appropriations of \$200.0 million to carry out this authority.

While the committee acknowledges the Administration's efforts to provide nonlethal security assistance to Ukraine, including its March 2015 announcement that \$75.0 million in Department of Defense European Reassurance Initiative funds would be allocated to provide additional nonlethal equipment to Ukraine, the committee believes that defensive weapons and training are also necessary to enhance the defense of Ukraine. The committee notes that a February 2015 Atlantic Council, Brookings Institute, and Chicago Council on Global Affairs Report, "Preserving Ukraine's Independence, Resisting Russian Aggression: What the United States and NATO [the North Atlantic Treaty Organization] Must Do," authored by former senior U.S. diplomatic and military officials, came to a similar conclusion in its recommendation that the United States and NATO "bolster Ukraine's defense and deter further Russian aggression by providing military assistance to Ukraine—including lethal defensive assistance."

The committee views this Ukraine assistance authority as part of a larger policy to reassure U.S. allies and partners in Europe and to deter further Russian aggression in both conventional and unconventional forms. Therefore, elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would authorize funds for the Department of Defense to continue its European Reassurance Initiative activities, including increasing training, exercises, and partnership capacity with European allies and partners. Additionally, elsewhere in this report, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to undertake a study on how the Department should address unconventional warfare methods.

SUBTITLE D—LIMITATIONS, REPORTS, AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 1541—Continuation of Existing Limitation on Use of Afghanistan Security Forces Fund

This section would continue the existing limitation on the use of funds in the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund subject to conditions of section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), as amended by section 1531 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383), through fiscal year 2016.

TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—SPACE ACTIVITIES

Section 1612—Plan on Full Integration and Exploitation of Overhead Persistent Infrared Capability

This section would require that the Commander, U.S. Strategic Command and the Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation to jointly submit to the appropriate congressional committees a plan for the integration of overhead persistent infrared (OPIR) capabilities to support specified mission capabilities of the Department of Defense. These mission areas include strategic missile warning, missile defense, and tactical intelligence (for example, the capability to detect illicit weapons of mass destruction-related shipments).

The section would also require the Secretary of Defense, in the budget justification materials to accompany the annual budget submission, to certify that the plan on integration of OPIR capabilities is implemented under the budget submission.

The committee recognizes that requirements for OPIR capability are not driven exclusively by the Department of Defense. The committee expects the Department's plan will focus on defense exploitation of OPIR, and it should not impose costs on other customers who will need to use this data.

SUBTITLE B—DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED ACTIVITIES

Section 1623—Prohibition on National Intelligence Program Consolidation

This section would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from using any of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2016, to execute: the separation of the portion of the Department of Defense budget designated as part of the National Intelligence Program from the rest of the Department of Defense budget; the consolidation of the portion of the Department of Defense budget designated as part of the National Intelligence Program within the Department of Defense budget; or the establishment of a new appropriations account or appropriations account structure for such funds.

Section 1625—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence

This section would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of 25 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence (OUSD(I)) until the Secretary of Defense establishes the policy required by section 922 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66). Section 922 required the Secretary to develop a written policy by June 24, 2014, governing the internal coordination and prioritization of intelligence priorities of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, the combatant commands, and the military departments to improve identification of the intelligence needs of the Department of Defense.

The committee understands that OUSD(I) is responsible for creating the required policy and is troubled that OUSD(I) has missed the statutory deadline.

Section 1627—Department of Defense Intelligence Needs

This section would require the Director of National Intelligence to provide a report to the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees on how the Director ensures that the National Intelligence Program budgets for the elements of the Intelligence Community that are within the Department of Defense are adequate to satisfy the national intelligence needs of the Department, as required by section 102A(p) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3024(p)). The report would specifically include a description of how the Director incorporates the needs of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the commanders of the unified and specified commands into the metrics used to evaluate the performance of the elements of the Intelligence Community that are within the Department of Defense in conducting intelligence activities funded under the National Intelligence Program.

While the committee applauds the continued efforts to integrate the Department of Defense and the Intelligence Community, it is critical to ensure that unique warfighter needs remain a priority at the national level and are incorporated into the metrics used to assess performance under the National Intelligence Program.

Section 1628—Report on Management of Certain Programs of Defense Intelligence Elements

This section would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence to review the Science and Technology Research and Foreign Material Exploitation work being conducted by the intelligence elements of the Department of Defense and recommend any changes and realignment of organizations that should take place.

The committee believes that there are significant synergies and potential savings to be gained through consolidation of these activities within the intelligence elements of the Department of Defense.

Section 1629—Government Accountability Office Review of Intelligence Input to the Defense Acquisition Process

This section would require the Comptroller General of the United States to carry out a comprehensive review of the processes and procedures for the integration of intelligence into the Department of Defense acquisition process. The review would include the integration of intelligence on foreign capabilities into the acquisition process from initial requirement through deployment, including staffing and training of intelligence personnel assigned to the program offices, as well as the procedures for identifying opportunities for weapon systems to collect intelligence, and accounting for the support requirements the weapon systems will place on the Defense Intelligence Enterprise once fielded.

The committee believes it is important to ensure that the Department is taking into consideration both intelligence assessments of potential adversaries, as well as the exquisite intelligence required to make new weapon systems work to their fullest potential.

SUBTITLE C—CYBERSPACE-RELATED MATTERS

Section 1641—Codification and Addition of Liability Protections Relating to Reporting on Cyber Incidents or Penetrations of Networks and Information Systems of Certain Contractors

This section would codify and amend section 941 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) as a new section 393 of title 10, United States Code, and also amend section 391 of such title, to provide for liability protection for covered contractors reporting cyber incidents to the Department of Defense through these two statutorily required mechanisms.

SUBTITLE E—MISSILE DEFENSE PROGRAMS

Section 1665—Limitations on Availability of Funds for Patriot Lower Tier Air and Missile Defense Capability of the Army

This section would provide that none of the funds authorized to be appropriated for programs related to the Patriot lower tier air and missile defense capability that depend specifically on the results of the analysis of alternatives (AOA) regarding the Patriot lower tier air and missile defense capability of the Army, may be obligated or expended until the results of the AOA are submitted to the congressional defense committees.

This section would also provide that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics could waive the application of the limitation in this section if the Under Secretary determines that it is necessary to prevent an unacceptable risk to mission performance of the Patriot system and notifies the congressional defense committees of the decision to use such waiver authority.

The committee understands that the AOA will be completed by September 2015, prior to the beginning of fiscal year 2016. The committee does not intend to limit funding for programs or technology that could support Patriot modernization regardless of the options chosen based on the AOA. The committee believes a modernized Patriot capability is vital to a robust air and missile defense capability of the Army, and that such capability is further required for the protection of deployed U.S. Armed Forces and allied forces. The committee is committed to the modernization of Patriot and, elsewhere in this Act, recommends full funding of the budget request for these activities.

Section 1669—Availability of Funds for Iron Dome Short-Range Rocket Defense System

This section would make available \$41.4 million of the funds authorized to be appropriated by section 101 of this Act, and as specified in the funding table in section 4101, for the Government of Israel for components for the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system.

This section would condition those funds such that they are available subject to the terms, conditions, and co-production targets specified for fiscal year 2015 the "Agreement Between the Department of Defense of the United States of America and the Ministry of Defense of the State of Israel Concerning Iron Dome Defense System Procurement."

This section would also require that not less than 30 days prior to the initial obligation of these funds, the Director of the Missile Defense Agency and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall jointly submit to the appropriate congressional committees a certification that the Agreement is being implemented as provided in the Agreement and an assessment detailing any risks relating to the implementation of such Agreement.

Section 1670—Israeli Cooperative Missile Defense Program Co-Development and Potential Co-Production

This section would authorize \$165.0 million out of such funds as are authorized to be appropriated in section 101 of this Act, and as specified in the funding table in section 4101, for procurement and coproduction of the David's Sling Weapons System and the Arrow 3 Upper Tier missile defense system. This section would further specify the terms and conditions that shall be achieved by the Director of the Missile Defense Agency and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics prior to the disbursement of the authorized funds. These terms and conditions would include, achievement of the knowledge points and production readiness agreements within the current bilateral research, development, test, and evaluation agreements; matched funding by the Government of the State of Israel; the successful negotiation of a bilateral agreement between the United States and the Government of Israel; agreed co-production targets based

on the teaming agreements for the co-development programs; and, certain other matters.

The committee notes it recommends the authorization of these funds for procurement of missile defense system batteries and interceptors for the Government of Israel based on the availability of these funds as opposed to specific production goals (e.g., numbers of batteries or numbers of interceptors). The committee is mindful of the hundreds of millions of dollars already invested in the David's Sling and Arrow 3 programs during co-development of these systems. The committee remains committed, even during a constrained budget environment, to support the missile defense requirements of the Government of Israel.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2306—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2014 Project

This section would modify the authority provided by section 2301 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113-66) and authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to make certain modifications to the scope of a previously authorized construction project. This section would also require a notification and 14-day wait period, or 7-day wait period if submitted via electronic medium, to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the selected project location before commencing construction. This section was included in the President's request.

Section 2310—Limitation on Project Authorization to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2016 Project

This section would limit the Secretary of the Air Force from expending any funds authorized by this title that are associated with the construction of Joint Intelligence Analysis Complex Consolidation, Phase 2, at Royal Air Force Croughton, United Kingdom, until the Secretary submits a report on the continuity of operations considerations for the critical communications and intelligence capabilities located at or being located to Royal Air Force Croughton, United Kingdom. This section would also limit action to realign forces at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores, until the Secretary of Defense certifies that Lajes Air Force Base is not an optimal location for the Joint Intelligence Analysis Complex, or any of the critical communications or intelligence capabilities considered in the continuity of operations planning.

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE B—REAL PROPERTY AND FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION

Section 2813—Additional Master Plan Reporting Requirements Related to Main Operating Bases, Forward Operating Sites, and Cooperative Security Locations of Central Command and Africa Command Areas of Responsibility

This section would amend section 2687a(a) of title 10, United States Code, by adding a requirement for the Secretary of Defense to include with the existing overseas basing report a strategic summary for each main operating base, forward operating site, or cooperative security location within the U.S. Central Command and U.S. Africa Command area of responsibility. This section would sunset in fiscal year 2020.

SUBTITLE E—MILITARY LAND WITHDRAWALS

Section 2841—Withdrawal and Reservation of Public Land, Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California

This section would provide for the withdrawal and reservation of additional public land in San Bernardino County, California, to support operations at Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California.

SUBTITLE G—OTHER MATTERS

Section 2861—Modification of Department of Defense Guidance on Use of Airfield Pavement Markings

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to modify the Unified Facilities Guide Specifications for pavement markings, an Air Force engineering technical letter, and any other Department of Defense guidance on airfield pavement markings as necessary to permit the use of Type III category of retro-reflective beads. In addition, the Secretary shall develop appropriate policy to ensure that determination of the category of retro-reflective beads used on airfields is determined on an installation-by-installation basis based on local conditions and the life-cycle maintenance costs of the pavement markings.

Section 2862—Protection and Recovery of Greater Sage Grouse

This section would delay any finding by the Secretary of the Interior with respect to the Greater Sage Grouse under clause (i), (ii), or (iii) of section 4(b)(3)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1533(b)(3)(B)) through September 30, 2025. In an effort to foster greater coordination between the States and the Federal Government regarding management plans for the Greater Sage Grouse, this section would prohibit the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture from amending any Federal resource management plan applicable to Federal lands in a State in which the Governor of the State has notified the Secretaries concerned that the State has a State management plan in place. Lastly, this section would also require the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture to jointly submit an annual report to the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives on the effectiveness of the systems to monitor the status of Greater Sage Grouse on Federal lands under their jurisdiction through 2021.

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 3101—National Nuclear Security Administration

This section would authorize appropriations for the National Nuclear Security Administration for fiscal year 2016, including funds for weapons activities, defense nuclear nonproliferation programs, naval reactor programs, and Federal Salaries and Expenses (formerly known as the Office of the Administrator), at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act. This section would also authorize a new plant project for the National Nuclear Security Administration.

Section 3102—Defense Environmental Cleanup

This section would authorize appropriations for defense environmental cleanup activities for fiscal year 2016, at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act.

Section 3103—Other Defense Activities

This section would authorize appropriations for other defense activities for the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2016 at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE B—PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

Section 3111—Authorized Personnel Levels of National Nuclear Security Administration

This section would amend section 3241A of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2441a) to require that, by October 1, 2016, the total number of employees within the Office of the Administrator may not exceed 1,350.

This section would also amend section 3241 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2441) by striking "600" and inserting "450" as the number of employees allowed to be appointed under the authority provided by such section. This section would also clarify that any employees appointed pursuant to the authority provided by such section 3241 would not be counted for the purposes of the cap of 1,350 employees. To facilitate transparency, this section would require the Administrator for Nuclear Security to include certain information regarding the number of various types of employees within the Office of the Administrator in each annual budget request submission.

Section 3119—Limitation on Authorization of Production of Special Nuclear Material Outside the United States by Foreign Country with Nuclear Naval Propulsion Program

This section would require that, prior to the approval by the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) of any part 810 authorization (regarding the transfer of certain civil nuclear technology) for a foreign state with a nuclear naval propulsion program, the Director of National Intelligence and the Chief of Naval Operations shall submit an assessment to the specified congressional committees on the risks of diversion, and the likely consequences of such diversion, of technology authorized by such part 810 authorization to such foreign state's nuclear naval propulsion program.

This section would also require that, not less than 90 days prior to the approval of any part 810 authorization for a foreign state with a nuclear naval propulsion program, the Administrator of the NNSA shall certify to the specified congressional committees that there is sufficient diversion control and such transfer presents a minimal risk of diversion of such technology to a military program that would degrade the technical advantage of the United States.

SUBTITLE C—PLANS AND REPORTS

Section 3134—Assessments on Nuclear Proliferation Risks and Nuclear Nonproliferation Opportunities

This section would require the Director of National Intelligence to submit a report to the appropriate congressional committees, by March 1 of each year from 2016-20, containing: (1) an assessment and prioritization of international nuclear proliferation risks and nuclear nonproliferation opportunities; and (2) an assessment of the effectiveness of various means and programs for addressing such risks and opportunities.

SUBTITLE D—OTHER MATTERS

Section 3142—Research and Development of Advanced Naval Nuclear Fuel System Based on Low-Enriched Uranium

This section would require that, of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for defense nuclear nonproliferation for material management and minimization, not more than \$5.0 million shall be made available to the Deputy Administrator for Naval Reactors for initial planning and early research and development of an advanced naval nuclear fuel system based on low-enriched uranium.

In addition, this section would require that, at the same time the President submits the fiscal year 2017 budget to Congress, the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of the Navy shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees their determination as to whether the United States should continue to pursue research and development of an advanced naval nuclear fuel system based on low-enriched uranium. If the Secretaries determine to continue the research and development, the Secretaries would be required to ensure the budget request for fiscal year 2017 includes funding to carry out the program within defense nuclear nonproliferation, material management and minimization budget line.

Not later than 30 days after the date of the submission of such determination, the Deputy Administrator for Naval Reactors would be required to submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for such research and development, as well as ensuring that the budget includes amounts for defense nuclear nonproliferation for material management and minimization necessary to carry out the plan. This plan would be required to include timelines; costs, including an analysis of the cost of such research and development as compared to the cost of maintaining current naval nuclear reactor technology; milestones; identification of any benefits or risks for nuclear nonproliferation; identification of any military benefits or risks; a discussion of potential security cost savings, including for transportation and the relation of cost savings to the cost of fuel fabrication; distinguishment between requirements for aircraft carriers from submarines; and any other matters the Deputy Administrator determines appropriate.

Finally, this section would require that, if the Secretaries determine such research and development should continue, not later than 60 days after the date on which the Deputy Administrator submits the plan, the Deputy Administrator for Naval Reactors would be required to enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Deputy Administrator for Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation regarding the

research and development of an advanced naval nuclear fuel system based on low-enriched uranium, including with respect to how funding for such research and development will be requested for the "Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation" account for material management and minimization and provided to Naval Reactors to carry out the program.

DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

Section 4001—Authorization of Amounts in Funding Tables

This section would provide for the allocation of funds among programs, projects, and activities in accordance with the tables in division D of this Act, subject to reprogramming guidance in accordance with established procedures.

Consistent with the previously expressed views of the committee, this section would also require that a decision by an Agency Head to commit, obligate, or expend funds to a specific entity on the basis of such funding tables be based on merit-based selection procedures in accordance with the requirements of section 2304(k) and section 2374 of title 10, United States Code, and other applicable provisions of law.

BILL LANGUAGE

1 **Subtitle A—Authorization of**
2 **Appropriations**

3 **SEC. 101.[Log 60044] AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
4 **TIONS.**

5 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
6 fiscal year 2016 for procurement for the Army, the Navy
7 and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and Defense-wide
8 activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4101.

1 **SEC. 132.[Log 61047] BACKUP INVENTORY STATUS OF A-10**
2 **AIRCRAFT.**

3 (a) MAXIMUM NUMBER.—In carrying out section
4 133(b)(2)(A) of the National Defense Authorization Act
5 for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat.
6 3315), the Secretary of the Air Force may not move more
7 than 18 A–10 aircraft in the active component to backup
8 flying status pursuant to an authorization made by the
9 Secretary of Defense under such section.

10 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Such section
11 133(b)(2)(A) is amended by striking “36” and inserting
12 “18”.

1 **Subtitle A—Authorization of**
2 **Appropriations**

3 **SEC. 201.[Log 60045] AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
4 **TIONS.**

5 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
6 fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Department of Defense
7 for research, development, test, and evaluation as specified
8 in the funding table in section 4201.

1 **TITLE III—OPERATION AND**
2 **MAINTENANCE**

 Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 301 [Log 60046]. Authorization of appropriations.

 Subtitle B—Energy and Environment

Sec. 311 [Log 60841]. Limitation on procurement of drop-in fuels.

Sec. 312 [Log 60803]. Revision to scope of statutorily required review of projects relating to potential obstructions to aviation so as to apply only to energy projects.

Sec. 313 [Log 60982]. Exclusions from definition of “chemical substance” under Toxic Substances Control Act.

 Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment

Sec. 321 [Log 60942]. Amendment to limitation on authority to enter into a contract for the sustainment, maintenance, repair, or other overhaul of the F117 engine.

Sec. 322 [Log 59653]. Pilot programs for availability of working-capital funds for product improvements.

 Subtitle D—Other Matters

Sec. 333 [Log 59666]. Improvements to Department of Defense excess property disposal.

3 **Subtitle A—Authorization of**
4 **Appropriations**

5 **SEC. 301 [Log 60046]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
6 **TIONS.**

7 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
8 fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Armed Forces and other
9 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for
10 expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and
11 maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section
12 4301.

1 **SEC. 313 [Log 60982]. EXCLUSIONS FROM DEFINITION OF**
2 **“CHEMICAL SUBSTANCE” UNDER TOXIC SUB-**
3 **STANCES CONTROL ACT.**

4 Section 3(2)(B)(v) of the Toxic Substances Control
5 Act (15 U.S.C. 2602(2)(B)(v)) is amended by striking “,
6 and” and inserting “and any component of such an article
7 (including, without limitation, shot, bullets and other pro-
8 jectiles, propellants when manufactured for or used in
9 such an article, and primers), and”.

1 **Subtitle C—Logistics and**
2 **Sustainment**

3 **SEC. 321 [Log 60942]. AMENDMENT TO LIMITATION ON AU-**
4 **THORITY TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR**
5 **THE SUSTAINMENT, MAINTENANCE, REPAIR,**
6 **OR OTHER OVERHAUL OF THE F117 ENGINE.**

7 Section 341 of the Carl Levin and Howard P.
8 “Buck” McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for
9 Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3345)
10 is amended—

11 (1) by striking “Under Secretary of Defense for
12 Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics” and all that
13 follows through “is paying” and inserting “Senior
14 Acquisition Executive of the Air Force has deter-
15 mined that the Air Force has obtained sufficient
16 data to establish that the Air Force is paying”; and

17 (2) by striking the sentence beginning with
18 “The Secretary may waive”.

1 **SEC. 512 [Log 61057]. READY RESERVE CONTINUOUS**
2 **SCREENING REGARDING KEY POSITIONS DIS-**
3 **QUALIFYING FEDERAL OFFICIALS FROM**
4 **CONTINUED SERVICE IN THE READY RE-**
5 **SERVE.**

6 Section 10149 of title 10, United States Code, is
7 amended—

8 (1) in subsection (a), by adding at the end the
9 following new paragraph:

10 “(6) That members who also occupy a Federal
11 key position whose mobilization in an emergency
12 would seriously impair the capability of the parent
13 Federal agency or office to function effectively are
14 not retained in the Ready Reserve.”; and

15 (2) by adding at the end the following new sub-
16 section:

17 “(c) In this section, the term ‘Federal key position’
18 means a Federal position that shall not be vacated during
19 a national emergency or mobilization without seriously im-
20 pairing the capability of the parent Federal agency or of-
21 fice to function effectively. There are four categories of
22 Federal key positions, the first three of which are, by defi-
23 nition, key positions while the fourth category requires a
24 case-by-case determination and designation, as follows:

1 “(1) The Vice President of the United States or
2 any official specified in the order of presidential suc-
3 cession in section 19 of title 3.

4 “(2) The heads of the Federal agencies ap-
5 pointed by the President with the consent of the
6 Senate, except that this paragraph does not include
7 any position on a multi-member board or commis-
8 sion. Such a position may be designated as a Fed-
9 eral key position only in accordance with paragraph
10 (4).

11 “(3) Article III Judges. However, each Article
12 III Judge, who is a member of the Ready Reserve
13 and desires to remain in the Ready Reserve, must
14 have his or her position reviewed by the Chief Judge
15 of the affected Judge’s Circuit. If the Chief Judge
16 determines that mobilization of the Article III Judge
17 concerned will not seriously impair the capability of
18 the Judge’s court to function effectively, the Chief
19 Judge will provide a certification to that effect to
20 the Secretary concerned. Concurrently, the affected
21 Judge will provide a statement to the Secretary con-
22 cerned requesting continued service in the Ready Re-
23 serve and acknowledging that he or she may be in-
24 voluntarily called to active duty under the laws of
25 the United States and the directives and regulations

1 of the Department of Defense and pledging not to
2 seek to be excused from such orders based upon his
3 or her judicial duties.

4 “(4) Other Federal positions determined by the
5 head of a Federal Agency.”.

1 **SEC. 594 [Log 61030]. REPORT ON RULEMAKING UNDER THE**
2 **MILITARY LENDING ACT.**

3 (a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than March 1,
4 2016, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Com-
5 mittees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House
6 of Representatives a report describing any rulemaking un-
7 dertaken by Department of Defense with regard to section
8 987 of title 10, United States Code (commonly known as
9 the Military Lending Act), and part 232 of title 32, Code
10 of Federal Regulations (its implementing regulation).

11 (b) ELEMENTS OF REPORT.—The report required by
12 subsection (a) shall include the following:

13 (1) A summary of the comments, and an anal-
14 ysis of the disposition of the comments, submitted in
15 connection with the proposed amendments to part
16 232 of title 32, Code of Federal Regulations, con-
17 tained in the document titled “Limitations on Terms
18 of Consumer Credit Extended to Service Members
19 and Dependents” and published in the Federal Reg-
20 ister on September 29, 2014.

21 (2) The impact to military readiness, if any, ob-
22 jectively outlining the impact that has resulted from
23 access by members of the uniformed services and
24 their dependents to, and use of, various financial
25 products, including payday loans, vehicle title loans,
26 bank deposit advances, pawn shops, and installment

1 loans, since the implementation of section 987 of
2 title 10, United States Code.

3 (3) The adequacy of current staffing levels and
4 future projections for increased staffing levels, cur-
5 rent and future funding requirements, and what
6 steps are being taken to ensure data security to
7 maintain and increase the accuracy, reliability, and
8 integrity of the database systems of the Defense
9 Manpower Data Center.

10 (c) SUSPENSION OF RULEMAKING PENDING RE-
11 PORT.—Until the end of the 60-day period beginning on
12 the date on which the report required by subsection (a)
13 is submitted to Congress, the Secretary of Defense may
14 not implement any final regulation based on the proposed
15 rule referred to in subsection (b)(1) or undertake any
16 other rulemaking related to section 987 of title 10, United
17 States Code, or its implementing regulation.

1 **SEC. 800 [Log 59908]. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE DESIRED**
2 **TENETS OF THE DEFENSE ACQUISITION SYS-**
3 **TEM.**

4 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

5 (1) The Committee on Armed Services of the
6 House of Representatives held a series of hearings
7 in 2013, 2014, and 2015 gathering testimony from
8 key acquisition leaders and experts. It is clear that
9 the acquisition reform efforts of the last 50 years
10 continue to founder because they fail to address the
11 motivational and environmental factors in which
12 they must be implemented. The acquisition system,
13 though frustrating to all, is in one sense in equi-
14 librium. The acquisition system provides enough
15 benefits to proponents and opponents to continue,
16 with only minor changes, despite its shortcomings.

17 (2) The Armed Forces continue to pursue too
18 many defense acquisitions, chasing too few dollars.
19 Consequently, there remains a vast difference be-
20 tween the budgeting plans of the Department and
21 the reality of the cost of its systems or the services
22 it acquires.

23 (3) To keep programs alive, the Department de-
24 velops and Congress accepts fragile acquisition strat-
25 egies that downplay technical issues and assume
26 only successful outcomes from high-risk efforts. As

1 a result, the Department often ends up with too few
2 weapons, with performance that falls short, that are
3 difficult and costly to maintain, delivered late at too
4 high a cost. Congressional and Department of De-
5 fense leadership have limited insight into the serv-
6 ices acquired or what services need to be acquired in
7 the future. Furthermore, the conventional acquisi-
8 tion process is not agile enough for today's demands.
9 Finally, the Department of Defense continues to
10 struggle with financial management and auditability,
11 affecting its ability to control costs, ensure basic ac-
12 countability, anticipate future costs and claims on
13 the budget, and measure performance.

14 (4) Too often today, all stakeholders in the De-
15 partment of Defense, Congress, and industry, accept
16 that—

17 (A) for the acquisition process, success is
18 defined as maximizing technical performance or
19 protecting organizational interests, without re-
20 gard to funding disruptions and delivery delays
21 of needed capability or services to the
22 warfighter; and

23 (B) the acquisition process is—

1 (i) reactive, meaning issues are ad-
2 dressed late and at great cost only after
3 problems are realized;

4 (ii) plodding, meaning the bureau-
5 cratic processes are sclerotic and cum-
6 bersome;

7 (iii) opaque, meaning that limiting in-
8 formation is necessary to protect pro-
9 grams; and

10 (iv) traditional, meaning that cus-
11 tomary approaches and suppliers are pre-
12 ferred over perceived risk of new or unique
13 concepts and vendors.

14 (5) Today, the United States is at a cross-
15 roads, and if changes to the acquisition system are
16 not made soon, the trend of fewer and more costly
17 systems and services that fall short of the needs of
18 the Armed Forces will continue. Congress, the De-
19 partment of Defense, and industry all have a stake
20 in making positive changes. Each plays a role in
21 contributing to the current system. Each gains bene-
22 fits from that system, but each is frustrated by it as
23 well.

24 (6) The acquisition improvement effort of the
25 Committee on Armed Services of the House of Rep-

1 representatives proposes a different approach from pre-
2 vious efforts by seeking to improve the environment
3 (i.e., statutes, regulations, processes, and culture)
4 driving acquisition decisions in the Department of
5 Defense, industry, and Congress. The Committee
6 has solicited input from industry and the Depart-
7 ment of Defense, as well as others in Congress, and
8 will continue to do so. The Committee recognizes
9 that there are no “silver bullets” that can imme-
10 diately fix the current acquisition system in a holis-
11 tic and long-standing manner. Therefore, the reform
12 effort will be an ongoing and iterative process that
13 will result in legislation not only this year, but will
14 be embedded in the Committee’s annual and regular
15 work.

16 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE TENETS OF AN IM-
17 PROVED ACQUISITION SYSTEM.—It is the sense of Con-
18 gress that all stakeholders in the acquisition system—the
19 Department of Defense, Congress, and industry—should
20 be governed by the following tenets:

21 (1) SUCCESS.—Success in the acquisition sys-
22 tem means the timely delivery of affordable and ef-
23 fective military equipment and services.

24 (2) PROACTIVE.—The acquisition system should
25 be proactive, meaning—

1 (A) the system should recognize that devel-
2 opment and acquisition problems can occur; and

3 (B) officials at all levels should be empow-
4 ered to solve problems and reduce risks by sur-
5 facing issues early and honestly and taking ac-
6 tion to resolve them.

7 (3) AGILE.—The acquisition system should be
8 agile, meaning that needed program adjustments to
9 both respond to emerging threats and the rapid pace
10 of technological change and to address development
11 or production issues should be proposed and adju-
12 dicated quickly.

13 (4) TRANSPARENT.—The acquisition system
14 should be transparent, meaning that—

15 (A) all decision makers should be given
16 useful, relevant, credible, and reliable informa-
17 tion when making commitments;

18 (B) Government and industry communica-
19 tion should be clear and open; and

20 (C) the Department of Defense should
21 produce auditable financial management state-
22 ments.

23 (5) INNOVATIVE.—The acquisition system
24 should be innovative, meaning that barriers should
25 be removed that preclude companies from under-

- 1 taking defense business or officials from proposing
- 2 new approaches.

1 **Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and**
2 **Management**

3 **SEC. 801 [Log 57891]. REPORT ON LINKING AND STREAM-**
4 **LINING REQUIREMENTS, ACQUISITION, AND**
5 **BUDGET PROCESSES WITHIN ARMED**
6 **FORCES.**

7 (a) **REPORTS.**—Not later than 180 days after the
8 date of the enactment of this Act, the Chief of Staff of
9 the Army, the Chief of Naval Operations, the Chief of
10 Staff of the Air Force, and the Commandant of the Ma-
11 rine Corps shall each submit to the congressional defense
12 committees a report on efforts to link and streamline the
13 requirements, acquisition, and budget processes within the
14 Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps, respectively.

15 (b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—Each report under sub-
16 section (a) shall include the following:

17 (1) A specific description of—

18 (A) the management actions the Chief con-
19 cerned or the Commandant has taken or plans
20 to take to link and streamline the requirements,
21 acquisition, and budget processes of the Armed
22 Force concerned;

23 (B) any reorganization or process changes
24 that will link and streamline the requirements,

1 acquisition, and budget processes of the Armed
2 Force concerned; and

3 (C) any cross-training or professional de-
4 velopment initiatives of the Chief concerned or
5 the Commandant.

6 (2) For each description under paragraph (1)—

7 (A) the specific timeline associated with
8 implementation;

9 (B) the anticipated outcomes once imple-
10 mented; and

11 (C) how to measure whether or not those
12 outcomes are realized.

13 (3) Any other matters the Chief concerned or
14 the Commandant considers appropriate.

1 **SEC. 802 [Log 57890]. REQUIRED REVIEW OF ACQUISITION-**
2 **RELATED FUNCTIONS OF THE CHIEFS OF**
3 **STAFF OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

4 (a) REVIEW REQUIRED.—The Chief of Staff of the
5 Army, the Chief of Naval Operations, the Chief of Staff
6 of the Air Force, and the Commandant of the Marine
7 Corps shall conduct a review of their current individual
8 authorities provided in sections 3033, 5033, 8033, and
9 5043 of title 10, United States Code, and other relevant
10 statutes and regulations related to defense acquisitions for
11 the purpose of developing such recommendations as the
12 Chief concerned or the Commandant considers necessary
13 to further or advance the role of the Chief concerned or
14 the Commandant in the development of requirements, ac-
15 quisition processes, and the associated budget practices of
16 the Department of Defense.

17 (b) REPORTS.—Not later than March 1, 2016, the
18 Chief of Staff of the Army, the Chief of Naval Operations,
19 the Chief of Staff of the Air Force, and the Commandant
20 of the Marine Corps shall each submit to the congressional
21 defense committees a report containing, at a minimum,
22 the following:

23 (1) The recommendations developed by the
24 Chief concerned or the Commandant under sub-
25 section (a) and other results of the review conducted
26 under such subsection.

1 (2) The actions the Chief concerned or the
2 Commandant is taking, if any, within the Chief's or
3 Commandant's existing authority to implement such
4 recommendations.

1 **SEC. 803 [Log 59309]. INDEPENDENT STUDY OF MATTERS**
2 **RELATED TO BID PROTESTS.**

3 (a) REQUIREMENT FOR STUDY.—Not later than 180
4 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec-
5 retary of Defense shall enter into a contract with an inde-
6 pendent research entity that is a not-for-profit entity or
7 a federally funded research and development center with
8 appropriate expertise and analytical capability to carry out
9 a comprehensive study of factors leading to the filing of
10 bid protests. The study shall examine issues such as the
11 following:

12 (1) The variable influences on the net benefit
13 (monetary and non-monetary) to contractors either
14 filing a protest or indicating intent to file a protest.

15 (2) The extent to which protests are filed by in-
16 cumbent contractors for purposes of extending a
17 contract's period of performance.

18 (3) The extent to which companies file protests
19 even when those companies do not believe there was
20 an error in the procurement process.

21 (4) The time it takes agencies to implement
22 corrective actions after a ruling or decision.

23 (b) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date
24 of the enactment of this Act, the independent entity shall
25 provide to the Secretary and the congressional defense

- 1 committees a report on the results of the study, along with
- 2 any recommendations it may have.

1 **SEC. 804 [Log 59943]. PROCUREMENT OF COMMERCIAL**
2 **ITEMS.**

3 (a) COMMERCIAL ITEM DETERMINATIONS BY DE-
4 PARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 140 of title 10,
6 United States Code, is amended by adding at the
7 end the following new section:

8 **“§ 2380. Commercial item determinations by Depart-**
9 **ment of Defense**

10 “The Secretary of Defense shall—

11 “(1) establish and maintain a centralized capa-
12 bility with necessary expertise and resources to over-
13 see the making of commercial item determinations
14 for the purposes of procurements by the Department
15 of Defense; and

16 “(2) provide public access to Department of
17 Defense commercial item determinations for the pur-
18 poses of procurements by the Department of De-
19 fense.”.

20 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
21 tions at the beginning of such chapter is amended
22 by adding at the end the following new item:

“2380. Commercial item determinations by Department of Defense.”.

23 (b) COMMERCIAL ITEM EXCEPTION TO SUBMISSION
24 OF COST AND PRICING DATA.—Section 2306a(b) of title

1 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end
2 the following new paragraph:

3 “(4) COMMERCIAL ITEM DETERMINATION.—(A)

4 For purposes of applying the commercial item excep-
5 tion under paragraph (1)(B) to the required submis-
6 sion of certified cost or pricing data, the contracting
7 officer may presume that a prior commercial item
8 determination made by a military department, a De-
9 fense Agency, or another component of the Depart-
10 ment of Defense shall serve as a determination for
11 subsequent procurements of such item.

12 “(B) If the contracting officer does not make
13 the presumption described in subparagraph (A) and
14 instead chooses to proceed with a procurement of an
15 item previously determined to be a commercial item
16 using procedures other than the procedures author-
17 ized for the procurement of a commercial item, the
18 contracting officer shall request a review of the com-
19 mercial item determination by the head of the con-
20 tracting activity.

21 “(C) Not later than 30 days after receiving a
22 request for review of a commercial item determina-
23 tion under subparagraph (B), the head of a con-
24 tracting activity shall—

1 “(i) confirm that the prior determination
2 was appropriate and still applicable; or
3 “(ii) issue a revised determination with a
4 written explanation of the basis for the revision.”.
5

1 **SEC. 805 [Log 59946]. AMENDMENT RELATING TO**
2 **MULTIYEAR CONTRACT AUTHORITY FOR AC-**
3 **QUISITION OF PROPERTY.**

4 Paragraph (1) of section 2306b(a) of title 10, United
5 States Code, is amended to read as follows:

6 “(1) That there is a reasonable expectation that
7 the use of such a contract will result in lower total
8 anticipated costs of carrying out the program than
9 if the program were carried out through annual con-
10 tracts.”.

1 **SEC. 806 [Log 60740]. COMPLIANCE WITH INVENTORY OF**
2 **CONTRACTS FOR SERVICES.**

3 Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this
4 Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for
5 the operation of the Office of the Under Secretary of De-
6 fense for Personnel and Readiness, not more than 75 per-
7 cent may be obligated or expended in fiscal year 2016
8 until—

9 (1) the “Department of Defense Compliance
10 Plan for Section 8108(c) of Public Law 112–10”, as
11 contained in a memorandum and enclosure dated
12 November 22, 2011, is implemented;

13 (2) the implementing direction contained in the
14 “Enterprise-wide Contractor Manpower Reporting
15 Application”, as contained in a memorandum dated
16 November 28, 2012, from the Under Secretary of
17 Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics
18 and the (then) Acting Principal Deputy Under Sec-
19 retary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness is
20 fulfilled; and

21 (3) the funds made available in March 2014 to
22 establish the Total Force Management Support Of-
23 fice to define business processes for compiling, re-
24 viewing, and using the inventory required under sec-
25 tion 2330a(c) of title 10, United States Code, have
26 been obligated.

1 **Subtitle B—Workforce**
2 **Development and Related Matters**
3 **SEC. 811 [Log 57874]. AMENDMENTS TO DEPARTMENT OF**
4 **DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVEL-**
5 **OPMENT FUND.**

6 (a) PERMANENT EXTENSION OF FUND.—Section
7 1705(d)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

8 (1) in subparagraph (C), by striking “of an
9 amount as follows:” and all that follows through the
10 end, and inserting “of an amount of not less than
11 \$500,000,000.”; and

12 (2) in subparagraph (D), by striking “an
13 amount that is less than” and all that follows
14 through the end, and inserting “an amount that is
15 less than \$400,000,000”.

16 (b) PERMANENT EXTENSION OF EXPEDITED HIRING
17 AUTHORITY.—Section 1705(g) of such title is amended—

18 (1) by striking paragraph (2);

19 (2) by striking “AUTHORITY.—” and all that
20 follows through “For purposes of” in paragraph (1)
21 and inserting “AUTHORITY.—For purposes of”;

22 (3) by striking “(A)” and inserting “(1)”; and

23 (4) by striking “(B)” and inserting “(2)”.

24 (c) CLARIFICATION OF ACQUISITION WORKFORCE
25 COVERED.—Section 1705(g) of such title, as amended by

1 subsection (c), is further amended by striking “acquisition
2 workforce positions” and inserting “of positions in the ac-
3 quisition workforce, as defined in subsection (h),”.

1 **SEC. 812 [Log 57881]. DUAL-TRACK MILITARY PROFES-**
2 **SIONALS IN OPERATIONAL AND ACQUISITION**
3 **SPECIALITIES.**

4 (a) REQUIREMENT FOR SERVICE CHIEF INVOLVE-
5 MENT.—Section 1722a(a) of title 10, United States Code,
6 is amended by inserting after “military department)” the
7 following: “, in collaboration with the Chief of Staff of the
8 Army, the Chief of Naval Operations, the Chief of Staff
9 of the Air Force, and the Commandant of the Marine
10 Corps (with respect to the Army, Navy, Air Force, and
11 Marine Corps, respectively),”.

12 (b) DUAL-TRACK CAREER PATH.—Section 1722a(b)
13 of such title is amended—

14 (1) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as
15 paragraphs (3) and (4), respectively;

16 (2) in paragraph (1), by inserting “single-
17 track” before “career path”; and

18 (3) by inserting after paragraph (1) the fol-
19 lowing new paragraph (2):

20 “(2) A dual-track career path that attracts the
21 highest quality officers and enlisted personnel and
22 allows them to gain experience in and receive credit
23 for a primary career in combat arms and a func-
24 tional secondary career in the acquisition field in
25 order to more closely align the military operational,

1 requirements, and acquisition workforces of each
2 armed force.”.

1 **SEC. 813 [Log 57882]. PROVISION OF JOINT DUTY ASSIGN-**
2 **MENT CREDIT FOR ACQUISITION DUTY.**

3 Section 668(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is
4 amended—

5 (1) by striking “or” at the end of subparagraph
6 (D);

7 (2) by striking the period at the end of sub-
8 paragraph (E) and inserting “; or”; and

9 (3) by adding at the end the following new sub-
10 paragraph:

11 “(F) acquisition matters addressed by military
12 personnel and covered under chapter 87 of this
13 title.”.

1 **SEC. 815 [Log 57907]. MANDATORY REQUIREMENT FOR**
2 **TRAINING RELATED TO THE CONDUCT OF**
3 **MARKET RESEARCH.**

4 (a) MANDATORY MARKET RESEARCH TRAINING.—
5 Section 2377 of title 10, United States Code, is amended
6 by adding at the end the following new subsection:

7 “(d) MARKET RESEARCH TRAINING REQUIRED.—
8 The Secretary of Defense shall provide mandatory train-
9 ing for members of the armed forces and employees of the
10 Department of Defense responsible for the conduct of
11 market research required under subsection (c). Such man-
12 datory training shall, at a minimum—

13 “(1) provide comprehensive information on the
14 subject of market research and the function of mar-
15 ket research in the acquisition of commercial items;

16 “(2) teach best practices for conducting and
17 documenting market research; and

18 “(3) provide methodologies for establishing
19 standard processes and reports for collecting and
20 sharing market research across the Department.”.

21 (b) INCORPORATION INTO MANAGEMENT CERTIFI-
22 CATION TRAINING MANDATE.—The Chairman of the
23 Joint Chiefs of Staff shall ensure that the requirements
24 of section 2377(d) of title 10, United States Code, as
25 added by subsection (a), are incorporated into the require-

- 1 ments management certification training mandate of the
- 2 Joint Capabilities Integration Development System.

1 **SEC. 816 [Log 58197]. INDEPENDENT STUDY OF IMPLEMEN-**
2 **TATION OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORK-**
3 **FORCE IMPROVEMENT EFFORTS.**

4 (a) REQUIREMENT FOR STUDY.—Not later than 30
5 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec-
6 retary of Defense shall enter into a contract with an inde-
7 pendent research entity described in subsection (b) to
8 carry out a comprehensive study of the strategic planning
9 of the Department of Defense related to the defense acqui-
10 sition workforce. The study shall provide a comprehensive
11 examination of the Department's efforts to recruit, de-
12 velop, and retain the acquisition workforce with a specific
13 review of the following:

14 (1) The implementation of the Defense Acquisi-
15 tion Workforce Improvement Act (including chapter
16 87 of title 10, United States Code).

17 (2) The application of the Department of De-
18 fense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund (as
19 established under section 1705 of title 10, United
20 States Code).

21 (3) The effectiveness of professional military
22 education programs, including fellowships and ex-
23 changes with industry.

24 (b) INDEPENDENT RESEARCH ENTITY.—The entity
25 described in this subsection is an independent research en-
26 tity that is a not-for-profit entity or a federally funded

1 research and development center with appropriate exper-
2 tise and analytical capability.

3 (c) REPORTS.—

4 (1) TO SECRETARY.—Not later than one year
5 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the inde-
6 pendent research entity shall provide to the Sec-
7 retary a report containing—

8 (A) the results of the study required by
9 subsection (a); and

10 (B) such recommendations to improve the
11 acquisition workforce as the independent re-
12 search entity considers to be appropriate.

13 (2) TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 30 days
14 after receipt of the report under paragraph (1), the
15 Secretary of Defense shall submit such report, to-
16 gether with any additional views or recommendations
17 of the Secretary, to the congressional defense com-
18 mittees.

1 **SEC. 817 [Log 60939]. EXTENSION OF DEMONSTRATION**
2 **PROJECT RELATING TO CERTAIN ACQUISI-**
3 **TION PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT POLICIES**
4 **AND PROCEDURES.**

5 Section 1762(g) of title 10, United States Code, is
6 amended by striking “2017” and inserting “2020”.

1 **Subtitle C—Weapon Systems**
2 **Acquisition and Related Matters**

3 **SEC. 821 [Log 59944]. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE DESIRED**
4 **CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE WEAPON SYS-**
5 **TEMS ACQUISITION SYSTEM.**

6 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
7 ings:

8 (1) CURRENT SITUATION.—Despite significant
9 and repeated attempts at acquisition reform, the De-
10 partment of Defense still experiences case after case
11 of expensive weapon system acquisition failures. The
12 Department of Defense has a track record of too
13 many cancellations, schedule slippages, cost over-
14 runs, and failures to deliver timely solutions to the
15 requirements of the Armed Forces. This situation is
16 unacceptable. For example, according to the Final
17 Report of the 2010 Army Acquisition Review, be-
18 tween 1996 and 2010, the Army expended approxi-
19 mately \$1 billion to \$3 billion annually on two dozen
20 programs that were eventually cancelled. No military
21 service and no type of weapon acquisition has been
22 immune.

23 (2) PROBLEMS IN ALL PHASES OF ACQUISSI-
24 TIONS.—

1 (A) Despite detailed weapon acquisition
2 processes and procedures, there is only limited
3 discipline in starting programs. Many programs
4 begin without a solid foundation. They have too
5 many requirements deemed “critical”, which
6 are driven by too many organizations and indi-
7 viduals. Approved requirements are often set
8 with only a limited understanding of the tech-
9 nical feasibility of achieving them. The resulting
10 compromises of good program management and
11 engineering judgment that allow the programs
12 to proceed are the “spackle” of the acquisition
13 system that covers up the risks and enables the
14 system to operate.

15 (B) As these weapon systems proceed into
16 engineering and manufacturing development,
17 they often encounter development problems
18 leading to cost growth, schedule delay, and per-
19 formance reductions. Industry and Government
20 officials frequently respond by taking additional
21 development risks to resolve basic performance
22 issues by reducing the time to analyze and as-
23 sess development results, overlapping key devel-
24 opment efforts, and reducing testing. The De-
25 partment of Defense and Congress disrupt the

1 planned funding of stable programs to find re-
2 sources for troubled programs or to fund
3 across-the-board spending cuts. Funding insta-
4 bility is the inevitable price that programs pay
5 for survival because funding disruptions actu-
6 ally keep more programs alive.

7 (C) Finally, these weapons are often
8 rushed into production only to encounter pro-
9 duction problems, and are fielded with many
10 unknowns or deficiencies leading to significantly
11 reduced quantities and force structure reduc-
12 tions. The warfighter faces the challenge of op-
13 erating weapons with poor reliability, high
14 maintenance demands, reduced performance,
15 and many capability shortfalls.

16 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—It is the sense of Congress
18 that, in accordance with the tenets described in sec-
19 tion 3, to improve weapon system acquisitions, the
20 Department of Defense, Congress, and industry
21 should develop an acquisition system characterized
22 by highly disciplined program initiation coupled with
23 agile program execution and balanced oversight, as
24 described in paragraphs (2), (3), and (4).

1 (2) HIGHLY DISCIPLINED PROGRAM INITI-
2 ATION.—An acquisition system characterized by
3 highly disciplined program initiation means that pro-
4 grams do not begin engineering development until
5 firm requirements are matched to a flexible acquisi-
6 tion strategy structured to develop militarily useful
7 capability that can be delivered in a relevant period
8 of time with available technologies, funding, and
9 management capacity. Such a highly disciplined pro-
10 gram initiation includes—

11 (A) a workforce with smart requirements
12 setters and expert buyers, with the knowledge,
13 skills, and experience to successfully plan for
14 and execute highly complex acquisitions;

15 (B) requirements that are well-defined,
16 technically feasible, and affordable;

17 (C) acquisition strategies that are designed
18 to minimize time to market of militarily useful
19 capability, with the program concerned being
20 structured so that—

21 (i) lower-risk, technically mature ca-
22 pabilities are matched to delivering capa-
23 bility to the warfighter in the near term,
24 while remaining requirements are aligned
25 and resources are programmed to support

1 integration into later increments to meet
2 the requirements of the Armed Forces;

3 (ii) capabilities are approved for an
4 increment only when their developmental
5 risks have been appropriately reduced; and

6 (iii) increments are planned to com-
7 plete engineering and manufacturing devel-
8 opment in a reasonable period of time;

9 (D) a science and technology development
10 enterprise that is responsive to the acquisition
11 process before engineering and manufacturing
12 development begins, and sufficiently resourced
13 to reduce risks and enable programs to make
14 smart decisions without losing critical funds;
15 and

16 (E) redtape reduction in order to free up
17 program and Department officials to focus on
18 their mission of defining an executable program
19 and understanding and addressing risks.

20 (3) AGILE PROGRAM EXECUTION.—An acquisi-
21 tion system characterized by agile program execution
22 means a system in which acquisition speed and flexi-
23 bility to make trade-offs are balanced with the need
24 to achieve desired technical performance. Such agile
25 program execution includes—

1 (A) program managers and program offi-
2 cials who are expert buyers and negotiators who
3 anticipate problems, negotiate solutions, and
4 are empowered to manage;

5 (B) a preference for fixed price contracting
6 where appropriate for the size and complexity
7 of the work and for the nature and scope of the
8 capabilities being developed;

9 (C) program managers who avoid increas-
10 ing program risk by resisting the addition of
11 new requirements or the reduction of develop-
12 mental activities;

13 (D) empowering program managers and
14 senior decisionmakers to make decisions easily
15 in order to move forward with capabilities that
16 mature quickly, cancel those that encounter
17 greater difficulties than expected, and trade-off
18 or reduce requirements to maintain cost and
19 schedule;

20 (E) enabling program managers to focus
21 on overcoming execution challenges and deliv-
22 ering success rather than concentrating on com-
23 pliance with reporting, certifications, and other
24 redtape; and

1 (F) senior decisionmakers who have knowl-
2 edge of demonstrated performance as programs
3 proceed through development, with robust de-
4 velopmental testing occurring before committing
5 to production for operational use as a basis for
6 decision making.

7 (4) BALANCED OVERSIGHT.—An acquisition
8 system characterized by balanced oversight means
9 that the focus is on ensuring discipline initiating
10 programs and that appropriate adjustments are
11 made during development, so that programs have
12 the best chance to succeed. Such balanced oversight
13 includes—

14 (A) involvement by decisionmakers early to
15 ensure that an understanding of trade-offs,
16 risks, and needs are considered, resourced, and
17 validated, and that agreement is reached be-
18 tween the executive and legislative branches;

19 (B) acceptance by decisionmakers that
20 complex weapon system developments are inher-
21 ently risky and require expertise and flexibility
22 to manage effectively;

23 (C) conscious decisions by decisionmakers
24 regarding where to accept risk, while ensuring
25 that risk mitigation plans are resourced (with

1 time, funding, alternatives, and competent gov-
2 ernment and contractor officials);

3 (D) measuring and monitoring by decision-
4 makers of the right factors, such as technology
5 maturation progress and systems engineering
6 during risk reduction, development cost growth
7 during engineering and manufacturing develop-
8 ment, and reliability growth during system
9 demonstration;

10 (E) work by Congress and the Department
11 of Defense, once a program has begun, to re-
12 solve issues by considering trade-offs among
13 cost, schedule, and performance necessary to
14 best support the warfighter; and

15 (F) congressional understanding of risks
16 and efforts to mitigate such risks even if they
17 are through non-traditional means or other
18 technological advances.

1 **SEC. 822 [Log 57877]. ACQUISITION STRATEGY REQUIRED**
2 **FOR EACH MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION**
3 **PROGRAM AND MAJOR SYSTEM.**

4 (a) CONSOLIDATION OF REQUIREMENTS RELATING
5 TO ACQUISITION STRATEGY.—

6 (1) NEW TITLE 10 SECTION.—Chapter 144 of
7 title 10, United States Code, is amended by insert-
8 ing after section 2431 the following new section:

9 **“§ 2431a. Acquisition strategy**

10 “(a) ACQUISITION STRATEGY REQUIRED.—There
11 shall be an acquisition strategy for each major defense ac-
12 quisition program and each major system approved by a
13 Milestone Decision Authority.

14 “(b) RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL.—For each acquisition
15 strategy required by subsection (a), the Under Secretary
16 of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics is
17 responsible for issuing and maintaining the requirements
18 for—

19 “(1) the content of the strategy; and

20 “(2) the review and approval process for the
21 strategy.

22 “(c) CONSIDERATIONS.—(1) In issuing requirements
23 for the content of an acquisition strategy for a major de-
24 fense acquisition program or major system, the Under
25 Secretary shall ensure that—

1 “(A) the strategy clearly describes the proposed
2 business and technical management approach for the
3 program or system, in sufficient detail to allow the
4 Milestone Decision Authority to assess the viability
5 of the proposed approach;

6 “(B) the strategy contains a clear explanation
7 of how the strategy is designed to be implemented
8 with available resources, such as time, funding, and
9 management capacity; and

10 “(C) the strategy considers the items listed in
11 paragraph (2).

12 “(2) Each strategy shall, at a minimum, consider the
13 following:

14 “(A) An approach that delivers required capa-
15 bility in increments, each depending on available ma-
16 ture technology, and that recognizes up front the
17 need for future capability improvements.

18 “(B) Acquisition approach, including industrial
19 base considerations in accordance with section 2440
20 of this title.

21 “(C) Risk management, including such methods
22 as competitive prototyping at the system, subsystem,
23 or component level, in accordance with section
24 2431b of this title.

1 “(D) Business strategy, including measures to
2 ensure competition at the system and subsystem
3 level throughout the life-cycle of the program or sys-
4 tem in accordance with section 2337 of this title.

5 “(E) Contracting strategy, including—

6 “(i) contract type and how the type se-
7 lected relates to level of program risk in each
8 acquisition phase;

9 “(ii) how the plans for the program or sys-
10 tem to reduce risk enable the use of fixed-price
11 elements in subsequent contracts and the tim-
12 ing of the use of those fixed price elements;

13 “(iii) market research; and

14 “(iv) consideration of small business par-
15 ticipation.

16 “(F) Intellectual property strategy in accord-
17 ance with section 2320 of this title.

18 “(G) International involvement, including for-
19 eign military sales and cooperative opportunities, in
20 accordance with section 2350a of this title.

21 “(H) Multi-year procurement in accordance
22 with section 2306b of this title.

23 “(I) Integration of current intelligence assess-
24 ments into the acquisition process.

1 “(J) Requirements related to logistics, mainte-
2 nance, and sustainment in accordance with sections
3 2464 and 2466 of this title.

4 “(d) REVIEW.—(1) Subject to the authority, direc-
5 tion, and control of the Under Secretary of Defense for
6 Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, the Milestone De-
7 cision Authority shall review and approve, as appropriate,
8 the acquisition strategy for a major defense acquisition
9 program or major system at each of the following times:

10 “(A) Milestone A approval.

11 “(B) The decision to release the request
12 for proposals for development of the program or
13 system.

14 “(C) Milestone B approval.

15 “(D) Each subsequent milestone.

16 “(E) Review of any decision to enter into
17 full-rate production.

18 “(F) When there has been—

19 “(i) a significant change to the cost of
20 the program or system;

21 “(ii) a critical change to the cost of
22 the program or system;

23 “(iii) a significant change to the
24 schedule of the program or system; or

1 “(iv) a significant change to the per-
2 formance of the program or system.

3 “(G) Any other time considered relevant by
4 the Milestone Decision Authority.

5 “(2) If the Milestone Decision Authority revises an
6 acquisition strategy for a program or system, the Mile-
7 stone Decision Authority shall provide notice of the revi-
8 sion to the congressional defense committees.

9 “(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

10 “(1) The term ‘major defense acquisition pro-
11 gram’ has the meaning provided in section 2430 of
12 this title.

13 “(2) The term ‘major system’ has the meaning
14 provided in section 2302(5) of this title.

15 “(3) The term ‘Milestone A approval’ means a
16 decision to enter into technology maturation and
17 risk reduction pursuant to guidance prescribed by
18 the Secretary of Defense for the management of De-
19 partment of Defense acquisition programs.

20 “(4) The term ‘Milestone B approval’ has the
21 meaning provided in section 2366(e)(7) of this title.

22 “(5) The term ‘Milestone Decision Authority’,
23 with respect to a major defense acquisition program
24 or major system, means the official within the De-
25 partment of Defense designated with the overall re-

1 sponsibility and authority for acquisition decisions
2 for the program or system, including authority to
3 approve entry of the program or system into the
4 next phase of the acquisition process.

5 “(6) The term ‘management capacity’, with re-
6 spect to a major defense acquisition program or
7 major system, means the capacity to manage the
8 program or system through the use of highly quali-
9 fied organizations and personnel with appropriate
10 experience, knowledge, and skills.

11 “(7) The term ‘significant change to the cost’,
12 with respect to a major defense acquisition program
13 or major system, means a significant cost growth
14 threshold, as that term is defined in section
15 2433(a)(4) of this title.

16 “(8) The term ‘critical change to the cost’, with
17 respect to a major defense acquisition program or
18 major system, means a critical cost growth thresh-
19 old, as that term is defined in section 2433(a)(5) of
20 this title.

21 “(9) The term ‘significant change to the sched-
22 ule’, with respect to a major defense acquisition pro-
23 gram or major system, means any schedule delay
24 greater than six months in a reported event.

1 “(f) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT-
2 TEES.—Upon request by the chairman or ranking member
3 of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate or the
4 House of Representatives, the Secretary of Defense shall
5 submit to the committee the most recently approved acqui-
6 sition strategy for a major defense acquisition program or
7 major system. The strategy shall be submitted in unclassi-
8 fied form but may include a classified annex.”.

9 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
10 tions at the beginning of such chapter is amended
11 by inserting after the item relating to section 2431
12 the following new item:

“2431a. Acquisition strategy.”.

13 (b) ADDITIONAL AMENDMENTS.—

14 (1) Section 2350a(e) of such title is amended—

15 (A) in the subsection heading, by striking
16 “DOCUMENT”;

17 (B) in paragraph (1), by striking “the
18 Under Secretary of Defense for” and all that
19 follows through “of the Board” and inserting
20 “opportunities for such cooperative research
21 and development shall be addressed in the ac-
22 quisition strategy for the project”; and

23 (C) in paragraph (2)—

24 (i) in the matter preceding subpara-
25 graph (A)—

1 (I) by striking “document” and
2 inserting “discussion”; and

3 (II) by striking “include” and in-
4 serting “consider”;

5 (ii) in subparagraph (A), by striking
6 “A statement indicating whether” and in-
7 serting “Whether”;

8 (iii) in subparagraph (B)—

9 (I) by striking “by the Under
10 Secretary of Defense for Acquisition,
11 Technology, and Logistics”; and

12 (II) by striking “of the United
13 States under consideration by the De-
14 partment of Defense”; and

15 (iv) in subparagraph (D), by striking
16 “The recommendation of the Under Sec-
17 retary” and inserting “A recommendation
18 to the Milestone Decision Authority”.

19 (2) Section 803 of the Bob Stump National De-
20 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Pub-
21 lic Law 107–314; 10 U.S.C. 2430 note) is repealed.

1 **SEC. 823 [Log 57878]. REVISION TO REQUIREMENTS RELAT-**
2 **ING TO RISK MANAGEMENT IN DEVELOP-**
3 **MENT OF MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION**
4 **PROGRAMS AND MAJOR SYSTEMS.**

5 (a) RISK MANAGEMENT AND MITIGATION REQUIRE-
6 MENTS.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 144 of title 10,
8 United States Code, is amended by inserting after
9 section 2431a (as added by section 813) the fol-
10 lowing new section:

11 **“§ 2431b. Risk management and mitigation in major**
12 **defense acquisition programs and major**
13 **systems**

14 “(a) REQUIREMENT.—(1) There shall be a risk man-
15 agement and mitigation strategy for each major defense
16 acquisition program or major system.

17 “(2) The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the
18 initial acquisition strategy (required under section 2431a
19 of this title) approved by the Milestone Decision Authority
20 and any subsequent revisions include the following:

21 “(A) A comprehensive strategy for managing
22 and mitigating risk (including technical, cost, and
23 schedule risk) during each of the following periods:

24 “(i) The period preceding engineering
25 manufacturing development, or its equivalent.

1 “(ii) The period preceding initial produc-
2 tion.

3 “(iii) The period preceding full-rate pro-
4 duction.

5 “(B) An identification of the major sources of
6 risk in each of the periods listed in subparagraph
7 (A).

8 “(3) In the case of a program or system with separate
9 increments of capabilities that require Milestone Decision
10 Authority approval to begin or proceed, paragraphs (1)
11 and (2) shall apply to each increment.

12 “(b) STRATEGY TO MANAGE AND MITIGATE
13 RISKS.—(1) The comprehensive strategy to manage and
14 mitigate risk included in the acquisition strategy for pur-
15 poses of subsection (a)(2)(A) shall identify each individual
16 risk and the risk management and mitigation activities to
17 address each risk. For the mitigation activities identified,
18 the strategy shall note whether they require cost and
19 schedule margins and need to be included in funding re-
20 quests.

21 “(2) The strategy shall be comprehensive and, at a
22 minimum, include consideration of risk mitigation tech-
23 niques such as the following:

24 “(A) Prototyping (including prototyping at the
25 system, subsystem, or component level and competi-

1 tive prototyping, where appropriate) and, if proto-
2 typing at either the system, subsystem, or compo-
3 nent level is not used, an explanation of why it is
4 not appropriate.

5 “(B) Modeling and simulation, the areas that
6 modeling and simulation will assess, and identifica-
7 tion of the need for development of any new mod-
8 eling and simulation tools in order to support the
9 comprehensive strategy.

10 “(C) Technology demonstrations and decision
11 points for disciplined transition of planned tech-
12 nologies into programs or the selection of alternative
13 technologies.

14 “(D) Multiple design approaches.

15 “(E) Alternative designs, including any designs
16 that meet requirements but do so with reduced per-
17 formance.

18 “(F) Phasing of program activities or related
19 technology development efforts in order to address
20 high risk areas as early as feasible.

21 “(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms ‘major
22 defense acquisition program’ and ‘major system’ have the
23 meanings provided in section 2431a of this title.”.

24 “(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
25 tions at the beginning of such chapter is amended

1 by inserting after the item relating to section 2431a,
2 as so added, the following new item:

“2431b. Risk reduction in major defense acquisition programs and major systems.”.

3 (b) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED PROVISION.—Section
4 203 of the Weapon Systems Acquisition Reform Act of
5 2009 (10 U.S.C. 2430 note) is repealed.

1 **SEC. 824 [Log 57887]. MODIFICATION TO REQUIREMENTS**
2 **RELATING TO DETERMINATION OF CON-**
3 **TRACT TYPE FOR MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUI-**
4 **SION PROGRAMS AND MAJOR SYSTEMS.**

5 (a) DETERMINATION OF CONTRACT TYPE.—Section
6 2306 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by add-
7 ing at the end the following new subsection:

8 “(i) REQUIRED ELEMENTS OF GUIDANCE RELATING
9 TO CONTRACT TYPE.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall
10 ensure that the guidance of the Department of Defense
11 relating to major defense acquisition programs, major sys-
12 tems, and major automated information systems includes
13 a requirement that the acquisition strategy required under
14 section 2431a of this title for such a program or system
15 includes—

16 “(A) a separate identification of the contract
17 type for each acquisition phase of the program or
18 system; and

19 “(B) a justification of the contract type identi-
20 fied.

21 “(2) The contract type identified in accordance with
22 paragraph (1)(A) may be—

23 “(A) a fixed-price type contract (including a
24 fixed-price incentive contract); or

25 “(B) a cost-type contract (including a cost-plus-
26 incentive-fee contract).

1 “(3) The guidance referred to in paragraph (1) shall
2 require that the justification for the contract type selected
3 explain—

4 “(A) how the level of program risk in each ac-
5 quisition phase relates to the contract type selected;

6 “(B) how the use of incentives (especially cost
7 incentives) in the contract, if any, supports the pro-
8 gram or system objectives during each acquisition
9 phase; and

10 “(C) how the plans for the program or system
11 to reduce risk enable the use of fixed-price elements
12 in subsequent contracts.

13 “(4) The guidance shall also specify that the use of
14 contracts with target costs, target profits or fees, and
15 profit or fee adjustment formulas can be an appropriate
16 contract type.”.

17 (b) REPEAL.—Section 818 of the John Warner Na-
18 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007
19 (Public Law 109-364; 10 U.S.C. 2306 note) is amended
20 by striking subsections (b), (c), (d), and (e).

1 **SEC. 825 [Log 60167]. REQUIRED DETERMINATION BEFORE**
2 **MILESTONE A APPROVAL OR INITIATION OF**
3 **MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.**

4 (a) DETERMINATION RATHER THAN CERTIFICATION
5 REQUIRED.—Subsection (a) of section 2366a of title 10,
6 United States Code, is amended—

7 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “CER-
8 TIFICATION” and inserting “WRITTEN DETERMINA-
9 TION REQUIRED”; and

10 (2) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by
11 striking “certifies” and inserting “determines, in
12 writing,”.

13 (b) SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN DETERMINATION TO
14 CONGRESS.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended to
15 read as follows:

16 “(b) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—At the request of
17 any of the congressional defense committees, the Secretary
18 of Defense shall submit to the committee an explanation
19 of the basis for a determination made under subsection
20 (a) with respect to a major defense acquisition program,
21 together with a copy of the written determination. The ex-
22 planation shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may
23 include a classified annex.”.

24 (c) REPEAL OF UNUSED DEFINITIONS.—Subsection
25 (c) of such section is amended—

26 (1) by striking paragraphs (2) and (4); and

1 (2) by redesignating paragraphs (3), (5), (6),
2 and (7) as paragraphs (2), (3), (4), and (5), respec-
3 tively.

4 (d) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

5 (1) SECTION HEADING.—The heading of section
6 2366a of title 10, United States Code, is amended
7 to read as follows:

8 **“§ 2366a. Major defense acquisition programs: deter-**
9 **mination required before Milestone A ap-**
10 **proval”.**

11 (2) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The table of sections
12 at the beginning of chapter 139 of such title is
13 amended by striking the item relating to section
14 2366a and inserting the following new item:

 “2366a. Major defense acquisition programs: determination required before
 Milestone A approval.”.

1 **SEC. 826 [Log 60168]. REQUIRED CERTIFICATION AND DE-**
2 **TERMINATION BEFORE MILESTONE B AP-**
3 **PROVAL OF MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION**
4 **PROGRAMS.**

5 (a) DETERMINATION REQUIRED IN ADDITION TO
6 CERTIFICATION.—Subsection (a) of section 2366b of title
7 10, United States Code, is amended—

8 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “CER-
9 TIFICATION” and inserting “CERTIFICATION AND
10 DETERMINATION REQUIRED”;

11 (2) by redesignating paragraph (4) as para-
12 graph (5); and

13 (3) by striking “(3) further certifies that—”
14 and inserting the following:

15 “(3) further certifies that the technology in the
16 program has been demonstrated in a relevant envi-
17 ronment, as determined by the Milestone Decision
18 Authority on the basis of an independent review and
19 assessment by the Assistant Secretary of Defense
20 for Research and Engineering, in consultation with
21 the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for De-
22 velopmental Test and Evaluation;

23 “(4) determines, in writing, that—”.

24 (b) SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN DETERMINATION TO
25 CONGRESS.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended by
26 adding at the end the following new paragraph:

1 “(3) At the request of any of the congressional de-
2 fense committees, the Secretary of Defense shall submit
3 to the committee an explanation of the basis for a deter-
4 mination made under subsection (a)(4) with respect to a
5 major defense acquisition program, together with a copy
6 of the written determination. The explanation shall be sub-
7 mitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified
8 annex.”.

9 (c) NATIONAL SECURITY WAIVER.—Subsection (d) of
10 such section is amended—

11 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “certification
12 requirement” and inserting “certification and deter-
13 mination requirements”; and

14 (2) in paragraph (2)—

15 (A) in the matter preceding subparagraph
16 (A) and in subparagraph (A), by inserting
17 “waiver” before “determination” each place it
18 appears; and

19 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking “cer-
20 tification components” both places it appears
21 and inserting “certification and determination
22 components”.

23 (d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 2366b of
24 title 10, United States Code, is further amended—

1 (1) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “paragraph
2 (1) or (2) of subsection (a)” and inserting “para-
3 graph (1), (2), or (3) of subsection (a)”;

4 (2) in subsection (d)(1), by striking “paragraph
5 (1), (2), or (3) of subsection (a)” and inserting
6 “paragraph (1), (2), (3), or (4) of subsection (a)”;
7 and

8 (3) in subsection (d)(2)(B), by striking “para-
9 graphs (1), (2), and (3) of subsection (a)” and in-
10 serting “paragraphs (1), (2), (3) and (4) of sub-
11 section (a)”.

12 (e) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

13 (1) SECTION HEADING.—The heading of section
14 2366b of title 10, United States Code, is amended
15 to read as follows:

16 **“§ 2366b. Major defense acquisition programs: certifi-**
17 **cation and determination required before**
18 **Milestone B approval”.**

19 (2) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The table of sections
20 at the beginning of chapter 139 of such title is
21 amended by striking the item relating to section
22 2366b and inserting the following new item:

“2366b. Major defense acquisition programs: certification and determination re-
quired before Milestone B approval.”.

Subtitle D—Industrial Base Matters

SEC. 831 [Log 58190]. CODIFICATION AND AMENDMENT OF MENTOR-PROTEGE PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 831 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101–510; 104 Stat. 1607; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is transferred to chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, inserted so as to appear after section 2323a, redesignated as section 2323b, and amended—

(1) by amending the section heading to read as follows:

“§ 2323b. Mentor-Protege Program”;

(2) by striking “pilot” each place such term appears;

(3) by amending subsection (e)(1) to read as follows:

“(1) A developmental program for the protege firm, in such detail as may be reasonable, including—

“(A) factors to assess the protege firm’s developmental progress under the program; and

“(B) the anticipated number and type of subcontracts to be awarded to the protege firm.”;

1 (4) in subsection (g)(2)(B), by striking “under
2 subsection (l)(2)”;

3 (5) in subsection (h)(1), by inserting “(15
4 U.S.C. 631 et seq.)” after “Small Business Act”;

5 (6) by striking subsection (j) and redesignating
6 subsections (k) and (l) as subsections (j) and (k), re-
7 spectively;

8 (7) by amending subsection (j) (as so redesign-
9 nated) to read as follows:

10 “(j) REGULATIONS.—The regulations implementing
11 the Mentor-Protege Pilot Program established under sec-
12 tion 831 of the National Defense Authorization Act for
13 Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101–510; 104 Stat. 1607;
14 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) as in effect on the date of enactment
15 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
16 2016 shall apply to this section. The Secretary of Defense
17 may revise such regulations or prescribe additional regula-
18 tions necessary to carry out this section. The Department
19 of Defense policy regarding the Mentor-Protege Program
20 shall be published and maintained as an appendix to the
21 Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acqui-
22 sition Regulation.”;

23 (8) by striking “prescribed pursuant to sub-
24 section (k)” each place such term appears and in-
25 serting “described in subsection (j)”;

1 (9) in subsection (k) (as so redesignated)—

2 (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “means
3 a business concern that meets the requirements
4 of section 3(a) of the Small Business Act (15
5 U.S.C. 632(a)) and the regulations promulgated
6 pursuant thereto” and inserting “has the mean-
7 ing given such term under section 3 of the
8 Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)”;

9 (B) in paragraph (2)—

10 (i) in subparagraph (D), by striking
11 “the severely disabled” and inserting “se-
12 verely disabled individuals”; and

13 (ii) in subparagraph (G), by inserting
14 “(15 U.S.C. 632(p))” after “Small Busi-
15 ness Act”; and

16 (C) by amending paragraph (8) to read as
17 follows:

18 “(8) The term ‘severely disabled individual’
19 means an individual who is blind (as defined in sec-
20 tion 8501 of title 41) or a severely disabled indi-
21 vidual (as defined in such section).”.

22 (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections
23 at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting
24 after the item relating to section 2323a the following new
25 item:

“2323b. Mentor-Protege Program.”.

1 **SEC. 832 [Log 58191]. AMENDMENTS TO DATA QUALITY IM-**
2 **PROVEMENT PLAN.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 15(s) of the Small Busi-
4 ness Act (15. U.S.C. 644(s)) is amended—

5 (1) by redesignating paragraph (4) as para-
6 graph (6); and

7 (2) by inserting after paragraph (3) the fol-
8 lowing new paragraphs:

9 “(4) IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later than the
10 first day of fiscal year 2017, the Administrator of
11 the Small Business Administration shall implement
12 the plan described in this subsection.

13 “(5) CERTIFICATION.—The Administrator shall
14 annually provide to the Committee on Small Busi-
15 ness of the House of Representatives and the Com-
16 mittee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of
17 the Senate a certification of the accuracy and com-
18 pleteness of data reported on bundled and consoli-
19 dated contracts.”.

20 (b) GAO STUDY.—

21 (1) STUDY.—Not later than the first day of fis-
22 cal year 2018, the Comptroller General of the
23 United States shall initiate a study on the effective-
24 ness of the plan described in section 15(s) of the
25 Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(s)) that shall as-

1 sess whether contracts were accurately labeled as
2 bundled or consolidated.

3 (2) CONTRACTS EVALUATED.—For the pur-
4 poses of conducting the study described in para-
5 graph (1), the Comptroller General of the United
6 States—

7 (A) shall evaluate, for work in each of sec-
8 tors 23, 33, 54, and 56 (as defined by the
9 North American Industry Classification Sys-
10 tem), not fewer than 100 contracts in each sec-
11 tor;

12 (B) shall evaluate only those contracts—

13 (i) awarded by an agency listed in sec-
14 tion 901(b) of title 31, United States
15 Code; and

16 (ii) that have a Base and Exercised
17 Options Value, an Action Obligation, or a
18 Base and All Options Value (as such terms
19 are defined in the Federal procurement
20 data system described in section
21 1122(a)(4)(A) of title 41, United States
22 Code, or any successor system); and

23 (C) shall not evaluate contracts that have
24 used any set aside authority.

1 (3) REPORT.—Not later than 12 months after
2 initiating the study required by paragraph (1), the
3 Comptroller General of the United States shall re-
4 port to the Committee on Small Business of the
5 House of Representatives and the Committee on
6 Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate
7 on the results from such study and, if warranted,
8 any recommendations on how to improve the quality
9 of data reported on bundled and consolidated con-
10 tracts.

1 **SEC. 833 [Log 58192]. NOTICE OF CONTRACT CONSOLIDA-**
2 **TION FOR ACQUISITION STRATEGIES.**

3 (a) NOTICE REQUIREMENT FOR THE SENIOR PRO-
4 CUREMENT EXECUTIVE OR CHIEF ACQUISITION OFFI-
5 CER.—Section 44(c)(2) of the Small Business Act (15
6 U.S.C. 657q(c)(2)) is amended by adding at the end the
7 following:

8 “(C) NOTICE.—Not later than 7 days after
9 making a determination that an acquisition
10 strategy involving a consolidation of contract
11 requirements is necessary and justified under
12 subparagraph (A), the senior procurement exec-
13 utive or Chief Acquisition Officer shall publish
14 a notice on a public website that such deter-
15 mination has been made. Any solicitation for a
16 procurement related to the acquisition strategy
17 may not be published earlier than 7 days after
18 such notice is published. Along with the publi-
19 cation of the solicitation, the senior procure-
20 ment executive or Chief Acquisition Officer
21 shall publish a justification for the determina-
22 tion, which shall include the information in sub-
23 paragraphs (A) through (E) of paragraph (1).”.

24 (b) NOTICE REQUIREMENT FOR THE HEAD OF A
25 CONTRACTING AGENCY.—Section 15(e)(3) of the Small

1 Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(e)(3)) is amended to read
2 as follows:

3 “(3) STRATEGY SPECIFICATIONS.—If the head
4 of a contracting agency determines that an acquisi-
5 tion plan for a procurement involves a substantial
6 bundling of contract requirements, the head of a
7 contracting agency shall publish a notice on a public
8 website that such determination has been made not
9 later than 7 days after making such determination.
10 Any solicitation for a procurement related to the ac-
11 quisition plan may not be published earlier than 7
12 days after such notice is published. Along with the
13 publication of the solicitation, the head of a con-
14 tracting agency shall publish a justification for the
15 determination, which shall include following informa-
16 tion:

17 “(A) The specific benefits anticipated to be
18 derived from the bundling of contract require-
19 ments and a determination that such benefits
20 justify the bundling.

21 “(B) An identification of any alternative
22 contracting approaches that would involve a
23 lesser degree of bundling of contract require-
24 ments.

25 “(C) An assessment of—

1 “(i) the specific impediments to par-
2 ticipation by small business concerns as
3 prime contractors that result from the
4 bundling of contract requirements; and

5 “(ii) the specific actions designed to
6 maximize participation of small business
7 concerns as subcontractors (including sup-
8 pliers) at various tiers under the contract
9 or contracts that are awarded to meet the
10 requirements.”.

11 (c) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 44(c)(1) of
12 the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657q(c)(1)) is amend-
13 ed by striking “Subject to paragraph (4), the head” and
14 inserting “The head”.

1 **SEC. 834 [Log 58196]. CLARIFICATION OF REQUIREMENTS**
2 **RELATED TO SMALL BUSINESS CONTRACTS**
3 **FOR SERVICES.**

4 (a) PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS.—Section 8(a)(17)
5 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a)(17)) is
6 amended—

7 (1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “any pro-
8 curement contract” and all that follows through
9 “section 15” and inserting “any procurement con-
10 tract, which contract has as its principal purpose the
11 supply of a product to be let pursuant to this sub-
12 section or subsection (m), or section 15(a), 31, or
13 36,”; and

14 (2) by adding at the end the following new sub-
15 paragraph:

16 “(C) LIMITATION.—This paragraph shall not
17 apply to a contract that has as its principal purpose
18 the acquisition of services or construction.”.

19 (b) SUBCONTRACTOR CONTRACTS.—Section 46(a)(4)
20 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657s(a)(4)) is
21 amended by striking “for supplies from a regular dealer
22 in such supplies” and inserting “which is principally for
23 supplies from a regular dealer in such supplies, and which
24 is not a contract principally for services or construction,”.

1 **SEC. 835 [Log 59939]. REVIEW OF GOVERNMENT ACCESS TO**
2 **INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS OF PRI-**
3 **VATE SECTOR FIRMS.**

4 (a) REVIEW REQUIRED.—Not later than 30 days
5 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary
6 of Defense shall enter into a contract with an independent
7 entity with appropriate expertise to conduct a review of
8 Department of Defense regulations and practices related
9 to Government access to and use of intellectual property
10 rights of private sector firms. The contract shall require
11 that in conducting the review, the independent entity shall
12 consult with the National Defense Technology and Indus-
13 trial Base Council (described in section 2502 of title 10,
14 United States Code).

15 (b) REPORT.—Not later than March 1, 2016, the
16 Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense com-
17 mittees a report on the findings of the independent entity,
18 along with a description of any actions that the Secretary
19 proposes to revise and clarify laws or that the Secretary
20 may take to revise or clarify regulations related to intellec-
21 tual property rights.

1 **SEC. 837 [Log 60892]. POLICY REGARDING SOLID ROCKET**
2 **MOTORS USED IN TACTICAL MISSILES.**

3 (a) **POLICY.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure
4 that every tactical missile program of the Department of
5 Defense that uses solid propellant as the primary propul-
6 sion system shall have at least one rocket motor supplier
7 within the national technology and industrial base (as de-
8 fined in section 2500(1) of title 10, United States Code).

9 (b) **WAIVER.**—The Secretary may waive subsection
10 (a) in the case of compelling national security reasons.

1 **SEC. 838 [Log 58194]. FAR COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP FOR AD-**
2 **MINISTRATOR OF SMALL BUSINESS ADMINIS-**
3 **TRATION.**

4 (a) ADDITION OF ADMINISTRATOR OF SMALL BUSI-
5 NESS ADMINISTRATION TO FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGU-
6 LATORY COUNCIL.—Section 1302(b)(1) of title 41, United
7 States Code, is amended—

8 (1) by striking “and” at the end of subpara-
9 graph (C);

10 (2) by striking the period and inserting “; and”
11 at the end of subparagraph (D); and

12 (3) by adding at the end the following new sub-
13 paragraph:

14 “(E) the Administrator of the Small Busi-
15 ness Administration.”.

16 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Such title is
17 amended—

18 (1) in section 1303(a)(1)—

19 (A) by striking “and the Administrator of
20 National Aeronautics and Space,” and inserting
21 “the Administrator of National Aeronautics and
22 Space, and the Administrator of the Small
23 Business Administration,”; and

24 (B) by striking “and the National Aero-
25 nautics and Space Act of 1958 (42 U.S.C. 2451
26 et seq.),” and inserting “the National Aero-

1 nautics and Space Act of 1958 (42 U.S.C. 2451
2 et seq.), and the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C.
3 631 et seq.),”; and
4 (2) in section 1121(d), by striking “and the
5 General Services Administration” and inserting “the
6 General Services Administration, and the Small
7 Business Administration”.

1 **Subtitle E—Other Matters**

2 **SEC. 841 [Log 57880]. ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR DI-**
3 **RECTOR OF OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVAL-**
4 **UATION.**

5 (a) **ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITY.**—Section 139 of
6 title 10, United States Code, is amended—

7 (1) by redesignating subsections (c), (d), (e),
8 (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), and (k) as subsections (d), (e),
9 (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), and (l), respectively; and

10 (2) by inserting after subsection (b) the fol-
11 lowing new subsection (c):

12 “(c) The Director shall consider the potential for in-
13 creases in program cost estimates or delays in schedule
14 estimates in the implementation of policies, procedures,
15 and activities related to operational test and evaluation
16 and shall take appropriate action to ensure that oper-
17 ational test and evaluation activities do not unnecessarily
18 increase program costs or impede program schedules.”.

19 (b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section
20 196(c)(1)(A)(ii) of such title is amended by striking “sec-
21 tion 139(i)” and inserting “section 139(k)”.

1 **SEC. 842 [Log 59947]. USE OF RECENT PRICES PAID BY THE**
2 **GOVERNMENT IN THE DETERMINATION OF**
3 **PRICE REASONABLENESS.**

4 Section 2306a(b) of title 10, United States Code, as
5 amended by section 706, is further amended by adding
6 at the end the following new paragraph:

7 “(5) A contracting officer shall consider evi-
8 dence provided by an offeror of recent purchase
9 prices paid by the Government for the same or simi-
10 lar commercial items in establishing price reason-
11 ableness on a subsequent purchase if the contracting
12 officer is satisfied that the prices previously paid re-
13 main a valid reference for comparison after consid-
14 ering the totality of other relevant factors such as
15 the time elapsed since the prior purchase and any
16 differences in the quantities purchased or applicable
17 terms and conditions.”.

1 **SEC. 843 [Log 59936]. CODIFICATION OF OTHER TRANS-**
2 **ACTION AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN PROTO-**
3 **TYPE PROJECTS.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 845 of the National De-
5 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law
6 103–160; 10 U.S.C. 2371 note) is transferred to chapter
7 139 of title 10, United States Code, inserted so as to ap-
8 pear after section 2371a, redesignated as section 2371b,
9 and amended—

10 (1) by amending the section heading to read as
11 follows:

12 **“§ 2371b. Authority of the Advanced Research**
13 **Projects Agency to carry out certain pro-**
14 **totype projects”;**

15 (2) by striking “of title 10, United States
16 Code” each place it appears and inserting “of this
17 title”;

18 (3) by striking “of title 41, United States
19 Code” each place it appears and inserting “of title
20 41”;

21 (4) by amending subparagraph (B) of sub-
22 section (d)(1) to read as follows:

23 “(B) all parties to the transaction other than
24 the Federal Government are innovative small busi-
25 ness and nontraditional contractors with unique ca-
26 pabilities relevant to the prototype project.”; and

1 (5) by striking subsection (i).

2 (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections
3 at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting
4 after the item relating to section 2371a the following new
5 item:

“2371b. Authority of the Advanced Research Projects Agency to carry out certain prototype projects.”.

1 **SEC. 844 [Log 60173]. AMENDMENTS TO CERTAIN ACQUISITION THRESHOLDS.**
2

3 (a) SIMPLIFIED ACQUISITION THRESHOLD GENERALLY.—Section 134 of title 41, United States Code, is
4 amended by striking “\$100,000” and inserting
5 “\$500,000”.
6

7 (b) MICRO-PURCHASE THRESHOLD.—Section
8 1902(a) of title 41, United States Code, is amended by
9 striking “\$3,000” and inserting “\$5,000”.
10

11 (c) SPECIAL EMERGENCY PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY.—Section 1903(b)(2) of title 41, United States Code,
12 is amended—

13 (1) in subparagraph (A), by striking
14 “\$250,000” and inserting “\$750,000”; and

15 (2) in subparagraph (B), by striking
16 “\$1,000,000” and inserting “\$1,500,000”.
17

18 (d) SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN RESERVATION.—
19 Section 15(j)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C.
20 644(j)(1)) is amended by striking “\$100,000” and inserting “\$500,000”.
21

1 **SEC. 845 [Log 60174]. REVISION OF METHOD OF ROUNDING**
2 **WHEN MAKING INFLATION ADJUSTMENT OF**
3 **ACQUISITION-RELATED DOLLAR THRESH-**
4 **OLDS.**

5 Section 1908(e)(2) of title 41, United States Code,
6 is amended—

7 (1) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A),
8 by striking “on the day before the adjustment” and
9 inserting “as calculated under paragraph (1)”;

10 (2) by striking “and” at the end of subpara-
11 graph (C); and

12 (3) by striking subparagraph (D) and inserting
13 the following new subparagraphs:

14 “(D) not less than \$1,000,000, but less
15 than \$10,000,000, to the nearest \$500,000;

16 “(E) not less than \$10,000,000, but less
17 than \$100,000,000, to the nearest \$5,000,000;

18 “(F) not less than \$100,000,000, but less
19 than \$1,000,000,000, to the nearest
20 \$50,000,000; and

21 “(G) \$1,000,000,000 or more, to the near-
22 est \$500,000,000.”.

1 **SEC. 847 [Log 59308]. EXAMINATION AND GUIDANCE RELAT-**
2 **ING TO OVERSIGHT AND APPROVAL OF SERV-**
3 **ICES CONTRACTS.**

4 Not later than March 1, 2016, the Under Secretary
5 of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics
6 shall—

7 (1) complete an examination of the decision au-
8 thority related to acquisition of services; and

9 (2) develop and issue guidance to improve capa-
10 bilities and processes related to requirements devel-
11 opment and source selection for, and oversight and
12 management of, services contracts.

1 **SEC. 849 [Log 59669]. CONSIDERATION OF STRATEGIC MATE-**
2 **RIALS IN PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW.**

3 (a) CONSIDERATION.—The Under Secretary of De-
4 fense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall en-
5 sure that Department of Defense Instruction 5000.02 and
6 other applicable guidance receive full consideration, during
7 preliminary design review for a product, with respect to
8 any strategic materials required for sustainment of the
9 product over the life cycle of the product.

10 (b) STRATEGIC MATERIALS.—In this section, the
11 term “strategic materials” means—

12 (1) materials critical to national security, as de-
13 fined in section 187(e)(1) of title 10, United States
14 Code; and

15 (2) any specialty metal, as defined in section
16 2533b(1) of such title.

1 **SEC. 851 [Log 60972]. AMENDMENTS CONCERNING DETEC-**
2 **TION AND AVOIDANCE OF COUNTERFEIT**
3 **ELECTRONIC PARTS.**

4 Section 818(c)(2)(B) of the National Defense Au-
5 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–
6 81; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

7 (1) in clause (i), by inserting “electronic” after
8 “avoid counterfeit”;

9 (2) in clause (ii)—

10 (A) by inserting “covered” after “provided
11 to the”; and

12 (B) by inserting “or were obtained by the
13 covered contractor in accordance with regula-
14 tions described in paragraph (3)” after “Regu-
15 lation”; and

16 (3) in clause (iii), by inserting “discovers the
17 counterfeit electronic parts or suspect counterfeit
18 electronic parts and” after “contractor”.

19 **SEC. 852 [Log 57876]. REVISION TO DUTIES OF THE DEPUTY**
20 **ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR DE-**
21 **VELOPMENTAL TEST AND EVALUATION AND**
22 **THE DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DE-**
23 **FENSE FOR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING.**

24 Section 139b of title 10, United States Code, is
25 amended—

26 (1) in subsection (a)(5)—

1 (A) in subparagraph (B), by striking “re-
2 view and approve or disapprove” and inserting
3 “advise in writing the milestone decision au-
4 thority regarding review and approval of”; and

5 (B) in subparagraph (C), by inserting “in
6 order to advise relevant technical authorities for
7 such programs on the incorporation of best
8 practices for developmental test from across the
9 Department” after “programs”; and
10 (2) in subsection (b)(5)—

11 (A) in subparagraph (B), by striking “re-
12 view and approve” and inserting “advise in
13 writing the milestone decision authority regard-
14 ing review and approval of”; and

15 (B) in subparagraph (C), by inserting “in
16 order to advise relevant technical authorities for
17 such programs on the incorporation of best
18 practices for systems engineering from across
19 the Department” after “programs”.

1 **SEC. 901 [Log 60394]. REDESIGNATION OF THE DEPART-**
2 **MENT OF THE NAVY AS THE DEPARTMENT OF**
3 **THE NAVY AND MARINE CORPS.**

4 (a) REDESIGNATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE
5 NAVY AS THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY AND MARINE
6 CORPS.—

7 (1) REDESIGNATION OF MILITARY DEPART-
8 MENT.—The military department designated as the
9 Department of the Navy is redesignated as the De-
10 partment of the Navy and Marine Corps.

11 (2) REDESIGNATION OF SECRETARY AND
12 OTHER STATUTORY OFFICES.—

13 (A) SECRETARY.—The position of the Sec-
14 retary of the Navy is redesignated as the Sec-
15 retary of the Navy and Marine Corps.

16 (B) OTHER STATUTORY OFFICES.—The
17 positions of the Under Secretary of the Navy,
18 the four Assistant Secretaries of the Navy, and
19 the General Counsel of the Department of the
20 Navy are redesignated as the Under Secretary
21 of the Navy and Marine Corps, the Assistant
22 Secretaries of the Navy and Marine Corps, and
23 the General Counsel of the Department of the
24 Navy and Marine Corps, respectively.

25 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 10,
26 UNITED STATES CODE.—

1 (1) DEFINITION OF “MILITARY DEPART-
2 MENT”.—Paragraph (8) of section 101(a) of title
3 10, United States Code, is amended to read as fol-
4 lows:

5 “(8) The term ‘military department’ means the
6 Department of the Army, the Department of the
7 Navy and Marine Corps, and the Department of the
8 Air Force.”.

9 (2) ORGANIZATION OF DEPARTMENT.—The
10 first sentence of section 5011 of such title is amend-
11 ed to read as follows: “The Department of the Navy
12 and Marine Corps is separately organized under the
13 Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps.”.

14 (3) POSITION OF SECRETARY.—Section
15 5013(a)(1) of such title is amended by striking
16 “There is a Secretary of the Navy” and inserting
17 “There is a Secretary of the Navy and Marine
18 Corps”.

19 (4) CHAPTER HEADINGS.—

20 (A) The heading of chapter 503 of such
21 title is amended to read as follows:

22 **“CHAPTER 503—DEPARTMENT OF THE**
23 **NAVY AND MARINE CORPS”.**

24 (B) The heading of chapter 507 of such
25 title is amended to read as follows:

1 **“CHAPTER 507—COMPOSITION OF THE DE-**
2 **PARTMENT OF THE NAVY AND MARINE**
3 **CORPS”.**

4 (5) OTHER AMENDMENTS.—

5 (A) Title 10, United States Code, is
6 amended by striking “Department of the Navy”
7 and “Secretary of the Navy” each place they
8 appear other than as specified in paragraphs
9 (1), (2), (3), and (4) (including in section head-
10 ings, subsection captions, tables of chapters,
11 and tables of sections) and inserting “Depart-
12 ment of the Navy and Marine Corps” and “Sec-
13 retary of the Navy and Marine Corps”, respec-
14 tively, in each case with the matter inserted to
15 be in the same typeface and typestyle as the
16 matter stricken.

17 (B)(i) Sections 5013(f), 5014(b)(2),
18 5016(a), 5017(2), 5032(a), and 5042(a) of
19 such title are amended by striking “Assistant
20 Secretaries of the Navy” and inserting “Assist-
21 ant Secretaries of the Navy and Marine Corps”.

22 (ii) The heading of section 5016 of such
23 title, and the item relating to such section in
24 the table of sections at the beginning of chapter
25 503 of such title, are each amended by insert-

1 ing “and Marine Corps” after “of the Navy”,
2 with the matter inserted in each case to be in
3 the same typeface and typestyle as the matter
4 amended.

5 (c) OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW AND OTHER REF-
6 ERENCES.—

7 (1) TITLE 37, UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 37,
8 United States Code, is amended by striking “De-
9 partment of the Navy” and “Secretary of the Navy”
10 each place they appear and inserting “Department
11 of the Navy and Marine Corps” and “Secretary of
12 the Navy and Marine Corps”, respectively.

13 (2) OTHER REFERENCES.—Any reference in
14 any law other than in title 10 or title 37, United
15 States Code, or in any regulation, document, record,
16 or other paper of the United States, to the Depart-
17 ment of the Navy shall be considered to be a ref-
18 erence to the Department of the Navy and Marine
19 Corps. Any such reference to an office specified in
20 subsection (a)(2) shall be considered to be a ref-
21 erence to that office as redesignated by that section.

22 (d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section and the amend-
23 ments made by this section shall take effect on the first
24 day of the first month beginning more than 60 days after
25 the date of the enactment of this Act.

1 **SEC. 902 [Log 60559]. CHANGE OF PERIOD FOR CHAIRMAN**
2 **OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF REVIEW OF**
3 **THE UNIFIED COMMAND PLAN.**

4 Section 161(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is
5 amended by striking “two years” and inserting “four
6 years”.

1 **SEC. 903 [Log 60363]. UPDATE OF STATUTORY SPECIFICA-**
2 **TION OF FUNCTIONS OF THE CHAIRMAN OF**
3 **THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF RELATING TO**
4 **JOINT FORCE DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES.**

5 Section 153(a)(5) of title 10, United States Code, is
6 amended by adding at the end the following new subpara-
7 graph:

8 “(F) Advising the Secretary on development of
9 joint command, control, communications, and cyber
10 capability, including integration and interoperability
11 of such capability, through requirements, integrated
12 architectures, data standards, and assessments.”.

1 **SEC. 904 [Log 61028]. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE UNITED**
2 **STATES MARINE CORPS.**

3 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

4 (1) As senior United States statesman Dr.
5 Henry Kissinger wrote in testimony submitted to the
6 Senate Armed Services Committee on January 29,
7 2015, “The United States has not faced a more di-
8 verse and complex array of crises since the end of
9 the Second World War.”.

10 (2) The rise of non-state forces and near peer
11 competitors has introduced destabilizing pressures
12 around the globe.

13 (3) Advances in information and weapons tech-
14 nology have reduced the time available for the
15 United States to prepare for and respond to crises
16 against both known and unknown threats.

17 (4) The importance of the maritime domain
18 cannot be overstated. As acknowledged in the March
19 2015 Navy, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard mari-
20 time strategy, “A Cooperative Strategy for 21st
21 Century Seapower”: “Oceans are the lifeblood of the
22 interconnected global community. . . 90 percent of
23 trade by volume travels across the oceans. Approxi-
24 mately 70 percent of the world’s population lives
25 within 100 miles of the coastline.”.

1 (5) The United States must be prepared to rap-
2 idly respond to crises around the world regardless of
3 the nation's fiscal health.

4 (6) In this global security environment, it is
5 critical that the nation possess a maritime force
6 whose mission and ethos is readiness—a fight to-
7 night force, forward deployed, that can respond im-
8 mediately to emergent crises across the full range of
9 military operations around the globe either from the
10 sea or home station.

11 (7) The need for such a force was recognized by
12 the 82nd Congress after the major wars of the twen-
13 tieth century, when it mandated a core mission for
14 the nation's leanest force—the Marine Corps—to be
15 most ready when the nation is least ready.

16 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—

17 (1) It is the sense of Congress that—

18 (A) the Marine Corps, within the Depart-
19 ment of the Navy, remain the Nation's expedi-
20 tionary, crisis response force;

21 (B) the need for such a force with such a
22 capability has never been greater; and

23 (C) accordingly, in recognition of this need
24 and the wisdom of the 82nd Congress, the
25 114th Congress reaffirms section 5063 of title

1 10, United States Code, uniquely charging the
2 United States Marine Corps with this responsi-
3 bility.

4 (2) It is further the sense of Congress that the
5 Marine Corps—

6 (A) shall—

7 (i) be organized to include not less
8 than three combat divisions and three air
9 wings, and such other land combat, avia-
10 tion, and other services as may be organic
11 therein;

12 (ii) be organized, trained, and
13 equipped to provide fleet marine forces of
14 combined arms, together with supporting
15 air components, for service with the fleet
16 in the seizure or defense of advanced naval
17 bases and for the conduct of such land op-
18 erations as may be essential to the pros-
19 ecution of a naval campaign; and

20 (iii) provide detachments and organi-
21 zations for service on armed vessels of the
22 Navy, shall provide security detachments
23 for the protection of naval property at
24 naval stations and bases, and shall perform

1 such other duties as the President may di-
2 rect;

3 but these additional duties may not detract
4 from nor interfere with the operations for which
5 the Marine Corps is primarily organized;

6 (B) shall develop, in coordination with the
7 Army and the Air Force, those phases of am-
8 phibious operations that pertain to the tactics,
9 techniques, and equipment used by landing
10 forces; and

11 (C) is responsible, in accordance with the
12 integrated joint mobilization plans, for the ex-
13 pansion of peacetime components of the Marine
14 Corps to meet the needs of war.

1 **SEC. 905 [Log 60689]. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR**
2 **STREAMLINING OF DEPARTMENT OF DE-**
3 **FENSE MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS.**

4 (a) FINDINGS.—

5 (1) On July 31, 2013, the then Secretary of
6 Defense stated that the Department would “reduc[e]
7 the Department’s major headquarters budgets by 20
8 percent. . . Although the 20 percent cut applies to
9 budget dollars, organizations will strive for a goal of
10 20 percent reductions in government civilians and
11 military personnel.” The then Secretary further stat-
12 ed that “these management reforms. . . will reduce
13 the Department’s overhead and operating costs
14 by...\$10 billion over the next five years.”.

15 (2) Furthermore, the President’s budget re-
16 quest for the Department of Defense for fiscal year
17 2015 stated that reductions to management head-
18 quarters staff and consolidation of duplicative efforts
19 across the Department would result in a savings of
20 \$5.3 billion over 5 years—through fiscal year 2019.
21 However, as noted by the Government Account-
22 ability Office in a January 2015 report (GAO-15-
23 10), the Department accounted for \$5.3 billion as
24 efficiency savings in its budget request, but has not
25 provided specific details on the reductions to man-
26 agement headquarters’ staff it plans to make.

1 (3) In June 2014, the Government Account-
2 ability Office found (in GAO-14-439) that the De-
3 partment did not have an accurate accounting of the
4 resources being devoted to management head-
5 quarters to use as a starting point for tracking re-
6 ductions to such headquarters. In April 2015, the
7 Government Accountability Office reported (in GAO-
8 15-404SP) that focusing reductions on management
9 headquarters budgets and personnel, which tend to
10 be inconsistently defined and often represent a small
11 portion of the overall headquarters, shields much of
12 the resources identified for potential reduction.

13 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
14 gress that—

15 (1) the Secretary of Defense’s commitment in
16 July 2013 to a goal of a 20 percent reduction in
17 headquarters budgets and personnel and a goal of
18 \$10 billion in cost savings over five years is worth-
19 while and should be fully implemented;

20 (2) without a clear baseline for management
21 headquarters, it is difficult to demonstrate and track
22 progress achieving actual savings;

23 (3) any reduction in personnel should not be
24 implemented as an across-the-board cut, but rather
25 should be strategically designed to retain critical

1 functions, capabilities, and skill sets—including but
2 not limited to depots and the acquisition work-
3 force—and eliminate unnecessary or redundant
4 functions or skill sets that do not benefit or support
5 mission requirements;

6 (4) functions should be performed at the lowest
7 appropriate organizational level and those organiza-
8 tions should be empowered and held accountable;

9 (5) duplicative functions at higher level organi-
10 zations should be eliminated; and

11 (6) the movement of a function from a manage-
12 ment headquarters to a different Department of De-
13 fense organization or a lower level organization does
14 not result in an efficiency, since the same budget is
15 still required to perform that function.

16 (c) REQUIREMENT TO IMPLEMENT 20 PERCENT RE-
17 DUCATION IN MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS FUNC-
18 TIONS.—Section 904 of the National Defense Authoriza-
19 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 10
20 U.S.C. 111 note) is amended by adding at the end the
21 following new subsection:

22 “(e) IMPLEMENTATION OF MANAGEMENT HEAD-
23 QUARTERS REDUCTION.—The Secretary of Defense shall
24 implement the 20 percent reduction directed by the Sec-
25 retary in July 2013 in management headquarters budget

1 and personnel by September 30, 2019. Such reductions
2 shall be strategically designed to retain critical functions,
3 capabilities, and skill sets. Management, functions, pro-
4 grams, or offices shall be moved to the lowest appropriate
5 organizational level. In any report issued pursuant to sub-
6 section (d), the Secretary may not claim a cost savings
7 solely based on moving management, functions, programs,
8 or offices from one organization to another.”.

9 (d) CHANGE IN DEADLINE FOR REQUIRED PLAN.—
10 Section 904(a) of the such Act is amended by striking
11 “180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act”
12 and inserting “March 31, 2016”.

13 (f) ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS OF PLAN.—Section
14 904(b) of such Act is amended—

15 (1) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), and
16 (3) as paragraphs (2), (3), and (4), respectively;

17 (2) by inserting before paragraph (2), as so re-
18 designated, the following new paragraph (1):

19 “(1) An accurate baseline accounting of defense
20 headquarters budgets and personnel as of fiscal year
21 2013, including what is and is not included as part
22 of management headquarters accounting, and a de-
23 tailed description of the number of personnel, budg-
24 ets, functions, capabilities, and skill sets.”;

25 (3) in paragraph (2), as so redesignated—

1 (A) by inserting “actual and” before
2 “planned changes”;

3 (B) by striking “staffing” and inserting
4 “personnel”; and

5 (C) by inserting before the period at the
6 end the following: “, set forth separately by
7 year, from 2013 through 2019”;

8 (4) in paragraph (3), as so redesignated—

9 (A) by striking “description of the planned
10 changes” and inserting “detailed description of
11 the actual and planned changes”; and

12 (B) by inserting before the period at the
13 end the following: “, set forth separately by
14 year, from 2013 though 2019”; and

15 (5) in paragraph (4), as so redesignated, by
16 striking “fiscal year 2015, and estimated savings to
17 be achieved for each of fiscal years 2015 through
18 2024” and inserting “fiscal year 2013, and esti-
19 mated savings to be achieved, along with associated
20 changes or reductions in budget, for each of fiscal
21 years 2013 through 2024”.

22 (f) ADDITIONAL REPORT REQUIREMENTS.—Section
23 904(d) of such Act is amended—

1 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “180 days
2 after the date of the enactment of this Act” and in-
3 serting “March 31, 2016”; and

4 (2) in paragraph (2)—

5 (A) in subparagraph (C), by striking “in-
6 cluding” and all that follows through the end of
7 the subparagraph and inserting the following:
8 “and specific detailed information on how the
9 changes, consolidations, or reductions were
10 prioritized and resulted in functions no longer
11 being performed, in the fiscal year covered by
12 such report.”;

13 (B) in subparagraph (F), by striking “, in-
14 cluding” and all that follows through “manage-
15 ment review”; and

16 (C) by adding at the end the following new
17 subparagraph:

18 “(H) A separate description of—

19 “(i) the management functions, pro-
20 grams, or offices that were eliminated and
21 how each represents a redundant manage-
22 ment or oversight function; and

23 “(ii) the management, functions, pro-
24 grams, or offices that were moved, and
25 how moving each will result in efficiency.”.

1 **SEC. 906 [Log 61031]. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE WORK-**
2 **FORCE RESTRUCTURING.**

3 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

4 (1) Following the passage of the Budget Con-
5 trol Act of 2011 (Public Law 112–25), the Depart-
6 ment of Defense has planned to reduce military end
7 strength by nearly 120,000 personnel, or approxi-
8 mately 15 percent, returning the Army and Marine
9 Corps to near pre-9/11 levels. Additional reductions
10 of approximately 80,000 personnel could occur if the
11 sequestration levels are maintained. The reduction in
12 military end strength is occurring while no similar
13 planned reductions are occurring in the civilian per-
14 sonnel of the Department or in the contractor work-
15 force.

16 (2) These planned military end strength reduc-
17 tions are budget-driven decisions in response to cur-
18 rent fiscal restraints. They are not occurring as a re-
19 sult of a reduction of missions or requirements with-
20 in the Department of Defense.

21 (3) According to the Defense Manpower Data
22 Center, the number of civilian employees of the De-
23 partment of Defense in December 2011 was
24 766,229. In December 2014, the Department em-
25 ployed 725,210 civilian employees, approximately 5
26 percent less.

1 (4) The Department of Defense lacks a robust
2 accounting of the number of full-time equivalent
3 contractor personnel.

4 (5) Former Under Secretary of Defense for
5 Policy Michèle Flournoy wrote in February 2013
6 that “DOD needs Congress to provide additional au-
7 thorities, such as allowing a reduction in the civilian
8 workforce, to reduce unnecessary costs and reshape
9 its personnel for the 21st century.”.

10 (6) On February 11, 2015, in testimony before
11 the Armed Services Committee of the House of Rep-
12 resentatives, defense experts noted that reductions in
13 civilian and contractor personnel in the Department
14 of Defense have the potential to generate the largest
15 amount of savings for the Department. One expert
16 noted that, “Between 2001 and 2012, the number of
17 DOD civilians grew five times faster than the num-
18 ber of active duty military personnel.” Another ex-
19 pert noted that, “While this large workforce sup-
20 ports essential missions of the Department and
21 warfighter, its growth over the past decade has, by
22 and large, been unchecked and imbalanced. It is
23 past time for the Pentagon to right size this work-
24 force and make permanent reductions in a thought-
25 ful and targeted manner.”.

1 (7) On March 18, 2015, in testimony before the
2 House Committee on Armed Services, the Secretary
3 of Defense acknowledged that, “If all we are doing
4 in a period of straightened budget is shrinking tooth
5 and the tail remains the same size, that is an un-
6 justifiable way of managing the place.”. The Sec-
7 retary further stated that the Department needs to
8 be “aggressive” and “rigorous” in addressing the
9 size of Department headquarters and it “would ben-
10 efit from legislative help.”.

11 (8) Section 1597 of title 10, United States
12 Code, provides the Secretary of Defense with guide-
13 lines for reductions in the number of civilian posi-
14 tions of the Department of Defense, section 9902 of
15 title 5, United States Code, provides the Secretary
16 of Defense with certain personnel authorities for im-
17 plementing a performance appraisal system, and sec-
18 tion 3502 of title 5, United States Code provides
19 Federal agencies with guidance for determining
20 order of retention. However, the Secretary is limited
21 in the consideration of performance as directed in
22 part 351 of the title 5, Code of Federal Regulations,
23 in determining retention standing of personnel.

24 (b) WORKFORCE RESTRUCTURING.—

1 (1) CONSIDERATION OF PERFORMANCE AND
2 CERTIFICATION.—

3 (A) CONSIDERATION OF PERFORMANCE.—

4 Subject to a certification pursuant to subpara-
5 graph (B), when exercising authority for work-
6 force restructuring to decrease the total number
7 of civilian personnel employed by the Depart-
8 ment of Defense, the Secretary of Defense shall
9 consider all factors governing the order of re-
10 tention, but shall weight performance most sig-
11 nificantly among such factors.

12 (B) CERTIFICATION.—Prior to exercising
13 the authority in subparagraph (A) to weight
14 performance most significantly, the Secretary
15 shall certify to the Committees on Armed Serv-
16 ices of the Senate and House of Representatives
17 that the following conditions are present:

18 (i) Significant reductions have been
19 made to the planned budget authority for
20 national defense.

21 (ii) Such reductions in budget author-
22 ity are resulting in a significant reduction
23 in military end strength.

24 (iii) Additional reductions in civilian
25 personnel are required to appropriately

1 balance the total workforce mix of the De-
2 partment of Defense.

3 (iv) The Secretary is using attrition to
4 shape the workforce.

5 (v) The Secretary is first using the
6 specific authorities for the Voluntary Sepa-
7 ration Incentive Payment Authority
8 (VSIP) and Voluntary Early Retirement
9 Authority (VERA), and all regulations gov-
10 erning those authorities are being followed.

11 (vi) The Secretary is taking appro-
12 priate steps to reduce the number of con-
13 tractor personnel supporting the Depart-
14 ment of Defense.

15 (vii) The Secretary has developed and
16 approved a plan to implement reductions
17 in civilian and contractor personnel within
18 two years after the date of submission of
19 the certification, and the plan is included
20 in the certification.

21 (viii) The Department is following the
22 established rules for notification of employ-
23 ees and the appeals process in accordance
24 with title 5, United States Code.

25 (2) LIMITATIONS.—

1 (A) LIMITATION ON CONVERSION TO CON-
2 TRACTOR PERSONNEL.—If a position is vacated
3 as a result of exercising the authority in para-
4 graph (1)(A), the Secretary of Defense shall not
5 fill the vacated position with contractor per-
6 sonnel for the 6-year period beginning on the
7 date the position was vacated, and, at the end
8 of that period, no function or position pre-
9 viously performed by a Department of Defense
10 civilian employee under this section may be con-
11 verted, in whole or in part, to performance by
12 a contractor without being conducted in accord-
13 ance with section 2461 of title10, United States
14 Code.

15 (B) WAIVER.—The Secretary of Defense
16 may waive the limitation in subparagraph (A)
17 with respect to a position if the Secretary deter-
18 mines that—

- 19 (i) a critical skill set is needed;
20 (ii) not filling that position would im-
21 pair the performance of the mission of the
22 Department of Defense or the military de-
23 partment concerned; and
24 (iii) the fulfillment of the function or
25 position is conducted in accordance with

1 section 2461 of title 10, United States
2 Code.

3 (C) NOTIFICATION.—The Secretary of De-
4 fense shall transmit a notification to the appro-
5 priate congressional committees within 30 days
6 after the determination required in subpara-
7 graph (B).

Sec. 1085 [Log 60198]. Modification of requirements for transferring aircraft within the Air Force inventory.

Sec. 1086 [Log 59842]. Department of Defense strategy for countering unconventional warfare.

Sec. 1087 [Log 59948]. Mine countermeasures master plan.

Sec. 1088 [Log 60908]. Congressional notification and briefing requirement on ordered evacuations of United States embassies and consulates involving the use of United States Armed Forces.

1 **Subtitle A—Financial Matters**

2 **SEC. 1001 [Log 60047]. GENERAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**

3 (a) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—

4 (1) AUTHORITY.—Upon determination by the
5 Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in
6 the national interest, the Secretary may transfer
7 amounts of authorizations made available to the De-
8 partment of Defense in this division for fiscal year
9 2016 between any such authorizations for that fiscal
10 year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of au-
11 thorizations so transferred shall be merged with and
12 be available for the same purposes as the authoriza-
13 tion to which transferred.

14 (2) LIMITATION.—Except as provided in para-
15 graph (3), the total amount of authorizations that
16 the Secretary may transfer under the authority of
17 this section may not exceed \$5,000,000,000.

18 (3) EXCEPTION FOR TRANSFERS BETWEEN
19 MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS.—A trans-
20 fer of funds between military personnel authoriza-

1 tions under title IV shall not be counted toward the
2 dollar limitation in paragraph (2).

3 (b) LIMITATIONS.—The authority provided by sub-
4 section (a) to transfer authorizations—

5 (1) may only be used to provide authority for
6 items that have a higher priority than the items
7 from which authority is transferred; and

8 (2) may not be used to provide authority for an
9 item that has been denied authorization by Con-
10 gress.

11 (c) EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION AMOUNTS.—A
12 transfer made from one account to another under the au-
13 thority of this section shall be deemed to increase the
14 amount authorized for the account to which the amount
15 is transferred by an amount equal to the amount trans-
16 ferred.

17 (d) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary shall
18 promptly notify Congress of each transfer made under
19 subsection (a).

1 **SEC. 1003 [Log 60917]. ACCOUNTING STANDARDS TO VALUE**
2 **CERTAIN PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIP-**
3 **MENT ITEMS.**

4 (a) REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN ACCOUNTING
5 STANDARDS.—The Secretary of Defense shall work in co-
6 ordination with the Federal Accounting Standards Advi-
7 sory Board to establish accounting standards to value
8 large and unordinary general property, plant, and equip-
9 ment items.

10 (b) DEADLINE.—The accounting standards required
11 by subsection (a) shall be established by not later than
12 September 30, 2017, and be available for use for the full
13 audit on the financial statements of the Department of
14 Defense for fiscal year 2018, as required by section
15 1003(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for
16 Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 842; 10
17 U.S.C. 2222 note).

1 **Subtitle B—Counter-Drug**
2 **Activities**

3 **SEC. 1011 [Log 59915]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO PRO-**
4 **VIDE ADDITIONAL SUPPORT FOR COUNTER-**
5 **DRUG ACTIVITIES OF CERTAIN FOREIGN**
6 **GOVERNMENTS.**

7 (a) EXTENSION.—Subsection (a)(2) of section 1033
8 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
9 1998 (Public Law 105–85; 111 Stat. 1881), as most re-
10 cently amended by section 1013 of the National Defense
11 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–
12 66; 127 Stat. 844), is further amended by striking “2016”
13 and inserting “2017”.

14 (b) MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF SUPPORT.—Subsection
15 (e)(2) of such section 1033, as so amended, is further
16 amended by striking “2016” and inserting “2017”.

1 **SEC. 1012 [Log 59910]. STATEMENT OF POLICY ON PLAN**
2 **CENTRAL AMERICA.**

3 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
4 ings:

5 (1) The stability and security of Central Amer-
6 ican nations have a direct impact on the stability
7 and security of the United States.

8 (2) Over the past decade, stability and in-
9 creased security in the Republic of Colombia has
10 pushed illicit trafficking to Central America bringing
11 increased violence and instability.

12 (3) Much of Central America has seen spikes in
13 violence and homicides. In fiscal year 2013, the
14 United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime released
15 its Global Study on Homicide 2013. Four of the top
16 five countries with the highest homicide rates in the
17 world were Central American nations including Hon-
18 duras, Belize, El Salvador, and Guatemala.

19 (4) In calendar year 2014, approximately
20 65,000 unaccompanied alien children from Central
21 America entered the United States through its
22 southwest border. This number of such children who
23 enter the United States during calendar year 2015
24 is expected to be approximately the same.

1 (5) The southwest border of the United States
2 continues to be porous to illicit trafficking of nar-
3 cotics, weapons, cash, and people.

4 (6) In November 2014, Guatemala, Honduras,
5 and El Salvador announced a Plan for the Alliance
6 for Prosperity of the Northern Triangle. This plan
7 is a comprehensive approach to address the ongoing
8 violence and instability facing these three nations by
9 stimulating economic opportunities, improving public
10 safety and rule of law, and strengthening institu-
11 tions to increase trust in the state.

12 (7) The United States Government has stated
13 its support for the Alliance for Prosperity and in-
14 cluded in the President's fiscal year 2016 budget re-
15 quest \$1,000,000,000 in Department of State funds,
16 to support the strategy for United States engage-
17 ment in Central America. According to the strategy,
18 this funding will be focused on promoting prosperity
19 and regional economic integration, enhancing secu-
20 rity, and promoting improved governance.

21 (8) None of the President's \$1,000,000,000
22 budget request for the strategy for United States en-
23 gagement in Central America includes any funding
24 for Department of Defense programs in the region.

1 (9) The Department of Defense provides train-
2 ing, equipment, education, and interdiction efforts to
3 address security challenges in Central America
4 through detection and monitoring of illicit traf-
5 ficking, assistance in illicit trafficking interdictions,
6 and building partnership capacities.

7 (10) The Department of Defense through its
8 roles and missions, is executing a plan to address se-
9 curity challenges in Central America.

10 (b) POLICY.—It shall be the policy of the United
11 States to prioritize a Plan Central America to address the
12 threatening levels of violence, instability, illicit trafficking,
13 and transnational organized crime that challenge the sov-
14 ereignty of Central American nations and security of the
15 United States. Such Plan shall include each of the fol-
16 lowing elements:

17 (1) An increase in the efforts of the Depart-
18 ment of Defense as the lead agency to detect and
19 monitor the aerial and maritime illicit trafficking
20 into the United States.

21 (2) An increase in the efforts of the Depart-
22 ment of Defense to support aerial and maritime il-
23 licit trafficking interdiction efforts.

24 (3) An increase in the efforts of the Depart-
25 ment of Defense to build partnership capacity with

1 partner nations in Central America to confront secu-
2 rity challenges through increased training opportuni-
3 ties, education, and exercises.

4 (4) The enforcement of human rights require-
5 ments by the Department of Defense, consistent
6 with section 2249e of title 10, United States Code,
7 and an increase in the training and education re-
8 garding human rights provided in Central American
9 nations.

10 (5) Support of interagency efforts in Central
11 America addressing all levels of instability including
12 development, education, economic, political, and se-
13 curity challenges.

1 **Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and**
2 **Shipyards**

3 **SEC. 1021 [Log 60768]. RESTRICTIONS ON THE OVERHAUL**
4 **AND REPAIR OF VESSELS IN FOREIGN SHIP-**
5 **YARDS.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7310(b)(1) of title 10,
7 United States Code, is amended—

8 (1) by striking “In the case” and inserting “(A)
9 Except as provided in subparagraph (B), in the
10 case”;

11 (2) by striking “during the 15-month” and all
12 that follows through “United States)”;

13 (3) by inserting before the period at the end the
14 following: “, other than in the case of voyage re-
15 pairs”; and

16 (4) by adding at the end the following new sub-
17 paragraph:

18 “(B) The Secretary of the Navy may waive the appli-
19 cation of subparagraph (A) to a contract award if the Sec-
20 retary determines that the waiver is essential to the na-
21 tional security interests of the United States.”.

22 (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by
23 subsection (a) shall take effect on the later of the following
24 dates:

- 1 (1) The date of the enactment of the National
- 2 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017.
- 3 (2) October 1, 2016.

1 **SEC. 1032 [Log 60922]. CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION OF**
2 **SENSITIVE MILITARY OPERATIONS.**

3 Section 130f of title 10, United States Code, is
4 amended—

5 (1) by striking subsection (e); and

6 (2) by redesignating subsection (f) as sub-
7 section (e).

1 **SEC. 1034 [Log 61042]. REPORTS TO CONGRESS ON CONTACT**
2 **BETWEEN TERRORISTS AND INDIVIDUALS**
3 **FORMERLY DETAINED AT UNITED STATES**
4 **NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

5 (a) Section 319(c) of the Supplemental Appropria-
6 tions Act, 2009 (Public Law 111–32; 123 Stat. 1874; 10
7 U.S.C. 801 note) is amended by inserting after paragraph
8 (5) the following new paragraphs:

9 “(6) A summary of all contact by any means of
10 communication, including telecommunications, elec-
11 tronic or technical means, in person, written commu-
12 nications, or any other means of communication, re-
13 gardless of content, between any individual formerly
14 detained at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba,
15 and any individual known or suspected to be associ-
16 ated with a foreign terrorist group.

17 “(7) A description of whether any of the con-
18 tact described in the summary required by para-
19 graph (6) included any information or discussion
20 about hostilities against the United States or its al-
21 lies or partners.”.

22 (b) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this sec-
23 tion or the amendments made by this section shall be con-
24 strued to terminate, alter, modify, override, or otherwise
25 affect any reporting of information required under section
26 319(c) of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009

1 (Public Law 111–32; 123 Stat. 1874; 10 U.S.C. 801 note)

2 prior to the enactment of this section.

1 **SEC. 1035 [Log 61043]. INCLUSION IN REPORTS TO CON-**
2 **GRESS INFORMATION ABOUT RECIDIVISM OF**
3 **INDIVIDUALS FORMERLY DETAINED AT**
4 **UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTA-**
5 **NAMO BAY, CUBA.**

6 Section 319(c) of the Supplemental Appropriations
7 Act, 2009 (Public Law 111–32; 123 Stat. 1874; 10 U.S.C.
8 801 note), as amended by section 1034, is further amend-
9 ed by inserting after paragraph (7), as added by such sec-
10 tion, the following new paragraphs:

11 “(8) For each individual described in paragraph
12 (4), the period of time between the date on which
13 the individual was released or transferred from
14 Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, and the
15 date on which it is confirmed that the the individual
16 is suspected or confirmed of reengaging in terrorist
17 activities.

18 “(9) The average period of time described in
19 paragraph (8) for all the individuals described in
20 paragraph (4).”.

1 **SEC. 1036 [Log 60157]. PROHIBITION ON THE USE OF FUNDS**
2 **FOR THE TRANSFER OR RELEASE OF INDI-**
3 **VIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES**
4 **NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

5 No amounts authorized to be appropriated or other-
6 wise made available to the Department of Defense may
7 be used during the period beginning on the date of the
8 enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2016,
9 to transfer, release, or assist in the transfer or release to
10 or within the United States, its territories, or possessions
11 of Khalid Sheikh Mohammed or any other detainee who—

12 (1) is not a United States citizen or a member
13 of the Armed Forces of the United States; and

14 (2) is or was held on or after January 20,
15 2009, at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo
16 Bay, Cuba, by the Department of Defense.

1 **SEC. 1037 [Log 60156]. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS TO**
2 **CONSTRUCT OR MODIFY FACILITIES IN THE**
3 **UNITED STATES TO HOUSE DETAINEES**
4 **TRANSFERRED FROM UNITED STATES NAVAL**
5 **STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—No amounts authorized to be ap-
7 propriated or otherwise made available to the Department
8 of Defense may be used during the period beginning on
9 the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on De-
10 cember 31, 2016, to construct or modify any facility in
11 the United States, its territories, or possessions to house
12 any individual detained at Guantanamo for the purposes
13 of detention or imprisonment in the custody or under the
14 control of the Department of Defense.

15 (b) EXCEPTION.—The prohibition in subsection (a)
16 shall not apply to any modification of facilities at United
17 States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

18 (c) INDIVIDUAL DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO DE-
19 FINED.—In this section, the term “individual detained at
20 Guantanamo” has the meaning given that term in section
21 1039(f)(2).

1 **SEC. 1038 [Log 61041]. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS TO**
2 **TRANSFER OR RELEASE INDIVIDUALS DE-**
3 **TAINED AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION,**
4 **GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA, TO COMBAT**
5 **ZONES.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—No amounts authorized to be ap-
7 propriated or otherwise made available for the Depart-
8 ment of Defense may be used, during the period beginning
9 on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on
10 December 31, 2016, to transfer, release, or assist in the
11 transfer or release of any individual detained in the cus-
12 tody or under the control of the Department of Defense
13 at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba,
14 to a combat zone.

15 (b) COMBAT ZONE DEFINED.—In this section, the
16 term “combat zone” means any area designated as a com-
17 bat zone for purposes of section 112 of the Internal Rev-
18 enue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 112) for which the income
19 of a member of the Armed Forces was excluded during
20 2014, 2015, or 2016 by reason of the member’s service
21 on active duty in such area.

1 **SEC. 1039 [Log 61039]. REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFI-**
2 **CATIONS RELATING TO THE TRANSFER OF**
3 **DETAINEES AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STA-**
4 **TION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA, TO FOREIGN**
5 **COUNTRIES AND OTHER FOREIGN ENTITIES.**

6 (a) CERTIFICATION REQUIRED PRIOR TO TRANS-
7 FER.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in para-
9 graph (2) and subsection (d), the Secretary of De-
10 fense may not use any amounts authorized to be ap-
11 propriated or otherwise available to the Department
12 of Defense during the period beginning on the date
13 of the enactment of this Act and ending on Decem-
14 ber 31, 2016, to transfer any individual detained at
15 Guantanamo to the custody or control of the individ-
16 ual's country of origin, any other foreign country, or
17 any other foreign entity unless the Secretary sub-
18 mits to Congress the certification described in sub-
19 section (b) not later than 30 days before the trans-
20 fer of the individual.

21 (2) EXCEPTION.—Paragraph (1) shall not
22 apply to any action taken by the Secretary to trans-
23 fer any individual detained at Guantanamo to effec-
24 tuate an order affecting the disposition of the indi-
25 vidual that is issued by a court or competent tri-
26 bunal of the United States having lawful jurisdiction

1 (which the Secretary shall notify Congress of
2 promptly after issuance).

3 (b) CERTIFICATION.—A certification described in this
4 subsection is a written certification made by the Secretary
5 of Defense that—

6 (1) the government of the foreign country or
7 the recognized leadership of the foreign entity to
8 which the individual detained at Guantanamo is to
9 be transferred—

10 (A) is not a designated state sponsor of
11 terrorism or a designated foreign terrorist orga-
12 nization;

13 (B) maintains control over each detention
14 facility in which the individual is to be detained
15 if the individual is to be housed in a detention
16 facility;

17 (C) is not, as of the date of the certifi-
18 cation, facing a threat that is likely to substan-
19 tially affect its ability to exercise control over
20 the individual;

21 (D) has taken or agreed to take effective
22 actions to ensure that the individual cannot
23 take action to threaten the United States, its
24 citizens, or its allies in the future;

1 (E) has taken or agreed to take such ac-
2 tions as the Secretary of Defense determines
3 are necessary to ensure that the individual can-
4 not engage or reengage in any terrorist activity;
5 and

6 (F) has agreed to share with the United
7 States any information that—

8 (i) is related to the individual or any
9 associates of the individual; and

10 (ii) could affect the security of the
11 United States, its citizens, or its allies; and

12 (2) includes an assessment, in classified or un-
13 classified form, of the capacity, willingness, and past
14 practices (if applicable) of the foreign country or en-
15 tity in relation to the Secretary's certifications.

16 (c) PROHIBITION IN CASES OF PRIOR CONFIRMED
17 RECIDIVISM.—

18 (1) PROHIBITION.—Except as provided in para-
19 graph (2) and subsection (d), the Secretary of De-
20 fense may not use any amounts authorized to be ap-
21 propriated or otherwise made available to the De-
22 partment of Defense to transfer any individual de-
23 tained at Guantanamo to the custody or control of
24 the individual's country of origin, any other foreign
25 country, or any other foreign entity if there is a con-

1 firmed case of any individual who was detained at
2 United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay,
3 Cuba, at any time after September 11, 2001, who
4 was transferred to such foreign country or entity
5 and subsequently engaged in any terrorist activity.

6 (2) EXCEPTION.—Paragraph (1) shall not
7 apply to any action taken by the Secretary to trans-
8 fer any individual detained at Guantanamo to effec-
9 tuate an order affecting the disposition of the indi-
10 vidual that is issued by a court or competent tri-
11 bunal of the United States having lawful jurisdiction
12 (which the Secretary shall notify Congress of
13 promptly after issuance).

14 (d) NATIONAL SECURITY WAIVER.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense
16 may waive the applicability to a detainee transfer of
17 a certification requirement specified in subparagraph
18 (D) or (E) of subsection (b)(1) or the prohibition in
19 subsection (c), if the Secretary certifies the rest of
20 the criteria required by subsection (b) for transfers
21 prohibited by (c) and determines that—

22 (A) alternative actions will be taken to ad-
23 dress the underlying purpose of the requirement
24 or requirements to be waived;

1 (B) in the case of a waiver of subpara-
2 graph (D) or (E) of subsection (b)(1), it is not
3 possible to certify that the risks addressed in
4 the paragraph to be waived have been com-
5 pletely eliminated, but the actions to be taken
6 under subparagraph (A) will substantially miti-
7 gate such risks with regard to the individual to
8 be transferred;

9 (C) in the case of a waiver of subsection
10 (c), the Secretary has considered any confirmed
11 case in which an individual who was transferred
12 to the country subsequently engaged in terrorist
13 activity, and the actions to be taken under sub-
14 paragraph (A) will substantially mitigate the
15 risk of recidivism with regard to the individual
16 to be transferred; and

17 (D) the transfer is in the national security
18 interests of the United States.

19 (2) REPORTS.—Whenever the Secretary makes
20 a determination under paragraph (1), the Secretary
21 shall submit to the appropriate committees of Con-
22 gress, not later than 30 days before the transfer of
23 the individual concerned, the following:

24 (A) A copy of the determination and the
25 waiver concerned.

1 (B) A statement of the basis for the deter-
2 mination, including—

3 (i) an explanation why the transfer is
4 in the national security interests of the
5 United States;

6 (ii) in the case of a waiver of para-
7 graph (D) or (E) of subsection (b)(1), an
8 explanation why it is not possible to certify
9 that the risks addressed in the paragraph
10 to be waived have been completely elimi-
11 nated; and

12 (iii) a classified summary of—

13 (I) the individual's record of co-
14 operation while in the custody of or
15 under the effective control of the De-
16 partment of Defense; and

17 (II) the agreements and mecha-
18 nisms in place to provide for con-
19 tinuing cooperation.

20 (C) A summary of the alternative actions
21 to be taken to address the underlying purpose
22 of, and to mitigate the risks addressed in, the
23 paragraph or subsection to be waived.

24 (D) The assessment required by subsection
25 (b)(2).

1 (e) RECORD OF COOPERATION.—In assessing the risk
2 that an individual detained at Guantanamo will engage in
3 terrorist activity or other actions that could affect the se-
4 curity of the United States if released for the purpose of
5 making a certification under subsection (b) or a waiver
6 under subsection (d), the Secretary of Defense may give
7 favorable consideration to any such individual—

8 (1) who has substantially cooperated with
9 United States intelligence and law enforcement au-
10 thorities, pursuant to a pre-trial agreement, while in
11 the custody of or under the effective control of the
12 Department of Defense; and

13 (2) for whom agreements and effective mecha-
14 nisms are in place, to the extent relevant and nec-
15 essary, to provide for continued cooperation with
16 United States intelligence and law enforcement au-
17 thorities.

18 (f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

19 (1) The term “appropriate committees of Con-
20 gress” means—

21 (A) the Committee on Armed Services, the
22 Committee on Appropriations, the Committee
23 on Foreign Relations, and the Select Committee
24 on Intelligence of the Senate; and

1 (B) the Committee on Armed Services, the
2 Committee on Appropriations, the Committee
3 on Foreign Affairs, and the Permanent Select
4 Committee on Intelligence of the House of Rep-
5 resentatives.

6 (2) The term “individual detained at Guanta-
7 namo” means any individual located at United
8 States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of
9 October 1, 2009, who—

10 (A) is not a citizen of the United States or
11 a member of the Armed Forces of the United
12 States; and

13 (B) is—

14 (i) in the custody or under the control
15 of the Department of Defense; or

16 (ii) otherwise under detention at
17 United States Naval Station, Guantanamo
18 Bay, Cuba.

19 (3) The term “foreign terrorist organization”
20 means any organization so designated by the Sec-
21 retary of State under section 219 of the Immigra-
22 tion and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1189).

23 (g) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED REQUIREMENTS AND
24 LIMITATIONS.—Section 1035 of the National Defense Au-

1 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–
2 66; 127 Stat. 851; 10 U.S.C. 801 note) is repealed.

1 **SEC. 1040 [Log 61029]. SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS OF CER-**
2 **TAIN DOCUMENTS RELATING TO TRANSFER**
3 **OF INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT GUANTA-**
4 **NAMO TO QATAR.**

5 (a) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 30
6 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Attor-
7 ney General and the Secretary of Defense shall submit to
8 the congressional defense committees and the Committees
9 on the Judiciary of the Senate and House of Representa-
10 tives all covered correspondence.

11 (b) COVERED CORRESPONDENCE.—For purposes of
12 this section, the term “covered correspondence”—

13 (1) means any correspondence between the De-
14 partment of Defense and the Department of Justice
15 or any other agency or entity of the United States
16 Government that—

17 (A) relates to the transfer of individuals
18 detained at United States Naval Station, Guan-
19 tanamo Bay, Cuba, to Qatar;

20 (B) is dated any time between January 1,
21 2013, and June 1, 2014; and

22 (C) is in the custody of the Department of
23 Justice or the Department of Defense; and

24 (2) includes—

25 (A) all relevant correspondence, including
26 the email exchange described in June 11, 2014,

1 testimony to the Committee on Armed Services
2 of the House of Representatives by the Sec-
3 retary of Defense and the General Counsel of
4 the Department of Defense; and

5 (B) any analysis of—

6 (i) section 1035 of the National De-
7 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
8 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 851;
9 10 U.S.C. 801 note);

10 (ii) section 8111 of the Consolidated
11 Appropriations Act, 2014 (Public Law
12 113–76; 128 Stat. 131);

13 (iii) section 1341 of title 31, United
14 States Code (popularly known as “the
15 Antideficiency Act”); or

16 (iv) Article II of the Constitution.

17 (c) LIMITATION ON THE USE OF FUNDS.—Of the
18 amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made
19 available for the Office of the Secretary of Defense for
20 fiscal year 2016, not more than 75 percent may be obli-
21 gated or expended until the date of the submission of all
22 covered correspondence.

1 **SEC. 1041 [Log 61033]. SUBMISSION OF UNREDACTED COP-**
2 **IES OF DOCUMENTS RELATING TO THE**
3 **TRANSFER OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS DE-**
4 **TAINED AT GUANTANAMO TO QATAR.**

5 (a) UNREDACTED DOCUMENTS REQUIRED.—

6 (1) FUTURE SUBMISSIONS.—The Secretary of
7 Defense shall submit an unredacted copy of any doc-
8 ument submitted to the Committee on Armed Serv-
9 ices of the House of Representatives in response to
10 a request from the Committee dated June 9, 2014,
11 for information regarding the transfer of five indi-
12 viduals from United States Naval Station, Guanta-
13 namo Bay, Cuba, to Qatar.

14 (2) PRIOR SUBMISSIONS.—Not later than 30
15 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the
16 Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee
17 on Armed Services of the House of Representatives
18 an unredacted copy of any redacted document that
19 was submitted, before the date of the enactment of
20 this Act, in response to a request dated June 9,
21 2014, for information regarding the transfer of five
22 individuals from United States Naval Station, Guan-
23 tanamo Bay, Cuba, to Qatar.

24 (b) LIMITATION ON THE USE OF FUNDS.—Of the
25 amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made
26 available for the Office of the Secretary of Defense for

1 fiscal year 2016, not more than 75 percent may be obli-
2 gated or expended until the date of the submission of all
3 documents required to be submitted under subsection
4 (a)(2).

1 **SEC. 1052 [Log 60211]. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EXCESS**
2 **PROPERTY PROGRAM.**

3 (a) WEBSITE REQUIRED.—Section 2576a of title 10,
4 United States Code is amended by adding at the end the
5 following new subsection:

6 “(e) PUBLICLY ACCESSIBLE WEBSITE.—(1) The
7 Secretary of Defense, acting through the Director of the
8 Defense Logistics Agency, shall create and maintain a
9 publicly available Internet website that provides informa-
10 tion on the property transferred under this section and
11 the recipients of such property.

12 “(2) The contents of the Internet website required
13 under paragraph (1) shall include all unclassified informa-
14 tion pertaining to the request, transfer, denial, and repos-
15 session of restricted property under this section, includ-
16 ing—

17 “(A) a current inventory of all restricted prop-
18 erty transferred to law enforcement agencies under
19 this section, listed by recipient;

20 “(B) all outstanding requests for transfers of
21 restricted property under this section; and

22 “(C) information provided by the law enforce-
23 ment agencies requesting transfers referred to in
24 subparagraph (B).

25 “(3) The Secretary may not authorize the transfer
26 of any property under this section to a Federal or State

1 agency to which property has been transferred previously
2 unless the agency submits to the Secretary for publication
3 on the Internet website required under paragraph (1) each
4 of the following:

5 “(A) A description of any restricted property
6 transferred to the agency under this section, which
7 shall be submitted by not later than 30 days after
8 the date on which the agency takes possession of the
9 property.

10 “(B) An annual report on the use of any re-
11 stricted property so transferred to the agency, in-
12 cluding a description of the context in which the
13 property was used.”.

14 (b) ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS.—Subsection (b) of
15 such section is amended—

16 (1) in paragraph (3), by striking “and” at the
17 end;

18 (2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period and
19 inserting “; and”; and

20 (3) by adding at the end the following new
21 paragraphs:

22 “(5) in the case of property that is restricted
23 property, the recipient submits to the Secretary writ-
24 ten notice of the intent of the recipient to apply for
25 the restricted property, including authorization of

1 such application by the entity charged with legal
2 oversight of the recipient agency; and

3 “(6) the recipient agency is located in a State
4 with a State coordinator for the program under this
5 section who—

6 “(A) has law enforcement experience and
7 is employed by a law enforcement agency or en-
8 tity with oversight of law enforcement func-
9 tions;

10 “(B) serves as the custodian of restricted
11 property transferred to recipients located in
12 that State; and

13 “(C) has the authority to non-concur with
14 proposed uses of such property.”.

15 (c) DEFINITION OF RESTRICTED PROPERTY.—Such
16 section is further amended by adding at the end the fol-
17 lowing new subsection:

18 “(f) RESTRICTED PROPERTY.—In this section, the
19 term ‘restricted property’ means any item assigned a de-
20 militarization code of B, C, D, E, F, G, or Q under De-
21 partment of Defense Manual 4160.21-M, ‘Defense Mate-
22 riel Disposition Manual’, or any successor document.”.

23 (d) EXAMINATION OF TRAINING REQUIREMENTS.—
24 The Director of the Defense Logistics Agency shall enter
25 into an agreement with an independent entity to conduct

1 an assessment of the Department of Defense excess prop-
2 erty program under section 2576a of title 10, United
3 States Code, as amended by this section. Such assessment
4 shall include an evaluation of the policies and controls gov-
5 erning the determination of the suitability of recipients of
6 restricted property transferred under the program, includ-
7 ing specific recommendations relating to the training that
8 law enforcement agencies that receive such property
9 should receive, at no cost to the Department of Defense,
10 to ensure end-user proficiency in the use, maintenance,
11 and sustainment of such property.

12 (e) ONE-YEAR MANDATORY USE POLICY ASSESS-
13 MENT.—The Director of the Defense Logistics Agency
14 shall enter into an agreement with a federally funded re-
15 search and development center for the conduct of an as-
16 sessment of the Department of Defense excess property
17 program under section 2576a of title 10, United States
18 Code, to determine if the requirement that all restricted
19 property transferred under the program be used within
20 one year of being transferred is achieving its intended ef-
21 fect. Such assessment shall also include recommendations
22 on process improvement, including legislative proposals.

23 (f) COMPTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT.—Not
24 later than one year after the date of the enactment of this
25 Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall

1 conduct an assessment of the Department of Defense ex-
2 cess property program under section 2576a of title 10,
3 United States Code. Such assessment shall include an
4 evaluation of the transfer of restricted property under the
5 program and a determination of whether the transfer of
6 property under the program enhances the ability of law
7 enforcement agencies to carry out counter-drug and
8 counter-terrorism activities.

1 **SEC. 1053 [Log 60891]. SPACE AVAILABLE TRAVEL FOR ENVI-**
2 **RONMENTAL MORALE LEAVE BY CERTAIN**
3 **SPOUSES AND CHILDREN OF DEPLOYED**
4 **MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

5 The Secretary of Defense shall revise the Air Trans-
6 portation Eligibility Regulation, DOD 4515.13-R, to au-
7 thorize space-available travel for environmental morale
8 leave by unaccompanied spouses and dependent children
9 of members of the Armed Forces who are deployed for
10 at least 30 consecutive days under priority category IV.
11 The Secretary shall also update any other instructions, di-
12 rectives, or internal policies necessary to facilitate such re-
13 vision.

1 **Subtitle F—Studies and Reports**

2 **SEC. 1061 [Log 60393]. PROVISION OF DEFENSE PLANNING**

3 **GUIDANCE AND CONTINGENCY PLANNING**

4 **GUIDANCE INFORMATION TO CONGRESS.**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 113(g) of title 10, United
6 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
7 lowing new paragraph:

8 “(3) At the time of the budget submission by the
9 President for a fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense shall
10 include in the budget materials submitted to Congress for
11 that year summaries of the guidance developed under
12 paragraphs (1) and (2), as well as summaries of any plans
13 developed in accordance with the guidance developed
14 under paragraph (2). Such summaries shall be sufficient
15 to allow the congressional defense committees to evaluate
16 fully the requirements for military forces, acquisition pro-
17 grams, and operation and maintenance funding in the
18 President’s annual budget request for the Department of
19 Defense.”.

20 (b) REPORT REQUIRED.—Notwithstanding the re-
21 quirement under paragraph (3) of section 113(g) of title
22 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), that
23 the Secretary of Defense submit summaries under that
24 paragraph at the time of the President’s annual budget
25 submission, by not later than 120 days after the date of

1 the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to
2 the congressional defense committees a report con-
3 taining—

4 (1) summaries of the guidance developed under
5 paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (g) of section
6 113 of title 10, United States Code; and

7 (2) summaries of any plans developed in ac-
8 cordance with the guidance developed under para-
9 graph (2) of such subsection.

10 (c) LIMITATION ON OBLIGATION OF FUNDS PENDING
11 REPORT.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by
12 this Act for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, for
13 the office of the Secretary of Defense, not more than 75
14 percent may be obligated or expended before the date that
15 is 15 days after the date on which the Secretary submits
16 the report described in subsection (b).

1 **SEC. 1063 [Log 59971]. REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF**
2 **THE GEOGRAPHICALLY DISTRIBUTED FORCE**
3 **LAYDOWN IN THE AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY**
4 **OF UNITED STATES PACIFIC COMMAND.**

5 (a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than March 1,
6 2016, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the
7 Commander of the United States Pacific Command, shall
8 submit to the congressional defense committees a report
9 on Department of Defense plans for implementing the
10 geographically distributed force laydown in the area of re-
11 sponsibility of United States Pacific Command.

12 (b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The report re-
13 quired under subsection (a) shall include the following:

14 (1) A description of the force laydown.

15 (2) A discussion of how the force laydown af-
16 fects the operational and contingency plans in the
17 area of responsibility of United States Pacific Com-
18 mand, including a discussion on how timeliness,
19 availability of forces, and risk in meeting the mili-
20 tary objectives contained in those plans are affected.

21 (3) A discussion of the specific support asset
22 requirements derived from the force laydown, includ-
23 ing logistical sustainment, pre-positioned stocks, sea
24 and air lift, command and control, and intelligence,
25 surveillance, and reconnaissance.

1 (4) A discussion of the specific infrastructure
2 and military construction requirements derived from
3 the force laydown.

4 (5) A discussion on how Department of Defense
5 plans to meet the requirements identified in para-
6 graphs (3) and (4), including the ability of U.S.
7 Transportation Command, the U.S. Combat Logis-
8 tics Force, and the military services to meet those
9 requirements.

10 (6) Any other matters the Secretary of Defense
11 determines to be appropriate.

12 (c) FORM.—The report required under subsection (a)
13 shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include
14 a classified annex.

1 **SEC. 1064 [Log 60864]. INDEPENDENT STUDY OF NATIONAL**
2 **SECURITY STRATEGY FORMULATION PROC-**
3 **ESS.**

4 (a) REQUIREMENT FOR STUDY.—The Secretary of
5 Defense shall enter into a contract with an independent
6 research entity described in subsection (c) to carry out a
7 comprehensive study of the role of the Department of De-
8 fense and its process for the formulation of national secu-
9 rity strategy.

10 (b) MATTERS COVERED.—The study required by sub-
11 section (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following:

12 (1) Case studies of the role of the Department
13 of Defense and its process for the formulation of
14 previous national security strategies in place
15 throughout the history of the United States, includ-
16 ing an examination of the development and execu-
17 tion of previous strategies, as well as the factors
18 that contributed to the development and execution of
19 successful previous strategies with specific emphasis
20 on—

21 (A) the frequency of strategy updates;

22 (B) the synchronization of timelines and
23 content among different strategies;

24 (C) the prioritization of objectives;

25 (D) the assignment of roles and respon-
26 sibilities among relevant agencies;

1 (E) the links between strategy and
2 resourcing;

3 (F) the implementation of strategy within
4 the planning documents of relevant agencies;
5 and

6 (G) the value of a competition of ideas.

7 (2) A complete review and analysis of the cur-
8 rent national security strategy formulation process,
9 as it relates to the Department of Defense, including
10 an analysis of the following:

11 (A) All major Government products and
12 documents of national security strategy relevant
13 to the Department of Defense and how they fit
14 together, including—

15 (i) the National Military Strategy pre-
16 pared by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs
17 of Staff under section 153(b)(1) of title
18 10, United States Code;

19 (ii) the most recent quadrennial de-
20 fense review conducted by the Secretary of
21 Defense pursuant to section 118 of title
22 10, United States Code;

23 (iii) the national security strategy re-
24 port required under section 108 of the Na-

1 tional Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C.
2 3043); and

3 (iv) any other relevant national secu-
4 rity strategy products and documents.

5 (B) The time periods during which the
6 products and documents covered by subpara-
7 graph (A) are prepared and published, and how
8 they fit together.

9 (C) The interaction between the White
10 House and the agencies that develop such prod-
11 ucts and documents and formulate strategy.

12 (D) All the current entities in the Federal
13 Government that contribute to the national se-
14 curity strategy formulation process and how
15 they fit together.

16 (c) INDEPENDENT RESEARCH ENTITY.—The entity
17 described in this subsection is an independent research en-
18 tity that is a not-for-profit entity or a federally funded
19 research and development center with appropriate exper-
20 tise and analytical capability.

21 (d) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the
22 date of the enactment of this Act, the independent re-
23 search entity shall provide to the Secretary a report on
24 the results of the study. Not later than 30 days after re-
25 ceipt of the report, the Secretary shall submit such report,

- 1 together with any additional views or recommendations of
- 2 the Secretary, to the congressional defense committees.

1 **SEC. 1072 [Log 60663]. REPEAL OR REVISION OF REPORTING**
2 **REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO READINESS.**

3 (a) BIENNIAL REPORTS ON ALLOCATION OF FUNDS
4 WITHIN OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET SUB-
5 ACTIVITIES.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 9 of title 10, United
7 States Code, is amended by striking section 228.

8 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
9 tions at the beginning of such chapter is amended
10 by striking the item relating to section 228.

11 (b) ANNUAL REPORT ON NAVAL PETROLEUM RE-
12 SERVES.—Section 7431 of title 10, United States Code,
13 is amended by striking subsection (c).

14 (c) ANNUAL REPORT ON ARMY NATIONAL GUARD
15 COMBAT READINESS.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1013 of title 10,
17 United States Code, is amended by striking section
18 10542.

19 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
20 tions at the beginning of such chapter is amended
21 by striking the item relating to section 10542.

22 (d) INSIDER THREAT DETECTION BUDGET SUBMIS-
23 SION.—Section 922 of the National Defense Authorization
24 Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 10 U.S.C.
25 2224 note) is amended by striking subsection (f).

1 (e) PRICE TREND ANALYSIS.—Section 892 of the Ike
2 Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
3 Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 10 U.S.C. 2306a) is
4 hereby repealed.

5 (f) REPORT ON AUTHORITY FOR AIRLIFT TRANSPOR-
6 TATION AT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RATES FOR NON-
7 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FEDERAL CARGOES.—Sec-
8 tion 351 of the National Defense Authorization Act for
9 Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2262)
10 is amended by striking subsection (b).

11 (g) BIENNIAL REPORT ON PROCUREMENT OF MILI-
12 TARY WORKING DOGS.—Section 358 of the Duncan Hun-
13 ter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
14 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is
15 amended—

16 (1) by striking subsection (c); and

17 (2) by redesignating subsection (d) as sub-
18 section (c).

19 (h) REPORT ON FOREIGN LANGUAGE PRO-
20 FICIENCY.—Section 958 of the National Defense Author-
21 ization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181;
22 122 Stat. 297) is hereby repealed.

23 (i) REPORT ON ARSENAL SUPPORT PROGRAM INITIA-
24 TIVE.—Section 343 of the Floyd D. Spence National De-
25 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law

1 106–398; 10 U.S.C. 4551 note) is amended by striking
2 subsection (g).

3 (j) GAO REVIEW OF CONTRACTOR-OPERATED CIVIL
4 ENGINEERING SUPPLY STORES PROGRAM.—Section 345
5 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization
6 Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–26; 112 Stat.
7 1978) is amended—

8 (1) by striking subsection (d); and

9 (2) by redesignating subsection (e) as sub-
10 section (d).

11 (k) QUARTERLY REPORT ON END STRENGTH.—Sec-
12 tion 8104 of the Department of Defense Appropriations
13 Act, 2014 (Division C of Public Law 113–76) is hereby
14 repealed.

15 (l) QUARTERLY REPORT ON END STRENGTH.—Sec-
16 tion 8105 of the Department of Defense Appropriations
17 Act, 2013 (Division C of Public Law 113–6) is hereby re-
18 pealed.

19 (m) REPORT ON DAVID L. BOREN NATIONAL SECU-
20 RITY EDUCATION ACT OF 1991.—Section 806 of the
21 David L. Boren National Security Education Act of 1991
22 (title VIII of Public Law 102-183; 50 U.S.C. 1906) is
23 hereby repealed.

1 **SEC. 1076 [Log 60667]. REPEAL OR REVISION OF REPORTING**
2 **REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO ACQUISITION.**

3 (a) REPORT ON FOREIGN PURCHASES.—Section
4 8305 of title 41, United States Code, is repealed.

5 (b) REPORT ON COST ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES.—
6 Section 2334 of title 10, United States Code, is amend-
7 ed—

8 (1) by striking subsection (f); and

9 (2) by redesignating subsection (g) as sub-
10 section (f).

11 (c) REPORT ON PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENTS AND
12 ROOT CAUSE ANALYSES.—Section 2438 of title 10,
13 United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (f).

1 **SEC. 1077 [Log 60668]. REPEAL OR REVISION OF REPORTING**
2 **REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO CIVILIAN PER-**
3 **SONNEL.**

4 (a) REPORT ON PILOT PROGRAM FOR EXCHANGE OF
5 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PERSONNEL.—Section 1110
6 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
7 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2493) is amended—

8 (1) by striking subsection (i);

9 (2) by redesignating subsection (j) as sub-
10 section (i); and

11 (3) in subsection (i), as so redesignated, by
12 striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following
13 new paragraph:

14 “(2) any employee whose assignment is allowed
15 to continue by virtue of paragraph (1) shall be taken
16 into account for purposes of the numerical limitation
17 under subsection (h).”.

18 (b) REPORT ON EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM FOR SCI-
19 ENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PERSONNEL.—Section 1101 of
20 the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act
21 for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–261; 112 Stat.
22 2139) is amended by striking subsection (g).

1 **Subtitle H—Other Matters**

2 **SEC. 1081 [Log 59848]. TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMEND-**
3 **MENTS.**

4 (a) AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 10, UNITED STATES
5 CODE.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as fol-
6 lows:

7 (1) The heading of section 153(a)(5) is amend-
8 ed to read as follows: “JOINT FORCE DEVELOPMENT
9 ACTIVITIES.—”.

10 (2) The table of sections at the beginning of
11 chapter 21 is amended by inserting after the item
12 relating to section 429 the following new item:

“430. Tactical exploitation of national capabilities executive agent.”.

13 (3) Section 2679, as transferred, redesignated,
14 and amended by section 351 of the National Defense
15 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law
16 113–291; 128 Stat. 3346), is amended in subsection
17 (a)(1) by striking “with” before “, on a sole source”.

18 (4) Section 2687a(d)(2) is amended by insert-
19 ing “fair market” before “value”.

20 (5) Section 2926, as added and amended by
21 section 901(g) of the National Defense Authoriza-
22 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291;
23 128 Stat. 3464), is amended in subsections (a), (b),
24 (c), and (d) by striking “for Installations, Energy,”

1 each place it appears and inserting “for Energy, In-
2 stallations,”.

3 (6) Section 9314a(b) is amended by striking
4 “only so long at” and inserting “only so long as”.

5 (b) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR
6 FISCAL YEAR 2015.—Effective as of December 19, 2014,
7 and as if included therein as enacted, the National De-
8 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law
9 113–291) is amended as follows:

10 (1) Section 351(b)(1) (128 Stat. 3346) is
11 amended by striking the period at the end of sub-
12 paragraph (C) and inserting “; and”.

13 (2) Section 901(g)(1)(F) (128 Stat. 3465) is
14 amended by inserting “paragraph (4) of” before
15 “subsection (b) of section 2926”.

16 (3) Section 1072(a)(2) (128 Stat. 3516) is
17 amended by inserting “in the table of sections” be-
18 fore “at the beginning of”.

19 (4) Section 1079(a)(1) (128 Stat. 3521) is
20 amended by striking “section 12102 of title 42,
21 United States Code” and inserting “section 3 of the
22 Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C.
23 12102)”.

1 (5) Section 1104(b)(2) (128 Stat. 3526) is
2 amended by striking “paragraph (2)” and inserting
3 “paragraph (1)(A)”.

4 (6) Section 1208 (128 Stat. 3541) is amended
5 by striking “of Fiscal Year” each place it appears
6 and inserting “for Fiscal Year”.

7 (7) Section 2803(a) (128 Stat. 3696) is amend-
8 ed in paragraph (2) of the subsection (f) being
9 added by the amendment to be made by that section
10 by inserting “section” before “1105 of title 31”.

11 (8) Section 2832(c)(3) (128 Stat. 3704) is
12 amended by striking “United State Code” and in-
13 serting “United States Code”.

14 (9) Section 3006(i) (128 Stat. 3744) is amend-
15 ed—

16 (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “Section
17 8” and inserting “Section 18”; and

18 (B) in paragraph (2), by striking “S1/2
19 N1/2 SE” and inserting “S1/2 N1/2 SE1/4”.

20 (10) Section 3023 (128 Stat. 3762) is amend-
21 ed—

22 (A) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2),
23 and (3) as paragraphs (2), (3), and (4), respec-
24 tively;

1 (B) in paragraph (2), as so redesignated,
2 in the matter being added by subparagraph
3 (C)—

4 (i) by inserting “has been waived,”
5 after “expired,”; and

6 (ii) by striking “the permit or lease
7 required” and inserting “the allotment
8 management plan, permit, or lease re-
9 quired”;

10 (C) in paragraph (4), as so redesignated,
11 in the matter being added as subsection
12 (h)(1)—

13 (i) by striking “a grazing permit or
14 lease” in the matter preceding subpara-
15 graph (A) of such subsection and inserting
16 “an allotment management plan or grazing
17 permit or lease”;

18 (ii) in subparagraph (A) of such sub-
19 section, by striking “permit or lease” and
20 inserting “allotment management plan,
21 permit, or lease”; and

22 (iii) in subparagraph (B)(i) of such
23 subsection, by striking “lease or permit”
24 and inserting “allotment management
25 plan, permit, or lease”; and

1 (D) by inserting before paragraph (2), as
2 so redesignated, the following new paragraph:

3 “(1) in subsection (a), by striking ‘by the Sec-
4 retary of Agriculture, with respect to lands within
5 National Forests in the sixteen contiguous Western
6 States’ and inserting ‘on National Forest System
7 land by the Secretary of Agriculture (notwith-
8 standing, for purposes of this section, the definition
9 in section 103(p))’;”.

10 (11) Section 3024 (16 U.S.C. 6214; 128 Stat.
11 3764) is amended—

12 (A) in subsection (e), by inserting before
13 the period at the end the following: “report
14 using National Median Price values”; and

15 (B) in subsection (f)(3)—

16 (i) in subparagraph (A), by striking
17 “by regulation establish criteria pursuant
18 to which the annual fee determined in ac-
19 cordance with this section may be sus-
20 pended or reduced temporarily” and insert-
21 ing “provide for suspension or reduction
22 temporarily of the annual fee determined
23 in accordance with this section”; and

24 (ii) in subparagraph (B), by striking
25 “by regulation”.

1 (c) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR
2 FISCAL YEAR 2009.—Section 943(d)(1) of the Duncan
3 Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
4 Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4578) by
5 striking the second period at the end of the first sentence.

6 (d) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR
7 FISCAL YEAR 2005.—Section 1208(f)(2) of the Ronald
8 W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
9 Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 2086), as
10 amended by section 1202(a) of the National Defense Au-
11 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–
12 181; 122 Stat. 363) and section 1202(c) of the National
13 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public
14 Law 111–84; 123 Stat 2512), is further amended—

15 (1) by redesignating the paragraphs (1)
16 through (8) added by section 1202(c) of the Na-
17 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
18 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat 2512) as sub-
19 paragraphs (A) through (H), respectively; and

20 (2) by moving the margins of such subpara-
21 graphs, as so redesignated, two ems to the right.

22 (e) COORDINATION WITH OTHER AMENDMENTS
23 MADE BY THIS ACT.—For purposes of applying amend-
24 ments made by provisions of this Act other than this sec-
25 tion, the amendments made by this section shall be treated

1 as having been enacted immediately before any such
2 amendments by other provisions of this Act.

1 **SEC. 1083 [Log 60361]. NAVY SUPPORT OF OCEAN RESEARCH**

2 **ADVISORY PANEL.**

3 Section 7903 of title 10, United States Code, is

4 amended by striking subsection (c).

1 **SEC. 1084 [Log 60857]. LEVEL OF READINESS OF CIVIL RE-**
2 **SERVE AIR FLEET CARRIERS.**

3 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

4 (1) The National Airlift Policy states that
5 “[t]he national defense airlift objective is to ensure
6 that military and civil airlift resources will be able
7 to meet defense mobilization and deployment re-
8 quirements in support of US defense and foreign
9 policies.”.

10 (2) The National Airlift Policy also emphasizes
11 the need for “dialogue and cooperation with our na-
12 tional aviation industry,” and it states that “[i]t is
13 of particular importance that the aviation industry
14 be apprised by the Department of Defense of long-
15 term requirements for airlift in support of national
16 defense.”.

17 (3) The National Airlift Policy emphasizes the
18 importance of both military and civil airlift resources
19 and their interdependence in the fulfillment of the
20 national defense airlift objective, and it states that
21 the “Department of Defense shall establish appro-
22 priate levels for peacetime cargo airlift augmentation
23 in order to promote the effectiveness of Civil Reserve
24 Air Fleet and provide training within the military
25 airlift system.”.

1 (4) Civil Reserve Air Fleet carriers continue to
2 be an important component of the military airlift
3 system in support of United States defense and for-
4 eign policies.

5 (b) LEVEL OF READINESS OF CIVIL RESERVE AIR
6 FLEET CARRIERS.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 931 of title 10,
8 United States Code, is amended by adding at the
9 end the following new section:

10 **“§ 9517. Level of readiness of Civil Reserve Air Fleet**
11 **carriers**

12 “(a) POLICY.—The Civil Reserve Air Fleet program
13 is an important component of the military airlift system
14 in support of United States defense and foreign policies,
15 and it is the policy of the United States to maintain the
16 readiness and interoperability of Civil Reserve Air Fleet
17 carriers by providing appropriate levels of peacetime airlift
18 augmentation to maintain networks and infrastructure,
19 exercise the system, and interface effectively within the
20 military airlift system.

21 “(b) REPORT REQUIREMENT.—On the day the Presi-
22 dent submits the budget for a fiscal year to Congress, the
23 Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report
24 that sets forth, for each fiscal year during the period cov-
25 ered by the current future-years defense program under

1 section 221 of this title, each of the following, expressed
2 separately for passenger and cargo airlift services:

3 “(1) The results (including analytical and jus-
4 tification materials) of an assessment, conducted in
5 consultation with the Civil Reserve Air Fleet car-
6 riers, of the level of commercial airlift augmentation
7 necessary to maintain the readiness and interoper-
8 ability of such carriers, maintain networks and in-
9 frastructure, exercise the system, and facilitate the
10 regular interfacing between such carriers and the
11 military airlift system, which shall include—

12 “(A) a projection of the number of block
13 hours necessary to achieve such levels of com-
14 mercial airlift augmentation; and

15 “(B) a strategic plan for achieving such
16 level of commercial airlift augmentation.

17 “(2) A comparison (including analytical and
18 justification materials and explanations of any devi-
19 ations) of the projected number of block hours under
20 paragraph (1)(A) for the period covered by the re-
21 port submitted during the preceding year with the
22 projected number of block hours under such para-
23 graph (1)(A) for the period covered by the report.

24 “(c) In this section:

1 “(1) The term ‘budget’ has the meaning given
2 that term in section 231(f) of this title.

3 “(2) The term ‘defense budget materials’ has
4 the meaning given that term in section 231(f) of this
5 title.”.

6 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
7 tions at the beginning of such chapter is amended
8 by adding at the end the following new item:

 “9517. Level of Readiness of Civil Reserve Air Fleet carriers.”.

9 (3) DEFINITION OF CIVIL RESERVE AIR FLEET
10 PROGRAM.—Section 9511 of title 10, United States
11 Code, is amended by adding at the end the following
12 new paragraph:

13 “(12) The term ‘Civil Reserve Air Fleet pro-
14 gram’ means the program developed by the Depart-
15 ment of Defense through which the Department of
16 Defense augments its airlift capability by use of civil
17 aircraft.”.

1 **SEC. 1102 [Log 60237]. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ADDI-**
2 **TIONAL ALLOWANCES AND BENEFITS FOR**
3 **DEFENSE CLANDESTINE SERVICE EMPLOY-**
4 **EES.**

5 Section 1603 of title 10, United States Code, is
6 amended by adding at the end the following:

7 “(c) ADDITIONAL ALLOWANCES AND BENEFITS FOR
8 EMPLOYEES OF THE DEFENSE CLANDESTINE SERV-
9 ICE.—In addition to the authority to provide compensation
10 under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense may pro-
11 vide an employee in a defense intelligence position who is
12 assigned to the Defense Clandestine Service allowances
13 and benefits under paragraph (1) of section 9904 of title
14 5 without regard to the limitations in that section—

15 “(1) that the employee be assigned to activities
16 outside the United States; or

17 “(2) that the activities to which the employee is
18 assigned be in support of Department of Defense ac-
19 tivities abroad.”.

Subtitle E—Matters Relating to the Russian Federation

- Sec. 1241. **[Log 60288]** Notifications and updates relating to testing, production, deployment, and sale or transfer to other states or non-state actors of the Club-K cruise missile system by the Russian Federation.
- Sec. 1242. **[Log 60287]** Notifications of deployment of nuclear weapons by Russian Federation to territory of Ukrainian Republic.
- Sec. 1243. **[Log 60183]** Non-compliance by the Russian Federation with its obligations under the INF Treaty.
- Sec. 1244. **[Log 60074]** Modification of notification and assessment of proposal to modify or introduce new aircraft or sensors for flight by the Russian Federation under Open Skies Treaty.

Subtitle F—Matters Relating to the Asia-Pacific Region

- Sec. 1251. **[Log 59970]** Sense of Congress recognizing the 70th anniversary of the end of Allied military engagement in the Pacific theater.
- Sec. 1252. **[Log 60890]** Sense of Congress regarding consolidation of United States military facilities in Okinawa, Japan.
- Sec. 1253. **[Log 60389]** Strategy to promote United States interests in the Indo-Asia-Pacific region.

Subtitle G—Other Matters

- Sec. 1261. **[Log 59841]** Non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities.
- Sec. 1262. **[Log 60300]** Amendment to the annual report under Arms Control and Disarmament Act.
- Sec. 1263. **[Log 60360]** Permanent authority for NATO special operations headquarters.
- Sec. 1264. **[Log 60391]** Extension of authorization to conduct activities to enhance the capability of foreign countries to respond to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction.
- Sec. 1265. **[Log 60969]** Limitation on availability of funds for research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force, for arms control implementation.
- Sec. 1266. **[Log 59840]** Modification of authority for support of special operations to combat terrorism.

1 **Subtitle A—Assistance and**
 2 **Training**
 3 **SEC. 1201. [LOG 59930] ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF**
 4 **LOGISTICAL SUPPORT FOR COALITION**
 5 **FORCES SUPPORTING CERTAIN UNITED**
 6 **STATES MILITARY OPERATIONS.**

7 Section 1234 of the National Defense Authorization
 8 Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat.

1 394), as most recently amended by section 1223(a) of the
2 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015
3 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3548), is further amend-
4 ed—

5 (1) in subsection (a), by striking “fiscal year
6 2015” and inserting “fiscal year 2016”;

7 (2) in subsection (d), by striking “during the
8 period beginning on October 1, 2014, and ending on
9 December 31, 2015” and inserting “during the pe-
10 riod beginning on October 1, 2015, and ending on
11 December 31, 2016”; and

12 (3) in subsection (e)(1), by striking “December
13 31, 2015” and inserting “December 31, 2016”.

1 **SEC. 1202. [LOG 60150] STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK FOR DE-**
2 **PARTMENT OF DEFENSE SECURITY CO-**
3 **OPERATION.**

4 (a) STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense, in
6 coordination with the Secretary of State, shall de-
7 velop a strategic framework for Department of De-
8 fense security cooperation to guide prioritization of
9 resources and activities.

10 (2) ELEMENTS.—The strategic framework re-
11 quired by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

12 (A) Discussion of the strategic goals of De-
13 partment of Defense security cooperation pro-
14 grams, and the extent to which these programs
15 complement Department of State security as-
16 sistance programs to achieve United States
17 Government goals globally, regionally, and, if
18 appropriate, within specific programs.

19 (B) Identification of the primary objec-
20 tives, priorities, and desired end-states of De-
21 partment of Defense security cooperation pro-
22 grams.

23 (C) Identification of challenges to achiev-
24 ing the primary objectives, priorities, and de-
25 sired end-states identified under subparagraph
26 (B), including—

- 1 (i) constraints on Department of De-
2 fense resources, authorities, and personnel;
3 (ii) partner nation variables, such as
4 political will, absorptive capacity, corrup-
5 tion, and instability risk;
6 (iii) constraints or limitations due to
7 bureaucratic impediments, interagency
8 processes, or congressional requirements;
9 (iv) validation of requirements; and
10 (v) assessment, monitoring, and eval-
11 uation.

12 (D) A methodology for assessing the effec-
13 tiveness of Department of Defense security co-
14 operation programs in making progress toward
15 achieving the primary objectives, priorities, and
16 desired end-states identified under subpara-
17 graph (B), including an identification of key
18 benchmarks for such progress and the implica-
19 tions of failing to achieve such the primary ob-
20 jectives, priorities, and desired end-states.

21 (E) An analysis of overlap, duplication, or
22 gaps among Department of Defense security co-
23 operation authorities and how these authorities
24 complement or overlap with Department of
25 State security assistance authorities.

1 (F) Any other matters the Secretary of
2 Defense determines appropriate.

3 (b) REPORT.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after
5 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary
6 of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of
7 State, shall submit to the appropriate congressional
8 committees a report on the strategic framework re-
9 quired by subsection (a).

10 (2) FORM.—The report required by paragraph
11 (1) shall be submitted in an unclassified form, but
12 may include a classified annex.

13 (3) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term
14 “appropriate congressional committees” means—

15 (A) the congressional defense committees;
16 and

17 (B) the Committee on Foreign Relations of
18 the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Af-
19 fairs of the House of Representatives.

1 **SEC. 1203. [LOG 60153] TWO-YEAR EXTENSION OF NA-**
2 **TIONAL GUARD STATE PARTNERSHIP PRO-**
3 **GRAM.**

4 Section 1205(i) of the National Defense Authoriza-
5 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127
6 Stat. 899; 32 U.S.C. 107 note) is amended by striking
7 “September 30, 2016” and inserting “September 30,
8 2018”.

1 **SEC. 1204. [LOG 60854] EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR**
2 **NON-RECIPROCAL EXCHANGES OF DEFENSE**
3 **PERSONNEL BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES**
4 **AND FOREIGN COUNTRIES.**

5 Section 1207(f) of the National Defense Authoriza-
6 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123
7 Stat. 2514; 10 U.S.C. 168 note), as amended by section
8 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
9 Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1980), is fur-
10 ther amended by striking “September 30, 2016” and in-
11 serting “December 31, 2017”.

1 **Subtitle B—Matters Relating to**
2 **Afghanistan and Pakistan**

3 **SEC. 1211. [LOG 59926] COMMANDERS' EMERGENCY RE-**
4 **SPONSE PROGRAM IN AFGHANISTAN.**

5 (a) ONE-YEAR EXTENSION.—Section 1201 of the
6 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012
7 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1619), as most recently
8 amended by section 1221 of the National Defense Author-
9 ization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291;
10 128 Stat. 3546), is further amended by striking “fiscal
11 year 2015” each place it appears and inserting “fiscal
12 year 2016”.

13 (b) FUNDS AVAILABLE DURING FISCAL YEAR
14 2016.—Subsection (a) of such section, as so amended, is
15 further amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting
16 “\$5,000,000”.

1 **SEC. 1212. [LOG 59929] EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF**
2 **AUTHORITY FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF CER-**
3 **TAIN COALITION NATIONS FOR SUPPORT**
4 **PROVIDED TO UNITED STATES MILITARY OP-**
5 **ERATIONS.**

6 (a) EXTENSION.—Subsection (a) of section 1233 of
7 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
8 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 393), as most re-
9 cently amended by section 1222 of the National Defense
10 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–
11 291; 128 Stat. 3547), is further amended by striking “fis-
12 cal year 2015” and inserting “fiscal year 2016”.

13 (b) LIMITATION ON AMOUNTS AVAILABLE.—Sub-
14 section (d)(1) of such section, as so amended, is further
15 amended—

16 (1) in the second sentence, by striking “during
17 fiscal year 2015 may not exceed \$1,200,000,000”
18 and inserting “during fiscal year 2016 may not ex-
19 ceed \$1,260,000,000”; and

20 (2) in the third sentence, by striking “fiscal
21 year 2015” and inserting “fiscal year 2016”.

22 (c) EXTENSION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENT RELAT-
23 ING TO REIMBURSEMENT OF PAKISTAN FOR SUPPORT
24 PROVIDED BY PAKISTAN.—Section 1232(b)(6) of the Na-
25 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008
26 (122 Stat. 393), as most recently amended by section

1 1222(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for
2 Fiscal Year 2015 (128 Stat. 3548), is further amended
3 by striking “September 30, 2015” and inserting “Sep-
4 tember 30, 2016”.

5 (d) EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON REIMBURSE-
6 MENT OF PAKISTAN PENDING CERTIFICATION ON PAKI-
7 STAN.—Section 1227(d)(1) of the National Defense Au-
8 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–
9 239; 126 Stat. 2001), as most recently amended by sec-
10 tion 1222(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act
11 for Fiscal Year 2015 (128 Stat. 3548), is further amended
12 by striking “fiscal year 2015” and inserting “fiscal year
13 2016”.

14 (e) ADDITIONAL LIMITATION ON REIMBURSEMENT
15 OF PAKISTAN PENDING CERTIFICATION ON PAKISTAN.—
16 Of the total amount of reimbursements and support au-
17 thorized for Pakistan during fiscal year 2016 pursuant to
18 the third sentence of section 1233(d)(1) of the National
19 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (as
20 amended by subsection (b)(2)), \$400,000,000 shall not be
21 eligible for the waiver under section 1227(d)(2) of the Na-
22 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013
23 (126 Stat. 2001) unless the Secretary of Defense certifies
24 to the congressional defense committees that—

1 (1) Pakistan continues to conduct military oper-
2 ations in North Waziristan to disrupt the safe haven
3 and freedom of movement of the Haqqani Network
4 in Pakistan;

5 (2) Pakistan has prevented the Haqqani Net-
6 work from using North Waziristan as a safe haven;
7 and

8 (3) the Government of Pakistan actively coordi-
9 nates with the Government of Afghanistan to re-
10 strict the movement of militants, such as the
11 Haqqani Network, along the Afghanistan-Pakistan
12 border.

1 **SEC. 1213. [LOG 59931] SENSE OF CONGRESS ON UNITED**
2 **STATES POLICY AND STRATEGY IN AFGHANI-**
3 **STAN.**

4 It is the sense of Congress that—

5 (1) the United States continues to have vital
6 national security interests in ensuring that Afghani-
7 stan is a stable, sovereign country;

8 (2) President Ashraf Ghani of Afghanistan
9 should be applauded for his leadership and commit-
10 ment to ensuring that Afghanistan remains stable,
11 secure, and a friend of the United States;

12 (3) the decision by the President of the United
13 States to maintain 9,800 United States troops in Af-
14 ghanistan through all of 2015 to train, advise, and
15 assist and conduct counterterrorism missions in Af-
16 ghanistan is the appropriate approach, is consistent
17 with United States national security interests, and
18 should be supported by Congress;

19 (4) the President should withdraw United
20 States troops only on a pace that is consistent with
21 the ability of the Afghan National Security Forces
22 to sustain itself and secure Afghanistan and should
23 review maintaining the United States advisory mis-
24 sion in Afghanistan beyond 2016;

25 (5) the United States should provide monetary
26 and advisory support for the 352,000 Afghan Na-

1 tional Security Forces personnel and 30,000 Afghan
2 Local Police, including intelligence, surveillance, and
3 reconnaissance support, through 2018;

4 (6) the Afghan National Security Forces should
5 have the independent capability to prevent groups
6 such as al-Qaeda, the Haqqani Network, the Quetta
7 Shura Taliban, and other terrorist and insurgent
8 groups from being able to conduct de-stabilizing at-
9 tacks and military operations inside Afghanistan or
10 against the United States and its allies and holding
11 or governing territory; and

12 (7) the United States should continue to vigor-
13 ously conduct counterterrorism operations in Af-
14 ghanistan beyond 2016, including against the
15 Haqqani Network, to preserve the vital national se-
16 curity interests of the United States.

1 **SEC. 1214. [LOG 60041] EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO AC-**
2 **QUIRE PRODUCTS AND SERVICES PRODUCED**
3 **IN COUNTRIES ALONG A MAJOR ROUTE OF**
4 **SUPPLY TO AFGHANISTAN.**

5 Section 801(f) of the National Defense Authorization
6 Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat.
7 2399), as most recently amended by section 832 of the
8 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014
9 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 814), is further amended
10 by striking “December 31, 2015” and inserting “Decem-
11 ber 31, 2016”.

1 **SEC. 1215. [LOG 60042] EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**
2 **TRANSFER DEFENSE ARTICLES AND PRO-**
3 **VIDE DEFENSE SERVICES TO THE MILITARY**
4 **AND SECURITY FORCES OF AFGHANISTAN.**

5 (a) EXTENSION.—Subsection (h) of section 1222 of
6 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
7 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1992), as amended
8 by section 1231 of the National Defense Authorization Act
9 for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat.
10 3556), is further amended by striking “December 31,
11 2015” and inserting “December 31, 2016”.

12 (b) QUARTERLY REPORTS.—Subsection (f)(1) of
13 such section, as so amended, is further amended by strik-
14 ing “March 31, 2016” and inserting “March 31, 2017”.

15 (c) EXCESS DEFENSE ARTICLES.—Subsection (i)(2)
16 of such section, as so amended, is further amended by
17 striking “and 2015” each place it appears and inserting
18 “, 2015, and 2016”.

1 **Subtitle C—Matters Relating to**
2 **Syria and Iraq**

3 **SEC. 1221. [LOG 59921] EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO SUP-**
4 **PORT OPERATIONS AND ACTIVITIES OF THE**
5 **OFFICE OF SECURITY COOPERATION IN IRAQ.**

6 (a) EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (f)(1)
7 of section 1215 of the National Defense Authorization Act
8 for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 10 U.S.C. 113
9 note), as most recently amended by section 1237 of the
10 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015
11 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3562), is further amend-
12 ed by striking “fiscal year 2015” and inserting “fiscal
13 year 2016”.

14 (b) AMOUNT AVAILABLE.—Such section, as so
15 amended, is further amended—

16 (1) in subsection (c), by striking “fiscal year
17 2015” and all that follows and inserting “fiscal year
18 2016 may not exceed \$143,000,000.”; and

19 (2) in subsection (d), by striking “fiscal year
20 2015” and inserting “fiscal year 2016”.

21 (c) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date
22 of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and
23 the Secretary of State shall submit to the congressional
24 defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations
25 of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of

1 the House of Representatives a report on the activities of
2 the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq. The report
3 shall include the following:

4 (1) A description of how the programs of the
5 Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq, in conjunc-
6 tion with other United States programs, such as
7 Foreign Military Financing program and the For-
8 eign Military Sales program, will address the capa-
9 bility gaps of the Iraqi Security Forces and coordi-
10 nate activities to provide for the training and equip-
11 ping of the Iraqi Security Forces.

12 (2) A description of constraints, if any, caused
13 by the operational environment in Iraq on the ability
14 of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq to
15 carry out its mission.

1 **SEC. 1222. [LOG 59928] COMPREHENSIVE STRATEGY FOR**
2 **THE MIDDLE EAST AND TO COUNTER IS-**
3 **LAMIC EXTREMISM.**

4 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

5 (1) In testimony before the House Armed Serv-
6 ices Committee, General Martin Dempsey, Chairman
7 of the Joint Chiefs of Staff stated, “The global secu-
8 rity environment is as uncertain as I have seen in
9 my 40 years of service.”.

10 (2) In testimony before the Senate Armed Serv-
11 ices Committee, the Director of National Intel-
12 ligence, James Clapper, stated: “Sunni violent ex-
13 tremists are gaining momentum and the number of
14 Sunni violent extremist groups, members, and safe
15 havens is greater than at any other point in his-
16 tory.”.

17 (3) In testimony to the House Armed Services
18 Committee, Lieutenant General Michael Flynn,
19 former Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency
20 stated, “. . .whether it be the number of violent
21 Islamist groups, the territory which they control, the
22 scale and scope of the Islamic State of Iraq and the
23 Levant (ISIL) and associated movements, the num-
24 ber of terrorist attacks they perpetrate, the numbers
25 of casualties they inflict, their broad expansion and
26 use of the internet, or just their sheer barbarism; I

1 can draw no other conclusion than to say that the
2 threat of Islamic extremism has reached an unac-
3 ceptable level and that it is growing.”.

4 (4) In testimony before the Senate Armed Serv-
5 ices Committee, James Clapper, the Director of Na-
6 tional Intelligence, stated the following:

7 (A) “When the final counting is done,
8 2014 will have been the most lethal year for
9 global terrorism in the 45 years such data has
10 been compiled . . . about half of all attacks, as
11 well as fatalities, in 2014 occurred in just three
12 countries: Iraq, Pakistan and Afghanistan . . .
13 the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL)
14 conducted more attacks than any other terrorist
15 group in the first nine months of 2014.”.

16 (B) “Since the conflict began, more than
17 20,000 Sunni foreign fighters have traveled to
18 Syria from more than 90 countries to fight the
19 Assad regime . . . of that number, at least
20 13,600 have extremist ties.”.

21 (C) “More than 3,400 Western fighters
22 have gone to Syria and Iraq. Hundreds have re-
23 turned home to Europe.”.

1 (D) “About 180 Americans or so have
2 been involved in various stages of travel to
3 Syria . . . and some number have come back.”.

4 (E) “ISIL, al-Qaeda and al-Qaeda in the
5 Arabian Peninsula (AQAP), and, most recently,
6 al-Shabaab are calling on their supporters to
7 conduct lone-wolf attacks against the United
8 States and other Western countries. Of the 13
9 attacks in the West since last May, 12 were
10 conducted by individual extremists.”.

11 (5) AQAP continues to be one of al-Qaeda’s
12 most capable affiliates, has the intent and capability
13 to attack the United States and its allies, and at-
14 tempted attacks inside the United States on Decem-
15 ber 25, 2009, and October 27, 2010.

16 (6) Iran has been a Department of State-des-
17 ignated state sponsor of terrorism since January 19,
18 1984, and continues to sponsor and support ter-
19 rorism throughout the Middle East region and
20 around the world.

21 (7) In testimony before the Senate Armed Serv-
22 ices Committee, former Vice Chief of Staff of the
23 Army, General Jack Keane, stated, “Is it possible to
24 . . . claim that the United States policy and strategy
25 is working or that al-Qaeda is on the run? It is un-

1 mistakable that our policies have failed . . . And the
2 unequivocal explanation is U.S. policy has focused
3 on disengaging from the Middle East.”.

4 (8) In testimony before the Senate Armed Serv-
5 ices Committee, former commander of United States
6 Central Command, General James Mattis, stated,
7 “‘We have lived too long in a strategy-free mode . .
8 . America needs a refreshed national strategy . . .
9 And our Nation’s strategy demands a comprehensive
10 approach.”.

11 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
12 gress that—

13 (1) Islamic extremism is growing in the Middle
14 East and elsewhere;

15 (2) Iran continues to be a leading state sponsor
16 of terrorism in the Middle East and across the globe
17 and continues to actively work against United States
18 interests;

19 (3) the threat of terrorist attacks in the United
20 States and threats against United States interests
21 have increased due to the growth of Islamic extre-
22 mism, the proliferation of terrorist groups across the
23 world, and the instability in the Middle East in
24 countries such as Libya, Yemen, Iraq, and Syria;

1 (4) the approach of Building Partnership Ca-
2 pacity (BPC) and conducting limited counterter-
3 rorism operations has had some positive effects in
4 some locations, but has not prevented the prolifera-
5 tion and violence of terrorist groups or instability in
6 the Middle East;

7 (5) the United States should articulate, develop,
8 and implement an effective strategy to work with its
9 allies and partners to defeat Islamic extremist
10 groups that threaten the interests of the Unites
11 States and its allies;

12 (6) support for United States allies and part-
13 ners in the Middle East is a critical component of
14 the effort to prevent the spread of Islamic extre-
15 mism;

16 (7) other actors, such as Russia, China, and
17 Iran are trying to work against United States inter-
18 ests in the Middle East;

19 (8) the United States should take a greater
20 leadership role in fighting Islamic extremism and
21 supporting stability in the Middle East to include co-
22 ordinating actions of United States allies and part-
23 ners in the region;

24 (9) the United States plays a vital leadership
25 role in coordinating the activities of the United

1 States and its allies and partners and should seek
2 opportunities to expand such cooperation to con-
3 tribute to greater stability in the Middle East;

4 (10) the United States should continue to take
5 steps to prevent the spread of malign Iranian influ-
6 ence in Iraq, Syria, Yemen, and the region;

7 (11) the United States remains an indispen-
8 sable actor in the Middle East, and the President
9 should ensure that United States Armed Forces re-
10 main forward postured in the region to deter adver-
11 saries, fight threats to the United States and its in-
12 terests, and support United States allies and part-
13 ners in the region.

14 (c) STRATEGY REQUIRED.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than February 15,
16 2016, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of
17 State shall submit to the specified congressional
18 committees a comprehensive strategy for the Middle
19 East and to counter Islamic extremism.

20 (2) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The strategy
21 required by paragraph (1) shall include the fol-
22 lowing:

23 (A) A detailed description of the objectives
24 and end state for the United States in the Mid-
25 dle East and with respect to Islamic extremism.

1 (B) A description of the roles and respon-
2 sibilities of the Department of State in such
3 strategy.

4 (C) A description of the roles and respon-
5 sibilities of the Department of Defense in such
6 strategy.

7 (D) A detailed description of actions to
8 prevent the weakening and failing of states in
9 the Middle East.

10 (E) A detailed description of actions to
11 counter Islamic extremism, including Islamic
12 ideology, strategy, and tactics globally.

13 (F) A detailed definition of those states
14 and non-state actors the United States will ad-
15 dress to counter Islamic extremism.

16 (G) A detailed description of actions to es-
17 tablish a coalition to carry out the strategy.

18 (3) SPECIFIED CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT-
19 TEES.—In the section, the term “specified congres-
20 sional committees” means—

21 (A) the congressional defense committees;
22 and

23 (B) the Committee on Foreign Relations of
24 the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Af-
25 fairs of the House of Representatives.

1 **SEC. 1223. [LOG 59922] MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO**
2 **PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO COUNTER THE IS-**
3 **LAMIC STATE OF IRAQ AND THE LEVANT.**

4 (a) QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT.—Subsection (d)
5 of section 1236 of the National Defense Authorization Act
6 for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat.
7 3561) is amended by striking “30 days” and inserting “90
8 days”.

9 (b) FUNDING.—Of the amounts authorized to be ap-
10 propriated in this Act for Overseas Contingency Oper-
11 ations in title XV for fiscal year 2016, there are author-
12 ized to be appropriated \$715,000,000 to carry out such
13 section.

14 (c) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—Subsection (j)(1)(B) of
15 such section is amended—

16 (1) by striking “the following:” and all that fol-
17 lows through “Any provision of law” and inserting
18 “any provision of law”; and

19 (2) by striking clause (ii).

20 (d) REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO ASSISTANCE FOR
21 FISCAL YEAR 2016.—Such section, as so amended, is fur-
22 ther amended by adding at the end the following:

23 “(1) REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO ASSISTANCE FOR
24 FISCAL YEAR 2016.—

25 “(1) ASSESSMENT.—

1 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90
2 days after the date of the enactment of this sec-
3 tion, the Secretary of Defense and the Sec-
4 retary of State shall jointly submit to the ap-
5 propriate congressional committees an assess-
6 ment of the extent to which the Government of
7 Iraq is meeting the conditions described in sub-
8 paragraph (B).

9 “(B) CONDITIONS.—The conditions de-
10 scribed in this subparagraph are that the Gov-
11 ernment of Iraq—

12 “(i) is addressing the grievances of
13 ethnic and sectarian minorities;

14 “(ii) is increasing political inclusive-
15 ness;

16 “(iii) is conducting efforts sufficient
17 to reduce support for the Islamic State of
18 Iraq and the Levant and improve stability
19 in Iraq;

20 “(iv) is legislating the Iraqi Sunni Na-
21 tional Guard;

22 “(v) is ensuring that minorities are
23 represented in adequate numbers, trained,
24 and equipped in government security orga-
25 nizations;

1 “(vi) is ending support to Shia mili-
2 tias and stopping abuses of elements of the
3 Iraqi population by such militias;

4 “(vii) is ensuring that supplies, equip-
5 ment, and weaponry supplied by the
6 United States are appropriately distributed
7 to security forces with a national security
8 mission in Iraq, including the Kurdish
9 Peshmerga, Sunni tribal security forces
10 with a national security mission, and the
11 Iraqi Sunni National Guard;

12 “(viii) is releasing prisoners from eth-
13 nic or sectarian minorities who have been
14 arrested and held without trial or to
15 charge and try such prisoners in a fair,
16 transparent, and prompt manner; and

17 “(ix) is taking such other actions as
18 the Secretaries consider appropriate.

19 “(C) UPDATE.—The Secretary of Defense
20 and the Secretary of State may submit an up-
21 date of the assessment required under subpara-
22 graph (A) to the extent necessary.

23 “(D) SUBMISSION.—The assessment re-
24 quired under subparagraph (A) and the update
25 of the assessment authorized under subpara-

1 graph (C) may be submitted as part of the
2 quarterly report required under subsection (d).

3 “(2) RESTRICTION ON DIRECT ASSISTANCE TO
4 GOVERNMENT OF IRAQ.—If the Secretary of Defense
5 and the Secretary of State do not submit the assess-
6 ment required by paragraph (1) or if the Secretaries
7 submit the assessment required by paragraph (1)
8 but the assessment indicates that the Government of
9 Iraq has not substantially achieved the conditions
10 contained in the assessment, the Secretaries shall
11 withhold the provision of assistance pursuant to sub-
12 section (a) directly to the Government of Iraq for
13 fiscal year 2016 until such time as the Secretaries
14 submit an update of the assessment that indicates
15 that the Government of Iraq has substantially
16 achieved the conditions contained in the assessment.

17 “(3) DIRECT ASSISTANCE TO CERTAIN COV-
18 ERED GROUPS.—

19 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Of the funds author-
20 ized to be appropriated under this section for
21 fiscal year 2016, not less than 25 percent of
22 such funds shall be obligated and expended for
23 assistance directly to the groups described in
24 subparagraph (E).

1 “(B) ADDITIONAL DIRECT ASSISTANCE.—

2 If the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary
3 of State withhold the provision of assistance
4 pursuant to subsection (a) directly to the Gov-
5 ernment of Iraq for fiscal year 2016 in accord-
6 ance with paragraph (2) of this subsection, the
7 Secretaries shall obligate and expend not less
8 than an additional 60 percent of all unobligated
9 funds authorized to be appropriated under this
10 section for fiscal year 2016 for assistance di-
11 rectly to the groups described in subparagraph
12 (E).

13 “(C) COST-SHARING REQUIREMENT INAP-
14 PLICABLE.—The cost-sharing requirement of
15 subsection (k) shall not apply with respect to
16 funds that are obligated or expended for assist-
17 ance directly to the groups described in sub-
18 paragraph (E).

19 “(D) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Notwith-
20 standing any other provision of law, the groups
21 described in subparagraph (E) shall each be
22 deemed to be a country for purposes of meeting
23 the eligibility requirements of section 3 of the
24 Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2753) and

1 chapter 2 of part II of the Foreign Assistance
2 Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2311 et seq.).

3 “(E) COVERED GROUPS.—The groups de-
4 scribed in this subparagraph are—

5 “(i) the Kurdish Peshmerga;

6 “(ii) Sunni tribal security forces with
7 a national security mission; and

8 “(iii) the Iraqi Sunni National
9 Guard.”.

1 **SEC. 1224. [LOG 60216] REPORT ON UNITED STATES ARMED**
2 **FORCES DEPLOYED IN SUPPORT OF OPER-**
3 **ATION INHERENT RESOLVE.**

4 (a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 30 days
5 after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 90
6 days thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to
7 the congressional defense committees a report on United
8 States Armed Forces deployed in support of Operation In-
9 herent Resolve.

10 (b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The report shall
11 include the following:

12 (1) The total number of members of the United
13 States Armed Forces deployed in support of Oper-
14 ation Inherent Resolve for the most recent month
15 for which data is available, delineated by service,
16 component, country, and military task.

17 (2) The total number of members of the United
18 States Armed Forces conducting force protection
19 and combat search and rescue, delineated by coun-
20 try, location in such country, and capability.

21 (3) An estimate for the three-month period fol-
22 lowing the date on which the report is submitted of
23 the total number of members of the United States
24 Armed Forces expected to be deployed in support of
25 Operation Inherent Resolve, delineated by service,
26 component, country, and military task.

1 (4) A description of the authorities and limita-
2 tions on the number of United States Armed Forces
3 deployed in support of Operation Inherent Resolve.

4 (5) A description of military functions that are
5 and are not subject to the authorities and limitations
6 described in paragraph (3).

7 (6) Any changes to the authorities and limita-
8 tions described in paragraph (3) and the rationale
9 for such changes.

10 (7) Any changes to United States policy and
11 authorities for United States Armed Forces deployed
12 in support of Operation Inherent Resolve.

13 (8) Any other matters that the Secretary of De-
14 fense determines to be necessary.

15 (c) SUNSET.—The requirement to submit reports
16 under this section shall terminate on the date on which
17 Operation Inherent Resolve terminates or the date that
18 is 5 years after the date of the enactment of this Act,
19 whichever occurs earlier.

1 **SEC. 1225. [LOG 60956] MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO**
2 **PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO THE VETTED SYR-**
3 **IAN OPPOSITION.**

4 Section 1209 of the National Defense Authorization
5 Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat.
6 3541) is amended by striking subsection (f) and inserting
7 the following:

8 “(f) FUNDING.—Of the amounts authorized to be ap-
9 propriated in this Act for Overseas Contingency Oper-
10 ations in title XV for fiscal year 2016, there are author-
11 ized to be appropriated \$531,500,000 to carry out this
12 section.”.

1 **SEC. 1226. [LOG 60965] ASSISTANCE TO THE GOVERNMENT**
2 **OF JORDAN FOR BORDER SECURITY OPER-**
3 **ATIONS.**

4 (a) **AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE.—**

5 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense,
6 with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, may
7 provide assistance on a reimbursement basis to the
8 Government of Jordan for purposes of supporting
9 and enhancing efforts of the armed forces of Jordan
10 to sustain security along the border of Jordan with
11 Syria and Iraq.

12 (2) **FREQUENCY.**—Assistance may be provided
13 under this subsection on a quarterly basis.

14 (b) **FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR ASSISTANCE.—**

15 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—Of the amounts authorized
16 to be appropriated in this Act for “Assistance for
17 the Border Security of Jordan” in title XV for fiscal
18 year 2016, there are authorized to be appropriated
19 \$300,000,000 to carry out this section.

20 (2) **PROHIBITION ON CONTRACTUAL OBLIGA-**
21 **TIONS.**—The Secretary of Defense may not enter
22 into any contractual obligation to provide assistance
23 under the authority in subsection (a).

24 (c) **NOTICE BEFORE EXERCISE.**—Not later than 15
25 days before providing assistance under the authority in
26 subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to

1 the specified congressional committees a report setting
2 forth a full description of the assistance to be provided,
3 including the amount of assistance to be provided, and the
4 timeline for the provision of such assistance.

5 (d) SPECIFIED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—In
6 the section, the term “specified congressional committees”
7 means—

8 (1) the congressional defense committees; and
9 (2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the
10 Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
11 House of Representatives.

12 (e) EXPIRATION OF AUTHORITY.—No assistance may
13 be provided under the authority in subsection (a) after De-
14 cember 31, 2016.

1 **Subtitle D—Matters Relating to**
2 **Iran**

3 **SEC. 1231. [LOG 59920] EXTENSION OF ANNUAL REPORT ON**
4 **MILITARY POWER OF IRAN.**

5 Section 1245(d) of the National Defense Authoriza-
6 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123
7 Stat. 2544), as amended by section 1277 of the National
8 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 [(Public
9 Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3592),] is further amended by
10 striking “December 31, 2016” and inserting “December
11 31, 2025”.

1 **SEC. 1232. [LOG 59927] SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE GOV-**
2 **ERNMENT OF IRAN'S NUCLEAR PROGRAM**
3 **AND ITS MALIGN MILITARY ACTIVITIES.**

4 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

5 (1) The understanding announced on April 2,
6 2015, between the countries of the P5+1 (the
7 United States, the United Kingdom, France, Ger-
8 many, Russia, and China) and Iran on a Com-
9 prehensive Joint Plan of Action (CJPOA) provides
10 broad, permanent sanctions relief in exchange for
11 limited, temporary constraints on Iran's nuclear pro-
12 gram, during the duration of the CJPOA, while al-
13 lowing Iran to—

14 (A) continue to enrich uranium;

15 (B) continue to conduct its ballistic missile
16 development and malign military activities;

17 (C) maintain the capability to break-out
18 and achieve a nuclear bomb; and

19 (D) continue to conduct research and de-
20 velopment of its nuclear capabilities.

21 (2) Iran continues to develop ballistic missiles
22 in violation of United Nations Security Council Res-
23 olutions 1747 (2007) and 1929 (2010), has devel-
24 oped medium-range ballistic missiles to target Israel
25 and other United States allies, and is working to-

1 wards an intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBM)
2 capability.

3 (3) The CJPOA does not place any limitations
4 on Iran's ballistic and cruise missile development ef-
5 forts.

6 (4) The Secretary of State has designated Iran
7 as a state-sponsor of terrorism since 1984 and for
8 the past decade has characterized Iran as the "most
9 active state sponsor of terrorism" in the world.

10 (5) Iran continues to support Hezbollah in Leb-
11 anon, the Bashar al-Assad regime in Syria, Shia mi-
12 litas in Iraq, Hamas in Gaza, the Houthi rebels in
13 Yemen, and other terrorist organizations and ex-
14 tremists globally.

15 (6) Iran continues to conduct malign military
16 activities across the Middle East and around the
17 globe, which has and will continue to destabilize the
18 region. As the Commander of United States Central
19 Command testified to the Committee on Armed
20 Services of the House of Representatives on March
21 3, 2015, "the leaders in the region. . . are also
22 equally concerned about Iran's ability to mine the
23 Straits, Iran's cyber capabilities, Iran's. . . ballistic
24 missile capability, as well as the activity of their
25 Quds forces... And so whether we get a deal or don't

1 get a deal, I think they will still share those con-
2 cerns.”.

3 (7) Iran’s destabilizing activities throughout the
4 region pose a threat to United States interests, the
5 interests of United States allies in the region, and
6 international security.

7 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
8 gress that—

9 (1) Iran’s illicit pursuit, development, or acqui-
10 sition of a nuclear weapons capability and its malign
11 military activities overall constitute a grave threat to
12 regional stability and the national security interests
13 of the United States and its allies and partners;

14 (2) Iran continues to expand its malign activi-
15 ties in the Middle East and globally and these ma-
16 lign activities will likely increase under a CJPOA;

17 (3) broad, permanent sanctions relief under the
18 CJPOA will provide Iran the ability to increase
19 funding for its ballistic missile development pro-
20 grams, acquisition of destabilizing types and
21 amounts of conventional weapons, support for ter-
22 rorism, and other malign activities throughout the
23 Middle East and globally;

24 (4) United States bilateral and multilateral
25 sanctions against Iran, once relieved, will be ex-

1 tremely difficult to reconstitute in response to Ira-
2 nian violations of its international obligations;

3 (5) the Government of Iran is continuing its
4 pursuit of nuclear weapons capability and would be
5 a nuclear-threshold state under the framework of the
6 CJPOA, which will likely lead to the proliferation of
7 nuclear weapons across the Middle East;

8 (6) Congress should review and assess any
9 agreement entered into between the countries of the
10 P5+1 and Iran and approve or disapprove of any
11 sanctions relief that results from such an agreement;

12 (7) the United States must continue to support
13 the defense of allies and partners in the region, in-
14 cluding Israel, strengthening ballistic missile defense
15 capabilities, and increasing security assistance;

16 (8) Congress reaffirms that it is United States
17 policy that Iran will not be allowed to develop a nu-
18 clear weapon capability and that all instruments of
19 United States power and influence must remain on
20 the table to prevent this outcome; and

21 (9) Congress reaffirms the rights of United
22 States allies to exercise their legitimate right to self-
23 defense against the Government of Iran.

1 **Subtitle F—Matters Relating to the**
2 **Asia-Pacific Region**

3 **SEC. 1251. [LOG 59970] SENSE OF CONGRESS RECOGNIZING**
4 **THE 70TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE END OF AL-**
5 **LIED MILITARY ENGAGEMENT IN THE PA-**
6 **CIFIC THEATER.**

7 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
8 ings

9 (1) September 2, 2015, marks the 70th anni-
10 versary of the end of Allied military engagement in
11 the Pacific theater, also marking the end of the Sec-
12 ond World War.

13 (2) The United States entered the Second
14 World War in December 1941, following the Empire
15 of Japan's attack on Pearl Harbor, and over the
16 next four years Americans participated in what was
17 arguably the greatest national endeavor in the Na-
18 tion's history.

19 (3) The casualty toll of Americans in the Pa-
20 cific theater during the Second World War was ap-
21 proximately 92,904 killed, 208,333 wounded, and
22 tens of thousands missing in action and prisoners of
23 war, with civilians and military forces of the Allied
24 Powers suffering equally devastating tolls.

1 (4) American military forces displayed extraor-
2 dinary courage and suffered significant casualties in
3 battles across the Pacific theater, including in the
4 Battle of the Philippine Sea, the Battle of Leyte
5 Gulf, the Philippines Campaign, the Battle of Iwo
6 Jima, and the Battle of Okinawa.

7 (5) Japanese military forces and the Japanese
8 civilian population also suffered staggering losses.

9 (6) On August 15, 1945, Emperor Hirohito of
10 Japan announced the unconditional surrender of Ja-
11 pan's military forces, made formal on September 2,
12 1945, aboard the U.S.S. Missouri in Tokyo Bay,
13 Japan, thus ending the most devastating war in
14 human history.

15 (7) Japan is now a free and prosperous democ-
16 racy; a valued ally with shared values and mutual in-
17 terests based on the principles of democracy, indi-
18 vidual liberty, and the rule of law, who serves as a
19 cornerstone for peace and security in the region and
20 for whom the United States seeks to further enhance
21 security, economic, and diplomatic ties.

22 (8) The bravery and sacrifice of the members of
23 the United States Armed Forces and the military
24 forces of the Allied Powers who served valiantly to

1 rescue the Pacific nations from tyranny and aggres-
2 sion should be always remembered.

3 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—Congress—

4 (1) recognizes the 70th anniversary of the end
5 of Allied military engagement in the Pacific theater,
6 and also marking the end of Second World War;

7 (2) joins with a grateful nation in expressing
8 respect and appreciation to the members of the
9 United States Armed Forces who served in the Pa-
10 cific theater during the Second World War;

11 (3) remembers and honors those Americans who
12 made the ultimate sacrifice and gave their lives for
13 their country during the campaigns in the Pacific
14 theater during the Second World War; and

15 (4) preserves and applies the lessons learned
16 from the history of the Second World War in the
17 Pacific theater and recognizes the close alliance be-
18 tween the United States and Japan, codified in the
19 1960 Treaty of Mutual Cooperation and Security be-
20 tween the United States and Japan, that continues
21 to be enhanced to maintain peace and prosperity in
22 the region.

1 **SEC. 1253. [LOG 60389] STRATEGY TO PROMOTE UNITED**
2 **STATES INTERESTS IN THE INDO-ASIA-PA-**
3 **CIFIC REGION.**

4 (a) STRATEGY.—The President shall develop an over-
5 all strategy to promote United States interests in the
6 Indo-Asia-Pacific region. Such strategy shall be informed
7 by the following:

8 (1) The national security strategy of the United
9 States for 2015 set forth in the national security
10 strategy report required under section 108(a)(3) of
11 the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C.
12 5043(a)(3)), as such strategy relates to United
13 States interests in the Indo-Asia-Pacific region.

14 (2) The strategy to prioritize United States de-
15 fense interests in the Asia-Pacific region as con-
16 tained in the report required by section 1251(a) of
17 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
18 Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291).

19 (3) The integrated, multi-year planning and
20 budget strategy for a rebalancing of United States
21 policy in Asia submitted to Congress pursuant to
22 section 7043(a) of the Department of State, Foreign
23 Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations
24 Act, 2014 (division K of the Consolidated Appro-
25 priations Act, 2014 (Public Law 113–76)).

1 (b) PRESIDENTIAL POLICY DIRECTIVE.—The Presi-
2 dent shall issue a Presidential Policy Directive to relevant
3 Federal departments and agencies that contains the strat-
4 egy developed under subsection (a) and includes imple-
5 menting guidance to such departments and agencies.

6 (c) RELATION TO AGENCY PRIORITY GOALS AND AN-
7 NUAL BUDGET.—

8 (1) AGENCY PRIORITY GOALS.—In identifying
9 agency priority goals under section 1120(b) of title
10 31, United States Code, for each relevant Federal
11 department and agency, the head of such depart-
12 ment or agency, or as otherwise determined by the
13 Director of the Office of Management and Budget,
14 shall take into consideration the strategy developed
15 under subsection (a) and the Presidential Policy Di-
16 rective issued under subsection (b).

17 (2) ANNUAL BUDGET.—The President, acting
18 through the Director of the Office of Management
19 and Budget, shall ensure that the annual budget
20 submitted to Congress under section 1105 of title
21 31, United States Code, includes a separate section
22 that clearly highlights programs and projects that
23 are being funded in the annual budget that relate to
24 the strategy developed under subsection (a) and the

- 1 Presidential Policy Directive issued under subsection
- 2 (b).

1 **SEC. 1262. [LOG 60300] AMENDMENT TO THE ANNUAL RE-**
2 **PORT UNDER ARMS CONTROL AND DISAR-**
3 **MAMENT ACT.**

4 Subsection (e) of section 403 of the Arms Control
5 and Disarmament Act (22 U.S.C. 2593a) is amended to
6 read as follows:

7 “(e) ANNUAL REPORT.—

8 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than June 15 of
9 each year described in paragraph (2), the Director
10 of National Intelligence shall submit to the appro-
11 priate congressional committees a report that con-
12 tains a detailed assessment, consistent with the pro-
13 vision of classified information and intelligence
14 sources and methods, of the adherence of other na-
15 tions to obligations undertaken in all arms control,
16 nonproliferation, and disarmament agreements or
17 commitments to which the United States is a party,
18 including information of cases in which any such na-
19 tion has behaved inconsistently with respect to its
20 obligations undertaken in such agreements or com-
21 mitments.

22 “(2) COVERED YEAR.—A year described in this
23 paragraph is a year in which the President fails to
24 submit the report required by subsection (a) by not
25 later than April 15 of such year.

1 “(3) FORM.—The report required by this sub-
2 section shall be submitted in unclassified form, but
3 may contain a classified annex if necessary.”.

1 **SEC. 1302.[Log 59895] FUNDING ALLOCATIONS.**

2 Of the \$358,496,000 authorized to be appropriated
3 to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 in sec-
4 tion 301 and made available by the funding table in sec-
5 tion 4301 for the Department of Defense Cooperative
6 Threat Reduction Program established under section 1321
7 of the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduc-
8 tion Act (50 U.S.C. 3711), the following amounts may be
9 obligated for the purposes specified:

10 (1) For strategic offensive arms elimination,
11 \$1,289,000.

12 (2) For chemical weapons destruction,
13 \$942,000.

14 (3) For global nuclear security, \$20,555,000.

15 (4) For cooperative biological engagement,
16 \$264,618,000.

17 (5) For proliferation prevention, \$38,945,000.

18 (6) For threat reduction engagement,
19 \$2,827,000.

20 (7) For activities designated as Other Assess-
21 ments/Administrative Costs, \$29,320,000.

1 **TITLE XIV—OTHER**

2 **AUTHORIZATIONS**

Subtitle A—Military Programs

- Sec. 1401 [Log 60048]. Working capital funds.
- Sec. 1402 [Log 60053]. National Defense Sealift Fund.
- Sec. 1403 [Log 60049]. Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense.
- Sec. 1404 [Log 60051]. Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.
- Sec. 1405 [Log 60052]. Defense Inspector General.
- Sec. 1406 [Log 60054]. Defense Health Program.
- Sec. 1407 [Log 60950]. National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund.

Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile

- Sec. 1411[Log 60514]. Extension of date for completion of destruction of existing stockpile of lethal chemical agents and munitions.

Subtitle C—Working-Capital Funds

- Sec. 1421 [Log 60849]. Limitation on furlough of Department of Defense employees paid through working-capital funds.
- Sec. 1422 [Log 60810]. Working-capital fund reserve account for petroleum market price fluctuations.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

- Sec. 1431 [Log 60056]. Authority for transfer of funds to Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund for Captain James A. Lovell Health Care Center, Illinois.
- Sec. 1432 [Log 60057]. Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home.

3 **Subtitle A—Military Programs**

4 **SEC. 1401 [Log 60048]. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.**

5 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for

6 fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Armed Forces and other

7 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for

8 providing capital for working capital and revolving funds,

9 as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

1 **SEC. 1402 [Log 60053]. NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2016 for the National Defense Sealift Fund,
4 as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

1 **SEC. 1403 [Log 60049]. CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS**

2 **DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE.**

3 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds
4 are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Depart-
5 ment of Defense for fiscal year 2016 for expenses, not oth-
6 erwise provided for, for Chemical Agents and Munitions
7 Destruction, Defense, as specified in the funding table in
8 section 4501.

9 (b) USE.—Amounts authorized to be appropriated
10 under subsection (a) are authorized for—

11 (1) the destruction of lethal chemical agents
12 and munitions in accordance with section 1412 of
13 the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986
14 (50 U.S.C. 1521); and

15 (2) the destruction of chemical warfare materiel
16 of the United States that is not covered by section
17 1412 of such Act.

1 **SEC. 1404 [Log 60051]. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-**
2 **DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
4 the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 for ex-
5 penses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction
6 and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, as specified in
7 the funding table in section 4501.

1 **SEC. 1405 [Log 60052]. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 for ex-
4 penses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the
5 Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as speci-
6 fied in the funding table in section 4501.

1 **SEC. 1406 [Log 60054]. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2016 for the Defense Health Program, as spec-
4 ified in the funding table in section 4501, for use of the
5 Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the De-
6 partment of Defense in providing for the health of eligible
7 beneficiaries.

1 **SEC. 1407 [Log 60950]. NATIONAL SEA-BASED DETERRENCE**

2 **FUND.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
4 fiscal year 2016 for the National Sea-Based Deterrence
5 Fund, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

1 **Subtitle C—Working-Capital Funds**

2 **SEC. 1421 [Log 60849]. LIMITATION ON FURLOUGH OF DE-** 3 **PARTMENT OF DEFENSE EMPLOYEES PAID** 4 **THROUGH WORKING-CAPITAL FUNDS.**

5 Section 2208 of title 10, United States Code, is
6 amended by adding at the end the following new sub-
7 section:

8 “(s) FURLOUGH OF EMPLOYEES.—(1) Except as pro-
9 vided under paragraph (2), the Secretary of Defense or
10 the Secretary of a military department may not furlough
11 any employee of the Department of Defense whose salary
12 is funded by a working-capital fund unless the Secretary
13 determines that—

14 “(A) the working-capital fund is insolvent; or

15 “(B) there are insufficient funds in the work-
16 ing-capital fund to pay the labor costs of the em-
17 ployee.

18 “(2) The Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of
19 a military department may waive the restriction under
20 paragraph (1) if the Secretary determines such a waiver
21 is in the interest of the national security of the United
22 States.

23 “(3) In this subsection, the term ‘furlough’ means the
24 placement, for nondisciplinary reasons, of an employee in
25 a temporary status in which the employee has no duties

- 1 and is not paid, but does not include administrative leave
- 2 or an excused absence.”.

1 **SEC. 1422 [Log 60810]. WORKING-CAPITAL FUND RESERVE**
2 **ACCOUNT FOR PETROLEUM MARKET PRICE**
3 **FLUCTUATIONS.**

4 Section 2208 of title 10, United States Code, [as
5 amended by section 1421,] is [further] amended by add-
6 ing at the end the following new subsection:

7 “[(t)] MARKET FLUCTUATION ACCOUNT.—(1)
8 From amounts available for Working Capital Fund, De-
9 fense, the Secretary shall reserve up to \$1,000,000,000,
10 to remain available without fiscal year limitation, for pe-
11 troleum market price fluctuations. Such amounts may
12 only be disbursed if the Secretary determines such a dis-
13 bursement is necessary to absorb volatile market changes
14 in fuel prices without affecting the standard price charged
15 for fuel.

16 “(2) A budget request for the anticipated costs of fuel
17 may not take into account the availability of funds re-
18 served under paragraph (1).”.

1 **Subtitle A—Authorization of**
2 **Appropriations**

3 **SEC. 1501 [Log 60055]. PURPOSE.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—The purpose of this subtitle is to
5 authorize appropriations for the Department of Defense
6 for fiscal year 2016 to provide additional funds—

7 (1) for overseas contingency operations being
8 carried out by the Armed Forces; and

9 (2) pursuant to section 1504, for expenses, not
10 otherwise provided for, for operation and mainte-
11 nance, as specified in the funding table in section
12 4303.

13 (b) SUPPORT OF BASE BUDGET REQUIREMENTS;
14 TREATMENT.—Funds identified in subsection (a)(2) are
15 being authorized to be appropriated in support of base
16 budget requirements as requested by the President for fis-
17 cal year 2016 pursuant to section 1105(a) of title 31,
18 United States Code. The Director of the Office of Manage-
19 ment and Budget shall apportion the funds identified in
20 such subsection to the Department of Defense without re-
21 striction, limitation, or constraint on the execution of such
22 funds in support of base requirements, including any re-
23 striction, limitation, or constraint imposed by, or described
24 in, the document entitled “Criteria for War/Overseas Con-
25 tingency Operations Funding Requests” transmitted by

1 the Director to the Department of Defense on September
2 9, 2010, or any successor or related guidance.

1 **SEC. 1502 [Log 60058]. PROCUREMENT.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2016 for procurement accounts for the Army,
4 the Navy and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and De-
5 fense-wide activities, as specified in the funding table in
6 section 4102.

1 **SEC. 1503 [Log 60059]. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST,**
2 **AND EVALUATION.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
4 fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Department of Defense
5 for research, development, test, and evaluation, as speci-
6 fied in the funding table in section 4202.

1 **SEC. 1504 [Log 60060]. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Armed Forces and other
4 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for
5 expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and
6 maintenance, as specified in—

7 (1) the funding table in section 4302, or

8 (2) the funding table in section 4303.

1 **SEC. 1505 [Log 60061]. MILITARY PERSONNEL.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Armed Forces and other
4 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for
5 expenses, not otherwise provided for, for military per-
6 sonnel, as specified in the funding table in section 4402.

1 **SEC. 1506 [Log 60062]. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Armed Forces and other
4 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for
5 providing capital for working capital and revolving funds,
6 as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

1 **SEC. 1507 [Log 60063]. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-**
2 **DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
4 the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 for ex-
5 penses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction
6 and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, as specified in
7 the funding table in section 4502.

1 **SEC. 1508 [Log 60064]. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 for ex-
4 penses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the
5 Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as speci-
6 fied in the funding table in section 4502.

1 **SEC. 1509 [Log 60065]. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 for ex-
4 penses, not otherwise provided for, for the Defense Health
5 Program, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

1 **Subtitle B—Financial Matters**

2 **SEC. 1521 [Log 60066]. TREATMENT AS ADDITIONAL AU-**
3 **THORIZATIONS.**

4 The amounts authorized to be appropriated by this
5 title are in addition to amounts otherwise authorized to
6 be appropriated by this Act.

1 **SEC. 1522 [Log 60067]. SPECIAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**

2 (a) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—

3 (1) AUTHORITY.—Upon determination by the
4 Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in
5 the national interest, the Secretary may transfer
6 amounts of authorizations made available to the De-
7 partment of Defense in this title for fiscal year 2016
8 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year
9 (or any subdivisions thereof).

10 (2) EFFECT OF TRANSFER.—Amounts of au-
11 thorizations transferred under this subsection shall
12 be merged with and be available for the same pur-
13 poses as the authorization to which transferred.

14 (3) LIMITATIONS.—The total amount of author-
15 izations that the Secretary may transfer under the
16 authority of this subsection may not exceed
17 \$3,500,000,000.

18 (4) EXCEPTION.—In the case of the authoriza-
19 tion of appropriations contained in section 1504 that
20 is provided for the purpose specified in section
21 1501(2), the transfer authority provided under sec-
22 tion 1001, rather than the transfer authority pro-
23 vided by this subsection, shall apply to any transfer
24 of amounts of such authorization.

1 (b) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—Transfers under this
2 section shall be subject to the same terms and conditions
3 as transfers under section 1001.

4 (c) ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.—The transfer author-
5 ity provided by this section is in addition to the transfer
6 authority provided under section 1001.

1 **Subtitle C—European Reassurance**
2 **Initiative and Related Matters**

3 **SEC. 1531 [Log 59916]. STATEMENT OF POLICY REGARDING**
4 **EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE.**

5 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
6 ings:

7 (1) In February 2015, Lieutenant General
8 James Clapper (retired), Director of National Intel-
9 ligence, testified to the Committee on Armed Serv-
10 ices of the Senate that “Russian dominance over the
11 former Soviet space is Russia’s highest foreign policy
12 goal”.

13 (2) Russia, under the direction of President
14 Vladimir Putin, has demonstrated its intent to ex-
15 pand its sphere of influence beyond its borders and
16 limit Western influence in the region.

17 (3) The Russian military is aggressively pos-
18 tured on the Ukrainian boarder and continues its
19 buildup of military personnel and material. These
20 aggressive and unwarranted actions serve to intimi-
21 date, with a show of force, the Ukrainian people as
22 well as the other nations in the region including
23 Georgia, the Baltic States, and the Balkan States.

24 (4) In December 2014, Congress enacted the
25 Ukraine Freedom Support Act of 2014 (Public Law

1 113–272), which gives the President the authority to
2 expand assistance to Ukraine, increase economic
3 sanctions on Russia, and provide equipment to
4 counter offensive weapons.

5 (5) In February 2015, the Atlantic Council, the
6 Brookings Institute, and the Chicago Council on
7 Global Affairs published a report entitled “Pre-
8 serving Ukraine’s Independence, Resisting Russian
9 Aggression: What the United States and NATO
10 Must Do” advocating for increased United States
11 assistance to Ukraine with nonlethal and lethal de-
12 fensive equipment.

13 (6) Despite Russia signing the February 2015
14 Minsk Agreement, it has continued to violate the
15 terms of the agreement, as noted by Assistant Sec-
16 retary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs,
17 Victoria Nuland, at the German Marshall Fund
18 Brussels Forum in March 2015: “We’ve seen month
19 on month, more lethal weaponry of a higher cal-
20 iber...poured into Ukraine by the separatist Russian
21 allies...the number one thing is for Russia to stop
22 sending arms over the border so we can have real
23 politics.”.

24 (7) The military of the Russian Federation con-
25 tinues to increase their show of force globally, in-

1 including frequent international military flights, fre-
2 quent snap exercises of thousands of Russian troops,
3 increased global naval presence, and the threat of
4 the use of nuclear weapons in defense of the annex-
5 ation of Crimea in March 2014.

6 (8) The Government of the Russian Federation
7 continues to exert and increase undue influence on
8 the free will of sovereign nations and people with in-
9 timidation tactics, covert operations, cyber warfare,
10 and other unconventional methods.

11 (9) In testimony to the Committee on Armed
12 Services of the House of Representatives in Feb-
13 ruary 2015, Commander of European Command,
14 General Philip Breedlove, United States Air Force,
15 stated that “Russia has employed ‘hybrid war-
16 fare’...to illegally seize Crimea, foment separatist
17 fever in several sovereign nations, and maintain fro-
18 zen conflicts within its so-called ‘sphere of influence’
19 or ‘near abroad’”.

20 (10) The use of unconventional methods of war-
21 fare by Russia presents challenges to the United
22 States and its partners and allies in addressing the
23 threat.

24 (11) An enhanced United States military pres-
25 ence and readiness posture and the provision of se-

1 security assistance in Europe are key elements to de-
2 terring further Russian aggression and reassuring
3 United States allies and partners.

4 (12) In the National Defense Authorization Act
5 for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291), Con-
6 gress authorized and appropriated \$1 billion for the
7 European Reassurance Initiative, which supports
8 Operation Atlantic Resolve of the United States
9 Armed Forces.

10 (13) The European Reassurance Initiative ex-
11 pands United States military presence in Europe,
12 through—

13 (A) bolstered and continual United States
14 military presence;

15 (B) bilateral and multilateral exercises
16 with partners and allies;

17 (C) improved infrastructure;

18 (D) increased prepositioning of United
19 States equipment throughout Europe; and

20 (E) building partnership capacity for allies
21 and partners.

22 (14) The European Reassurance Initiative has
23 served as a valuable tool in strengthening the part-
24 nerships with the North Atlantic Treaty Organiza-

1 tion (NATO) as well as partnerships with non-mem-
2 ber allies in the region.

3 (15) As a result of the NATO 2014 Summit in
4 Wales, NATO has initiated a Readiness Action Plan
5 to increase partner nation funding and resourcing to
6 combat Russian aggression. NATO's efforts with the
7 Readiness Action Plan and United States investment
8 in regional security through the European Reassur-
9 ance Initiative will serve to continue and reinforce
10 the strength and fortitude of the alliance against ne-
11 farious actors.

12 (16) The President's Budget Request for fiscal
13 year 2016 includes \$789.3 million to continue the
14 European Reassurance Initiative focus on increased
15 United States military troop rotations in support of
16 Operation Atlantic Resolve, maintaining and further
17 expanding increasing regional exercises, and building
18 partnership capacity.

19 (b) STATEMENT OF POLICY.—It is the policy of the
20 United States to continue and expand its efforts in Europe
21 to reassure United States allies and partners and deter
22 further aggression and intimidation by the Russian Gov-
23 ernment, in order to enhance security and stability in the
24 region. This policy shall include—

1 (1) continued use of conventional methods, in-
2 cluding increased United States military presence in
3 Europe, exercises and training with allies and part-
4 ners, increasing infrastructure, prepositioning of
5 United States military equipment in Europe, and
6 building partnership capacity;

7 (2) increased emphasis on countering unconven-
8 tional warfare methods in areas such as cyber war-
9 fare, economic warfare, information operations, and
10 intelligence operations, including increased efforts in
11 the development of strategy, operational concepts,
12 capabilities, and technologies; and

13 (3) increased security assistance to allies and
14 partners in Europe, including the provision of both
15 non-lethal equipment and lethal equipment of a de-
16 fensive nature to Ukraine.

1 **SEC. 1532 [Log 59919]. ASSISTANCE AND SUSTAINMENT TO**
2 **THE MILITARY AND NATIONAL SECURITY**
3 **FORCES OF UKRAINE.**

4 (a) **AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE.**—The Sec-
5 retary of Defense is authorized, with the concurrence of
6 the Secretary of State, to provide assistance, including
7 training, equipment, lethal weapons of a defensive nature,
8 logistics support, supplies and services, and sustainment
9 to the military and national security forces of Ukraine,
10 through September 30, 2016, to assist the government of
11 Ukraine for the following purposes:

12 (1) Securing its sovereign territory against for-
13 eign aggressors.

14 (2) Protecting and defending the Ukrainian
15 people from attacks posed by Russian-backed sepa-
16 ratists.

17 (3) Promoting the conditions for a negotiated
18 settlement to end the conflict.

19 (b) **NOTICE BEFORE PROVISION OF ASSISTANCE.**—
20 Of the funds authorized to be appropriated to carry out
21 this section, not more than 10 percent of such funds may
22 be obligated or expended until not later than 15 days after
23 the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Sec-
24 retary of State, submits to the appropriate congressional
25 committees a report in unclassified form with a classified
26 annex as appropriate that contains a description of the

1 plan for providing such assistance, including a description
2 of the types of training and equipment to be provided, the
3 estimated number and role of United States Armed Forces
4 personnel involved, the potential or actual locations of any
5 training, and any other relevant details.

6 (c) QUARTERLY REPORTS.—Not later than 105 days
7 after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits
8 the report required in subsection (b), and every 90 days
9 thereafter, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with
10 the Secretary of State, shall provide to the appropriate
11 congressional committees a report on the activities carried
12 out under this section. Such report shall include a descrip-
13 tion of the following:

14 (1) Updates or changes to the plan required
15 under subsection (b).

16 (2) A description of the forces provided with
17 training, equipment, or other assistance under this
18 section during the preceding 90-day period.

19 (3) A description of the equipment provided
20 under this section during the preceding 90-day pe-
21 riod, including a detailed breakout of any lethal as-
22 sistance provided.

23 (4) A statement of the amount of funds ex-
24 pended during the preceding 90-day period.

1 (d) VETTING.—The Secretary of Defense, in coordi-
2 nation with the Secretary of State, shall ensure that all
3 assistance provided under this section is carried out in full
4 accordance with the provisions of section 2249e of title
5 10, United States Code.

6 (e) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “appro-
7 priate congressional committees” means—

8 (1) the Committee on Armed Services, the
9 Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee
10 on Appropriations of the House of Representatives;
11 and

12 (2) the Committee on Armed Services, the
13 Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee
14 on Appropriations of the Senate.

15 (f) FUNDING.—Of the amounts authorized to be ap-
16 propriated for fiscal year 2016 by this title for overseas
17 contingency operations, \$200,000,000 shall be available to
18 carry out this section.

19 (g) AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT CONTRIBUTIONS.—The
20 Secretary of Defense may accept and retain contributions,
21 including in-kind contributions, from foreign governments,
22 to provide assistance authorized under subsection (a). Any
23 funds so accepted by the Secretary may be credited to the
24 account from which funds are made available to provide
25 assistance authorized under subsection (a) and may re-

1 main available to provide assistance authorized under sub-
2 section (a) until September 30, 2016.

3 (h) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this sec-
4 tion shall be construed to constitute a specific statutory
5 authorization for the introduction of United States Armed
6 Forces into hostilities or into situations in which hostilities
7 are clearly indicated by the circumstances.

8 (i) RELATIONSHIP TO EXISTING AUTHORITIES.—As-
9 sistance provided under the authority of subsection (a)
10 shall be subject to the non-transfer and end-use provisions
11 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2751 et seq.)
12 and the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2151
13 et seq.).

1 **Subtitle D—Limitations, Reports,**
2 **and Other Matters**

3 **SEC. 1541 [Log 59924]. CONTINUATION OF EXISTING LIMITA-**
4 **TION ON USE OF AFGHANISTAN SECURITY**
5 **FORCES FUND.**

6 Funds available to the Department of Defense for the
7 Afghanistan Security Forces Fund for fiscal year 2016
8 shall be subject to the conditions contained in subsections
9 (b) through (g) of section 1513 of the National Defense
10 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–
11 181; 122 Stat. 428), as amended by section 1531(b) of
12 the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for
13 Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4424).

1 **SEC. 1612.[Log 59954] PLAN ON FULL INTEGRATION AND EX-**
2 **PLOITATION OF OVERHEAD PERSISTENT IN-**
3 **FRARED CAPABILITY.**

4 (a) PLAN.—Not later than 120 days after the date
5 of the enactment of this Act, the Commander of the
6 United States Strategic Command and the Director of
7 Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation shall jointly
8 submit to the appropriate congressional committees a plan
9 for the integration of overhead persistent infrared capa-
10 bilities to support the missions specified in subsection
11 (b)(1).

12 (b) ELEMENTS.—The plan under subsection (a)
13 shall—

14 (1) ensure that all overhead persistent infrared
15 capabilities of the United States, including such ca-
16 pabilities that are planned to be developed, are inte-
17 grated to allow for such capabilities to be exploited
18 to support the requirements of the missions of the
19 Department of Defense relating to—

- 20 (A) battle damage assessment;
21 (B) battlespace assessment;
22 (C) technical intelligence;
23 (D) strategic missile warning;
24 (E) tactical missile warning;
25 (F) missile defense tracking, fire control,
26 and kill assessment; and

1 (G) collection of weather data; and

2 (2) establish clear benchmarks by which to es-
3 tablish acquisition plans, manning, and budget re-
4 quirements.

5 (c) ANNUAL DETERMINATION.—The Secretary of
6 Defense shall include, together with, or not later than 30
7 days after, the budget justification materials submitted to
8 Congress in support of the budget of the Department of
9 Defense for a fiscal year (as submitted with the budget
10 of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United
11 States Code), a written determination of how the plan
12 under subsection (a) is being implemented.

13 (d) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES
14 DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate con-
15 gressional committees” means—

16 (1) the congressional defense committees; and

17 (2) the Permanent Select Committee on Intel-
18 ligence of the House of Representatives and the Se-
19 lect Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

1 **SEC. 1623.[Log 60132] PROHIBITION ON NATIONAL INTEL-**
2 **LIGENCE PROGRAM CONSOLIDATION.**

3 (a) PROHIBITION.—No amounts authorized to be ap-
4 propriated or otherwise made available to the Department
5 of Defense may be used during the period beginning on
6 the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on De-
7 cember 31, 2016, to execute—

8 (1) the separation of the National Intelligence
9 Program budget from the Department of Defense
10 budget;

11 (2) the consolidation of the National Intel-
12 ligence Program budget within the Department of
13 Defense budget; or

14 (3) the establishment of a new appropriations
15 account or appropriations account structure for the
16 National Intelligence Program budget.

17 (b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

18 (1) NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM.—The
19 term “National Intelligence Program” has the mean-
20 ing given the term in section 3 of the National Secu-
21 rity Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).

22 (2) NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM BUDG-
23 ET.—The term “National Intelligence Program
24 budget” means the portions of the Department of
25 Defense budget designated as part of the National
26 Intelligence Program.

1 **SEC. 1625.[Log 60133] LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF**
2 **FUNDS FOR OFFICE OF THE UNDER SEC-**
3 **RETARY OF DEFENSE FOR INTELLIGENCE.**

4 Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this
5 Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for
6 the Department of Defense for the Office of the Under
7 Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, not more than 75
8 percent may be obligated or expended for such Office until
9 the Secretary of Defense identifies the intelligence gaps
10 and establishes the written policy required by section 922
11 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
12 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 828).

1 **SEC. 1627.[Log 60155] DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INTEL-**
2 **LIGENCE NEEDS.**

3 (a) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date
4 of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National In-
5 telligence shall submit to the congressional defense com-
6 mittees and the congressional intelligence committees a re-
7 port on how the Director ensures that the National Intel-
8 ligence Program budgets for the elements of the intel-
9 ligence community that are within the Department of De-
10 fense are adequate to satisfy the national intelligence
11 needs of the Department as required under section
12 102A(p) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C.
13 3024(p)). Such report shall include a description of how
14 the Director incorporates the needs of the Chairman of
15 the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the commanders of the uni-
16 fied and specified commands into the metrics used to
17 evaluate the performance of the elements of the intel-
18 ligence community that are within the Department of De-
19 fense in conducting intelligence activities funded under the
20 National Intelligence Program.

21 (b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms “con-
22 gressional intelligence committees”, “intelligence commu-
23 nity”, and “National Intelligence Program” have the
24 meanings given such terms in section 3 of the National
25 Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).

1 **SEC. 1628.[Log 60148] REPORT ON MANAGEMENT OF CER-**
2 **TAIN PROGRAMS OF DEFENSE INTEL-**
3 **LIGENCE ELEMENTS.**

4 (a) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date
5 of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of De-
6 fense for Intelligence shall submit to the appropriate con-
7 gressional committees a report on the management of
8 science and technology research and development pro-
9 grams and foreign materiel exploitation programs of De-
10 fense intelligence elements.

11 (b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The report under sub-
12 section (a) shall include the following:

13 (1) An assessment of the management of each
14 Defense intelligence element that is responsible for
15 work relating to the programs described in sub-
16 section (a), including with respect to the policies,
17 procedures, and organizational structures of such
18 element relating to the management and coordina-
19 tion of such work across such elements.

20 (2) Recommendations to improve the coordina-
21 tion and organization of such elements.

22 (3) Identification of options for realigning such
23 elements within the Department of Defense to better
24 meet the needs of the Department and reduce un-
25 necessary overhead.

26 (c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1 (1) The term “appropriate congressional com-
2 mittees” means—

3 (A) the congressional defense committees;

4 (B) the Permanent Select Committee on
5 Intelligence of the House of Representatives;
6 and

7 (C) the Select Committee on Intelligence of
8 the Senate.

9 (2) The term “Defense intelligence element”
10 has the meaning given that term in section 429(e)
11 of title 10, United States Code.

1 **SEC. 1629.[Log 60134] GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OF-**
2 **FICE REVIEW OF INTELLIGENCE INPUT TO**
3 **THE DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROCESS.**

4 (a) REVIEW.—The Comptroller General of the United
5 States shall carry out a comprehensive review of the proc-
6 esses and procedures for the integration of intelligence
7 into the defense acquisition process, consistent with the
8 provision of classified information, and intelligence sources
9 and methods.

10 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—The review required by sub-
11 section (a) shall—

12 (1) identify processes and procedures for the in-
13 tegration of intelligence into the decision process, in-
14 cluding with respect to the staffing and training of
15 Defense intelligence personnel assigned to program
16 offices, for the acquisition of weapon systems from
17 initial requirements through the milestones process
18 and upon final delivery; and

19 (2) include a review of processes and proce-
20 dures for—

21 (A) the integration of intelligence on for-
22 eign capabilities into the acquisition process
23 from initial requirement through deployment;

24 (B) identifying opportunities for weapons
25 systems to collect intelligence, without regard to
26 whether that is the primary mission of such

1 systems, and the plans for exploiting the collec-
2 tion of such intelligence; and

3 (C) assessing the requirements weapon
4 systems will place on the Defense Intelligence
5 Enterprise once the weapons systems are de-
6 ployed.

7 (c) REPORT.—Not later than 270 days after the date
8 of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall
9 submit to the congressional defense committees, the Select
10 Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Perma-
11 nent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of
12 Representatives, a report containing the results of the re-
13 view required by subsection (a).

1 **Subtitle C—Cyberspace-Related**
2 **Matters**

3 **SEC. 1641.[Log 60715] CODIFICATION AND ADDITION OF LI-**
4 **ABILITY PROTECTIONS RELATING TO RE-**
5 **PORTING ON CYBER INCIDENTS OR PENE-**
6 **TRATIONS OF NETWORKS AND INFORMATION**
7 **SYSTEMS OF CERTAIN CONTRACTORS.**

8 (a) CODIFICATION AND AMENDMENT.—Section 941
9 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
10 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1889; 10 U.S.C.
11 2224 note) is transferred to chapter 19 of title 10, United
12 States Code, inserted so as to appear after section 392,
13 redesignated as section 393, and amended—

14 (1) by amending the section heading to read as
15 follows:

16 **“§ 393. Reporting on penetrations of networks and in-**
17 **formation systems of certain contrac-**
18 **tors”; and**

19 (2) by striking subsection (d) and inserting the
20 following new subsection (d):

21 “(d) PROTECTION FROM LIABILITY OF CLEARED
22 DEFENSE CONTRACTORS.—(1) No cause of action shall
23 lie or be maintained in any court against any cleared de-
24 fense contractor, and such action shall be promptly dis-
25 missed, for compliance with this section that is conducted

1 in accordance with the procedures established pursuant to
2 subsection (a).

3 “(2)(A) Nothing in this section shall be construed—

4 “(i) to require dismissal of a cause of action
5 against a cleared defense contractor that has en-
6 gaged in willful misconduct in the course of com-
7 plying with the procedures established pursuant to
8 subsection (a); or

9 “(ii) to undermine or limit the availability of
10 otherwise applicable common law or statutory de-
11 fenses.

12 “(B) In any action claiming that paragraph (1) does
13 not apply due to willful misconduct described in subpara-
14 graph (A), the plaintiff shall have the burden of proving
15 by clear and convincing evidence the willful misconduct by
16 each cleared defense contractor subject to such claim and
17 that such willful misconduct proximately caused injury to
18 the plaintiff.

19 “(C) In this subsection, the term ‘willful misconduct’
20 means an act or omission that is taken—

21 “(i) intentionally to achieve a wrongful purpose;

22 “(ii) knowingly without legal or factual jus-
23 tification; and

1 “(iii) in disregard of a known or obvious risk
2 that is so great as to make it highly probable that
3 the harm will outweigh the benefit.”.

4 (b) ADDITION OF LIABILITY PROTECTIONS FOR RE-
5 PORTING ON CYBER INCIDENTS.—Section 391 of title 10,
6 United States Code, is amended—

7 (1) by redesignating subsection (d) as sub-
8 section (e); and

9 (2) by inserting after subsection (c) the fol-
10 lowing new subsection (d):

11 “(d) PROTECTION FROM LIABILITY OF OPERATION-
12 ALLY CRITICAL CONTRACTORS.—(1) No cause of action
13 shall lie or be maintained in any court against any oper-
14 ationally critical contractor, and such action shall be
15 promptly dismissed, for compliance with this section that
16 is conducted in accordance with procedures established
17 pursuant to subsection (b).

18 “(2)(A) Nothing in this section shall be construed—

19 “(i) to require dismissal of a cause of action
20 against an operationally critical contractor that has
21 engaged in willful misconduct in the course of com-
22 plying with the procedures established pursuant to
23 subsection (b); or

1 “(ii) to undermine or limit the availability of
2 otherwise applicable common law or statutory de-
3 fenses.

4 “(B) In any action claiming that paragraph (1) does
5 not apply due to willful misconduct described in subpara-
6 graph (A), the plaintiff shall have the burden of proving
7 by clear and convincing evidence the willful misconduct by
8 each operationally critical contractor subject to such claim
9 and that such willful misconduct proximately caused in-
10 jury to the plaintiff.

11 “(C) In this subsection, the term ‘willful misconduct’
12 means an act or omission that is taken—

13 “(i) intentionally to achieve a wrongful purpose;

14 “(ii) knowingly without legal or factual jus-
15 tification; and

16 “(iii) in disregard of a known or obvious risk
17 that is so great as to make it highly probable that
18 the harm will outweigh the benefit.”.

19 (c) CONFORMING AND TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—

20 (1) Section 391 of title 10, United States Code,
21 is amended in subsection (a) by striking “with sec-
22 tion 941 of the National Defense Authorization Act
23 for Fiscal Year 2013 (10 U.S.C. 2224 note)” and
24 inserting “and section 393 of this title”.

1 (2) The table of sections for chapter 19 of such
2 title is amended—

3 (A) by amending the item relating to sec-
4 tion 391 to read as follows:

“391. Reporting on cyber incidents with respect to networks and information
 systems of operationally critical contractors and certain other
 contractors.”; and

5 (B) by inserting at the end the following
6 new item:

“393. Reporting on penetrations of networks and information systems of certain
 contractors.”.

1 **SEC. 1665.[Log 60291] LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY OF**
2 **FUNDS FOR PATRIOT LOWER TIER AIR AND**
3 **MISSILE DEFENSE CAPABILITY OF THE**
4 **ARMY.**

5 (a) LIMITATION.—Except as provided by subsection
6 (c), none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by
7 this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016
8 for any program described in subsection (b) may be obli-
9 gated or expended unless—

10 (1) the Secretary of the Army certifies to the
11 congressional defense committees that the analysis
12 of alternatives regarding the Patriot lower tier air
13 and missile defense capability of the Army has been
14 submitted to such committees;

15 (2) a period of 60 days has elapsed following
16 the date on which the Secretary makes the certifi-
17 cation under paragraph (1); and

18 (3) the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisi-
19 tion, Technology, and Logistics certifies to such
20 committees that such obligation or expenditure of
21 funds on such programs is consistent with the find-
22 ings of the analysis of alternatives described in para-
23 graph (1) to modernize the Patriot lower tier air and
24 missile defense capability of the Army.

1 (b) PROGRAM DESCRIBED.—A program described in
2 this subsection are the following components and capabili-
3 ties of the Patriot air and missile defense system:

4 (1) Radar capability development, radar im-
5 provements, the digital sidelobe canceller, or the
6 radar digital processor of the lower tier air and mis-
7 sile defense program of the Army.

8 (2) The enhanced launcher electronic system.

9 (c) WAIVER.—The Under Secretary of Defense for
10 Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics may waive the limi-
11 tations in subsection (a) if the Under Secretary—

12 (1) determines that such waiver—

13 (A) is caused by the delay of the analysis
14 of alternatives described in paragraph (1) of
15 such subsection; and

16 (B) is necessary to avoid an unacceptable
17 risk to mission performance;

18 (2) notifies the congressional defense commit-
19 tees of such waiver; and

20 (3) pursuant to such waiver, obligates or ex-
21 pends funds only in amounts necessary to avoid such
22 unacceptable risk to mission performance.

1 SEC. 1669.[Log 59897] AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR IRON
2 DOME SHORT-RANGE ROCKET DEFENSE SYS-
3 TEM.

(a) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by section 101 for procurement, Defense-wide, and available for the Missile Defense Agency, not more than \$41,400,000 may be provided to the Government of Israel to procure radars for the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system as specified in the funding table in section 4101, including for co-production of such radars in the United States by industry of the United States.

13 (b) CONDITIONS.—

(1) AGREEMENT.—Funds described in subsection (a) to produce the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense program shall be available subject to the terms, conditions, and co-production targets specified for fiscal year 2015 in the “Agreement Between the Department of Defense of the United States of America and the Ministry of Defense of the State of Israel Concerning Iron Dome Defense System Procurement,” signed on March 5, 2014. In negotiations by the Missile Defense Agency and the Missile Defense Organization of the Government of Israel regarding such production, the goal of the United States is to maximize opportunities for co-

1 production of the radars described subsection (a) in
2 the United States by industry of the United States.

3 (2) CERTIFICATION.—Not later than 30 days
4 prior to the initial obligation of funds described in
5 subsection (a), the Director of the Missile Defense
6 Agency and the Under Secretary of Defense for Ac-
7 quisition, Technology, and Logistics shall jointly
8 submit to the appropriate congressional commit-
9 tees—

10 (A) a certification that the agreement spec-
11 ified in paragraph (1) is being implemented as
12 provided in such agreement; and

13 (B) an assessment detailing any risks re-
14 lating to the implementation of such agreement.

15 (c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DE-
16 FINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congres-
17 sional committees” means the following:

18 (1) The congressional defense committees.

19 (2) The Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
20 House of Representatives and the Committee on
21 Foreign Relations of the Senate.

1 **SEC. 1670.[Log 60286] ISRAELI COOPERATIVE MISSILE DE-**
2 **FENSE PROGRAM CO-DEVELOPMENT AND PO-**
3 **TENTIAL CO-PRODUCTION.**

4 (a) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR CERTAIN PRO-
5 GRAMS.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsections (b)
7 and (c), of the funds authorized to be appropriated
8 by section 101 for procurement, Defense-wide, and
9 available for the Missile Defense Agency, as specified
10 in the funding table in section 4101—

11 (A) not more than \$150,000,000 may be
12 provided to the Government of Israel to procure
13 the David's Sling weapon system; and

14 (B) not more than \$15,000,000 may be
15 provided to the Government of Israel to procure
16 the Arrow 3 upper tier development program.

17 (2) PROCUREMENT AND CO-PRODUCTION.—The
18 use of funds under subparagraphs (A) and (B) of
19 paragraph (1) shall—

20 (A) be carried out only with respect to pro-
21 curement activities; and

22 (B) include the co-production of parts and
23 components in the United States by United
24 States industry.

1 (b) CONDITION ON USE OF FUNDS.—The Director
2 of the Missile Defense Agency may not carry out subpara-
3 graphs (A) or (B) of subsection (a)(1) unless—

4 (1) the Director and the Under Secretary of
5 Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics
6 jointly certify to the appropriate congressional com-
7 mittees that—

8 (A) the knowledge points and production
9 readiness agreements of the research, develop-
10 ment, test, and evaluation agreements for the
11 David's Sling weapon system or the Arrow 3
12 upper tier development program, respectively,
13 have been successfully completed;

14 (B) such subparagraphs shall be carried
15 out with the Government of Israel matching
16 funds in an amount equal to the amount of
17 funds provided by the United States; and

18 (C) the United States and the Government
19 of Israel have entered into a bilateral agreement
20 that—

21 (i) establishes the terms of co-produc-
22 tion of parts and components described in
23 subsection (a)(2) pursuant to the teaming
24 agreements previously entered into regard-
25 ing the co-development of such weapon sys-

1 tem and development program in a manner
2 that minimizes non-recurring engineering
3 and facilitization expenses;

4 (ii) establishes complete transparency
5 on the requirement of Israel for the num-
6 ber of interceptors and batteries of such
7 weapon system and development program
8 that will be procured;

9 (iii) allows the Director and Under
10 Secretary to establish technical milestones
11 for co-production and procurement of the
12 such weapon system and development pro-
13 gram; and

14 (iv) establishes joint approval proc-
15 esses for third-party sales of such weapon
16 system and development program; and

17 (2) a period of 90 days has elapsed following
18 the date of such certification.

19 (c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DE-
20 FINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congres-
21 sional committees” means the following:

22 (1) The congressional defense committees.

23 (2) The Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
24 House of Representatives and the Committee on
25 Foreign Relations of the Senate.

1 **SEC. 2306. [Log 60028]. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO**
2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2014**
3 **PROJECT.**

4 (a) AUTHORIZATION.—In the case of the authoriza-
5 tion contained in the table in section 2301(b) of the Mili-
6 tary Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014
7 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 993) for
8 Royal Air Force Lakenheath, United Kingdom, for con-
9 struction of a Guardian Angel Operations Facility at the
10 installation, the Secretary of the Air Force may construct
11 the facility at an unspecified location within the United
12 States European Command’s area of responsibility.

13 (b) NOTICE AND WAIT REQUIREMENT.—Before the
14 Secretary of the Air Force commences construction of the
15 Guardian Angel Operations Facility at an alternative loca-
16 tion, as authorized by subsection (a)—

17 (1) the Secretary shall submit to the Commit-
18 tees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House
19 of Representatives a report containing a description
20 of the project, including the rational for selection of
21 the project location; and

22 (2) a period of 14 days has expired following
23 the date on which the report is received by the com-
24 mittees or, if over sooner, a period of 7 days has ex-
25 pired following the date on which a copy of the re-

1 port is provided in an electronic medium pursuant to
2 section 480 of title 10, United States Code.

1 **SEC. 2310 [Log 60780]. LIMITATION ON PROJECT AUTHOR-**
2 **IZATION TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL**
3 **YEAR 2016 PROJECT.**

4 (a) PROJECT CONDITIONED ON SUBMISSION OF RE-
5 PORT.—No amounts may be expended for the construction
6 of the Joint Intelligence Analysis Complex Consolidation,
7 Phase 2, at Royal Air Force Croughton, United Kingdom,
8 as authorized by section 2301(b) until the Secretary of
9 the Air Force, in coordination with the Director of the
10 Defense Intelligence Agency, submits a report to the con-
11 gressional defense committees that provides—

12 (1) a summary of the alternatives considered to
13 support continuity of operations of critical commu-
14 nications and intelligence capabilities located at, and
15 to be consolidated to, Royal Air Force Croughton,
16 United Kingdom; and

17 (2) a list of critical communications and intel-
18 ligence capabilities that were considered under con-
19 tinuity of operations planning.

20 (b) LIMITATION ON RELATED REALIGNMENT AC-
21 TIONS.—On and after the date of the enactment of this
22 Act, no additional action to realign forces at Lajes Air
23 Force Base, Azores, shall be taken until the Secretary of
24 Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees
25 that the Secretary of Defense has determined, based on
26 an analysis of operational requirements, that Lajes Air

1 Force Base is not an optimal location for the Joint Intel-
2 ligence Analysis Complex, or any of the critical commu-
3 nications or intelligence capabilities considered pursuant
4 to subsection (a)(2). The certification shall include a dis-
5 cussion of the basis for the Secretary's determination.

1 **SEC. 2813 [Log 60913]. ADDITIONAL MASTER PLAN REPORT-**
2 **ING REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO MAIN OP-**
3 **ERATING BASES, FORWARD OPERATING**
4 **SITES, AND COOPERATIVE SECURITY LOCA-**
5 **TIONS OF CENTRAL COMMAND AND AFRICA**
6 **COMMAND AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY.**

7 Section 2687a(a) of title 10, United States Code, is
8 amended by adding at the end the following new para-
9 graph:

10 “(3) In the case of each report under paragraph (1)
11 submitted during fiscal years 2016 through 2020, the re-
12 port also shall address or include the following with re-
13 spect to each main operating base, forward operating site,
14 or cooperative security location within the Area of Respon-
15 sibility of the Central Command or Africa Command:

16 “(A) The strategic goal and operational require-
17 ments supported by the base, site, or location, and
18 the basis for any infrastructure improvements to the
19 base, site, or location.

20 “(B) The estimated steady-state population of
21 the base, site, or location, including the number of
22 military personnel, Department of Defense civilian
23 personnel, and non-Department of Defense per-
24 sonnel, including contractors.

25 “(C) A prioritized list of all anticipated near-
26 term, mid-term, and long-term infrastructure

1 projects for the base, site, or location, an estimated
2 total cost to complete each project, and expected
3 start and completion dates.

4 “(D) A discussion of the medical services and
5 support services, including capacities of com-
6 missaries, exchanges, or other support services, nec-
7 essary to support the steady-state population of the
8 base, site, or location, including any necessary in-
9 vestments in facilities to provide these services.

10 “(E) Current estimated costs, including United
11 States appropriated funds and host-nation contribu-
12 tions, addressing all costs associated with con-
13 structing, sustaining, repairing, or modernizing the
14 infrastructure necessary to support the United
15 States military posture at the base, site, or location.

16 “(F) A long-term funding plan for the base,
17 site, or location, identifying the military department
18 or Defense Agency to be responsible for providing
19 funding for the base, site, or location and the
20 sources of funds for construction of new facilities,
21 sustainment and restoration of existing facilities,
22 and operations and maintenance costs.

23 “(G) A summary of the terms of agreements
24 with the host nation, including access agreements,
25 status-of-forces agreements, or other implementing

1 agreements, and their specific terms (such as time-
2 frame and cost) and limitations on United States
3 presence and operations.

4 “(H) A comparison and explanation of any
5 changes made from the report submitted in the pre-
6 vious year regarding the items required by the pre-
7 ceding subparagraphs.”.

**Subtitle E—Military Land
Withdrawals**

**SEC. 2841 [Log 60226]. WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF
PUBLIC LAND, NAVAL AIR WEAPONS STATION
CHINA LAKE, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF ADDI-
TIONAL PUBLIC LAND.—Section 2971(b) of the Military
Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (di-
vision B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1044) is amend-
ed—

(1) by striking “The public land” and inserting
the following:

“(1) INITIAL WITHDRAWAL.—The public land”;
and

(2) by adding at the end the following new
paragraph:

“(2) ADDITIONAL WITHDRAWAL.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in
subparagraph (B), the public land (including
interests in land) referred to in subsection (a)
also includes the approximately 21,060 acres of
public land in San Bernardino County, Cali-
fornia, identified as ‘Proposed Navy Land’ on
the map entitled ‘Proposed Navy Withdrawal’,

1 dated March 10, 2015, and filed in accordance
2 with section 2912.

3 “(B) EXCLUDED LANDS.—The withdrawal
4 area referred to in subparagraph (A) specifi-
5 cally excludes section 36, township 29 south,
6 range 43 east, San Bernardino meridian.

7 “(C) EXISTING RIGHTS AND ACCESS.—The
8 withdrawal and reservation of public land pur-
9 suant to subparagraph (A) is subject to valid
10 existing rights. The Secretary of the Navy shall
11 ensure that the owners of the excluded private
12 land identified in subparagraph (B) continue to
13 have reasonable access to such land.”.

14 (b) PERMANENT WITHDRAWAL OR TRANSFER OF
15 ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION.—Section 2979 of the
16 Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
17 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1044)
18 is amended by striking “on March 31, 2039.” and insert-
19 ing the following: “only as follows:

20 “(1) If the Secretary of the Navy makes an
21 election to terminate the withdrawal and reservation
22 of the public land.

23 “(2) If the Secretary of the Interior, upon re-
24 quest by the Secretary of the Navy, transfers admin-
25 istrative jurisdiction over the public land to the Sec-

1 retary of the Navy. A transfer under this paragraph
2 may consist of a portion of the land, in which case
3 the termination of the withdrawal and reservation
4 applies only with respect to the land so trans-
5 ferred.”.

1 **Subtitle G—Other Matters**

2 **SEC. 2861 [Log 60945]. MODIFICATION OF DEPARTMENT OF** 3 **DEFENSE GUIDANCE ON USE OF AIRFIELD** 4 **PAVEMENT MARKINGS.**

5 The Secretary of Defense shall require such modifica-
6 tions of Unified Facilities Guide Specifications for pave-
7 ment markings (UFGS 32 17 23.00 20 Pavement Mark-
8 ings, UFGS 32 17 24.00 10 Pavement Markings), Air
9 Force Engineering Technical Letter ETL 97-18 (Guide
10 Specification for Airfield and Roadway Marking), and any
11 other Department of Defense guidance on airfield pave-
12 ment markings as may be necessary to permit the use of
13 Type III category of retro-reflective beads to reflectorize
14 airfield markings. The Secretary shall develop appropriate
15 policy to ensure that the determination of the category of
16 retro-reflective beads used on an airfield is determined on
17 an installation-by-installation basis, taking into consider-
18 ation local conditions and the life-cycle maintenance costs
19 of the pavement markings.

1 **SEC. 2862 [Log 60798]. PROTECTION AND RECOVERY OF**
2 **GREATER SAGE GROUSE.**

3 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

4 (1) The term “Federal resource management
5 plan” means—

6 (A) a land use plan prepared by the Bu-
7 reau of Land Management for public lands pur-
8 suant to section 202 of the Federal Land Policy
9 and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C.
10 1712); or

11 (B) a land and resource management plan
12 prepared by the Forest Service for National
13 Forest System lands pursuant to section 6 of
14 the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources
15 Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1604).

16 (2) The term “Greater Sage Grouse” means a
17 sage grouse of the species *Centrocercus*
18 *urophasianus*.

19 (3) The term “State management plan” means
20 a State-approved plan for the protection and recov-
21 ery of the Greater Sage Grouse.

22 (b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is—

23 (1) to facilitate implementation of State man-
24 agement plans over a period of multiple, consecutive
25 sage grouse life cycles; and

1 (2) to demonstrate the efficacy of the State
2 management plans for the protection and recovery of
3 the Greater Sage Grouse.

4 (c) ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT OF 1973 FIND-
5 INGS.—

6 (1) DELAY REQUIRED.—Any finding by the
7 Secretary of the Interior under clause (i), (ii), or
8 (iii) of section 4(b)(3)(B) of the Endangered Species
9 Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1533(b)(3)(B)) with respect
10 to the Greater Sage Grouse made during the period
11 beginning on September 30, 2015, and ending on
12 the date of the enactment of this Act shall have no
13 force or effect in law or in equity, and the Secretary
14 of the Interior may not make any such finding dur-
15 ing the period beginning on the date of the enact-
16 ment of this Act and ending on September 30, 2025.

17 (2) EFFECT ON OTHER LAWS.—The delay im-
18 posed by paragraph (1) is, and shall remain, effec-
19 tive without regard to any other statute, regulation,
20 court order, legal settlement, or any other provision
21 of law or in equity.

22 (3) EFFECT ON CONSERVATION STATUS.—Until
23 the date specified in paragraph (1), the conservation
24 status of the Greater Sage Grouse shall remain war-
25 ranted for listing under the Endangered Species Act

1 of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), but precluded by
2 higher-priority listing actions pursuant to clause (iii)
3 of section 4(b)(3)(B) of the Endangered Species Act
4 of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1533(b)(3)(B)).

5 (d) COORDINATION OF FEDERAL LAND MANAGE-
6 MENT AND STATE CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT
7 PLANS.—

8 (1) PROHIBITION ON MODIFICATION OF FED-
9 ERAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLANS.—In order to
10 foster coordination between a State management
11 plan and Federal resource management plans that
12 affect the Greater Sage Grouse, upon notification by
13 the Governor of a State with a State management
14 plan, the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary
15 of Agriculture may not amend or otherwise modify
16 any Federal resource management plan applicable to
17 Federal lands in the State in a manner inconsistent
18 with the State management plan for a period, to be
19 specified by the Governor in the notification, of at
20 least five years beginning on the date of the notifica-
21 tion.

22 (2) RETROACTIVE EFFECT.—In the case of any
23 State that provides notification under paragraph (1),
24 if any amendment or modification of a Federal re-
25 source management plan applicable to Federal lands

1 in the State was issued during the one-year period
2 preceding the date of the notification and the
3 amendment or modification altered management of
4 the Greater Sage Grouse or its habitat, implementa-
5 tion and operation of the amendment or modification
6 shall be stayed to the extent that the amendment or
7 modification is inconsistent with the State manage-
8 ment plan. The Federal resource management plan,
9 as in effect immediately before the amendment or
10 modification, shall apply instead with respect to
11 management of the Greater Sage Grouse and its
12 habitat, to the extent consistent with the State man-
13 agement plan.

14 (3) DETERMINATION OF INCONSISTENCY.—Any
15 disagreement regarding whether an amendment or
16 other modification of a Federal resource manage-
17 ment plan is inconsistent with a State management
18 plan shall be resolved by the Governor of the af-
19 fected State.

20 (e) RELATION TO NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POL-
21 ICY ACT OF 1969.—With regard to any Federal action
22 consistent with a State management plan, any findings,
23 analyses, or conclusions regarding the Greater Sage
24 Grouse or its habitat under the National Environmental
25 Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4331 et seq.) shall not have

1 a preclusive effect on the approval or implementation of
2 the Federal action in that State.

3 (f) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Not later than one
4 year after the date of the enactment of this Act and annu-
5 ally thereafter through 2021, the Secretary of the Interior
6 and the Secretary of Agriculture shall jointly submit to
7 the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the
8 Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the
9 House of Representatives a report on the Secretaries' im-
10 plementation and effectiveness of systems to monitor the
11 status of Greater Sage Grouse on Federal lands under
12 their jurisdiction.

13 (g) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—Notwithstanding any other
14 provision of statute or regulation, this section, including
15 determinations made under subsection (d)(3), shall not be
16 subject to judicial review.

1 **TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF**
2 **ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY**
3 **PROGRAMS**

4 **Subtitle A—National Security**
5 **Programs Authorizations**

6 **SEC. 3101.[Log 60015] NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY AD-**
7 **MINISTRATION.**

8 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds
9 are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Depart-
10 ment of Energy for fiscal year 2016 for the activities of
11 the National Nuclear Security Administration in carrying
12 out programs as specified in the funding table in section
13 4701.

14 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF NEW PLANT PROJECTS.—
15 From funds referred to in subsection (a) that are available
16 for carrying out plant projects, the Secretary of Energy
17 may carry out new plant projects for the National Nuclear
18 Security Administration as follows:

19 Project 16–D–621, Substation Replacement at
20 TA–3, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Ala-
21 mos, New Mexico, \$25,000,000.

1 **SEC. 3102.[Log 60016] DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEAN-**
2 **UP.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to
4 the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2016 for defense
5 environmental cleanup activities in carrying out programs
6 as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

1 **SEC. 3103.[Log 60017] OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to
3 the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2016 for other
4 defense activities in carrying out programs as specified in
5 the funding table in section 4701.

1 **Subtitle B—Program Authoriza-**
2 **tions, Restrictions, and Limita-**
3 **tions**

4 **SEC. 3111.[Log 60002] AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL LEVELS OF**
5 **NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRA-**
6 **TION.**

7 (a) FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT PERSONNEL LEVELS.—
8 Subsection (a) of section 3241A of the National Nuclear
9 Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2441a) is amend-
10 ed—

11 (1) in paragraph (1)—

12 (A) by striking “2015” and inserting
13 “2016”; and

14 (B) by striking “1,690” and inserting
15 “1,350”; and

16 (2) in paragraph (2)—

17 (A) by striking “2016” and inserting
18 “2017”; and

19 (B) by striking “1,690” and inserting
20 “1,350”.

21 (b) COUNTING RULE FOR CERTAIN POSITIONS.—

22 Subsection (b)(3) of such section is amended by adding
23 at the end the following new subparagraph:

24 “(E) Employees appointed under section
25 3241.”.

1 (c) CERTAIN CONTRACTING AND TECHNICAL POSI-
2 TIONS.—Section 3241 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2441) is
3 amended by striking “600” and inserting “450”.

4 (d) BUDGET INFORMATION.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Such section 3241A is fur-
6 ther amended—

7 (A) by redesignating subsection (e) as sub-
8 section (f); and

9 (B) by inserting after subsection (d) the
10 following new subsection (e):

11 “(e) BUDGET DISPLAY.—In the budget justification
12 materials submitted to Congress in support of each budget
13 submitted by the President to Congress under section
14 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the Administrator
15 shall include information regarding the number of employ-
16 ees of the Office of the Administrator, including the num-
17 ber of employees who are described in each of subpara-
18 graphs (A) through (E) of subsection (b)(3).”.

19 (2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section
20 3251(b)(2) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 3251(b)(2)) is
21 amended—

22 (A) by striking “ testing, and” and insert-
23 ing “testing,”; and

24 (B) by inserting before the period at the
25 end the following: “, and the information re-

1 garding employees of the Administration re-
2 quired by section 3241A(e)''.

1 **SEC. 3119.[Log 60384] LIMITATION ON AUTHORIZATION OF**
2 **PRODUCTION OF SPECIAL NUCLEAR MATE-**
3 **RIAL OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES BY FOR-**
4 **EIGN COUNTRY WITH NUCLEAR NAVAL PRO-**
5 **PULSION PROGRAM.**

6 Section 57 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42
7 U.S.C. 2077), as amended by section 3118, is further
8 amended by adding at the end the following new sub-
9 section:

10 “f.(1) The Secretary may not make an authorization
11 under subsection b.(2) with respect to a foreign country
12 with a nuclear naval propulsion program unless—

13 “(A) the Director of National Intelligence and
14 the Chief of Naval Operations jointly submit to the
15 appropriate congressional committees an assessment
16 of the risks of diversion, and the likely consequences
17 of such diversion, of the technology and material
18 covered by such authorization;

19 “(B) following the date on which such assess-
20 ment is submitted, the Administrator for Nuclear
21 Security certifies to the appropriate congressional
22 committees that—

23 “(i) there is sufficient diversion control as
24 part of such transfer; and

25 “(ii) such transfer presents a minimal risk
26 of diversion of such technology to a military

1 program that would degrade the technical ad-
2 vantage of the United States; and

3 “(C) a period of 90 days has elapsed following
4 the date of such certification.

5 “(2) In this subsection, the term ‘appropriate con-
6 gressional committees’ means the following:

7 “(A) The congressional defense committees (as
8 defined in section 101(a)(16) of title 10, United
9 States Code).

10 “(B) The Select Committee on Intelligence of
11 the Senate and the Permanent Select Committee on
12 Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

13 “(C) The Committee on Foreign Relations of
14 the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of
15 the House of Representatives.”.

1 **SEC. 3134.[Log 60124] ASSESSMENTS ON NUCLEAR PRO-**
2 **LIFERATION RISKS AND NUCLEAR NON-**
3 **PROLIFERATION OPPORTUNITIES.**

4 (a) REPORTS.—Not later than March 1, 2016, and
5 each year thereafter through 2020, the Director of Na-
6 tional Intelligence shall submit to the appropriate congres-
7 sional committees a report, consistent with the provision
8 of classified information and intelligence sources and
9 methods, containing—

10 (1) an assessment and prioritization of inter-
11 national nuclear proliferation risks and nuclear non-
12 proliferation opportunities; and

13 (2) an assessment of the effectiveness of various
14 means and programs for addressing such risks and
15 opportunities.

16 (b) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES
17 DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate con-
18 gressional committees” means—

19 (1) the congressional defense committees;

20 (2) the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
21 House of Representatives and the Committee on
22 Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

23 (3) the Permanent Select Committee on Intel-
24 ligence of the House of Representatives and the Se-
25 lect Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

1 **SEC. 3142.[Log 60834] RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT OF**
2 **ADVANCED NAVAL NUCLEAR FUEL SYSTEM**
3 **BASED ON LOW-ENRICHED URANIUM.**

4 (a) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Of the funds author-
5 ized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made
6 available for fiscal year 2016 for defense nuclear non-
7 proliferation for material management and minimization,
8 not more than \$5,000,000 shall be made available to the
9 Deputy Administrator for Naval Reactors for initial plan-
10 ning and early research and development of an advanced
11 naval nuclear fuel system based on low-enriched uranium,
12 as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

13 (b) DETERMINATION OF CONTINUED RESEARCH AND
14 DEVELOPMENT.—

15 (1) DETERMINATION.—At the same time that
16 the President submits to Congress the budget for
17 fiscal year 2017 under section 1105(a) of title 31,
18 United States Code, the Secretary of Energy and
19 the Secretary of the Navy shall jointly submit to the
20 congressional defense committees the determination
21 of the Secretaries as to whether the United States
22 should continue to pursue research and development
23 of an advanced naval nuclear fuel system based on
24 low-enriched uranium.

25 (2) BUDGET REQUEST.—If the Secretaries de-
26 termine under paragraph (1) that research and de-

1 velopment of an advanced naval nuclear fuel system
2 based on low-enriched uranium should continue, the
3 Secretaries shall ensure that the budget described in
4 such paragraph includes amounts for defense nu-
5 clear nonproliferation for material management and
6 minimization necessary to carry out the plan under
7 subsection (c).

8 (c) PLAN.—Not later than 30 days after the date of
9 the submission of the determination under subsection
10 (b)(1), the Deputy Administrator for Naval Reactors shall
11 submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for
12 research and development of an advanced naval nuclear
13 fuel system based on low-enriched uranium to meet mili-
14 tary requirements. Such plan shall include the following:

15 (1) Timelines.

16 (2) Costs (including an analysis of the cost of
17 such research and development as compared to the
18 cost of maintaining current naval nuclear reactor
19 technology).

20 (3) Milestones, including an identification of de-
21 cision points in which the Deputy Administrator
22 shall determine whether further research and devel-
23 opment of a low-enriched uranium naval nuclear fuel
24 system is warranted.

1 (4) Identification of any benefits or risks for
2 nuclear nonproliferation of such research and devel-
3 opment and eventual deployment.

4 (5) Identification of any military benefits or
5 risks of such research and development and eventual
6 deployment.

7 (6) A discussion of potential security cost sav-
8 ings from using low-enriched uranium in future
9 naval nuclear fuels, including for transporting and
10 using low-enriched uranium fuel, and how such cost
11 savings relate to the cost of fuel fabrication.

12 (7) The distinguishment between requirements
13 for aircraft carriers from submarines.

14 (8) Any other matters the Deputy Adminis-
15 trator determines appropriate.

16 (d) MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—If the
17 Secretaries determine under subsection (b)(1) that re-
18 search and development of an advanced naval nuclear fuel
19 system based on low-enriched uranium should continue,
20 not later than 60 days after the date on which the Deputy
21 Administrator submits the plan under subsection (c), the
22 Deputy Administrator shall enter into a memorandum of
23 understanding with the Deputy Administrator for Defense
24 Nuclear Nonproliferation regarding such research and de-
25 velopment, including with respect to how funding for such

1 research and development will be requested for the “De-
2 fense Nuclear Nonproliferation” account for material
3 management and minimization and provided to the “Naval
4 Reactors” account to carry out the program.

1 **SEC. 4001 [Log 60068]. AUTHORIZATION OF AMOUNTS IN**
2 **FUNDING TABLES.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Whenever a funding table in this
4 division specifies a dollar amount authorized for a project,
5 program, or activity, the obligation and expenditure of the
6 specified dollar amount for the project, program, or activ-
7 ity is hereby authorized, subject to the availability of ap-
8 propriations.

9 (b) MERIT-BASED DECISIONS.—A decision to com-
10 mit, obligate, or expend funds with or to a specific entity
11 on the basis of a dollar amount authorized pursuant to
12 subsection (a) shall—

13 (1) be based on merit-based selection proce-
14 dures in accordance with the requirements of sec-
15 tions 2304(k) and 2374 of title 10, United States
16 Code, or on competitive procedures; and

17 (2) comply with other applicable provisions of
18 law.

19 (c) RELATIONSHIP TO TRANSFER AND PROGRAM-
20 MING AUTHORITY.—An amount specified in the funding
21 tables in this division may be transferred or repro-
22 grammed under a transfer or reprogramming authority
23 provided by another provision of this Act or by other law.
24 The transfer or reprogramming of an amount specified in
25 such funding tables shall not count against a ceiling on
26 such transfers or reprogrammings under section 1001 or

1 section 1522 of this Act or any other provision of law,
2 unless such transfer or reprogramming would move funds
3 between appropriation accounts.

4 (d) APPLICABILITY TO CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—This
5 section applies to any classified annex that accompanies
6 this Act.

7 (e) ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS.—No
8 oral or written communication concerning any amount
9 specified in the funding tables in this division shall super-
10 sede the requirements of this section.

1 **TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT**2 **SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT.**

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
FIXED WING			
002	UTILITY F/W AIRCRAFT	879	879
004	MQ-1 UAV	260,436	277,436
	Extended Range Modifications		[17,000]
ROTARY			
006	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH)	187,177	187,177
007	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN	1,168,461	1,168,461
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	209,930	209,930
011	UH-60 BLACKHAWK M MODEL (MYP)	1,435,945	1,563,945
	Additional 8 rotorcraft for Army National Guard		[128,000]
012	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	127,079	127,079
013	UH-60 BLACK HAWK A AND L MODELS	46,641	55,441
	Additional 8 rotorcraft for Army National Guard		[8,800]
014	CH-47 HELICOPTER	1,024,587	1,024,587
015	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	99,344	99,344
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT			
016	MQ-1 PAYLOAD (MIP)	97,543	97,543
019	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP)	95,725	95,725
020	AH-64 MODS	116,153	116,153
021	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP)	86,330	86,330
022	GRCS SEMA MODS (MIP)	4,019	4,019
023	ARL SEMA MODS (MIP)	16,302	16,302
024	EMARSS SEMA MODS (MIP)	13,669	13,669
025	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS	16,166	16,166
026	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS	13,793	13,793
028	NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN	112,807	112,807
029	COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE	82,904	82,904
030	GATM ROLLUP	33,890	33,890
031	RQ-7 UAV MODS	81,444	81,444
GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS			
032	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT	56,215	56,215
033	SURVIVABILITY CM	8,917	8,917
034	CMWS	78,348	104,348
	Apache Survivability Enhancements—Army Unfunded Requirement		[26,000]
OTHER SUPPORT			
035	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	6,937	6,937
036	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	64,867	64,867
037	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS	44,085	44,085
038	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	94,545	94,545
039	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	1,207	1,207
040	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET	3,012	3,012
TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		5,689,357	5,869,157
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM			
001	LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD)	115,075	115,075
002	MSE MISSILE	414,946	414,946
AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM			
003	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	27,975	27,975
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	27,738	27,738
ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYS			
005	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	77,163	168,163
	Program increase to support Unfunded Requirements		[91,000]
006	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	87,525	87,525
008	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	251,060	251,060
009	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	17,428	17,428
MODIFICATIONS			
011	PATRIOT MODS	241,883	241,883
012	ATACMS MODS	30,119	15,119
	Early to need		[-15,000]
013	GMLRS MOD	18,221	18,221
014	STINGER MODS	2,216	2,216
015	AVENGER MODS	6,171	6,171
016	ITAS/TOW MODS	19,576	19,576
017	MLRS MODS	35,970	35,970
018	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS	3,148	3,148
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS			
019	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	33,778	33,778
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES			
020	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS	3,717	3,717
021	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)	1,544	1,544

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
022	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	4,704	4,704
	TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	1,419,957	1,495,957
	PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
001	STRYKER VEHICLE	181,245	181,245
	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
002	STRYKER (MOD)	74,085	118,585
	Lethality Upgrades		[44,500]
003	STRYKER UPGRADE	305,743	305,743
005	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)	225,042	225,042
006	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)	60,079	60,079
007	PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM)	273,850	273,850
008	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)	123,629	195,629
	Additional Vehicles – Army Unfunded Requirement		[72,000]
009	ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD)	2,461	2,461
010	ASSAULT BREACHER VEHICLE	2,975	2,975
011	M88 FOV MODS	14,878	14,878
012	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE	33,455	33,455
013	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)	367,939	407,939
	Program Increase		[40,000]
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
015	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV)	6,479	6,479
	WEAPONS & OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES		
016	MORTAR SYSTEMS	4,991	4,991
017	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	26,294	26,294
018	PRECISION SNIPER RIFLE	1,984	0
	Army request – schedule delay		[-1,984]
019	COMPACT SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM	1,488	0
	Army request – schedule delay		[-1,488]
020	CARBINE	34,460	34,460
021	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION	8,367	8,367
022	HANDGUN	5,417	0
	Army request – early to need and schedule delay		[-5,417]
	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH		
023	MK-19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN MODS	2,777	2,777
024	M777 MODS	10,070	10,070
025	M4 CARBINE MODS	27,566	27,566
026	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS	44,004	44,004
027	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS	1,190	1,190
028	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS	1,424	1,424
029	SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS	2,431	980
	Army request – schedule delay		[-1,451]
030	M119 MODIFICATIONS	20,599	20,599
032	MORTAR MODIFICATION	6,300	6,300
033	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	3,737	3,737
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
034	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	391	391
035	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV)	9,027	11,484
	Army requested realignment		[2,457]
036	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	304	304
037	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG)	2,392	2,392
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY	1,887,073	2,035,690
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION		
001	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES	43,489	43,489
002	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES	40,715	40,715
003	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES	7,753	6,753
	Army request – program reduction		[-1,000]
004	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES	24,728	24,728
005	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES	8,305	8,305
006	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES	34,330	34,330
007	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES	79,972	69,972
	Program reduction		[-10,000]
	MORTAR AMMUNITION		
008	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	42,898	42,898
009	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	43,500	43,500
010	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	64,372	64,372
	TANK AMMUNITION		
011	CARTRIDGES, TANK, 105MM AND 120MM, ALL TYPES	105,541	105,541
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION		
012	ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES	57,756	57,756
013	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES	77,995	77,995
014	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE M982	45,518	45,518
015	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL	78,024	78,024
	ROCKETS		
016	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	7,500	7,500

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
017	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES	33,653	33,653
	OTHER AMMUNITION		
018	CAD/PAD, ALL TYPES	5,639	5,639
019	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	9,751	9,751
020	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	19,993	19,993
021	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES	9,761	9,761
022	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES	9,749	9,749
	MISCELLANEOUS		
023	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES	3,521	3,521
024	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES	1,700	1,700
025	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION (AMMO)	6,181	6,181
026	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT	17,811	17,811
027	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO)	14,695	14,695
	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		
029	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	221,703	221,703
030	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION	113,250	113,250
031	ARMS INITIATIVE	3,575	3,575
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	1,233,378	1,222,378
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
	TACTICAL VEHICLES		
001	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS	12,855	12,855
002	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:	53	53
004	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE	308,336	308,336
005	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV)	90,040	90,040
006	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIP	8,444	8,444
007	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)	27,549	27,549
008	PLS ESP	127,102	127,102
010	TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS	48,292	48,292
011	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP	130,993	130,993
012	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS	19,146	19,146
	NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES		
014	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	1,248	1,248
015	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER	9,614	9,614
	COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS		
016	WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK	783,116	743,116
	Unobligated balances		[-40,000]
017	SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	49,898	49,898
018	JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY	4,062	4,062
019	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)	5,008	5,008
	COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS		
020	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS	196,306	196,306
021	TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS	44,998	34,998
	Program Reduction		[-10,000]
022	SHF TERM	7,629	7,629
023	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)	14,027	14,027
024	SMART-T (SPACE)	13,453	13,453
025	GLOBAL BRDCST SVC—GBS	6,265	6,265
026	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT)	1,042	1,042
027	ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND (EMC)	7,116	7,116
	COMM—C3 SYSTEM		
028	ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS)	10,137	10,137
	COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS		
029	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	64,640	54,640
	Unobligated balances		[-10,000]
030	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVr)	27,762	22,762
	Excess Program Management Costs		[-5,000]
031	RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVt(2)	9,422	9,422
032	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPA2	26,020	26,020
033	TRACTOR DESK	4,073	4,073
034	SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT	1,403	1,403
035	SPIDER FAMILY OF NETWORKED MUNITIONS INCR	9,199	9,199
036	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS	349	349
037	TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM	25,597	25,597
038	UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE	21,854	21,854
040	FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE	24,388	24,388
	COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM		
042	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE	1,349	1,349
043	ARMY CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT	3,695	3,695
	INFORMATION SECURITY		
045	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP	19,920	19,920
046	COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC)	72,257	72,257
	COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS		
047	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS	16,082	16,082
	COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS		
048	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	86,037	86,037
050	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	8,550	8,550
051	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM	73,496	73,496

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)			
054	JTT/CIBS-M	881	881
055	PROPHET GROUND	63,650	48,650
	Program reduction		[-15,000]
057	DCGS-A (MIP)	260,268	250,268
	Program reduction		[-10,000]
058	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTGS)	3,906	3,906
059	TROJAN (MIP)	13,929	13,929
060	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP)	3,978	3,978
061	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS)	7,542	7,542
062	CLOSE ACCESS TARGET RECONNAISSANCE (CATR)	8,010	8,010
063	MACHINE FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATION SYSTEM-M	8,125	8,125
ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)			
064	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR	63,472	63,472
065	EW PLANNING & MANAGEMENT TOOLS (EWPMT)	2,556	2,556
066	AIR VIGILANCE (AV)	8,224	8,224
067	CREW	2,960	2,960
068	FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITIE	1,722	1,722
069	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES	447	447
070	CI MODERNIZATION	228	228
ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)			
071	SENTINEL MODS	43,285	43,285
072	NIGHT VISION DEVICES	124,216	124,216
074	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF	23,216	23,216
076	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS	60,679	60,679
077	FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS (FWS)	53,453	53,453
078	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP	3,338	3,338
079	PROFILER	4,057	4,057
081	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND—PLATFORM (JBC-P)	133,339	133,339
082	JOINT EFFECTS TARGETING SYSTEM (JETS)	47,212	47,212
083	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (LLDR)	22,314	22,314
084	COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBC XM32	12,131	12,131
085	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	10,075	10,075
086	COUNTERFIRE RADARS	217,379	187,379
	Unobligated balances		[-30,000]
ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS			
087	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY	1,190	1,190
090	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS	28,176	28,176
091	LAMD BATTLE COMMAND SYSTEM	20,917	15,917
	Program Reduction		[-5,000]
092	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS)	5,850	5,850
093	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE	12,738	12,738
094	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	145,405	145,405
095	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM-ARMY (GCSS-A)	162,654	162,654
096	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY (IPP)	4,446	4,446
098	RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET	16,218	16,218
099	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (ENFIRE)	1,138	1,138
ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION			
100	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION	12,089	12,089
101	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	105,775	105,775
102	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEMS FAM	18,995	18,995
103	HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PGM (HPCMP)	62,319	62,319
104	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS)	17,894	17,894
ELECT EQUIP—AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V)			
106	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT)	4,242	4,242
ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT			
107	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E)	425	425
108	BCT EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES	7,438	7,438
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS			
108A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	6,467	6,467
CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT			
109	PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS	248	248
110	FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE)	1,487	1,487
112	CBRN DEFENSE	26,302	26,302
BRIDGING EQUIPMENT			
113	TACTICAL BRIDGING	9,822	9,822
114	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON	21,516	21,516
115	BRIDGE SUPPLEMENTAL SET	4,959	4,959
116	COMMON BRIDGE TRANSPORTER (CBT) RECAP	52,546	52,546
ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT			
117	GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTN SYSM (GSTAMIDS)	58,682	58,682
118	HUSKY MOUNTED DETECTION SYSTEM (HMDS)	13,565	13,565
119	ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM (RCSS)	2,136	2,136
120	EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION	6,960	6,960
121	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT)	17,424	17,424
122	REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS	8,284	8,284
123	< \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT	5,459	5,459
124	FAMILY OF BOATS AND MOTORS	8,429	8,429

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT			
125	HEATERS AND ECUS	18,876	18,876
127	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT	2,287	2,287
128	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS)	7,733	7,733
129	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM	49,798	49,798
130	MOBILE SOLDIER POWER	43,639	43,639
132	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT	13,118	13,118
133	CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM	28,278	28,278
135	FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS	34,544	34,544
136	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT)	595	595
PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT			
137	QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT	5,368	5,368
138	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER	35,381	35,381
MEDICAL EQUIPMENT			
139	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL	73,828	73,828
MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT			
140	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	25,270	25,270
141	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ)	2,760	2,760
CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT			
142	GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE)	5,903	5,903
143	SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING	26,125	26,125
146	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED	27,156	27,156
147	ALL TERRAIN CRANES	16,750	16,750
148	PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING	984	984
149	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HME)	2,656	2,656
150	ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP	2,531	2,531
151	FAMILY OF DIVER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	446	446
152	CONST EQUIP ESP	19,640	19,640
153	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP)	5,087	5,087
RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT			
154	ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP	39,772	39,772
155	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)	5,835	94,835
	Strategic mobility shortfall mitigation – railcar acquisition		[89,000]
GENERATORS			
156	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP	166,356	166,356
157	TACTICAL ELECTRIC POWER RECAPITALIZATION	11,505	11,505
MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT			
159	FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS	17,496	17,496
TRAINING EQUIPMENT			
160	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT	74,916	74,916
161	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	303,236	278,236
	Program reduction		[-25,000]
162	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER	45,210	45,210
163	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER	30,068	30,068
164	GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING	9,793	9,793
TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)			
165	CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT	4,650	4,650
166	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE)	34,487	34,487
167	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD)	11,083	11,083
OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT			
169	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	17,937	17,937
170	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3)	52,040	52,040
171	BASE LEVEL COMMON EQUIPMENT	1,568	1,568
172	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3)	64,219	64,219
173	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH)	1,525	1,525
174	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING	3,268	3,268
176	TRACTOR YARD	7,191	7,191
OPA2			
177	INITIAL SPARES—C&E	48,511	48,511
	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	5,899,028	5,838,028
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY			
COMBAT AIRCRAFT			
002	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET		1,150,000
	Additional 12 Aircraft—Navy Unfunded Requirement		[1,150,000]
003	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV	897,542	873,042
	Anticipated contract savings		[-7,700]
	Cost growth for support equipment		[-16,800]
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	48,630	48,630
005	JSP STOVL	1,483,414	2,458,314
	Additional 6 Aircraft—Marine Corps Unfunded Requirement		[1,000,000]
	Anticipated contract savings		[-17,600]
	Cost growth for support equipment		[-7,500]
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	203,060	203,060
007	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	41,300	41,300
008	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	1,436,355	1,436,355
009	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	43,853	43,853
010	H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z)	800,057	800,057

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
011	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	56,168	56,168
012	MH-60S (MYP)	28,232	28,232
014	MH-60R (MYP)	969,991	969,991
016	P-8A POSEIDON	3,008,928	3,008,928
017	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	269,568	269,568
018	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE	857,654	857,654
019	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	195,336	195,336
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT		
020	JPATS	8,914	8,914
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
021	KC-130J	192,214	192,214
022	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	24,451	24,451
023	MQ-4 TRITON	494,259	559,259
	Additional Air Vehicle		[65,000]
024	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	54,577	72,577
	Additional Advance Procurement		[18,000]
025	MQ-8 UAV	120,020	156,020
	MQ-8 UAV-Additional three air vehicles		[36,000]
026	STUASLO UAV	3,450	3,450
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		
028	EA-6 SERIES	9,799	9,799
029	AEA SYSTEMS	23,151	38,151
	Additional Low Band Transmitter Modifications		[15,000]
030	AV-8 SERIES	41,890	41,890
031	ADVERSARY	5,816	5,816
032	F-18 SERIES	978,756	968,456
	Unjustified request		[-10,300]
034	H-53 SERIES	46,887	46,887
035	SH-60 SERIES	107,728	107,728
036	H-1 SERIES	42,315	42,315
037	EP-3 SERIES	41,784	41,784
038	P-3 SERIES	3,067	3,067
039	E-2 SERIES	20,741	20,741
040	TRAINER A/C SERIES	27,980	27,980
041	C-2A	8,157	8,157
042	C-130 SERIES	70,335	70,335
043	FEWSG	633	633
044	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES	8,916	8,916
045	E-6 SERIES	185,253	185,253
046	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES	76,138	76,138
047	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT	23,702	23,702
048	T-45 SERIES	105,439	105,439
049	POWER PLANT CHANGES	9,917	9,917
050	JPATS SERIES	13,537	13,537
051	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT	131,732	131,732
052	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES	202,745	202,745
053	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM	3,062	3,062
054	ID SYSTEMS	48,206	48,206
055	P-8 SERIES	28,492	28,492
056	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION	7,680	7,680
057	MQ-8 SERIES	22,464	22,464
058	RQ-7 SERIES	3,773	3,773
059	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY	121,208	121,208
060	F-35 STOVL SERIES	256,106	256,106
061	F-35 CV SERIES	68,527	68,527
062	QRC	6,885	6,885
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
063	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	1,563,515	1,563,515
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP & FACILITIES		
064	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	450,959	450,959
065	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	24,010	24,010
066	WAR CONSUMABLES	42,012	42,012
067	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	2,455	2,455
068	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	50,859	50,859
069	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	1,801	1,801
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY	16,126,405	18,350,505
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		
001	TRIDENT II MODS	1,099,064	1,099,064
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
002	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	7,748	7,748
	STRATEGIC MISSILES		
003	TOMAHAWK	184,814	214,814
	Minimum Sustaining Rate Increase		[30,000]
	TACTICAL MISSILES		
004	AMRAAM	192,873	192,873
005	SIDEWINDER	96,427	96,427

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
006	JSOW	21,419	69,219
	Industrial Base Sustainment		[47,800]
007	STANDARD MISSILE	435,352	435,352
008	RAM	80,826	80,826
011	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPGM)	4,265	4,265
012	AERIAL TARGETS	40,792	40,792
013	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT	3,335	3,335
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		
014	ESSM	44,440	44,440
015	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	54,462	54,462
016	HARM MODS	122,298	122,298
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
017	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	2,397	2,397
018	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON	39,932	39,932
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
019	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	57,641	57,641
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP		
020	SSTD	7,380	7,380
021	MK-48 TORPEDO	65,611	65,611
022	ASW TARGETS	6,912	6,912
	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP		
023	MK-54 TORPEDO MODS	113,219	113,219
024	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS	63,317	63,317
025	QUICKSTRIKE MINE	13,254	13,254
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
026	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	67,701	67,701
027	ASW RANGE SUPPORT	3,699	3,699
	DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		
028	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	3,342	3,342
	GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS		
029	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS	11,937	11,937
	MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS		
030	CIWS MODS	53,147	53,147
031	COAST GUARD WEAPONS	19,022	19,022
032	GUN MOUNT MODS	67,980	67,980
033	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS	19,823	19,823
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
035	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	149,725	149,725
	TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	3,154,154	3,231,954
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC		
	NAVY AMMUNITION		
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	101,238	101,238
002	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	67,289	67,289
003	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION	20,340	20,340
004	PRACTICE BOMBS	40,365	40,365
005	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES	49,377	49,377
006	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES	59,651	59,651
007	JATOS	2,806	2,806
008	LRLAP 6" LONG RANGE ATTACK PROJECTILE	11,596	11,596
009	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION	35,994	35,994
010	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION	36,715	36,715
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION	45,483	45,483
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO	52,080	52,080
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION	10,809	10,809
014	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	4,469	4,469
	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION		
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION	46,848	46,848
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES	350	350
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES	500	500
018	60MM, ALL TYPES	1,849	1,849
019	81MM, ALL TYPES	1,000	1,000
020	120MM, ALL TYPES	13,867	13,867
022	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	1,390	1,390
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	14,967	14,967
024	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES	45,219	45,219
026	FUZE, ALL TYPES	29,335	29,335
027	NON LETHALS	3,868	3,868
028	AMMO MODERNIZATION	15,117	15,117
029	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	11,219	11,219
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC	723,741	723,741
	SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY		
	OTHER WARSHIPS		
001	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	1,634,701	1,634,701
002	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	874,658	874,658
003	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	3,346,370	3,346,370
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	1,993,740	1,993,740

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
005	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS	678,274	678,274
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	14,951	14,951
007	DDG 1000	433,404	433,404
008	DDG-51	3,149,703	3,149,703
010	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	1,356,991	1,356,991
	AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS		
012	LPD-17	550,000	550,000
013A	AFLOAT FORWARD STAGING BASE ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		97,000
	Procurement		[97,000]
014A	LX(R) ADVANCE PROCURMENT (CY)		250,000
	LX(R) Acceleration		[250,000]
015	LHA REPLACEMENT ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	277,543	277,543
	AUXILIARIES, CRAFT AND PRIOR YR PROGRAM COST		
017	TAO FLEET OILER	674,190	0
	Transfer to NDSF—Title XIV		[–674,190]
019	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	138,200	138,200
020	OUTFITTING	697,207	697,207
021	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR	255,630	255,630
022	SERVICE CRAFT	30,014	30,014
023	LCAC SLEP	80,738	80,738
024	YP CRAFT MAINTENANCE/ROH/SLEP	21,838	21,838
025	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS	389,305	389,305
	TOTAL SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY	16,597,457	16,270,267
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT		
001	LM-2500 GAS TURBINE	4,881	4,881
002	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE	5,814	5,814
003	HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE (HED)	32,906	32,906
	GENERATORS		
004	SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E	36,860	36,860
	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT		
005	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT	87,481	87,481
	PERISCOPES		
006	SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP	63,109	63,109
	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT		
007	DDG MOD	364,157	484,157
	Additional DDG Modification-Unfunded Requirement		[120,000]
008	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT	16,089	16,089
009	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD	2,255	2,255
010	LHA/LHD MIDLIFE	28,571	28,571
011	LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE PROGRAM	12,313	12,313
012	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	16,609	16,609
013	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	10,498	10,498
014	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	35,747	35,747
015	LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	48,399	48,399
016	SUBMARINE BATTERIES	23,072	23,072
017	LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	55,283	55,283
018	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	18,563	18,563
019	DSSP EQUIPMENT	7,376	7,376
021	LCAC	20,965	20,965
022	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS	51,652	51,652
023	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	102,498	102,498
024	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS	3,027	3,027
025	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM	7,399	7,399
	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT		
027	REACTOR COMPONENTS	296,095	296,095
	OCEAN ENGINEERING		
028	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT	15,982	15,982
	SMALL BOATS		
029	STANDARD BOATS	29,982	29,982
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		
030	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT	66,538	66,538
	PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT		
031	OPERATING FORCES IPE	71,138	71,138
	OTHER SHIP SUPPORT		
032	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS	132,625	132,625
033	LCS COMMON MISSION MODULES EQUIPMENT	23,500	23,500
034	LCS MCM MISSION MODULES	85,151	85,151
035	LCS SUW MISSION MODULES	35,228	35,228
036	REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)	87,627	87,627
	LOGISTIC SUPPORT		
037	LSD MIDLIFE	2,774	2,774
	SHIP SONARS		
038	SPQ-9B RADAR	20,551	20,551
039	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM	103,241	103,241
040	SSN ACOUSTICS	214,835	234,835
	Submarine Towed Array-Unfunded Requirement		[20,000]

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
041	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	7,331	7,331
042	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS	11,781	11,781
	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
044	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM	21,119	21,119
045	SSTD	8,396	8,396
046	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	146,968	146,968
047	SURTASS	12,953	12,953
048	MARITIME PATROL AND RECONNSAISANCE FORCE	13,725	13,725
	ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT		
049	AN/SLQ-32	324,726	352,726
	SEWIP Block II-Unfunded Requirement		[28,000]
	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT		
050	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT	148,221	148,221
051	AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS)	152	152
	SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT		
052	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG	79,954	79,954
	OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
053	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY	25,695	25,695
054	TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS)	284	284
055	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS)	14,416	14,416
056	ATDLS	23,069	23,069
057	NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS)	4,054	4,054
058	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	21,014	21,014
059	SHALLOW WATER MCM	18,077	18,077
060	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)	12,359	12,359
061	AMERICAN FORCES RADIO AND TV SERVICE	4,240	4,240
062	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	17,440	17,440
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		
063	OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT	41,314	41,314
	AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
064	MATCALS	10,011	10,011
065	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	9,346	9,346
066	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM	21,281	21,281
067	NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM	25,621	25,621
068	FLEET AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS	8,249	8,249
069	LANDING SYSTEMS	14,715	14,715
070	ID SYSTEMS	29,676	29,676
071	NAVAL MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	13,737	13,737
	OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
072	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND & CONTROL	1,314	1,314
074	TACTICAL/MOBILE C4I SYSTEMS	13,600	13,600
075	DCGS-N	31,809	31,809
076	CANES	278,991	278,991
077	RADIAC	8,294	8,294
078	CANES-INTELL	28,695	28,695
079	GPETE	6,962	6,962
080	MASF	290	290
081	INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY	14,419	14,419
082	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION	4,175	4,175
083	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	44,176	44,176
	SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS		
084	SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS	8,722	8,722
085	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION	108,477	108,477
086	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M	16,613	16,613
	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS		
087	SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT	20,691	20,691
088	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	60,945	60,945
	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS		
089	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	30,892	30,892
090	NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT)	118,113	118,113
	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS		
091	JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	4,591	4,591
092	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS	1,403	1,403
	CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT		
093	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	135,687	135,687
094	MIO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM	970	970
	CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT		
095	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP	11,433	11,433
	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT		
096	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT	2,529	2,529
	SONOBUOYS		
097	SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES	168,763	168,763
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
098	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	46,979	46,979
100	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	123,884	127,384
	F-35 Visual/Optical Landing System Training Equipment Unfunded Requirement.		[3,500]
103	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT	15,090	15,090

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
104	DCRS/DPL	638	638
106	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES	14,098	14,098
111	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	49,773	49,773
	SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT		
112	SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT	5,300	5,300
	SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT		
115	SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	298,738	298,738
120	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	71,245	71,245
	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
123	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP	240,694	240,694
	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
124	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	96,040	96,040
125	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	30,189	30,189
	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
129	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP	22,623	22,623
130	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	9,906	9,906
	OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE		
134	TRAINING DEVICE MODS	99,707	99,707
	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
135	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	2,252	2,252
136	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS	2,191	2,191
137	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP	2,164	2,164
138	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT	14,705	14,705
139	TACTICAL VEHICLES	2,497	2,497
140	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT	12,517	12,517
141	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	3,018	3,018
142	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION	14,403	14,403
143	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES	1,186	1,186
	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
144	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	18,805	18,805
145	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	10,469	10,469
146	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	5,720	5,720
147	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS	211,714	211,714
	TRAINING DEVICES		
148	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	7,468	7,468
	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
149	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	36,433	36,433
150	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	3,180	3,180
151	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4,790	4,790
153	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4,608	4,608
154	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	5,655	5,655
155	C4ISR EQUIPMENT	9,929	9,929
156	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	26,795	26,795
157	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	88,453	88,453
159	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	99,094	99,094
	OTHER		
160	NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE	99,014	99,014
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
160A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	21,439	21,439
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
161	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	328,043	328,043
	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	6,614,715	6,786,215
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
001	AAV7A1 PIP	26,744	26,744
002	LAV PIP	54,879	54,879
	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS		
003	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	2,652	2,652
004	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER	7,482	7,482
005	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM	17,181	17,181
006	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION	8,224	8,224
	OTHER SUPPORT		
007	MODIFICATION KITS	14,467	14,467
008	WEAPONS ENLANCEMENT PROGRAM	488	488
	GUIDED MISSILES		
009	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE	7,565	7,565
010	JAVELIN	1,091	78,591
	Program increase to support Unfunded Requirements		[77,500]
011	FOLLOW ON TO SMAW	4,872	4,872
012	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H)	668	668
	OTHER SUPPORT		
013	MODIFICATION KITS	12,495	12,495
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS		
014	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER	13,109	13,109
015	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (C	35,147	35,147
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		
016	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT	21,210	21,210

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)		
017	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	792	792
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)		
019	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)	3,642	3,642
020	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS	3,520	3,520
	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
021	RADAR SYSTEMS	35,118	35,118
022	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (G/ATOR)	130,661	90,661
	Delay in IOTE		[-40,000]
023	RQ-21 UAS	84,916	84,916
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
024	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	9,136	9,136
025	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	29,936	29,936
028	DCGS-MC	1,947	1,947
	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
031	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT	2,018	2,018
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)		
032	NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORK (NGEN)	67,295	67,295
033	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES	43,101	43,101
034	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	29,255	29,255
035	RADIO SYSTEMS	80,584	80,584
036	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS	66,123	66,123
037	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	79,486	79,486
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
037A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,803	2,803
	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES		
038	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES	3,538	3,538
039	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES	22,806	22,806
	TACTICAL VEHICLES		
041	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS	7,743	7,743
043	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE	79,429	79,429
044	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS	3,157	3,157
	OTHER SUPPORT		
045	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	6,938	6,938
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT		
046	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT	94	94
047	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT	896	896
048	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	136	136
049	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED	10,792	10,792
050	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	3,235	3,235
051	EOD SYSTEMS	7,666	7,666
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
052	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	33,145	33,145
053	GARRISON MOBILE ENGINEER EQUIPMENT (GMEE)	1,419	1,419
	GENERAL PROPERTY		
057	TRAINING DEVICES	24,163	24,163
058	CONTAINER FAMILY	962	962
059	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	6,545	6,545
060	FAMILY OF INTERNALLY TRANSPORTABLE VEH (ITV)	7,533	7,533
	OTHER SUPPORT		
062	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	4,322	4,322
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
063	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	8,292	8,292
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS	1,131,418	1,168,918
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	TACTICAL FORCES		
001	F-35	5,260,212	5,161,112
	Anticipated contract savings		[-75,500]
	Cost growth for support equipment		[-23,600]
002	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	460,260	460,260
	TACTICAL AIRLIFT		
003	KC-46A TANKER	2,350,601	2,326,601
	Program Decrease		[-24,000]
	OTHER AIRLIFT		
004	C-130J	889,154	962,154
	Unfunded Requirements		[73,000]
005	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	50,000	50,000
006	HC-130J	463,934	463,934
007	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	30,000	30,000
008	MC-130J	828,472	828,472
009	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	60,000	60,000
	MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT		
011	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C	2,617	2,617
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
012	TARGET DRONES	132,028	132,028
014	RQ-4	37,800	37,800
015	MQ-9	552,528	552,528

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT		
017	B-2A	32,458	32,458
018	B-1B	114,119	114,119
019	B-52	148,987	148,987
020	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES	84,335	84,335
	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT		
021	A-10		240,000
	A-10 restoration— wing replacement program		[240,000]
022	F-15	464,367	464,367
023	F-16	17,134	17,134
024	F-22A	126,152	126,152
025	F-35 MODIFICATIONS	70,167	70,167
026	INCREMENT 3.2B	69,325	69,325
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		
028	C-5	5,604	5,604
030	C-17A	46,997	46,997
031	C-21	10,162	10,162
032	C-32A	44,464	44,464
033	C-37A	10,861	10,861
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT		
034	GLIDER MODS	134	134
035	T-6	17,968	17,968
036	T-1	23,706	23,706
037	T-38	30,604	30,604
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
038	U-2 MODS	22,095	22,095
039	KC-10A (ATCA)	5,611	5,611
040	C-12	1,980	1,980
042	VC-25A MOD	98,231	98,231
043	C-40	13,171	13,171
044	C-130	7,048	70,248
	Eight-Bladed Propeller		[30,000]
	T-56 3.2 Engine Mod		[33,200]
045	C-130J MODS	29,713	29,713
046	C-135	49,043	49,043
047	COMPASS CALL MODS	68,415	97,115
	EC-130H Force Structure Restoration		[28,700]
048	RC-135	156,165	156,165
049	E-3	13,178	13,178
050	E-4	23,937	23,937
051	E-8	18,001	18,001
052	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	183,308	183,308
053	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS	44,163	44,163
054	H-1	6,291	6,291
055	UH-1N REPLACEMENT	2,456	2,456
056	H-60	45,731	45,731
057	RQ-4 MODS	50,022	50,022
058	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS	21,660	21,660
059	OTHER AIRCRAFT	117,767	117,767
060	MQ-1 MODS	3,173	3,173
061	MQ-9 MODS	115,226	115,226
063	CV-22 MODS	58,828	58,828
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
064	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	656,242	656,242
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
065	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP	33,716	33,716
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT		
067	B-2A	38,837	38,837
068	B-52	5,911	5,911
069	C-17A	30,108	30,108
070	CV-22 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	3,353	3,353
071	C-135	4,490	4,490
072	F-15	3,225	3,225
073	F-16	14,969	33,669
	Additional Mission Trainers		[24,700]
	Unobligated balances		[-6,000]
074	F-22A	971	971
076	MQ-9	5,000	5,000
	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		
077	INDUSTRIAL RESPONSIVENESS	18,802	18,802
	WAR CONSUMABLES		
078	WAR CONSUMABLES	156,465	156,465
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		
079	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	1,052,814	1,052,814
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
079A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	42,503	42,503
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	15,657,769	15,958,269

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE			
MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC			
001	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC	94,040	94,040
TACTICAL			
003	JOINT AIR-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE	440,578	440,578
004	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X)	200,777	200,777
005	AMRAAM	390,112	390,112
006	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	423,016	423,016
007	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	133,697	133,697
INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES			
008	INDUSTRI'L PREPAREDNS/POL PREVENTION	397	397
CLASS IV			
009	MM III MODIFICATIONS	50,517	50,517
010	AGM-65D MAVERICK	9,639	9,639
011	AGM-88A HARM	197	197
012	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	25,019	25,019
MISSILE SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS			
014	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	48,523	48,523
SPECIAL PROGRAMS			
028	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS	276,562	276,562
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS			
028A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	893,971	893,971
TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		2,987,045	2,987,045
SPACE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE			
SPACE PROGRAMS			
001	ADVANCED EHF	333,366	333,366
002	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES(SPACE)	53,476	79,476
	SATCOM Pathfinder		[26,000]
003	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	199,218	199,218
004	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)	18,362	18,362
005	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)	66,135	66,135
006	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG(SPACE)	89,351	89,351
007	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH CAPABILITY	571,276	571,276
008	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH(SPACE)	800,201	800,201
009	SBIR HIGH (SPACE)	452,676	452,676
TOTAL SPACE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		2,584,061	2,610,061
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE			
ROCKETS			
001	ROCKETS	23,788	23,788
CARTRIDGES			
002	CARTRIDGES	131,102	131,102
BOMBS			
003	PRACTICE BOMBS	89,759	89,759
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	637,181	637,181
005	MASSIVE ORDNANCE PENETRATOR (MOP)	39,690	39,690
006	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	374,688	354,688
	Program reduction		[-20,000]
OTHER ITEMS			
007	CAD/PAD	58,266	58,266
008	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)	5,612	5,612
009	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	103	103
010	MODIFICATIONS	1,102	1,102
011	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	3,044	3,044
FLARES			
012	FLARES	120,935	120,935
FUZES			
013	FUZES	213,476	213,476
SMALL ARMS			
014	SMALL ARMS	60,097	60,097
TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		1,758,843	1,738,843
OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE			
PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES			
001	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	8,834	8,834
CARGO AND UTILITY VEHICLES			
002	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE	58,160	58,160
003	CAP VEHICLES	977	977
004	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	12,483	12,483
SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES			
005	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES	4,728	4,728
006	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	4,662	4,662
FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT			
007	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES	10,419	10,419
MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT			
008	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	23,320	23,320
BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT			

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
009	RUNWAY SNOW REMOV & CLEANING EQUIP	6,215	6,215
010	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	87,781	87,781
	COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC)		
011	COMSEC EQUIPMENT	136,998	136,998
012	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)	677	677
	INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS		
013	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT	4,041	4,041
014	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT	22,573	22,573
015	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	14,456	14,456
	ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS		
016	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYS	31,823	31,823
017	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM	5,833	5,833
018	BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM—FIXED	1,687	1,687
019	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMENTS	22,710	22,710
020	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST	21,561	21,561
021	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL	286,980	286,980
022	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX	36,186	36,186
024	INTEGRATED STRAT PLAN & ANALY NETWORK (ISPAN)	9,597	9,597
	SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS		
025	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	27,403	27,403
026	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYS	7,212	7,212
027	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL	11,062	11,062
028	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM	131,269	131,269
029	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	33,606	33,606
030	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMM N	5,232	5,232
031	C3 COUNTERMEASURES	7,453	7,453
032	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM	3,976	3,976
033	GCSS-AF FOS	25,515	25,515
034	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MGMT SYSTEM	9,255	9,255
035	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYSTEM	7,523	7,523
036	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-WPN SYS	12,043	12,043
037	AIR OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC) 10.2	24,246	24,246
	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS		
038	INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS	74,621	74,621
039	AFNET	103,748	103,748
041	JOINT COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT ELEMENT (JCSE)	5,199	5,199
042	USCENTCOM	15,780	15,780
	SPACE PROGRAMS		
043	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS	79,592	79,592
044	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PGM SPACE	90,190	90,190
045	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE	2,029	2,029
046	NUDET DETECTION SYS SPACE	5,095	5,095
047	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE	76,673	76,673
048	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE	113,275	113,275
049	MILSATCOM SPACE	35,495	35,495
050	SPACE MODS SPACE	23,435	23,435
051	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM	43,065	43,065
	ORGANIZATION AND BASE		
052	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT	77,538	111,438
	Battlefield Airmen Kits Unfunded Requirement		[19,900]
	Joint Terminal Control Training Simulation Unfunded Requirement		[14,000]
054	RADIO EQUIPMENT	8,400	8,400
055	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT	6,144	6,144
056	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE	77,010	77,010
	MODIFICATIONS		
057	COMM ELECT MODS	71,800	71,800
	PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP		
058	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES	2,370	2,370
059	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	79,623	79,623
	DEPOT PLANT+MTRLs HANDLING EQ		
060	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	7,249	7,249
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
061	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT	9,095	13,095
	Additional Equipment		[4,000]
062	ENGINEERING AND EOD EQUIPMENT	17,866	17,866
064	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	61,850	61,850
065	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	30,477	30,477
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS		
067	DARP RC135	25,072	25,072
068	DCGS-AF	183,021	183,021
070	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM	629,371	629,371
071	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG.	100,663	100,663
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
071A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	15,038,333	15,038,333
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
073	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	59,863	59,863
	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	18,272,438	18,310,338

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA		
001	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	1,488	1,488
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA		
002	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	2,494	2,494
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA		
003	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	9,341	9,341
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA		
007	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY	8,080	23,080
	SHARKSEER		[15,000]
008	TELEPORT PROGRAM	62,789	62,789
009	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	9,399	9,399
010	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	1,819	1,819
011	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK	141,298	141,298
012	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	12,732	12,732
013	WHITE HOUSE COMMUNICATION AGENCY	64,098	64,098
014	SENIOR LEADERSHIP ENTERPRISE	617,910	617,910
015	JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT	84,400	84,400
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA		
016	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	5,644	5,644
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT		
017	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	11,208	11,208
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA		
018	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS	1,298	1,298
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DSS		
020	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	1,048	1,048
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY		
021	VEHICLES	100	100
022	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT	5,474	5,474
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY		
023	THAAD	464,067	464,067
024	AEGIS BMD	558,916	679,361
	SM-3 Block IB		[117,880]
	SM-3 Block IB (Canisters)		[2,565]
025	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	147,765	0
	SM-3 Block IB		[-147,765]
026	BMDS AN/TPY-2 RADARS	78,634	78,634
027	AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III	30,587	30,587
028	IRON DOME	55,000	55,000
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA		
035	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	37,177	37,177
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD		
036	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD	46,939	46,939
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS		
038	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS	13,027	13,027
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS		
040	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS	27,859	27,859
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY		
028A	DAVID SLING		150,000
	David's Sling Weapon System Procurement—Subject to Title XVI		[150,000]
028B	ARROW 3		15,000
	Arrow 3 Upper Tier Procurement—Subject to Title XVI		[15,000]
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
040A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	617,757	617,757
	AVIATION PROGRAMS		
041	MC-12	63,170	63,170
042	ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT	135,985	135,985
044	NON-STANDARD AVIATION	61,275	61,275
047	RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE	20,087	20,087
048	CV-22 MODIFICATION	18,832	18,832
049	MQ-1 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE	1,934	1,934
050	MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE	11,726	26,926
	Medium Altitude Long Endurance Tactical (MALET) MQ-9 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle.		[15,200]
051	STUASLO	1,514	1,514
052	PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE	204,105	204,105
053	AC/MC-130J	61,368	25,968
	MC-130 Terrain Following/Terrain Avoidance Radar Program		[-35,400]
054	C-130 MODIFICATIONS	66,861	66,861
	SHIPBUILDING		
055	UNDERWATER SYSTEMS	32,521	32,521
	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS		
056	ORDNANCE ITEMS <\$5M	174,734	174,734
	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS		
057	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	93,009	93,009
058	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	14,964	14,964

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
059	OTHER ITEMS <\$5M	79,149	79,149
060	COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS	33,362	33,362
061	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	143,533	143,533
062	TACTICAL VEHICLES	73,520	73,520
063	WARRIOR SYSTEMS <\$5M	186,009	186,009
064	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS	19,693	19,693
065	GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	3,967	3,967
066	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	19,225	19,225
068	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	213,252	213,252
	CBDP		
074	CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL SITUATIONAL AWARENESS	141,223	141,223
075	CB PROTECTION & HAZARD MITIGATION	137,487	137,487
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	5,130,853	5,263,333
	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND		
	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND		
001	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND	99,701	0
	Program reduction		[-99,701]
	TOTAL JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND	99,701	0
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT	106,967,393	109,860,699



1 SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY

2 OPERATIONS.

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
	FIXED WING		
003	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP)	99,500	99,500
004	MQ-1 UAV	16,537	16,537
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		
016	MQ-1 PAYLOAD (MIP)	8,700	8,700
023	ARL SEMA MODS (MIP)	32,000	32,000
031	RQ-7 UAV MODS	8,250	8,250
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY	164,987	164,987
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM		
003	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	37,260	37,260
	TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	37,260	37,260
	PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY		
	WEAPONS & OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES		
016	MORTAR SYSTEMS	7,030	7,030
021	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION	19,000	19,000
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY	26,030	26,030
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		
	SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION		
004	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES	4,000	4,000
	MORTAR AMMUNITION		
008	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	11,700	11,700
009	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	4,000	4,000
010	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	7,000	7,000
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION		
012	ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES	5,000	5,000
013	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES	10,000	10,000
015	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL	2,000	2,000
	ROCKETS		
017	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES	136,340	136,340
	OTHER AMMUNITION		
019	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	4,000	4,000
021	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES	8,000	8,000
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	192,040	192,040
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
	TACTICAL VEHICLES		
005	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV)	243,998	243,998
009	HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV	223,276	223,276
011	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP	130,000	130,000
012	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS	393,100	393,100
	COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS		
021	TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS	5,724	5,724
	COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS		
051	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM	29,500	29,500
	ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)		
057	DCGS-A (MIP)	54,140	54,140
059	TROJAN (MIP)	6,542	6,542
061	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS)	3,860	3,860
	ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)		
068	FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITIE	14,847	14,847
069	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES	19,535	19,535
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)		
084	COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBX XM32	2,601	2,601
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS		
087	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY	48	48
094	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	252	252
	ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION		
101	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	652	652
	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT		
111	BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS)	4,035	4,035
	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
131	FORCE PROVIDER	53,800	53,800
133	CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM	700	700
	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
159	FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS	10,486	10,486
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
169	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	8,500	8,500
	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	1,205,596	1,205,596

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND			
NETWORK ATTACK			
001	ATTACK THE NETWORK	219,550	219,550
JIEDDO DEVICE DEFEAT			
002	DEFEAT THE DEVICE	77,600	77,600
FORCE TRAINING			
003	TRAIN THE FORCE	7,850	7,850
STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE			
004	OPERATIONS	188,271	137,571
	Program Reduction		[-50,700]
	TOTAL JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND	493,271	442,571
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY			
OTHER AIRCRAFT			
026	STUASLO UAV	55,000	55,000
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT			
030	AV-8 SERIES	41,365	41,365
032	F-18 SERIES	8,000	8,000
037	EP-3 SERIES	6,300	6,300
047	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT	14,198	14,198
051	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT	72,700	72,700
052	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES	13,988	13,988
059	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY	4,900	4,900
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP & FACILITIES			
065	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	943	943
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY	217,394	217,394
WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY			
TACTICAL MISSILES			
010	LASER MAVERICK	3,344	3,344
	TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	3,344	3,344
PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC			
NAVY AMMUNITION			
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	9,715	9,715
002	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	11,108	11,108
003	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION	3,603	3,603
006	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES	11,982	11,982
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION	4,674	4,674
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO	3,456	3,456
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION	1,989	1,989
014	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	4,674	4,674
MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION			
020	120MM, ALL TYPES	10,719	10,719
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	3,993	3,993
024	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES	67,200	67,200
025	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	518	518
026	FUZE, ALL TYPES	3,299	3,299
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC	136,930	136,930
OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY			
CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT			
135	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	186	186
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS			
160A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	12,000	12,000
	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	12,186	12,186
PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS			
GUIDED MISSILES			
010	JAVELIN	7,679	7,679
OTHER SUPPORT			
013	MODIFICATION KITS	10,311	10,311
COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS			
014	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER	8,221	8,221
OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)			
018	MODIFICATION KITS	3,600	3,600
COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)			
019	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)	8,693	8,693
INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)			
027	RQ-11 UAV	3,430	3,430
MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT			
052	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	7,000	7,000
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS	48,934	48,934
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE			
OTHER AIRCRAFT			
015	MQ-9	13,500	13,500

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
044	C-130	1,410	1,410
056	H-60	39,300	39,300
058	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS	5,690	5,690
061	MQ-9 MODS	69,000	69,000
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	128,900	128,900
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	TACTICAL		
006	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	280,902	280,902
007	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	2,520	2,520
	CLASS IV		
010	AGM-65D MAVERICK	5,720	5,720
	TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	289,142	289,142
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		
	CARTRIDGES		
002	CARTRIDGES	8,371	8,371
	BOMBS		
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	17,031	17,031
006	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	184,412	184,412
	FLARES		
012	FLARES	11,064	11,064
	FUZES		
013	FUZES	7,996	7,996
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE	228,874	228,874
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS		
025	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	3,953	3,953
027	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL	2,000	2,000
	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS		
042	USCENTCOM	10,000	10,000
	ORGANIZATION AND BASE		
052	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT	4,065	4,065
056	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE	15,400	15,400
	PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP		
058	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES	3,580	3,580
059	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	3,407	3,407
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
062	ENGINEERING AND EOD EQUIPMENT	46,790	46,790
064	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	400	400
065	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	9,800	9,800
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS		
071	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG.	28,070	28,070
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
071A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,732,499	3,732,499
	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	3,859,964	3,859,964
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA		
008	TELEPORT PROGRAM	1,940	1,940
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
040A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	35,482	35,482
	AVIATION PROGRAMS		
041	MC-12	5,000	5,000
	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS		
056	ORDNANCE ITEMS <\$5M	35,299	35,299
	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS		
061	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	15,160	15,160
063	WARRIOR SYSTEMS <\$5M	15,000	15,000
068	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	104,537	104,537
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	212,418	212,418
	NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT		
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
007	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT		250,000
	NGREA Program Increase		[250,000]
	TOTAL NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT		250,000
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT	7,257,270	7,456,570

1 TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

2 SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY				
BASIC RESEARCH				
001	0601101A	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	13,018	13,018
002	0601102A	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	239,118	239,118
003	0601103A	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	72,603	72,603
004	0601104A	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	100,340	100,340
		SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH	425,079	425,079
APPLIED RESEARCH				
005	0602105A	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY	28,314	28,314
006	0602120A	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	38,374	38,374
007	0602122A	TRACTOR HIP	6,879	6,879
008	0602211A	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	56,884	56,884
009	0602270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	19,243	19,243
010	0602303A	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	45,053	53,053
		A2/AD Anti-Ship Missile Study		[8,000]
011	0602307A	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	29,428	29,428
012	0602308A	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	27,862	27,862
013	0602601A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	68,839	68,839
014	0602618A	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	92,801	92,801
015	0602622A	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY.	3,866	3,866
016	0602623A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	5,487	5,487
017	0602624A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	48,340	48,340
018	0602705A	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	55,301	55,301
019	0602709A	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	33,807	33,807
020	0602712A	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	25,068	25,068
021	0602716A	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	23,681	23,681
022	0602720A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	20,850	20,850
023	0602782A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	36,160	36,160
024	0602783A	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	12,656	12,656
025	0602784A	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	63,409	63,409
026	0602785A	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	24,735	19,735
		Program decrease		[-5,000]
027	0602786A	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	35,795	35,795
028	0602787A	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	76,853	76,853
		SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH	879,685	882,685
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
029	0603001A	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	46,973	46,973
030	0603002A	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	69,584	69,584
031	0603003A	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	89,736	89,736
032	0603004A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	57,663	57,663
033	0603005A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	113,071	113,071
034	0603006A	SPACE APPLICATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	5,554	5,554
035	0603007A	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	12,636	12,636
037	0603009A	TRACTOR HIKE	7,502	7,502
038	0603015A	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS	17,425	17,425
039	0603020A	TRACTOR ROSE	11,912	11,912
040	0603125A	COMBATING TERRORISM—TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	27,520	27,520
041	0603130A	TRACTOR NAIL	2,381	2,381
042	0603131A	TRACTOR EGGS	2,431	2,431
043	0603270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	26,874	26,874
044	0603313A	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	49,449	49,449
045	0603322A	TRACTOR CAGE	10,999	10,999
046	0603461A	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.	177,159	177,159
047	0603606A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	13,993	13,993
048	0603607A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	5,105	5,105

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
049	0603710A	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	40,929	40,929
050	0603728A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.	10,727	10,727
051	0603734A	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	20,145	20,145
052	0603772A	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY.	38,163	38,163
053	0603794A	C3 ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	37,816	37,816
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	895,747	895,747
ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES				
054	0603305A	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	10,347	10,347
055	0603308A	ARMY SPACE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	25,061	25,061
056	0603619A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV	49,636	49,636
057	0603627A	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV.	13,426	13,426
058	0603639A	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	46,749	46,749
060	0603747A	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	6,258	6,258
061	0603766A	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV DEV	13,472	13,472
062	0603774A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	7,292	7,292
063	0603779A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—DEM/VAL	8,813	8,813
065	0603790A	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	294	294
067	0603804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV	21,233	21,233
068	0603807A	MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV	31,962	31,962
069	0603827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	22,194	22,194
071	0604100A	ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES	9,805	9,805
072	0604115A	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES	40,917	40,917
073	0604120A	ASSURED POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND TIMING (PNT) ...	30,058	30,058
074	0604319A	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2—INTERCEPT (IFPC2).	155,361	155,361
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES.	492,878	492,878
SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION				
076	0604201A	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	12,939	12,939
078	0604270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	18,843	18,843
079	0604280A	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO	9,861	9,861
080	0604290A	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVF)	8,763	8,763
081	0604321A	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	4,309	4,309
082	0604328A	TRACTOR CAGE	15,138	15,138
083	0604601A	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	74,128	80,628
		Army requested realignment		[1,500]
		Soldier Enhancement Program		[5,000]
085	0604611A	JAVELIN	3,945	3,945
087	0604633A	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	10,076	10,076
088	0604641A	TACTICAL UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE (TUGV)	40,374	40,374
089	0604710A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—ENG DEV	67,582	67,582
090	0604713A	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	1,763	1,763
091	0604715A	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—ENG DEV	27,155	27,155
092	0604741A	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE—ENG DEV.	24,569	24,569
093	0604742A	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	23,364	23,364
094	0604746A	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	8,960	8,960
095	0604760A	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—ENG DEV.	9,138	9,138
096	0604780A	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	21,622	21,622
097	0604798A	BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION	99,242	99,242
098	0604802A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—ENG DEV	21,379	21,379
099	0604804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV	48,339	48,339
100	0604805A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—ENG DEV.	2,726	2,726
101	0604807A	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV.	45,412	45,412
102	0604808A	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—ENG DEV	55,215	55,215
104	0604818A	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE.	163,643	163,643
105	0604820A	RADAR DEVELOPMENT	12,309	12,309
106	0604822A	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEB)S	15,700	15,700
107	0604823A	FIREFINDER	6,243	6,243
108	0604827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL	18,776	18,776
109	0604854A	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS—EMD	1,953	1,953
110	0605013A	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	67,358	67,358
111	0605018A	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY (IPPS-A)	136,011	136,011
112	0605028A	ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE (AMPV)	230,210	230,210
113	0605030A	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC)	13,357	13,357
114	0605031A	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK (JTN)	18,055	18,055
115	0605032A	TRACTOR TIRE	5,677	5,677

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
116	0605035A	COMMON INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (CIRCM) Apache Survivability Enhancements—Army Unfunded Require- ment.	77,570	101,570 [24,000]
117	0605051A	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY DEVELOPMENT Apache Survivability Enhancements—Army Unfunded Require- ment.	18,112	78,112 [60,000]
118	0605350A	WIN-T INCREMENT 3—FULL NETWORKING	39,700	39,700
119	0605380A	AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM (JTRS)	12,987	12,987
120	0605450A	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) EMD contract delays	88,866	68,866 [–20,000]
121	0605456A	PAC–3/MSE MISSILE	2,272	2,272
122	0605457A	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD)	214,099	214,099
123	0605625A	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE Funding ahead of need	49,247	39,247 [–10,000]
124	0605626A	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR	2	2
125	0605766A	NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION (MIP)	10,599	10,599
126	0605812A	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PH.	32,486	32,486
127	0605830A	AVIATION GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	8,880	8,880
128	0210609A	PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM)	152,288	152,288
129	0303032A	TROJAN—RH12	5,022	5,022
130	0304270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	12,686	12,686
SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEM- ONSTRATION.			2,068,950	2,129,450
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT				
131	0604256A	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	20,035	20,035
132	0604258A	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	16,684	16,684
133	0604759A	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	62,580	62,580
134	0605103A	RAND ARROYO CENTER	20,853	20,853
135	0605301A	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	205,145	205,145
136	0605326A	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	19,430	19,430
138	0605601A	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	277,646	277,646
139	0605602A	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS	51,550	51,550
140	0605604A	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	33,246	33,246
141	0605606A	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	4,760	4,760
142	0605702A	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES	8,303	8,303
143	0605706A	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	20,403	20,403
144	0605709A	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	10,396	10,396
145	0605712A	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	49,337	49,337
146	0605716A	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER	52,694	52,694
147	0605718A	ARMY MODELING & SIM X-CMD COLLABORATION & INTEG ...	938	938
148	0605801A	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	60,319	60,319
149	0605803A	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	28,478	28,478
150	0605805A	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY. Program reduction	32,604	24,604 [–8,000]
151	0605857A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT	3,186	3,186
152	0605898A	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D	48,955	48,955
SUBTOTAL RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			1,027,542	1,019,542
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT				
154	0603778A	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	18,397	18,397
155	0603813A	TRACTOR PULL	9,461	9,461
156	0607131A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PRO- GRAMS.	4,945	4,945
157	0607133A	TRACTOR SMOKE	7,569	7,569
158	0607135A	APACHE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	69,862	69,862
159	0607136A	BLACKHAWK PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	66,653	66,653
160	0607137A	CHINOOK PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	37,407	37,407
161	0607138A	FIXED WING PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	1,151	1,151
162	0607139A	IMPROVED TURBINE ENGINE PROGRAM	51,164	51,164
163	0607140A	EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES FROM NIE	2,481	2,481
164	0607141A	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION	1,673	1,673
166	0607665A	FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS	13,237	13,237
167	0607865A	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT	105,816	105,816
169	020429A	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT—COCOM EXERCISE	40,565	40,565
171	0203728A	JOINT AUTOMATED DEEP OPERATION COORDINATION SYS- TEM (JADOCs).	35,719	35,719
172	0203735A	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS Stryker Lethality Upgrades	257,167	292,167 [35,000]
173	0203740A	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM	15,445	15,445
175	0203752A	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	364	364
176	0203758A	DIGITIZATION	4,361	4,361
177	0203801A	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	3,154	3,154
178	0203802A	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	35,951	35,951
179	0203808A	TRACTOR CARD	34,686	34,686
180	0205402A	INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE—OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEV	10,750	10,750

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
181	0205410A	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	402	402
183	0205456A	LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD) SYSTEM	64,159	64,159
184	0205778A	GUIDED MULTIPLE-LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM (GMLRS)	17,527	17,527
185	0208053A	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM	20,515	20,515
187	0303028A	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	12,368	12,368
188	0303140A	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	31,154	31,154
189	0303141A	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	12,274	12,274
190	0303142A	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	9,355	9,355
191	0303150A	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	7,053	7,053
193	0305179A	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (IBS)	750	750
194	0305204A	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	13,225	13,225
195	0305206A	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	22,870	22,870
196	0305208A	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	25,592	25,592
199	0305233A	RQ-7 UAV	7,297	7,297
201	0310349A	WIN-T INCREMENT 2—INITIAL NETWORKING	3,800	3,800
202	0708045A	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	48,442	48,442
202A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	4,536	4,536
SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.			1,129,297	1,164,297
TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY.			6,919,178	7,009,678
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY				
BASIC RESEARCH				
001	0601103N	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	116,196	134,196
		Defense University Research Instrumentation Program increase		[18,000]
002	0601152N	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	19,126	19,126
003	0601153N	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	451,606	451,606
SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH			586,928	604,928
APPLIED RESEARCH				
004	0602114N	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	68,723	68,723
005	0602123N	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	154,963	154,963
006	0602131M	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY	49,001	49,001
007	0602235N	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	42,551	42,551
008	0602236N	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	45,056	45,056
009	0602271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH	115,051	115,051
010	0602435N	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	42,252	62,252
		Service Life Extension for the AGOR Ship		[20,000]
011	0602651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH	6,119	6,119
012	0602747N	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	123,750	123,750
013	0602750N	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH	179,686	179,686
014	0602782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	37,418	37,418
SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH			864,570	884,570
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
015	0603114N	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	37,093	37,093
016	0603123N	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	38,044	38,044
017	0603271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	34,899	34,899
018	0603640M	USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD)	137,562	137,562
019	0603651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	12,745	12,745
020	0603673N	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	258,860	248,860
		Program decrease		[–10,000]
021	0603680N	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	57,074	57,074
022	0603729N	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	4,807	4,807
023	0603747N	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	13,748	13,748
024	0603758N	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS.	66,041	66,041
025	0603782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	1,991	1,991
SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.			662,864	652,864
ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES				
026	0603207N	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	41,832	41,832
027	0603216N	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	5,404	5,404
028	0603237N	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	3,086	3,086
029	0603251N	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS	11,643	11,643
030	0603254N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	5,555	5,555
031	0603261N	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	3,087	3,087
032	0603382N	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	1,636	1,636
033	0603502N	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES.	118,588	118,588
034	0603506N	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	77,385	77,385

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
035	0603512N	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	8,348	8,348
036	0603525N	PILOT FISH	123,246	123,246
037	0603527N	RETRACT LARCH	28,819	28,819
038	0603536N	RETRACT JUNIPER	112,678	112,678
039	0603542N	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	710	710
040	0603553N	SURFACE ASW	1,096	1,096
041	0603561N	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	87,160	87,160
042	0603562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	10,371	10,371
043	0603563N	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	11,888	11,888
044	0603564N	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	4,332	4,332
045	0603570N	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	482,040	62,740
		Transfer to National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund		[-419,300]
046	0603573N	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	25,904	25,904
047	0603576N	CHALK EAGLE	511,802	511,802
048	0603581N	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)	118,416	118,416
049	0603582N	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	35,901	35,901
050	0603595N	OHIO REPLACEMENT	971,393	0
		Transfer to National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund-OR Develop- ment.		[-971,393]
051	0603596N	LCS MISSION MODULES	206,149	206,149
052	0603597N	AUTOMATED TEST AND RE-TEST (ATRT)	8,000	8,000
053	0603609N	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	7,678	7,678
054	0603611M	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES	219,082	219,082
055	0603635M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	623	623
056	0603654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	18,260	18,260
057	0603658N	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	76,247	76,247
058	0603713N	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	4,520	4,520
059	0603721N	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	20,711	20,711
060	0603724N	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	47,761	47,761
061	0603725N	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	5,226	5,226
062	0603734N	CHALK CORAL	182,771	182,771
063	0603739N	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	3,866	3,866
064	0603746N	RETRACT MAPLE	360,065	360,065
065	0603748N	LINK PLUMERIA	237,416	237,416
066	0603751N	RETRACT ELM	37,944	37,944
067	0603764N	LINK EVERGREEN	47,312	47,312
068	0603787N	SPECIAL PROCESSES	17,408	17,408
069	0603790N	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	9,359	9,359
070	0603795N	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	887	10,887
		5-Inch Guided Projectile Technology		[10,000]
071	0603851M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TESTING	29,448	29,448
072	0603860N	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS— DEM/VAL.	91,479	91,479
073	0603925N	DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS	67,360	67,360
074	0604112N	GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER (CVN 78—80).	48,105	48,105
075	0604122N	REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)	20,089	20,089
076	0604272N	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTER- MEASURES (TADIRCM).	18,969	18,969
077	0604279N	ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION	7,874	7,874
078	0604292N	MH-XX	5,298	5,298
079	0604454N	LX (R)	46,486	75,486
		LX(R) Acceleration		[29,000]
080	0604653N	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE (JCREW).	3,817	3,817
081	0604659N	PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	9,595	9,595
082	0604707N	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ ENGINEERING SUPPORT.	29,581	29,581
083	0604786N	OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOP- MENT.	285,849	285,849
084	0605812M	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PH.	36,656	36,656
085	0303354N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP	9,835	9,835
086	0304270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP	580	580
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOP- MENT & PROTOTYPES.	5,024,626	3,672,933
SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION				
087	0603208N	TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT	21,708	21,708
088	0604212N	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT	11,101	11,101
089	0604214N	AV-8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV	39,878	39,878
090	0604215N	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	53,059	53,059
091	0604216N	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	21,358	21,358
092	0604218N	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	4,515	4,515
093	0604221N	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	1,514	1,514
094	0604230N	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM	5,875	5,875
095	0604231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	81,553	81,553
096	0604234N	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	272,149	272,149

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
097	0604245N	H-1 UPGRADES	27,235	52,235
		UH-1Y/AH-1Z Readiness Improvement Unfunded Requirement ..		[25,000]
098	0604261N	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	35,763	35,763
099	0604262N	V-22A	87,918	87,918
100	0604264N	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	12,679	12,679
101	0604269N	EA-18	56,921	56,921
102	0604270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	23,685	23,685
103	0604273N	EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	507,093	507,093
104	0604274N	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ)	411,767	411,767
105	0604280N	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	25,071	25,071
106	0604307N	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	443,433	443,433
107	0604311N	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	747	747
108	0604329N	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	97,002	97,002
109	0604366N	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	129,649	129,649
110	0604373N	AIRBORNE MCM	11,647	11,647
111	0604376M	MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE (MAGTF) ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) FOR AVIATION.	2,778	2,778
112	0604378N	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL—COUNTER AIR SYS- TEMS ENGINEERING.	23,695	23,695
113	0604404N	UNMANNED CARRIER LAUNCHED AIRBORNE SURVEIL- LANCE AND STRIKE (UCLASS) SYSTEM.	134,708	134,708
114	0604501N	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	43,914	43,914
115	0604503N	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	109,908	109,908
116	0604504N	AIR CONTROL	57,928	57,928
117	0604512N	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	120,217	120,217
118	0604522N	AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR (AMDR) SYSTEM	241,754	241,754
119	0604558N	NEW DESIGN SSN	122,556	122,556
120	0604562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	48,213	48,213
121	0604567N	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/ LIVE FIRE T&E	49,712	49,712
122	0604574N	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	4,096	4,096
123	0604580N	VIRGINIA PAYLOAD MODULE (VPM)	167,719	167,719
124	0604601N	MINE DEVELOPMENT	15,122	15,122
125	0604610N	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	33,738	33,738
126	0604654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	8,123	8,123
127	0604703N	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FAC- TORS.	7,686	7,686
128	0604727N	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	405	405
129	0604755N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	153,836	153,836
130	0604756N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	99,619	99,619
131	0604757N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	116,798	116,798
132	0604761N	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING	4,353	4,353
133	0604771N	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	9,443	9,443
134	0604777N	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	32,469	32,469
135	0604800M	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD	537,901	537,901
136	0604800N	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD	504,736	504,736
137	0604810M	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER FOLLOW ON DEVELOPMENT—MA- RINE CORPS.	59,265	46,765
		Program delay		[-12,500]
138	0604810N	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER FOLLOW ON DEVELOPMENT— NAVY.	47,579	35,079
		Program delay		[-12,500]
139	0605013M	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	5,914	5,914
140	0605013N	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	89,711	89,711
141	0605212N	CH-53K RDTE	632,092	632,092
142	0605220N	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR (SSC)	7,778	7,778
143	0605450N	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	25,898	25,898
144	0605500N	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA)	247,929	247,929
145	0204202N	DDG-1000	103,199	103,199
146	0304231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM—MIP	998	998
147	0304785N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS	17,785	17,785
148	0305124N	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	35,905	35,905
		SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEM- ONSTRATION.	6,308,800	6,308,800
		MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
149	0604256N	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	30,769	30,769
150	0604258N	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	112,606	112,606
151	0604759N	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	61,234	61,234
152	0605126N	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZA- TION.	6,995	6,995
153	0605152N	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY	4,011	4,011
154	0605154N	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	48,563	48,563
155	0605285N	NEXT GENERATION FIGHTER	5,000	5,000
157	0605804N	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	925	925
158	0605853N	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	78,143	78,143
159	0605856N	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	3,258	3,258
160	0605861N	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT	76,948	76,948
161	0605863N	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	132,122	132,122

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
162	0605864N	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	351,912	351,912
163	0605865N	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY	17,985	17,985
164	0605866N	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT	5,316	5,316
165	0605867N	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	6,519	6,519
166	0605873M	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT	13,649	13,649
		SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	955,955	955,955
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT				
174	0101221N	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	107,039	107,039
175	0101224N	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	46,506	46,506
176	0101226N	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	3,900	3,900
177	0101402N	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	16,569	16,569
178	0203761N	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	18,632	18,632
179	0204136N	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	133,265	133,265
181	0204163N	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	62,867	62,867
182	0204228N	SURFACE SUPPORT	36,045	36,045
183	0204229N	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPIC)	25,228	25,228
184	0204311N	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	54,218	54,218
185	0204413N	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS (DISPLACEMENT CRAFT)	11,335	11,335
186	0204460M	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (G/ATOR)	80,129	80,129
187	0204571N	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	39,087	54,087
		Anti-Submarine Warfare Underwater Range Instrumentation Up- grade.		[15,000]
188	0204574N	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	1,915	1,915
189	0204575N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT	46,609	46,609
190	0205601N	HARM IMPROVEMENT	52,708	52,708
191	0205604N	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	149,997	149,997
192	0205620N	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	24,460	24,460
193	0205632N	MK-48 ADCAP	42,206	42,206
194	0205633N	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	117,759	117,759
195	0205675N	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	101,323	101,323
196	0206313M	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	67,763	67,763
197	0206335M	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (CAC2S)	13,431	13,431
198	0206623M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYS- TEMS.	56,769	56,769
199	0206624M	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	20,729	20,729
200	0206625M	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP)	13,152	13,152
201	0206629M	AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT VEHICLE	48,535	48,535
202	0207161N	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	76,016	76,016
203	0207163N	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	32,172	32,172
208	0303109N	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	53,239	53,239
209	0303138N	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES (CANES)	21,677	21,677
210	0303140N	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	28,102	28,102
211	0303150M	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	294	294
213	0305160N	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC)	599	599
214	0305192N	MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (MIP) ACTIVITIES	6,207	6,207
215	0305204N	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	8,550	8,550
216	0305205N	UAS INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY	41,831	41,831
217	0305208M	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	1,105	1,105
218	0305208N	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	33,149	33,149
219	0305220N	RQ-4 UAV	227,188	227,188
220	0305231N	MQ-8 UAV	52,770	52,770
221	0305232M	RQ-11 UAV	635	635
222	0305233N	RQ-7 UAV	688	688
223	0305234N	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO)	4,647	4,647
224	0305239M	RQ-21A	6,435	6,435
225	0305241N	MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT	49,145	49,145
226	0305242M	UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS (UAS) PAYLOADS (MIP)	9,246	9,246
227	0305421N	RQ-4 MODERNIZATION	150,854	150,854
228	0308601N	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	4,757	4,757
229	0702207N	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	24,185	24,185
231	0708730N	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH)	4,321	4,321
231A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,252,185	1,252,185
		SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOP- MENT.	3,482,173	3,497,173
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY.	17,885,916	16,577,223
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF BASIC RESEARCH				
001	0601102F	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	329,721	329,721

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
002	0601103F	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	141,754	141,754
003	0601108F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	13,778	13,778
		SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH	485,253	485,253
		APPLIED RESEARCH		
004	0602102F	MATERIALS	125,234	125,234
005	0602201F	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES	123,438	123,438
006	0602202F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	100,530	90,530
		Program decrease		[-10,000]
007	0602203F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	182,326	182,326
008	0602204F	AEROSPACE SENSORS	147,291	147,291
009	0602601F	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	116,122	116,122
010	0602602F	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	99,851	99,851
011	0602605F	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	115,604	115,604
012	0602788F	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS	164,909	164,909
013	0602890F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	42,037	42,037
		SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH	1,217,342	1,207,342
		ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT		
014	0603112F	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	37,665	47,665
		Metals Affordability Initiative		[10,000]
015	0603199F	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T)	18,378	18,378
016	0603203F	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	42,183	42,183
017	0603211F	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	100,733	100,733
018	0603216F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	168,821	168,821
019	0603270F	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	47,032	47,032
020	0603401F	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	54,897	54,897
021	0603444F	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS)	12,853	12,853
022	0603456F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	25,448	25,448
023	0603601F	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	48,536	48,536
024	0603605F	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	30,195	30,195
025	0603680F	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	42,630	52,630
		Maturation of advanced manufacturing for low-cost sustainment ..		[10,000]
026	0603788F	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION.	46,414	46,414
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	675,785	695,785
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES		
027	0603260F	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	5,032	5,032
029	0603438F	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	4,070	4,070
030	0603742F	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	21,790	21,790
031	0603790F	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	4,736	4,736
033	0603830F	SPACE SECURITY AND DEFENSE PROGRAM	30,771	30,771
034	0603851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—DEM/VAL	39,765	39,765
036	0604015F	LONG RANGE STRIKE	1,246,228	786,228
		Program decrease		[-460,000]
037	0604317F	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	3,512	13,512
		Technology transfer program increase		[10,000]
038	0604327F	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM (HDBTDS) PROGRAM.	54,637	54,637
040	0604422F	WEATHER SYSTEM FOLLOW-ON	76,108	56,108
		Unjustified increase and analysis of alternatives		[-20,000]
044	0604857F	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE	6,457	20,457
		SSA, Weather, or Launch Activities		[14,000]
045	0604858F	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM	246,514	246,514
046	0605230F	GROUND BASED STRATEGIC DETERRENT	75,166	75,166
049	0207110F	NEXT GENERATION AIR DOMINANCE	8,830	3,930
		Program reduction		[-4,900]
050	0207455F	THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR (3DELRR)	14,939	14,939
051	0305164F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) (SPACE).	142,288	142,288
052	0306250F	CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	81,732	81,732
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES.	2,062,575	1,601,675
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION		
055	0604270F	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	929	929
056	0604281F	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	60,256	60,256
057	0604287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	5,973	5,973
058	0604329F	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)—EMD	32,624	32,624
059	0604421F	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	24,208	24,208
060	0604425F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	32,374	32,374
061	0604426F	SPACE FENCE	243,909	243,909
062	0604429F	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK	8,358	8,358
063	0604441F	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD	292,235	302,235

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
		Exploitation of SBIRS		[10,000]
064	0604602F	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	40,154	40,154
065	0604604F	SUBMUNITIONS	2,506	2,506
066	0604617F	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	57,678	57,678
067	0604706F	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	8,187	8,187
068	0604735F	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	15,795	15,795
069	0604800F	F-35—EMD	589,441	589,441
071	0604853F	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)—EMD.	84,438	184,438
		EELV Program—Launch Vehicle Development		[-84,438]
		EELV Program—Rocket Propulsion System Development		[184,438]
072	0604932F	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON	36,643	36,643
073	0604933F	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION	142,551	142,551
074	0605213F	F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B	140,640	140,640
075	0605214F	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT	3,598	3,598
076	0605221F	KC-46	602,364	402,364
		Program decrease		[-200,000]
077	0605223F	ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING	11,395	11,395
078	0605229F	CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION	156,085	156,085
080	0605431F	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	228,230	228,230
081	0605432F	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	72,084	72,084
082	0605433F	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE)	56,343	52,343
		Excess to need		[-4,000]
083	0605458F	AIR & SPACE OPS CENTER 10.2 RDT&E	47,629	47,629
084	0605931F	B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	271,961	271,961
085	0101125F	NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION	212,121	212,121
086	0207171F	F-15 EPAWSS	186,481	186,481
087	0207701F	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	18,082	18,082
088	0305176F	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR	993	993
089	0307581F	NEXTGEN JSTARS	44,343	44,343
091	0401319F	PRESIDENTIAL AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT (PAR)	102,620	102,620
092	0701212F	AUTOMATED TEST SYSTEMS	14,563	14,563
		SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION.	3,847,791	3,753,791
		MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
093	0604256F	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	23,844	23,844
094	0604759F	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	68,302	73,302
		Airborne Sensor Data Correlation Project		[5,000]
095	0605101F	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	34,918	34,918
097	0605712F	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	10,476	10,476
098	0605807F	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	673,908	673,908
099	0605860F	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	21,858	21,858
100	0605864F	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	28,228	28,228
101	0605976F	FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT.	40,518	40,518
102	0605978F	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUP- PORT.	27,895	27,895
103	0606017F	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	16,507	16,507
104	0606116F	SPACE TEST AND TRAINING RANGE DEVELOPMENT	18,997	18,997
106	0606392F	SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE	185,305	185,305
107	0308602F	ENTEPRISE INFORMATION SERVICES (EIS)	4,841	4,841
108	0702806F	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	15,357	15,357
109	0804731F	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	1,315	1,315
111	1001004F	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	2,315	2,315
		SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,174,584	1,179,584
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		
112	0603423F	GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III—OPERATIONAL CON- TROL SEGMENT.	350,232	350,232
113	0604233F	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	10,465	10,465
114	0604445F	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE	24,577	24,577
117	0605018F	AF INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM (AF-IPPS) ..	69,694	69,694
118	0605024F	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	26,718	26,718
119	0605278F	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E	10,807	10,807
121	0101113F	B-52 SQUADRONS	74,520	74,520
122	0101122F	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	451	451
123	0101126F	B-1B SQUADRONS	2,245	2,245
124	0101127F	B-2 SQUADRONS	108,183	108,183
125	0101213F	MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS	178,929	178,929
126	0101313F	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM	28,481	28,481
127	0101314F	NIGHT FIST—USSTRATCOM	87	87
128	0101316F	WORLDWIDE JOINT STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	5,315	5,315
131	0105921F	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—SPACE ACTIVITIES	8,090	8,090
132	0205219F	MQ-9 UAV	123,439	123,439
134	0207131F	A-10 SQUADRONS		16,200
		A-10 restoration: operational flight program development		[16,200]
135	0207133F	F-16 SQUADRONS	148,297	188,297

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
		AESA Radar Integration		[50,000]
		Unobligated balances		[-10,000]
136	0207134F	F-15E SQUADRONS	179,283	169,283
		Duplicative effort with the Navy		[-10,000]
137	0207136F	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	14,860	14,860
138	0207138F	F-22A SQUADRONS	262,552	262,552
139	0207142F	F-35 SQUADRONS	115,395	90,395
		Program delay		[-25,000]
140	0207161F	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	43,360	43,360
141	0207163F	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	46,160	46,160
143	0207224F	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY	412	412
144	0207227F	COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE	657	657
145	0207247F	AF TENCAP	31,428	31,428
146	0207249F	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	1,105	1,105
147	0207253F	COMPASS CALL	14,249	14,249
148	0207268F	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	103,942	103,942
149	0207325F	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	12,793	12,793
150	0207410F	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	21,193	21,193
151	0207412F	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC)	559	559
152	0207417F	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)	161,812	161,812
153	0207418F	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS	6,001	6,001
155	0207431F	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	7,793	7,793
156	0207444F	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY-MOD	12,465	12,465
157	0207448F	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	1,681	1,681
159	0207452F	DCAPES	16,796	16,796
161	0207590F	SEEK EAGLE	21,564	21,564
162	0207601F	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION	24,994	24,994
163	0207605F	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS	6,035	6,035
164	0207697F	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	4,358	4,358
165	0208006F	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	55,835	55,835
167	0208087F	AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	12,874	12,874
168	0208088F	AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	7,681	7,681
171	0301017F	GLOBAL SENSOR INTEGRATED ON NETWORK (GSIN)	5,974	5,974
177	0301400F	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE	13,815	13,815
178	0302015F	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC)	80,360	80,360
179	0303001F	FAMILY OF ADVANCED BLOS TERMINALS (FAB-T)	3,907	3,907
180	0303131F	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN)	75,062	75,062
181	0303140F	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	46,599	46,599
183	0303142F	GLOBAL FORCE MANAGEMENT—DATA INITIATIVE	2,470	2,470
186	0304260F	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE	112,775	112,775
189	0305099F	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)	4,235	4,235
192	0305110F	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE)	7,879	5,879
		Unjustified increase in systems engineering		[-2,000]
193	0305111F	WEATHER SERVICE	29,955	29,955
194	0305114F	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCALS)	21,485	21,485
195	0305116F	AERIAL TARGETS	2,515	2,515
198	0305128F	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	472	472
199	0305145F	ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION	12,137	12,137
200	0305146F	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	361	361
203	0305173F	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	3,162	3,162
204	0305174F	SPACE INNOVATION, INTEGRATION AND RAPID TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	1,543	1,543
205	0305179F	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (IBS)	7,860	7,860
206	0305182F	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	6,902	6,902
207	0305202F	DRAGON U-2	34,471	34,471
209	0305206F	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	50,154	60,154
		Wide Area Surveillance Capability		[10,000]
210	0305207F	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	13,245	13,245
211	0305208F	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	22,784	22,784
212	0305219F	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV	716	716
213	0305220F	RQ-4 UAV	208,053	208,053
214	0305221F	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING	21,587	21,587
215	0305236F	COMMON DATA LINK EXECUTIVE AGENT (CDL EA)	43,986	43,986
216	0305238F	NATO AGS	197,486	197,486
217	0305240F	SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE	28,434	28,434
218	0305265F	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	180,902	180,902
220	0305614F	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM	81,911	81,911
221	0305881F	RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION	3,149	3,149
222	0305913F	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	14,447	14,447
223	0305940F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	20,077	20,077
225	0308699F	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW)	853	853
226	0401115F	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON	33,962	33,962
227	0401119F	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS (IF)	42,864	42,864
228	0401130F	C-17 AIRCRAFT (IF)	54,807	54,807
229	0401132F	C-130J PROGRAM	31,010	31,010
230	0401134F	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCUM)	6,802	6,802

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
231	0401219F	KC-10S	1,799	1,799
232	0401314F	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT	48,453	48,453
233	0401318F	CV-22	36,576	36,576
235	0408011F	SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL	7,963	7,963
236	0702207F	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	1,525	1,525
237	0708610F	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT)	112,676	112,676
238	0708611F	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	12,657	12,657
239	0804743F	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING	1,836	1,836
240	0808716F	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES	121	121
241	0901202F	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY	5,911	5,911
242	0901218F	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	3,604	3,604
243	0901220F	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	4,598	4,598
244	0901226F	AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY	1,103	1,103
246	0901538F	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.	101,840	101,840
246A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	12,780,142	12,780,142
		SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.	17,010,339	17,039,539
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF.	26,473,669	25,962,969
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW		
		BASIC RESEARCH		
001	0601000BR	DTRA BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVE	38,436	38,436
002	0601101E	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	333,119	333,119
003	0601110D8Z	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES	42,022	42,022
004	0601117E	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE	56,544	56,544
005	0601120D8Z	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	49,453	59,453
		STEM program increase		[10,000]
006	0601228D8Z	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES/MINORITY INSTITUTIONS.	25,834	25,834
007	0601384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	46,261	46,261
		SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH	591,669	601,669
		APPLIED RESEARCH		
008	0602000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	19,352	19,352
009	0602115E	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	114,262	114,262
010	0602234D8Z	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	51,026	51,026
011	0602251D8Z	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF S&T PRIORITIES.	48,226	48,226
012	0602303E	INFORMATION & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	356,358	356,358
014	0602383E	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	29,265	29,265
015	0602384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	208,111	208,111
016	0602668D8Z	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH	13,727	13,727
018	0602702E	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	314,582	314,582
019	0602715E	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY	220,115	195,115
		Program decrease		[-25,000]
020	0602716E	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	174,798	174,798
021	0602718BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES.	155,415	155,415
022	0602751D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE (SEI) APPLIED RESEARCH.	8,824	8,824
023	1160401BB	SOF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	37,517	37,517
		SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH	1,751,578	1,726,578
		ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT		
024	0603000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	25,915	25,915
026	0603122D8Z	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	71,171	96,171
		Increase for Combating Terrorism Technology Activities		[25,000]
027	0603133D8Z	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	21,782	21,782
028	0603160BR	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIFERATION PREVENTION AND DEFEAT.	290,654	290,654
030	0603176C	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT ...	12,139	12,139
031	0603177C	DISCRIMINATION SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	28,200	28,200
032	0603178C	WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	45,389	3,131
		High Power Directed Energy—Missile Destruct		[-30,291]
		Move to support Multiple Object Kill Vehicle		[-11,967]
033	0603179C	ADVANCED C4ISR	9,876	9,876
034	0603180C	ADVANCED RESEARCH	17,364	17,364
035	0603225D8Z	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	18,802	18,802
036	0603264S	AGILE TRANSPORTATION FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21)—THEATER CAPABILITY.	2,679	2,679
037	0603274C	SPECIAL PROGRAM—MDA TECHNOLOGY	64,708	64,708
038	0603286E	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	185,043	185,043
039	0603287E	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY	126,692	126,692
040	0603288D8Z	ANALYTIC ASSESSMENTS	14,645	14,645
041	0603289D8Z	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS	59,830	49,830

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
		Program decrease		[-10,000]
042	0603294C	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY	46,753	2,195
		MOKV Concept Development		[-44,558]
043	0603384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.	140,094	140,094
044	0603527D8Z	RETRACT LARCH	118,666	118,666
045	0603618D8Z	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	43,966	30,466
		Program decrease		[-13,500]
046	0603648D8Z	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	141,540	131,540
		Program decrease		[-10,000]
047	0603662D8Z	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES	6,980	6,980
050	0603680D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.	157,056	142,056
		Unjustified growth		[-15,000]
051	0603699D8Z	EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	33,515	43,515
		Efforts to counter-ISIL and Russian aggression		[10,000]
052	0603712S	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	16,543	16,543
053	0603713S	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY.	29,888	29,888
054	0603716D8Z	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM	65,836	65,836
055	0603720S	MICROELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT.	79,037	99,037
		Trusted Source Implementation for Field Programmable Gate Arrays Study.		[20,000]
056	0603727D8Z	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	9,626	9,626
057	0603739E	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES	79,021	79,021
058	0603760E	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	201,335	201,335
059	0603766E	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	452,861	427,861
		Excessive program growth		[-25,000]
060	0603767E	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	257,127	257,127
061	0603769SE	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	10,771	10,771
062	0603781D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	15,202	15,202
063	0603826D8Z	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	90,500	70,500
		Unjustified growth		[-20,000]
066	0603833D8Z	ENGINEERING SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	18,377	18,377
067	0603941D8Z	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	82,589	82,589
068	0604055D8Z	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT	37,420	37,420
069	0303310D8Z	CWMD SYSTEMS	42,488	42,488
070	1160402BB	SOF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	57,741	57,741
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	3,229,821	3,104,505
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES		
071	0603161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E ADC&P.	31,710	31,710
073	0603600D8Z	WALKOFF	90,567	90,567
074	0603714D8Z	ADVANCED SENSORS APPLICATION PROGRAM	15,900	19,900
		Advanced Sensors Application Program		[4,000]
075	0603851D8Z	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM.	52,758	52,758
076	0603881C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT.	228,021	228,021
077	0603882C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT.	1,284,891	1,284,891
077A	0603XXXX	MULTIPLE-OBJECT KILL VEHICLE		86,525
		Adding from Weapons Technology Line		[11,967]
		Establish MOKV Program of Record		[74,558]
078	0603884BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—DEVAL.	172,754	172,754
079	0603884C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	233,588	233,588
080	0603890C	BMD ENABLING PROGRAMS	409,088	409,088
080A	0603XXXC	WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY—HIGH POWER DE		30,291
		High Power Directed Energy—Missile Destruct		[30,291]
081	0603891C	SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA	400,387	400,387
082	0603892C	AEGIS BMD	843,355	870,675
		Undifferentiated Block IB costs		[27,320]
083	0603893C	SPACE TRACKING & SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	31,632	31,632
084	0603895C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS ..	23,289	23,289
085	0603896C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BATTLE MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATI.	450,085	450,085
086	0603898C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT.	49,570	49,570
087	0603904C	MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION & OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC).	49,211	49,211
088	0603906C	REGARDING TRENCH	9,583	9,583
089	0603907C	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX)	72,866	72,866

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
090	0603913C	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	102,795	267,595
		Arrow 3		[19,500]
		Arrow System Improvement Program		[45,500]
		David's Sling		[99,800]
091	0603914C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST	274,323	274,323
092	0603915C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS	513,256	513,256
092A	0603XXXC	INF RESPONSE OPTION DEVELOPMENT		25,000
		Program increase		[25,000]
093	0603920D8Z	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING	10,129	10,129
094	0603923D8Z	COALITION WARFARE	10,350	10,350
095	0604016D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM	1,518	6,518
		Corrosion		[5,000]
096	0604115C	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES	96,300	96,300
097	0604250D8Z	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	469,798	469,798
098	0604400D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT.	3,129	3,129
103	0604826J	JOINT C5 CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT, INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY ASSESSMENTS.	25,200	25,200
105	0604873C	LONG RANGE DISCRIMINATION RADAR (LRDR)	137,564	137,564
106	0604874C	IMPROVED HOMELAND DEFENSE INTERCEPTORS	278,944	278,944
107	0604876C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEG- MENT TEST.	26,225	26,225
108	0604878C	AEGIS BMD TEST	55,148	55,148
109	0604879C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSOR TEST	86,764	86,764
110	0604880C	LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3)	34,970	34,970
111	0604881C	AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT	172,645	172,645
112	0604887C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE SEGMENT TEST	64,618	64,618
114	0303191D8Z	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM	2,660	2,660
115	0305103C	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	963	963
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOP- MENT AND PROTOTYPES.	6,816,554	7,159,490
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION		
116	0604161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E SDD.	8,800	8,800
117	0604165D8Z	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT	78,817	78,817
118	0604384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—EMD	303,647	303,647
119	0604764K	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS- JPO).	23,424	23,424
120	0604771D8Z	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS).	14,285	14,285
121	0605000BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES	7,156	7,156
122	0605013BL	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	12,542	12,542
123	0605021SE	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE	191	191
124	0605022D8Z	DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM	3,273	3,273
125	0605027D8Z	OUS(D) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES	5,962	5,962
126	0605070S	DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEM- ONSTRATION.	13,412	13,412
127	0605075D8Z	DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION	2,223	2,223
128	0605080S	DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES (DAI)—FINANCIAL SYSTEM	31,660	31,660
129	0605090S	DEFENSE RETIRED AND ANNUITANT PAY SYSTEM (DRAS)	13,085	13,085
130	0605210D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILI- TIES.	7,209	7,209
131	0303141K	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	15,158	15,158
132	0305304D8Z	DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEIM).	4,414	4,414
		SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEM- ONSTRATION.	545,258	545,258
		MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
133	0604774D8Z	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS)	5,581	5,581
134	0604875D8Z	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT	3,081	3,081
135	0604940D8Z	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOP- MENT (CTEIP).	229,125	229,125
136	0604942D8Z	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS	28,674	21,674
		Program decrease		[-7,000]
138	0605100D8Z	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC)	45,235	45,235
139	0605104D8Z	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	24,936	24,936
141	0605126J	JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANI- ZATION (JIAMDO).	35,471	35,471
144	0605142D8Z	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	37,655	37,655
145	0605151D8Z	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—OSD	3,015	3,015
146	0605161D8Z	NUCLEAR MATTERS-PHYSICAL SECURITY	5,287	5,287
147	0605170D8Z	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	5,289	5,289
148	0605200D8Z	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	2,120	2,120
149	0605384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	102,264	102,264
158	0605790D8Z	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH (SBIR)/ SMALL BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER.	2,169	2,169

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
159	0605798D8Z	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	13,960	13,960
160	0605801KA	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC)	51,775	51,775
161	0605803SE	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND EVALUATION.	9,533	9,533
162	0605804D8Z	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	17,371	21,371
		Program increase		[4,000]
163	0605898E	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D	71,571	71,571
164	0606100D8Z	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	4,123	4,123
165	0203345D8Z	DEFENSE OPERATIONS SECURITY INITIATIVE (DOSI)	1,946	1,946
166	0204571J	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	7,673	7,673
169	0303166J	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILI- TIES.	10,413	10,413
170	0303260D8Z	DEFENSE MILITARY DECEPTION PROGRAM OFFICE (DMDPO).	971	971
171	0305193D8Z	CYBER INTELLIGENCE	6,579	6,579
173	0804767D8Z	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANS- FORMATION (CE2T2)—MHA.	43,811	43,811
174	0901598C	MANAGEMENT HQ—MDA	35,871	35,871
176	0903230D8W	WHS—MISSION OPERATIONS SUPPORT - IT	1,072	1,072
177A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	49,500	49,500
		SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	856,071	853,071
OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT				
178	0604130V	ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS)	7,929	7,929
179	0605127T	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH (RIO) AND PART- NERSHIP FOR PEACE INFORMATION MANA.	1,750	1,750
180	0605147T	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFOR- MATION SYSTEM (OHASIS).	294	294
181	0607210D8Z	INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT	22,576	22,576
182	0607310D8Z	CWMD SYSTEMS: OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT ..	1,901	1,901
183	0607327T	GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS (G-TSCMIS).	8,474	8,474
184	0607384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT).	33,561	33,561
186	0208043J	PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM (PDAS)	3,061	3,061
187	0208045K	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	64,921	64,921
189	0301144K	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING	3,645	3,645
193	0302016K	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT	963	963
194	0302019K	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND IN- TEGRATION.	10,186	10,186
195	0303126K	LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS—DCS	36,883	36,883
196	0303131K	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NET- WORK (MEECN).	13,735	13,735
197	0303135G	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI)	6,101	6,101
198	0303136G	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI)	43,867	43,867
199	0303140D8Z	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	8,957	8,957
200	0303140G	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	146,890	146,890
201	0303150K	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	21,503	21,503
202	0303153K	DEFENSE SPECTRUM ORGANIZATION	20,342	20,342
203	0303170K	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	444	444
205	0303610K	TELEPORT PROGRAM	1,736	1,736
206	0304210BB	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES	65,060	19,460
		Ahead of need		[—45,600]
210	0305103K	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	2,976	2,976
215	0305186D8Z	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS	4,182	4,182
216	0305199D8Z	NET CENTRICITY	18,130	18,130
218	0305208BB	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	5,302	5,302
221	0305208K	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	3,239	3,239
225	0305327V	INSIDER THREAT	11,733	11,733
226	0305387D8Z	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM	2,119	2,119
234	0708011S	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	24,605	24,605
235	0708012S	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	1,770	1,770
236	0902298J	MANAGEMENT HQ—OJCS	2,978	2,978
237	1105219BB	MQ-9 UAV	18,151	23,151
		Medium Altitude Long Endurance Tactical (MALET) MQ-9 Un- manned Aerial Vehicle.		[5,000]
238	1105232BB	RQ-11 UAV	758	758
240	1160403BB	AVIATION SYSTEMS	173,934	189,134
		MC-130 Terrain Following/Terrain Avoidance Radar Program		[15,200]
241	1160405BB	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	6,866	6,866
242	1160408BB	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	63,008	63,008
243	1160431BB	WARRIOR SYSTEMS	25,342	25,342
244	1160432BB	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	3,401	3,401
245	1160480BB	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES	3,212	3,212
246	1160483BB	MARITIME SYSTEMS	63,597	64,597
		Combat Diver		[1,000]
247	1160489BB	GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	3,933	3,933
248	1160490BB	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	10,623	10,623

16

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
248A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,564,272	3,564,272
		SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	4,538,910	4,514,510
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW.	18,329,861	18,505,081
		OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
001	0605118OTE	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION	76,838	76,838
002	0605131OTE	LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION	46,882	46,882
003	0605814OTE	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES	46,838	46,838
		SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	170,558	170,558
		TOTAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE	170,558	170,558
		TOTAL RDT&E	69,779,182	68,225,509

○

1 **SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUA-**
2 **TION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPER-**
3 **ATIONS.**

SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES				
060	0603747A	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	1,500	1,500
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES.	1,500	1,500
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY.	1,500	1,500
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT				
231A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	35,747	35,747
		SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	35,747	35,747
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY.	35,747	35,747
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT				
133	0205671F	JOINT COUNTER ROIED ELECTRONIC WARFARE	300	300
246A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	16,800	16,800
		SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	17,100	17,100
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF.	17,100	17,100
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
026	0603122D8Z	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT		25,000
		Combating Terrorism and Technical Support Office		[25,000]
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.		25,000
OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT				
248A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	137,087	137,087
		SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT ...	137,087	137,087
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW.	137,087	162,087
		TOTAL RDT&E	191,434	216,434

TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	MANEUVER UNITS	1,094,429	1,594,429
	Force Readiness Restoration—Operations Tempo		[500,000]
060	AVIATION ASSETS	1,546,129	1,687,829
	Flying Hour Program Restoration Unfunded Requirement ..		[55,000]
	H–60 A-L Conversion Acceleration		[86,700]
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	3,158,606	3,272,606
	Army Reserve cyber education efforts		[6,000]
	Insider Threat Unfunded Requirements		[80,000]
	Open Source Intelligence/Human Terrain Systems Un- funded Requirements		[28,000]
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,214,116	1,215,846
	Gun Tube Depot Maintenance Shortfall Recovery Acceleration		[1,730]
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	7,616,008	7,607,508
	Public Affairs at Local Installations Unjustified Growth		[–8,500]
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	2,617,169	2,809,869
	GTMO Critical Building Maintenance		[20,500]
	Restore Sustainment shortfalls		[172,200]
170	COMBATANT COMMANDS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT ..	448,633	469,633
	Afloat Forward Staging Base Unfunded Requirement		[21,000]
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	17,695,090	18,657,720
TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	981,000	990,800
	Cyber Defender (25D) Series Course		[9,800]
260	FLIGHT TRAINING	940,872	984,472
	Cyber Basic Officer Leadership Course		[3,100]
	Initial Entry Rotary Wing Training Backlog Reduction		[40,500]
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	230,324	247,624
	Advanced Civil Schooling – Civilian Graduate School 10 Percent Reduction		[–3,000]
	Unmanned Aircraft Systems Training		[20,300]
280	TRAINING SUPPORT	603,519	631,519
	Intelligence Support for PACOM Unfunded Requirement		[28,000]
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	491,922	491,922
330	JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS	170,118	170,118
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	3,417,755	3,516,455
ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES			
370	LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	714,781	715,141
	TRADOC Mobile Training Team (MTT) Support Unfunded Requirement		[360]
390	ADMINISTRATION	384,813	376,313
	Unjustified Growth in Public Affairs		[–8,500]
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	1,119,848	1,115,348
	Spirit of America program growth		[–4,500]
530	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	490,368	490,368
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES ...	2,709,810	2,697,170
UNDISTRIBUTED			
540	UNDISTRIBUTED		–1,110,300
	Excessive standard price for fuel		[–83,400]
	Foreign Currency adjustments		[–431,000]
	Unobligated balances		[–595,900]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		–1,110,300
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY	23,822,655	23,761,045

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES OPERATING FORCES			
060	AVIATION ASSETS	87,587	87,587
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	59,574	59,574
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	570,852	570,852
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	245,686	259,286
	Restore Sustainment shortfalls		[13,600]
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	963,699	977,299
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
140	ADMINISTRATION	18,390	18,390
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	52,928	52,928
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	71,318	71,318
UNDISTRIBUTED			
190	UNDISTRIBUTED		-7,600
	Excessive standard price for fuel		[-7,600]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-7,600
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES	1,035,017	1,041,017
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG OPERATING FORCES			
010	MANEUVER UNITS	709,433	1,094,533
	Increased Operations Tempo to Meet Readiness Objectives		[385,100]
060	AVIATION ASSETS	943,609	1,063,009
	C3 High Frequency Radio System Unfunded Requirement		[5,600]
	Operational Support and Initial Entry Rotary Wing Train- ing		[69,900]
	Restoration of Flying Hours Unfunded Requirement		[43,900]
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	166,848	166,848
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,022,970	1,022,970
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	673,680	708,880
	Restore Sustainment shortfalls		[35,200]
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	3,516,540	4,056,240
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
140	ADMINISTRATION	59,629	59,219
	National Guard State Partnership Program increase		[1,000]
	NGB Heritage Painting Program		[-1,410]
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	59,629	59,219
UNDISTRIBUTED			
200	UNDISTRIBUTED		-25,300
	Excessive standard price for fuel		[-25,300]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-25,300
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG	3,576,169	4,090,159
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY OPERATING FORCES			
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	4,940,365	4,943,665
	Aviation Readiness Restoration—CH-53 Contract Mainte- nance		[3,300]
020	FLEET AIR TRAINING	1,830,611	1,830,611
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	103,456	110,256
	MV-22 Fleet Engineering Support Unfunded Requirement		[6,800]
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	376,844	390,744
	Aviation Readiness Restoration—AV-8B Program Related Logistics		[4,000]
	Aviation Readiness Restoration—CH-53 Program Related Logistics		[1,900]
	Aviation Readiness Restoration—MV-22 Program Related Logistics		[1,200]
	MV-22 Fleet Engineering Support Unfunded Requirement		[6,800]

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	897,536	914,536
	Aviation Readiness Restoration—AV-8B Depot Maintenance		[11,200]
	Aviation Readiness Restoration—CH-53 Depot Maintenance		[1,000]
	Aviation Readiness Restoration—F-18 Depot Maintenance		[4,800]
080	AVIATION LOGISTICS	544,056	555,956
	Aviation Readiness Restoration—MV-22 Aviation Logistics		[5,300]
	KC-130J Aviation Logistics Unfunded Requirement		[6,600]
090	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	4,287,658	4,287,658
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	5,960,951	5,960,951
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,554,863	1,554,863
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,443	2,443
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	73,110	73,110
230	CRUISE MISSILE	110,734	110,734
240	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,206,736	1,206,736
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	523,122	535,122
	Ship Self-Defense Systems Maintenance Backlog Reduction		[12,000]
290	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	2,220,423	2,245,723
	Restore Sustainment shortfalls		[25,300]
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,472,468	4,472,468
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	29,105,376	29,195,576
MOBILIZATION			
320	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	6,464	6,964
	Aviation Readiness Restoration—F-18 Aircraft Activations/Inactivations		[500]
330	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	361,764	361,764
	SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION	368,228	368,728
TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
380	RECRUIT TRAINING	9,035	9,035
410	FLIGHT TRAINING	8,171	8,171
420	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	168,471	152,971
	Civilian Institutions Graduate Education Program		[–16,500]
	Naval Sea Cadets		[1,000]
440	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	234,233	234,733
	1–800 US Navy Call Center		[500]
470	JUNIOR ROTC	47,653	47,653
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	467,563	452,563
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
480	ADMINISTRATION	923,771	914,771
	Navy Fleet Band National Tours		[–5,000]
	Unjustified Growth External Relations		[–3,500]
	Unjustified Growth Navy Call Center		[–500]
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	13,967	10,467
	Navy External Relations		[–3,500]
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	265,948	260,948
	Navy Fleet Band National Tour		[–5,000]
590	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT	48,587	48,587
600	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS	25,599	25,599
610	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	72,768	72,768
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	577,803	577,803
710	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	560,754	560,754
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	2,489,197	2,471,697
UNDISTRIBUTED			
720	UNDISTRIBUTED		–889,400
	Excessive standard price for fuel		[–591,400]
	Foreign Currency adjustments		[–87,000]
	Unobligated balances		[–211,000]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		–889,400
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY	32,430,364	31,599,164
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS OPERATING FORCES			

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES	931,079	931,079
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	227,583	227,583
050	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	746,237	775,037
	Restore Sustainment shortfalls		[28,800]
060	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	2,057,362	2,057,362
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	3,962,261	3,991,061
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
100	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	40,786	40,786
120	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	164,806	164,806
140	JUNIOR ROTC	23,397	23,397
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	228,989	228,989
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
160	ADMINISTRATION	358,395	342,595
	Unjustified Growth Marine Corps Heritage Center		[-15,800]
200	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	45,429	45,429
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	403,824	388,024
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
210	UNDISTRIBUTED		-339,000
	Excessive standard price for fuel		[-24,600]
	Foreign Currency adjustments		[-28,000]
	Unobligated balances		[-286,400]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-339,000
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MA- RINE CORPS	4,595,074	4,269,074
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES OPERATING FORCES		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	563,722	607,222
	Reversing the disestablishment of HSC-84 and HSC-85		[43,500]
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	6,218	6,218
030	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	82,712	82,712
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	326	326
050	AVIATION LOGISTICS	13,436	13,436
070	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	557	557
130	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	48,513	49,213
	Restore Sustainment shortfalls		[700]
140	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	102,858	102,858
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	818,342	862,542
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
150	ADMINISTRATION	1,505	1,505
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	1,505	1,505
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
210	UNDISTRIBUTED		-39,700
	Excessive standard price for fuel		[-39,700]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-39,700
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES	819,847	824,347
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE OPERATING FORCES		
010	OPERATING FORCES	97,631	97,631
020	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	18,254	18,254
030	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	28,653	30,053
	Restore Sustainment shortfalls		[1,400]
040	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	111,923	111,923
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	256,461	257,861
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
060	ADMINISTRATION	10,866	10,866
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	8,785	8,785
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	19,651	19,651
	UNDISTRIBUTED		

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
080	UNDISTRIBUTED		-1,000
	Excessive standard price for fuel		[-1,000]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-1,000
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE	276,112	276,512
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE OPERATING FORCES		
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	3,336,868	3,612,468
	A-10 restoration: Force Structure Restoration		[249,700]
	A-10 to F-15E Training Transition		[-1,400]
	EC-130H Force Structure Restoration		[27,300]
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	1,897,315	1,935,015
	Increase Range Use Support Unfunded Requirement		[37,700]
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) A-10 to F-15E Training Transition	1,797,549	1,719,349
			[-78,200]
040	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	6,537,127	6,537,127
050	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	1,997,712	2,132,812
	Restore Sustainment shortfalls		[135,100]
060	BASE SUPPORT	2,841,948	2,841,948
070	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	930,341	930,341
080	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS	924,845	924,845
120	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUP- PORT	900,965	900,965
135	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	907,496	907,496
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	22,072,166	22,442,366
	MOBILIZATION		
160	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,617,571	1,617,571
170	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	259,956	259,956
180	BASE SUPPORT	708,799	708,799
	SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION	2,586,326	2,586,326
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
220	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	228,500	228,500
230	BASE SUPPORT	772,870	772,870
240	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	359,304	379,304
	Remotely Piloted Aircraft Flight Training Acceleration		[20,000]
250	FLIGHT TRAINING	710,553	730,553
	Unmanned Aerial Surveillance (UAS) Training		[20,000]
260	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	228,252	227,322
	Air Force Civilian Graduate Education Program Unjustified Growth		[-930]
280	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	375,513	375,513
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	79,690	79,690
330	JUNIOR ROTC	59,263	59,263
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	2,813,945	2,853,015
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
340	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,141,491	1,141,491
360	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	61,745	61,745
370	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	298,759	298,759
380	BASE SUPPORT	1,108,220	1,108,220
390	ADMINISTRATION	689,797	669,097
	Defense Enterprise Accounting and Management System		[-20,700]
420	CIVIL AIR PATROL	25,411	27,911
	Civil Air Patrol		[2,500]
460	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	519,626	519,626
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	3,845,049	3,826,849
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
470	UNDISTRIBUTED		-816,500
	Excessive standard price for fuel		[-562,100]
	Foreign Currency adjustments		[-217,000]
	Unobligated balances		[-37,400]

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-816,500
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	31,317,486	30,892,056
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE OPERATING FORCES		
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,779,378	1,781,878
	A-10 restoration: Force Structure Restoration		[2,500]
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	487,036	487,036
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	109,342	109,642
	Restore Sustainment shortfalls		[300]
050	BASE SUPPORT	373,707	373,707
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	2,749,463	2,752,263
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVI- TIES		
060	ADMINISTRATION	53,921	53,921
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	14,359	14,359
	SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	68,280	68,280
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
110	UNDISTRIBUTED		-101,000
	Excessive standard price for fuel		[-101,000]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-101,000
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE	2,817,743	2,719,543
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG OPERATING FORCES		
010	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	3,526,471	3,608,671
	A-10 restoration: Force Structure Restoration		[42,200]
	Aircraft Support Equipment Shortfall Restoration		[40,000]
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	740,779	740,779
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,763,859	1,763,859
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	288,786	307,586
	Restore Sustainment shortfalls		[18,800]
050	BASE SUPPORT	582,037	582,037
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	6,901,932	7,002,932
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE ACTIVI- TIES		
060	ADMINISTRATION	23,626	24,626
	National Guard State Partnership Program increase		[1,000]
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	30,652	30,652
	SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE- WIDE ACTIVITIES	54,278	55,278
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
080	UNDISTRIBUTED		-162,600
	Excessive standard price for fuel		[-162,600]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-162,600
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG	6,956,210	6,895,610
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE OPERATING FORCES		
020	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	534,795	534,795
030	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/OPERATING FORCES	4,862,368	4,946,968
	Global Inform and Influence Activities Increase		[15,000]
	Increased Support for Counterterrorism Operations		[25,000]
	USSOCOM Combat Development Activities		[44,600]
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	5,397,163	5,481,763
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
060	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/TRAINING AND RE- CRUITING	354,372	354,372
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	354,372	354,372
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
070	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	160,320	180,320
	STARBASE		[20,000]
100	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,374,536	1,374,536
110	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	642,551	643,551
	Critical Language Training		[1,000]
120	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	1,282,755	1,292,755
	SHARKSEER		[10,000]
150	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	366,429	366,429
160	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	192,625	192,625
190	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	524,723	524,723
240	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	415,696	415,696
260	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY	2,753,771	2,753,771
270	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	432,068	432,068
290	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT	110,612	110,612
295	OFFICE OF NET ASSESSMENT		9,092
	Transfer from line 300		[9,092]
300	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	1,388,285	1,383,693
	OUSD AT&L Congressional Mandate (BRAC Support)		[-10,500]
	Readiness environmental protection initiative—program in- crease		[15,000]
	Transfer funding for Office of Net Assessment to line 295 ..		[-9,092]
310	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/ADMIN & SVC-WIDE ACTIVITIES	83,263	83,263
320	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	621,688	621,688
330	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	14,379,428	14,384,428
	Program increase		[5,000]
	SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	24,728,750	24,769,250
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
340	UNDISTRIBUTED		-497,400
	Excessive standard price for fuel		[-29,700]
	Foreign Currency adjustments		[-78,400]
	Unobligated balances		[-389,300]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-497,400
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DE- FENSE-WIDE	30,480,285	30,107,985
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
020	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIA- TIONS	100,266	100,266
	TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIA- TIONS	100,266	100,266
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	138,227,228	136,576,778

1 **SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS**2 **CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	MANEUVER UNITS	257,900	257,900
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	1,110,836	1,110,836
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	261,943	261,943
060	AVIATION ASSETS	22,160	22,160
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,119,201	1,119,201
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	117,881	117,881
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	50,000	50,000
140	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	4,500,666	4,526,466
	Army expenses related to Syria Train and Equip program		[25,800]
150	COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM	10,000	5,000
	Program decrease		[-5,000]
160	RESET	1,834,777	1,834,777
170	COMBATANT COMMANDS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT		100,000
	AFRICOM Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance		[100,000]
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	9,285,364	9,406,164
MOBILIZATION			
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS	40,000	40,000
	SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION	40,000	40,000
ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES			
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	529,891	529,891
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	5,033	5,033
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	100,480	100,480
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	154,350	154,350
530	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,267,632	1,267,632
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES	2,057,386	2,057,386
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY	11,382,750	11,503,550
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES			
OPERATING FORCES			
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	2,442	2,442
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	813	813
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	779	779
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	20,525	20,525
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	24,559	24,559
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES	24,559	24,559
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	MANEUVER UNITS	1,984	1,984
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	4,671	4,671
060	AVIATION ASSETS	15,980	15,980
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	12,867	12,867
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	23,134	23,134
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	1,426	1,426
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	60,062	60,062
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	783	783
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	783	783
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG	60,845	60,845
AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND			
MINISTRY OF DEFENSE			
010	SUSTAINMENT	2,214,899	2,552,642
	Support for ANSF end strength		[337,743]
030	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION	182,751	182,751
040	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	281,555	281,555

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
	SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF DEFENSE	2,679,205	3,016,948
	MINISTRY OF INTERIOR		
060	SUSTAINMENT	901,137	901,137
080	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION	116,573	116,573
090	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	65,342	65,342
	SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF INTERIOR	1,083,052	1,083,052
	TOTAL AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND	3,762,257	4,100,000
	IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND		
	IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND		
010	IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND	715,000	715,000
	SUBTOTAL IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND	715,000	715,000
	TOTAL IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND	715,000	715,000
	SYRIA TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND		
	SYRIA TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND		
010	SYRIA TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND	600,000	531,450
	Realignment to Air Force		[-42,750]
	Realignment to Army		[-25,800]
	SUBTOTAL SYRIA TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND	600,000	531,450
	TOTAL SYRIA TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND	600,000	531,450
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY OPERATING FORCES		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	358,417	358,417
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES	110	110
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	4,513	4,513
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	126,501	126,501
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	75,897	75,897
070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,770	2,770
080	AVIATION LOGISTICS	34,101	34,101
090	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	1,184,878	1,184,878
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	16,663	16,663
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,922,829	1,922,829
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	33,577	33,577
160	WARFARE TACTICS	26,454	26,454
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	22,305	22,305
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	513,969	513,969
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	10,007	10,007
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	60,865	60,865
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	275,231	275,231
290	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	7,819	7,819
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	61,422	61,422
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	4,738,328	4,738,328
	MOBILIZATION		
340	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS	5,307	5,307
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	160,002	160,002
	SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION	165,309	165,309
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	44,845	44,845
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	44,845	44,845
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
480	ADMINISTRATION	2,513	2,513
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	500	500
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	5,309	5,309
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	1,469	1,469
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	156,671	156,671
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	8,834	8,834
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	1,490	1,490
710	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	6,320	6,320
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	183,106	183,106
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY	5,131,588	5,131,588

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES	353,133	353,133
020	FIELD LOGISTICS	259,676	259,676
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	240,000	240,000
060	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	16,026	16,026
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	868,835	868,835
TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
110	TRAINING SUPPORT	37,862	37,862
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	37,862	37,862
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
150	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	43,767	43,767
200	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,070	2,070
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	45,837	45,837
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	952,534	952,534
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	4,033	4,033
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	60	60
030	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	20,300	20,300
100	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	7,250	7,250
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	31,643	31,643
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES	31,643	31,643
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	OPERATING FORCES	2,500	2,500
040	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	955	955
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	3,455	3,455
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE	3,455	3,455
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,505,738	1,548,488
	Air Force expenses related to Syria Train and Equip program		[42,750]
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	914,973	914,973
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)	31,978	31,978
040	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,192,765	1,192,765
050	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	85,625	85,625
060	BASE SUPPORT	917,269	917,269
070	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	30,219	30,219
080	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS	174,734	174,734
100	LAUNCH FACILITIES	869	869
110	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	5,008	5,008
120	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	100,190	716,690
	Assistance for the border security of Jordan		[300,000]
	Jordanian Military Capability Enhancement		[300,000]
	Support to Jordanian Training and Operations		[16,500]
135	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	22,893	22,893
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	4,982,261	5,641,511
MOBILIZATION			
140	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	2,995,703	2,995,703
150	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	108,163	108,163
160	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	511,059	511,059
180	BASE SUPPORT	4,642	4,642
	SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION	3,619,567	3,619,567
TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
190	OFFICER ACQUISITION	92	92

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
240	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	11,986	11,986
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	12,078	12,078
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
340	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	86,716	86,716
380	BASE SUPPORT	3,836	3,836
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	165,348	165,348
410	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	204,683	204,683
450	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	61	61
460	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	15,463	15,463
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	476,107	476,107
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	9,090,013	9,749,263
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE OPERATING FORCES		
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	51,086	51,086
050	BASE SUPPORT	7,020	7,020
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	58,106	58,106
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RE- SERVE	58,106	58,106
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG OPERATING FORCES		
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	19,900	19,900
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	19,900	19,900
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG	19,900	19,900
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE OPERATING FORCES		
010	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	9,900	9,900
030	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/OPERATING FORCES	2,345,835	2,424,835
	Classified adjustment		[64,000]
	Global Inform and Influence Activities Increase		[15,000]
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	2,355,735	2,434,735
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
090	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	18,474	18,474
120	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	29,579	29,579
140	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	110,000	110,000
160	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	5,960	5,960
190	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	1,677,000	1,677,000
260	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY	73,000	73,000
300	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	106,709	321,709
	U.S. Special Operations Command inform and influence activities		[15,000]
	Ukraine Train & Equip		[200,000]
320	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	2,102	2,102
330	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,427,074	1,427,074
	SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	3,449,898	3,664,898
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DE- FENSE-WIDE	5,805,633	6,099,633
	COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND		
090	COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND	2,100,000	0
	Program decrease		[-2,100,000]
	SUBTOTAL COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND	2,100,000	0
	TOTAL COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND	2,100,000	0
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	39,738,283	38,981,526

1 **SEC. 4303. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS**
2 **CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS FOR BASE RE-**
3 **QUIREMENTS.**

SEC. 4303. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS FOR BASE REQUIREMENTS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY OPERATING FORCES			
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	68,873	68,873
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	508,008	508,008
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	763,300	763,300
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,054,322	1,054,322
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	438,909	438,909
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	421,269	421,269
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	164,743	164,743
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	3,419,424	3,419,424
MOBILIZATION			
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY	401,638	401,638
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS	261,683	261,683
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	6,532	6,532
	SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION	669,853	669,853
TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION	131,536	131,536
220	RECRUIT TRAINING	47,843	47,843
230	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	42,565	42,565
240	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	490,378	490,378
300	EXAMINING	194,079	194,079
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	227,951	227,951
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	161,048	161,048
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	1,295,400	1,295,400
ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES			
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	485,778	485,778
360	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	813,881	813,881
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	322,127	322,127
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,781,350	1,781,350
410	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	292,532	292,532
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	375,122	375,122
440	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES	225,358	225,358
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	239,755	239,755
460	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT READINESS	223,319	223,319
470	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY HEADQUARTERS	469,865	469,865
480	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	40,521	40,521
530	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	630,606	630,606
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES	5,900,214	5,900,214
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY	11,284,891	11,284,891
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES OPERATING FORCES			
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	16,612	16,612
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	486,531	486,531
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	105,446	105,446
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	516,791	516,791
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	348,601	348,601
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	81,350	81,350
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	40,962	40,962
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	1,596,293	1,596,293
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	10,665	10,665
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	14,976	14,976
160	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	8,841	8,841
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	34,482	34,482

SEC. 4303. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS FOR BASE REQUIREMENTS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
	RES	1,630,775	1,630,775
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG			
OPERATING FORCES			
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	167,324	167,324
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	741,327	741,327
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	88,775	88,775
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	32,130	32,130
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	703,137	703,137
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	84,066	84,066
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	954,574	954,574
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	2,771,333	2,771,333
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	6,570	6,570
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	68,452	68,452
160	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	8,841	8,841
170	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	283,670	283,670
180	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	2,942	2,942
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	370,475	370,475
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG	3,141,808	3,141,808
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY			
OPERATING FORCES			
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES	37,225	37,225
070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	33,201	33,201
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	787,446	787,446
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	704,415	704,415
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	96,916	96,916
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	192,198	192,198
160	WARFARE TACTICS	453,942	453,942
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	351,871	351,871
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	1,186,847	1,186,847
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	123,948	123,948
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	98,914	98,914
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	141,664	141,664
270	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	371,872	371,872
280	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	896,061	896,061
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	5,476,520	5,476,520
MOBILIZATION			
310	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	422,846	422,846
340	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS	69,530	69,530
350	INDUSTRIAL READINESS	2,237	2,237
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	21,823	21,823
	SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION	516,436	516,436
TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
370	OFFICER ACQUISITION	149,375	149,375
390	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	156,290	156,290
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	653,728	653,728
430	TRAINING SUPPORT	196,048	196,048
450	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	137,855	137,855
460	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	77,257	77,257
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	1,370,553	1,370,553
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
500	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	120,812	120,812
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	350,983	350,983
530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	335,482	335,482
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	197,724	197,724
570	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	274,936	274,936
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	1,122,178	1,122,178
680	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES	4,768	4,768
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	2,406,883	2,406,883
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY	9,770,392	9,770,392

SEC. 4303. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS FOR BASE REQUIREMENTS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS			
OPERATING FORCES			
020	FIELD LOGISTICS	931,757	931,757
040	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	86,259	86,259
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	1,018,016	1,018,016
TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
070	RECRUIT TRAINING	16,460	16,460
080	OFFICER ACQUISITION	977	977
090	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	97,325	97,325
110	TRAINING SUPPORT	347,476	347,476
130	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	39,963	39,963
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	502,201	502,201
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
150	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	37,386	37,386
180	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	76,105	76,105
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	113,491	113,491
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	1,633,708	1,633,708
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES			
OPERATING FORCES			
090	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	14,499	14,499
100	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	117,601	117,601
120	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	29,382	29,382
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	161,482	161,482
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
160	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	13,782	13,782
170	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	3,437	3,437
180	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	3,210	3,210
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	20,429	20,429
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES	181,911	181,911
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE			
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
050	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	924	924
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	924	924
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE	924	924
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
OPERATING FORCES			
100	LAUNCH FACILITIES	271,177	271,177
110	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	382,824	382,824
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	205,078	205,078
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	859,079	859,079
MOBILIZATION			
140	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	2,229,196	2,229,196
150	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	148,318	148,318
	SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION	2,377,514	2,377,514
TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
190	OFFICER ACQUISITION	92,191	92,191
200	RECRUIT TRAINING	21,871	21,871
210	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	77,527	77,527
270	TRAINING SUPPORT	76,464	76,464
300	EXAMINING	3,803	3,803
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	180,807	180,807
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	167,478	167,478
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	620,141	620,141
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			

SEC. 4303. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS FOR BASE REQUIREMENTS (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
350	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	862,022	862,022
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	498,053	498,053
410	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	900,253	900,253
450	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	89,148	89,148
460	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	668,233	668,233
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	3,017,709	3,017,709
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	6,874,443	6,874,443
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE OPERATING FORCES		
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	226,243	226,243
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	226,243	226,243
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
080	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERS MGMT (ARPC)	13,665	13,665
090	OTHER PERS SUPPORT (DISABILITY COMP)	6,606	6,606
	SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	20,271	20,271
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE	246,514	246,514
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE OPERATING FORCES		
010	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	485,888	485,888
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	485,888	485,888
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
040	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	142,659	142,659
050	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	78,416	78,416
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	221,075	221,075
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
090	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	570,177	570,177
140	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	26,073	26,073
180	DEFENSE PERSONNEL ACCOUNTING AGENCY	115,372	115,372
200	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	508,396	508,396
230	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	33,577	33,577
	SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	1,253,595	1,253,595
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	1,960,558	1,960,558
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
010	US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES, DEFENSE	14,078	14,078
030	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION	358,496	358,496
040	ACQ WORKFORCE DEV FD	84,140	84,140
050	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	234,829	234,829
060	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	292,453	292,453
070	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	368,131	368,131
080	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE	8,232	8,232
090	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES	203,717	203,717
	SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	1,564,076	1,564,076
	TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	1,564,076	1,564,076
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	38,290,000	38,290,000

1

2

3

TITLE XLIV—MILITARY
PERSONNEL

SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL.

SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
Military Personnel Appropriations	130,491,227	130,187,735
A-10 restoration: Military Personnel		[132,069]
Basic Housing Allowance		[400,000]
EC-130H Force Structure Restoration		[19,639]
Financial Literacy Training		[85,000]
Foreign Currency adjustments		[−480,500]
National Guard State Partnership Program increase Reversing the disestablishment of HSC-84 and HSC-85		[5,000] [30,700]
Unobligated balances		[−495,400]
Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contribu- tions	6,243,449	6,243,449

○

2

1 **SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTIN-**
2 **GENCY OPERATIONS.**

SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
Military Personnel Appropriations	3,204,758	3,204,758

○

TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS.

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY INDUSTRIAL OPERATIONS		
SUPPLY MANAGEMENT—ARMY	50,432	55,432
Pilot program for Continuous Technology Refreshment		[5,000]
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY	50,432	55,432
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, NAVY		
SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS		5,000
Pilot program for Continuous Technology Refreshment		[5,000]
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, NAVY		5,000
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE		
SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS	62,898	67,898
Pilot program for Continuous Technology Refreshment		[5,000]
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE	62,898	67,898
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT—DEF		
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	45,084	45,084
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE- WIDE	45,084	45,084
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA COMMISSARY RESALE STOCKS		
COMMISSARY OPERATIONS	1,154,154	1,476,154
Restoration of Proposed Efficiencies		[183,000]
Restoration of Savings from Legislative Proposals		[139,000]
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA	1,154,154	1,476,154
NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND MPF MLP		
POST DELIVERY AND OUTFITTING	15,456	689,646
Transfer from SCN—TAO(X)		[674,190]
NATIONAL DEF SEALIFT VESSEL		
LG MED SPD RO/RO MAINTENANCE	124,493	124,493
DOD MOBILIZATION ALTERATIONS	8,243	8,243
TAH MAINTENANCE	27,784	27,784
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	25,197	25,197
READY RESERVE FORCE	272,991	272,991
TOTAL NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND	474,164	1,148,354
NATIONAL SEA-BASED DETERRENCE FUND		
DEVELOPMENT		971,393
Transfer from RDTE, Navy, line 050		[971,393]
PROPULSION		419,300
Transfer from RDTE, Navy, line 045		[419,300]
TOTAL NATIONAL SEA-BASED DETERRENCE FUND		1,390,693
CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION		
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	139,098	139,098
RDT&E	579,342	579,342
PROCUREMENT	2,281	2,281

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DE- STRUCTION	720,721	720,721
DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF		
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVI- TIES, DEFENSE	739,009	789,009
Plan Central America		[50,000]
DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM	111,589	111,589
TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF	850,598	900,598
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	310,459	310,459
RDT&E	4,700	4,700
PROCUREMENT	1,000	0
Program decrease		[-1,000]
TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GEN- ERAL	316,159	315,159
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM		
IN-HOUSE CARE	9,082,298	9,082,298
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	14,892,683	14,892,683
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT	2,415,658	2,415,658
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	1,677,827	1,677,827
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	327,967	327,967
EDUCATION AND TRAINING	750,614	750,614
BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS	1,742,893	1,742,893
RESEARCH	10,996	10,996
EXPLORATRY DEVELOPMENT	59,473	59,473
ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	231,356	231,356
DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION	103,443	103,443
ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT	515,910	515,910
MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT	41,567	41,567
CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT	17,356	17,356
INITIAL OUTFITTING	33,392	33,392
REPLACEMENT & MODERNIZATION	330,504	330,504
THEATER MEDICAL INFORMATION PROGRAM	1,494	1,494
IEHR	7,897	7,897
UNDISTRIBUTED		-508,000
Foreign Currency adjustments		[-54,700]
Unobligated balances		[-453,300]
TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	32,243,328	31,735,328
TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	35,917,538	37,860,421

1 **SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CON-**
2 **TINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Item	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS		
TRANSPORTATION OF FALLEN HEROES	2,500	2,500
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE	2,500	2,500
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT—DEF		
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	86,350	86,350
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE- WIDE	86,350	86,350
DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF		
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE	186,000	186,000
TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG AC- TIVITIES, DEF	186,000	186,000
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	10,262	10,262
TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	10,262	10,262
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM		
IN-HOUSE CARE	65,149	65,149
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	192,210	192,210
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT	9,460	9,460
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES		
EDUCATION AND TRAINING	5,885	5,885
TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	272,704	272,704
TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	557,816	557,816

○

TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	FY 2016 Request	House Agreement
	Alaska			
Army	Fort Greely	Physical Readiness Training Facility	7,800	7,800
	California			
Army	Concord	Pier	98,000	98,000
	Colorado			
Army	Fort Carson	Rotary Wing Taxiway	5,800	5,800
	Georgia			
Army	Fort Gordon	Command and Control Facility	90,000	90,000
	Germany			
Army	Grafenwoehr	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	51,000	51,000
	New York			
Army	Fort Drum	NCO Academy Complex	19,000	19,000
Army	U.S. Military Academy	Waste Water Treatment Plant	70,000	70,000
	Oklahoma			
Army	Fort Sill	Reception Barracks Complex Ph2	56,000	56,000
Army	Fort Sill	Training Support Facility	13,400	13,400
	Texas			
Army	Corpus Christi	Powertrain Facility (Infrastructure/Metal)	85,000	85,000
Army	Joint Base San Antonio	Homeland Defense Operations Center	43,000	0
	Virginia			
Army	Fort Lee	Training Support Facility	33,000	33,000
Army	Joint Base Myer-Henderson	Instruction Building	37,000	0
	Worldwide Unspecified			
Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Host Nation Support	36,000	36,000
Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Minor Construction	25,000	25,000
Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	73,245	73,245
Military Construction, Army Total			743,245	663,245
	Arizona			
Navy	Yuma	Aircraft Maint. Facilities & Apron (So. Cala)	50,635	50,635
	Bahrain Island			
Navy	SW Asia	Mina Salman Pier Replacement	37,700	0
Navy	SW Asia	Ship Maintenance Support Facility	52,091	0
	California			
Navy	Camp Pendleton	WRA Water Pipeline Pendleton to Fallbrook	44,540	44,540
Navy	Coronado	Coastal Campus Utilities	4,856	4,856
Navy	Lemoore	F-35C Hangar Modernization and Addition	56,497	56,497
Navy	Lemoore	F-35C Training Facilities	8,187	8,187
Navy	Lemoore	Rto and Mission Debrief Facility	7,146	7,146
Navy	Point Mugu	E-2C/D Hangar Additions and Renovations	19,453	19,453
Navy	Point Mugu	Triton Avionics and Fuel Systems Trainer	2,974	2,974
Navy	San Diego	LCS Support Facility	37,366	37,366
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Microgrid Expansion	9,160	9,160
	Florida			
Navy	Jacksonville	Fleet Support Facility Addition	8,455	8,455
Navy	Jacksonville	Triton Mission Control Facility	8,296	8,296
Navy	Mayport	LCS Mission Module Readiness Center	16,159	16,159
Navy	Pensacola	A-School Unaccompanied Housing (Corry Station) ..	18,347	18,347
Navy	Whiting Field	T-6B JPATS Training Operations Facility	10,421	10,421
	Georgia			
Navy	Albany	Ground Source Heat Pumps	7,851	7,851
Navy	Kings Bay	Industrial Control System Infrastructure	8,099	8,099
Navy	Townsend	Townsend Bombing Range Expansion Phase 2	48,279	48,279
	Guam			
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Live-Fire Training Range Complex (Nw Field)	125,677	125,677
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Closure	10,777	10,777
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Sanitary Sewer System Recapitalization	45,314	45,314
	Hawaii			
Navy	Barking Sands	PMRF Power Grid Consolidation	30,623	30,623
Navy	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	UEM Interconnect Sta C to Hickam	6,335	6,335
Navy	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	Welding School Shop Consolidation	8,546	8,546

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	FY 2016 Request	House Agreement
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Airfield Lighting Modernization	26,097	26,097
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	68,092	68,092
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	P-8A Detachment Support Facilities	12,429	12,429
	Italy			
Navy	Sigonella	P-8A Hangar and Fleet Support Facility	62,302	0
Navy	Sigonella	Triton Hangar and Operation Facility	40,641	0
	Japan			
Navy	Camp Butler	Military Working Dog Facilities (Camp Hansen) ..	11,697	11,697
Navy	Iwakuni	E-2D Operational Trainer Complex	8,716	8,716
Navy	Iwakuni	Security Modifications—CVW5/MAG12 HQ	9,207	9,207
Navy	Kadena AB	Aircraft Maint. Shelters & Apron	23,310	23,310
Navy	Yokosuka	Child Development Center	13,846	13,846
	Maryland			
Navy	Patuxent River	Unaccompanied Housing	40,935	40,935
	North Carolina			
Navy	Camp Lejeune	2nd Radio BN Complex Operations Consolidation	0	0
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Simulator Integration/Range Control Facility	54,849	54,849
Navy	Cherry Point Marine	KC130J Enlsited Air Crew Trainer Facility	4,769	4,769
	Corps Air Station			
Navy	Cherry Point Marine	Unmanned Aircraft System Facilities	29,657	29,657
	Corps Air Station			
Navy	New River	Operational Trainer Facility	3,312	3,312
Navy	New River	Radar Air Traffic Control Facility Addition	4,918	4,918
	Poland			
Navy	Redzikowo Base	Aegis Ashore Missile Defense Complex	51,270	0
	South Carolina			
Navy	Parris Island	Range Safety Improvements & Modernization	27,075	27,075
	Virginia			
Navy	Dam Neck	Maritime Surveillance System Facility	23,066	23,066
Navy	Norfolk	Communications Center	75,289	75,289
Navy	Norfolk	Electrical Repairs to Piers 2,6,7, and 11	44,254	44,254
Navy	Norfolk	MH60 Helicopter Training Facility	7,134	7,134
Navy	Portsmouth	Waterfront Utilities	45,513	45,513
Navy	Quantico	ATFP Gate	5,840	5,840
Navy	Quantico	Electrical Distribution Upgrade	8,418	8,418
Navy	Quantico	Embassy Security Guard BEQ & Ops Facility	43,941	43,941
	Washington			
Navy	Bangor	Regional Ship Maintenance Support Facility	0	0
Navy	Bangor	Wra Land/Water Interface	34,177	34,177
Navy	Bremerton	Dry Dock 6 Modernization & Utility Improve.	22,680	22,680
Navy	Indian Island	Shore Power to Ammunition Pier	4,472	4,472
	Worldwide Unspecified			
Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MCON Design Funds	91,649	91,649
Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	22,590	22,590
Military Construction, Navy Total			1,605,929	1,361,925
	Alaska			
AF	Eielson AFB	F-35A Flight Sim/Alter Squad Ops/AMU Facility	37,000	37,000
AF	Eielson AFB	Rpr Central Heat & Power Plant Boiler Ph3	34,400	34,400
	Arizona			
AF	Davis-Monthan AFB	HC-130J Age Covered Storage	4,700	4,700
AF	Davis-Monthan AFB	HC-130J Wash Rack	12,200	12,200
AF	Luke AFB	F-35A ADAL Fuel Offload Facility	5,000	5,000
AF	Luke AFB	F-35A Aircraft Maintenance Hangar/Sq 3	13,200	13,200
AF	Luke AFB	F-35A Bomb Build-up Facility	5,500	5,500
AF	Luke AFB	F-35A Sq Ops/AMU/Hangar/Sq 4	33,000	33,000
	Colorado			
AF	U.S. Air Force Academy	Front Gates Force Protection Enhancements	10,000	10,000
	Florida			
AF	Cape Canaveral AFS	Range Communications Facility	21,000	21,000
AF	Eglin AFB	F-35A Consolidated HQ Facility	8,700	8,700
AF	Hurlburt Field	ADAL 39 Information Operations Squad Facility ..	14,200	14,200
	Greenland			
AF	Thule AB	Thule Consolidation Ph 1	41,965	41,965
	Guam			
AF	Joint Region Marianas	APR—Dispersed Maint Spares & Se Storage Fac	19,000	19,000
AF	Joint Region Marianas	APR—Installation Control Center	22,200	22,200
AF	Joint Region Marianas	APR—South Ramp Utilities Phase 2	7,100	7,100
AF	Joint Region Marianas	PAR—LO/Corrosion Cntrl/Composite Repair	0	0
AF	Joint Region Marianas	PRTC Roads	2,500	2,500
	Hawaii			
AF	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	F-22 Fighter Alert Facility	46,000	46,000
	Japan			

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	FY 2016 Request	House Agreement
AF	Yokota AB	C-130J Flight Simulator Facility	8,461	8,461
	Kansas			
AF	McCormell AFB	KC-46A ADAL Deicing Pads	4,300	4,300
	Maryland			
AF	Fort Meade	Cybercom Joint Operations Center, Increment 3 ...	86,000	86,000
	Missouri			
AF	Whiteman AFB	Consolidated Stealth Ops & Nuclear Alert Fac	29,500	29,500
	Montana			
AF	Malmstrom AFB	Tactical Response Force Alert Facility	19,700	19,700
	Nebraska			
AF	Offutt AFB	Dormitory (144 Rm)	21,000	21,000
	Nevada			
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35A Airfield Pavements	31,000	31,000
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35A Live Ordnance Loading Area	34,500	34,500
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35A Munitions Maintenance Facilities	3,450	3,450
	New Mexico			
AF	Cannon AFB	Construct AT/FP Gate—Portales	7,800	7,800
AF	Holloman AFB	Marshalling Area Arm/DE-Arm Pad D	3,000	3,000
AF	Kirtland AFB	Space Vehicles Component Development Lab	12,800	12,800
	Niger			
AF	Agadez	Construct Airfield and Base Camp	50,000	0
	North Carolina			
AF	Seymour Johnson AFB	Air Traffic Control Tower/Base Ops Facility	17,100	17,100
	Oklahoma			
AF	Altus AFB	Dormitory (120 Rm)	18,000	18,000
AF	Altus AFB	KC-46A FTU ADAL Fuel Cell Maint Hangar	10,400	10,400
AF	Tinker AFB	Air Traffic Control Tower	12,900	12,900
AF	Tinker AFB	KC-46A Depot Maintenance Dock	37,000	37,000
	Oman			
AF	AL Musannah AB	Airlift Apron	25,000	0
	South Dakota			
AF	Ellsworth AFB	Dormitory (168 Rm)	23,000	23,000
	Texas			
AF	Joint Base San Antonio	BMT Classrooms/Dining Facility 3	35,000	35,000
AF	Joint Base San Antonio	BMT Recruit Dormitory 5	71,000	71,000
	United Kingdom			
AF	Croughton RAF	Consolidated SATCOM/Tech Control Facility	36,424	36,424
AF	Croughton RAF	JLAC Consolidation—Ph 2	94,191	94,191
	Utah			
AF	Hill AFB	F-35A Flight Simulator Addition Phase 2	5,900	5,900
AF	Hill AFB	F-35A Hangar 40/42 Additions and AMU	21,000	21,000
AF	Hill AFB	Hayman Igloos	11,500	11,500
	Worldwide Classified			
AF	Classified Location	Long Range Strike Bomber	77,130	77,130
AF	Classified Location	Munitions Storage	3,000	3,000
	Worldwide Unspecified			
AF	Various Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	89,164	89,164
AF	Various Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Military Construction	22,900	22,900
	Wyoming			
AF	F. E. Warren AFB	Weapon Storage Facility	95,000	95,000
Military Construction, Air Force Total			1,354,785	1,279,785
	Alabama			
Def-Wide	Fort Rucker	Fort Rucker ES/PS Consolidation/Replacement	46,787	46,787
Def-Wide	Maxwell AFB	Maxwell ES/MS Replacement/Renovation	32,968	32,968
	Arizona			
Def-Wide	Fort Huachuca	JITC Buildings 52101/52111 Renovations	3,884	3,884
	California			
Def-Wide	Camp Pendleton	SOF Combat Service Support Facility	10,181	10,181
Def-Wide	Camp Pendleton	SOF Performance Resiliency Center-West	10,371	0
Def-Wide	Coronado	SOF Logistics Support Unit One Ops Fac. #2	47,218	0
Def-Wide	Fresno Yosemite LAP	Replace Fuel Storage and Distrib. Facilities	10,700	10,700
	ANG			
	Colorado			
Def-Wide	Fort Carson	SOF Language Training Facility	8,243	8,243
	Conus Classified			
Def-Wide	Classified Location	Operations Support Facility	20,065	0
	Delaware			
Def-Wide	Dover AFB	Construct Hydrant Fuel System	21,600	21,600
	Djibouti			
Def-Wide	Camp Lemonier	Construct Fuel Storage & Distrib. Facilities	43,700	0
	Florida			
Def-Wide	Hurlburt Field	SOF Fuel Cell Maintenance Hangar	17,989	17,989

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	FY 2016 Request	House Agreement
Def-Wide	Macdill AFB	SOF Operational Support Facility	39,142	39,142
	Georgia			
Def-Wide	Moody AFB	Replace Pumphouse and Truck Fillstands	10,900	10,900
	Germany			
Def-Wide	Garmisch	Garmisch E/MS-Addition/Modernization	14,676	14,676
Def-Wide	Grafenwoehr	Grafenwoehr Elementary School Replacement	38,138	38,138
Def-Wide	Rhine Ordnance Barracks	Medical Center Replacement Iner 5	85,034	85,034
Def-Wide	Spangdahlem AB	Construct Fuel Pipeline	5,500	5,500
Def-Wide	Spangdahlem AB	Medical/Dental Clinic Addition	34,071	34,071
Def-Wide	Stuttgart-Patch Barracks	Patch Elementary School Replacement	49,413	49,413
	Hawaii			
Def-Wide	Kaneohe Bay	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement	122,071	90,257
Def-Wide	Schofield Barracks	Behavioral Health/Dental Clinic Addition	123,838	87,800
	Japan			
Def-Wide	Kadena AB	Airfield Pavements	37,485	37,485
	Kentucky			
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell, Kentucky	SOF Company HQ/Classrooms	12,553	12,553
Def-Wide	Fort Knox	Fort Knox HS Renovation/MS Addition	23,279	23,279
	Maryland			
Def-Wide	Fort Meade	NSAW Campus Feeders Phase 2	33,745	33,745
Def-Wide	Fort Meade	NSAW Recapitalize Building #2 Iner 1	34,897	34,897
	Nevada			
Def-Wide	Nellis AFB	Replace Hydrant Fuel System	39,900	39,900
	New Mexico			
Def-Wide	Cannon AFB	Construct Pumphouse and Fuel Storage	20,400	20,400
Def-Wide	Cannon AFB	SOF Squadron Operations Facility	11,565	11,565
Def-Wide	Cannon AFB	SOF ST Operational Training Facilities	13,146	13,146
	New York			
Def-Wide	West Point	West Point Elementary School Replacement	55,778	55,778
	North Carolina			
Def-Wide	Camp Lejeune	SOF Combat Service Support Facility	14,036	14,036
Def-Wide	Camp Lejeune	SOF Marine Battalion Company/Team Facilities	54,970	54,970
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	Butner Elementary School Replacement	32,944	32,944
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF 21 STS Operations Facility	16,863	14,334
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Battalion Operations Facility	38,549	38,549
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Indoor Range	8,303	8,303
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Intelligence Training Center	28,265	28,265
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Special Tactics Facility (Ph 2)	43,887	43,887
	Ohio			
Def-Wide	Wright-Patterson AFB	Satellite Pharmacy Replacement	6,623	6,623
	Oregon			
Def-Wide	Klamath Falls IAP	Replace Fuel Facilities	2,500	2,500
	Pennsylvania			
Def-Wide	Philadelphia	Replace Headquarters	49,700	49,700
	Poland			
Def-Wide	Redzikowo Base	Aegis Ashore Missile Defense System Complex	169,153	0
	South Carolina			
Def-Wide	Fort Jackson	Pierce Terrace Elementary School Replacement	26,157	26,157
	Spain			
Def-Wide	Rota	Rota ES and HS Additions	13,737	13,737
	Texas			
Def-Wide	Fort Bliss	Hospital Replacement Iner 7	239,884	189,884
Def-Wide	Joint Base San Antonio	Ambulatory Care Center Phase 4	61,776	61,776
	Virginia			
Def-Wide	Arlington National Cemetery	Arlington Cemetery Southern Expansion (DAR)	0	30,000
Def-Wide	Fort Belvoir	Construct Visitor Control Center	5,000	5,000
Def-Wide	Fort Belvoir	Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility	4,500	4,500
Def-Wide	Joint Base Langley-Eustis	Replace Fuel Pier and Distribution Facility	28,000	28,000
Def-Wide	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek—Story	SOF Applied Instruction Facility	23,916	23,916
	Worldwide Unspecified			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Contingency Construction	10,000	0
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	ECIP Design	10,000	10,000
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Energy Conservation Investment Program	150,000	150,000
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Exercise Related Minor Construction	8,687	8,687
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	3,041	3,041

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	FY 2016 Request	House Agreement
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	31,628	31,628
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	1,078	1,078
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	27,202	27,202
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	42,183	42,183
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	13,500	13,500
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	5,000	5,000
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	3,000	3,000
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	15,676	15,676
Def-Wide	Various Worldwide Locations	East Coast Missile Site Planning and Design	0	30,000
Def-Wide	Various Worldwide Locations	Planning & Design	31,772	31,772
Military Construction, Defense-Wide Total			2,300,767	1,939,879
NATO	Worldwide Unspecified NATO Security Investment Program	NATO Security Investment Program	120,000	150,000
NATO Security Investment Program Total			120,000	150,000
Army NG	Connecticut Camp Hartell	Ready Building (CST-WMD)	11,000	11,000
Army NG	Delaware Dagsboro	National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop	10,800	0
Army NG	Florida Palm Coast	National Guard Readiness Center	18,000	18,000
Army NG	Illinois Sparta	Basic 10m-25m Firing Range (Zero)	1,900	1,900
Army NG	Kansas Salina	Automated Combat Pistol/MP Firearms Qual Cour	2,400	2,400
Army NG	Salina	Modified Record Fire Range	4,300	4,300
Army NG	Maryland Easton	National Guard Readiness Center	13,800	13,800
Army NG	Nevada Reno	National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop Add/Alt	8,000	8,000
Army NG	Ohio Camp Ravenna	Modified Record Fire Range	3,300	3,300
Army NG	Oregon Salem	National Guard/Reserve Center Bldg Add/Alt (JFHQ)	16,500	16,500
Army NG	Pennsylvania Fort Indiantown Gap	Training Aids Center	16,000	16,000
Army NG	Vermont North Hyde Park	National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop Add	7,900	7,900
Army NG	Virginia Richmond	National Guard/Reserve Center Building (JFHQ)	29,000	29,000
Army NG	Washington Yakima	Enlisted Barracks, Transient Training	19,000	0
Army NG	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	20,337	20,337
Army NG	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	15,000	15,000
Military Construction, Army National Guard Total			197,237	167,437
Army Res	California Miramar	Army Reserve Center	24,000	24,000
Army Res	Florida Macdill AFB	AR Center/ AS Facility	55,000	55,000
Army Res	Mississippi Starkville	Army Reserve Center	9,300	0
Army Res	New York Orangeburg	Organizational Maintenance Shop	4,200	4,200
Army Res	Pennsylvania Conneaut Lake	DAR Highway Improvement	5,000	5,000
Army Res	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	9,318	9,318

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	FY 2016 Request	House Agreement
Army Res	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	6,777	6,777
Military Construction, Army Reserve Total			113,595	104,295
N/MC Res	Nevada Fallon	Navopspteen Fallon	11,480	11,480
N/MC Res	New York Brooklyn	Reserve Center Storage Facility	2,479	2,479
N/MC Res	Virginia Dam Neck	Reserve Training Center Complex	18,443	18,443
N/MC Res	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MCNR Planning & Design	2,208	2,208
N/MC Res	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MCNR Unspecified Minor Construction	1,468	1,468
Military Construction, Naval Reserve Total			36,078	36,078
Air NG	Alabama Dannelly Field	TFI—Replace Squadron Operations Facility	7,600	7,600
Air NG	Arkansas Fort Smith Map	Consolidated SCIF	0	0
Air NG	California Moffett Field	Replace Vehicle Maintenance Facility	6,500	6,500
Air NG	Colorado Buckley Air Force Base	ASE Maintenance and Storage Facility	5,100	5,100
Air NG	Georgia Savannah/Hilton Head IAP	C-130 Squadron Operations Facility	9,000	9,000
Air NG	Iowa Des Moines MAP	Air Operations Grp/Cyber Beddown-Reno Bldg 430	6,700	6,700
Air NG	Kansas Smokey Hill ANG Range	Range Training Support Facilities	2,900	2,900
Air NG	Louisiana New Orleans	Replace Squadron Operations Facility	10,000	10,000
Air NG	Maine Bangor IAP	Add to and Alter Fire Crash/Rescue Station	7,200	7,200
Air NG	New Hampshire Pease International Trade Port	KC-46A Adal Flight Simulator Bldg 156	2,800	2,800
Air NG	New Jersey Atlantic City IAP	Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Hangar	10,200	10,200
Air NG	New York Niagara Falls IAP	Remotely Piloted Aircraft Beddown Bldg 912	7,700	7,700
Air NG	North Carolina Charlotte/Douglas IAP	Replace C-130 Squadron Operations Facility	9,000	9,000
Air NG	North Dakota Hector IAP	Intel Targeting Facilities	7,300	7,300
Air NG	Oklahoma Will Rogers World Air- port	Medium Altitude Manned ISR Beddown	7,600	7,600
Air NG	Oregon Klamath Falls IAP	Replace Fire Crash/Rescue Station	7,200	7,200
Air NG	West Virginia Yeager Airport	Force Protection- Relocate Coonskin Road	3,900	3,900
Air NG	Worldwide Unspecified Various Worldwide Lo- cations	Planning and Design	5,104	5,104
Air NG	Various Worldwide Lo- cations	Unspecified Minor Construction	7,734	7,734
Military Construction, Air National Guard Total			123,538	123,538
AF Res	Arizona Davis-Monthan AFB	Guardian Angel Operations	0	0
AF Res	California March AFB	Satellite Fire Station	4,600	4,600
AF Res	Florida Patrick AFB	Airerew Life Support Facility	3,400	3,400
AF Res	Ohio Youngstown	Indoor Firing Range	9,400	9,400
AF Res	Texas Joint Base San Anto- nio	Consolidate 433 Medical Facility	9,900	9,900
	Worldwide Unspecified			

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	FY 2016 Request	House Agreement
AF Res	Various Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	13,400	13,400
AF Res	Various Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Military Construction	6,121	6,121
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve Total			46,821	46,821
FH Con Army	Florida Camp Rudder	Family Housing Replacement Construction	8,000	8,000
FH Con Army	Germany Wiesbaden Army Airfield	Family Housing Improvements	3,500	3,500
FH Con Army	Illinois Rock Island	Family Housing Replacement Construction	20,000	20,000
FH Con Army	Korea Camp Walker	Family Housing New Construction	61,000	61,000
FH Con Army	Worldwide Unspecified Locations	Family Housing P & D	7,195	7,195
Family Housing Construction, Army Total			99,695	99,695
FH Ops Army	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Furnishings	25,552	25,552
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Leased Housing	144,879	144,879
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Maintenance of Real Property Facilities	75,197	75,197
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Management Account	3,047	3,047
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Management Account	45,468	45,468
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Military Housing Privatization Initiative	22,000	22,000
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Miscellaneous	840	840
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Services	10,928	10,928
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Utilities	65,600	65,600
Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Army Total			393,511	393,511
FH Con AF	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Improvements	150,649	150,649
FH Con AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	9,849	9,849
Family Housing Construction, Air Force Total			160,498	160,498
FH Ops AF	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Furnishings Account	38,746	38,746
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Housing Privatization	41,554	41,554
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Leasing	28,867	28,867
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Maintenance	114,129	114,129
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Management Account	52,153	52,153
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Miscellaneous Account	2,032	2,032
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Services Account	12,940	12,940
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Utilities Account	40,811	40,811
Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Air Force Total			331,232	331,232
FH Con Navy	Virginia Wallops Island	Construct Housing Welcome Center	438	438
FH Con Navy	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Design	4,588	4,588
FH Con Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Improvements	11,515	11,515

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	FY 2016 Request	House Agreement
Family Housing Construction, Navy And Marine Corps Total			16,541	16,541
FH Ops Navy	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Furnishings Account	17,534	17,534
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Leasing	64,108	64,108
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Maintenance of Real Property	99,323	99,323
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Management Account	56,189	56,189
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Miscellaneous Account	373	373
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Privatization Support Costs	28,668	28,668
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Services Account	19,149	19,149
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Utilities Account	67,692	67,692
Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Navy And Marine Corps Total.			353,036	353,036
FH Ops DW	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Furnishings Account	3,402	3,402
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Furnishings Account	20	20
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Furnishings Account	781	781
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Leasing	10,679	10,679
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Leasing	41,273	41,273
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Maintenance of Real Property	1,104	1,104
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Maintenance of Real Property	344	344
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Management Account	388	388
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Services Account	31	31
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Utilities Account	474	474
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Utilities Account	172	172
Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Defense-Wide Total			58,668	58,668
BRAC	Worldwide Unspecified Base Realignment & Closure, Army	Base Realignment and Closure	29,691	29,691
Base Realignment and Closure—Army Total			29,691	29,691
BRAC	Worldwide Unspecified Base Realignment & Closure, Navy	Base Realignment & Closure	118,906	118,906
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DON–100: Planing, Design and Management	7,787	7,787
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DON–101: Various Locations	20,871	20,871
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DON–138: NAS Brunswick, ME	803	803
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DON–157: Mesa Kansas City, MO	41	41
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DON–172: NWS Seal Beach, Concord, CA	4,872	4,872
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DON–84: JRB Willow Grove & Cambria Reg Ap ..	3,808	3,808
Base Realignment and Closure—Navy Total			157,088	157,088
BRAC	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	DOD BRAC Activities—Air Force	64,555	64,555

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				
(In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	FY 2016 Request	House Agreement
Base Realignment and Closure—Air Force Total			64,555	64,555
PYS	Worldwide Unspecified			
	Unspecified Worldwide	Air Force	0	-52,600
	Locations			
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide	Army	0	-96,000
	Locations			
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide	Defense-Wide	0	-134,000
	Locations			
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide	Housing Assistance Program	0	-103,918
	Locations			
Prior Year Savings Total			0	-386,518
Total, Military Construction			8,306,510	7,151,000

○

2

1 **SEC. 4602. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FOR OVERSEAS CON-**
2 **TINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

SEC. 4602. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	FY 2016 Request	House Agreement
Army	Cuba			
	Guantanamo Bay	Unaccompanied Personnel Housing	0	76,000
Military Construction, Army Total			0	76,000
Navy	Bahrain			
	Bahrain Island	Mina Salman Pier Replacement	0	37,700
Navy	Bahrain Island	Ship Maintenance Support Facility	0	52,091
Navy	Italy			
	Sigonella	P-8A Hangar and Fleet Support Facility	0	62,302
Navy	Sigonella	Triton Hangar and Operation Facility	0	40,641
Navy	Poland			
	Redzikowo	AEGIS Shore Missile Defense Complex	0	51,270
Military Construction, Navy Total			0	244,004
AF	Niger			
	Agadez	Construct Air Field and Base Camp	0	50,000
AF	Oman			
	Al Mussanah AB	Airlift Apron	0	25,000
Military Construction, Air Force Total			0	75,000
Def-Wide	Djibouti			
	Camp Lemonier	Construct Fuel Storage and Distribution Facilities	0	43,700
Def-Wide	Poland			
	Redzikowo	AEGIS Shore Missile Defense Complex	0	93,296
Military Construction, Defense-Wide Total			0	136,996
Total, Military Construction			0	532,000

○

1 **TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF**
 2 **ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY**
 3 **PROGRAMS**

4 **SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY**
 5 **PROGRAMS.**

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Program	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
Discretionary Summary By Appropriation		
Energy And Water Development, And Related Agencies		
Appropriation Summary:		
Energy Programs		
Nuclear Energy	135,161	135,161
Atomic Energy Defense Activities		
National nuclear security administration:		
Weapons activities	8,846,948	9,084,648
Defense nuclear nonproliferation	1,940,302	1,901,302
Naval reactors	1,375,496	1,387,496
Federal salaries and expenses	402,654	396,654
Total, National nuclear security administration	12,565,400	12,770,100
Environmental and other defense activities:		
Defense environmental cleanup	5,527,347	5,143,150
Other defense activities	774,425	778,625
Total, Environmental & other defense activities	6,301,772	5,921,775
Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	18,867,172	18,691,875
Total, Discretionary Funding	19,002,333	18,827,036
Nuclear Energy		
Idaho sitewide safeguards and security	126,161	126,161
Used nuclear fuel disposition	9,000	9,000
Total, Nuclear Energy	135,161	135,161
Weapons Activities		
Directed stockpile work		
Life extension programs		
B61 Life extension program	643,300	643,300
W76 Life extension program	244,019	244,019
W88 Alt 370	220,176	220,176
W80-4 Life extension program	195,037	195,037
Total, Life extension programs	1,302,532	1,302,532
Stockpile systems		
B61 Stockpile systems	52,247	73,247
W76 Stockpile systems	50,921	50,921
W78 Stockpile systems	64,092	64,092
W80 Stockpile systems	68,005	68,005
B83 Stockpile systems	42,177	51,177
W87 Stockpile systems	89,299	89,299
W88 Stockpile systems	115,685	115,685
Total, Stockpile systems	482,426	512,426
Weapons dismantlement and disposition		
Operations and maintenance	48,049	48,049
Stockpile services		
Production support	447,527	447,527
Research and development support	34,159	34,159
R&D certification and safety	192,613	203,813
Management, technology, and production	264,994	264,994
Total, Stockpile services	939,293	950,493

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Program	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
Nuclear material commodities		
Uranium sustainment	32,916	32,916
Plutonium sustainment	174,698	183,098
Tritium sustainment	107,345	107,345
Domestic uranium enrichment	100,000	100,000
Total, Nuclear material commodities	414,959	423,359
Total, Directed stockpile work	3,187,259	3,236,859
Research, development, test and evaluation (RDT&E)		
Science		
Advanced certification	50,714	50,714
Primary assessment technologies	98,500	120,100
Dynamic materials properties	109,000	109,000
Advanced radiography	47,000	47,000
Secondary assessment technologies	84,400	84,400
Total, Science	389,614	411,214
Engineering		
Enhanced surety	50,821	51,921
Weapon systems engineering assessment technology	17,371	17,371
Nuclear survivability	24,461	26,861
Enhanced surveillance	38,724	38,724
Total, Engineering	131,377	134,877
Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield		
Ignition	73,334	67,334
Support of other stockpile programs	22,843	22,843
Diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support	58,587	58,587
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion	4,963	4,963
Joint program in high energy density laboratory plasmas	8,900	8,900
Facility operations and target production	333,823	322,823
Total, Inertial confinement fusion and high yield	502,450	485,450
Advanced simulation and computing	623,006	617,006
Advanced manufacturing		
Component manufacturing development	112,256	112,256
Processing technology development	17,800	17,800
Total, Advanced manufacturing	130,056	130,056
Total, RDT&E	1,776,503	1,778,603
Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF)		
Operating		
Program readiness	75,185	75,185
Material recycle and recovery	173,859	173,859
Storage	40,920	40,920
Recapitalization	104,327	104,327
Total, Operating	394,291	394,291
Construction:		
15-D-302, TA-55 Reinvestment project, Phase 3, LANL	18,195	18,195
11-D-801 TA-55 Reinvestment project Phase 2, LANL	3,903	3,903
07-D-220 Radioactive liquid waste treatment facility upgrade project, LANL	11,533	11,533
07-D-220-04 Transuranic liquid waste facility, LANL	40,949	40,949
06-D-141 PED/Construction, Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project Y-12	430,000	430,000
04-D-125 Chemistry and metallurgy replacement project, LANL ...	155,610	155,610
Total, Construction	660,190	660,190
Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities	1,054,481	1,054,481
Secure transportation asset		
Operations and equipment	146,272	146,272
Program direction	105,338	105,338
Total, Secure transportation asset	251,610	251,610
Infrastructure and safety		
Operations of facilities		
Kansas City Plant	100,250	100,250
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	70,671	70,671

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Program	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
Los Alamos National Laboratory	196,460	196,460
Nevada National Security Site	89,000	89,000
Pantex	58,021	58,021
Sandia National Laboratory	115,300	115,300
Savannah River Site	80,463	80,463
Y-12 National security complex	120,625	120,625
Total, Operations of facilities	830,790	830,790
Safety operations	107,701	107,701
Maintenance	227,000	251,000
Recapitalization	257,724	407,724
Construction:		
16-D-621 Substation replacement at TA-3, LANL	25,000	25,000
15-D-613 Emergency Operations Center, Y-12	17,919	17,919
Total, Construction	42,919	42,919
Total, Infrastructure and safety	1,466,134	1,640,134
Site stewardship		
Nuclear materials integration	17,510	17,510
Minority serving institution partnerships program	19,085	19,085
Total, Site stewardship	36,595	36,595
Defense nuclear security		
Operations and maintenance	619,891	631,891
Construction:		
14-D-710 Device assembly facility argus installation project, NV ...	13,000	13,000
Total, Defense nuclear security	632,891	644,891
Information technology and cybersecurity	157,588	157,588
Legacy contractor pensions	283,887	283,887
Total, Weapons Activities	8,846,948	9,084,648
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation		
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs		
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&D		
Global material security	426,751	336,751
Material management and minimization	311,584	331,584
Nonproliferation and arms control	126,703	126,703
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&D	419,333	439,333
Nonproliferation Construction:		
99-D-143 Mixed Oxide (MOX) Fuel Fabrication Facility, SRS	345,000	345,000
Total, Nonproliferation construction	345,000	345,000
Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs	1,629,371	1,579,371
Legacy contractor pensions	94,617	94,617
Nuclear counterterrorism and incident response program	234,390	245,390
Use of prior-year balances	-18,076	-18,076
Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	1,940,302	1,901,302
Naval Reactors		
Naval reactors operations and infrastructure	445,196	445,196
Naval reactors development	444,400	444,400
Ohio replacement reactor systems development	186,800	186,800
SSG Prototype refueling	133,000	133,000
Program direction	45,000	45,000
Construction:		
15-D-904 NRF Overpack Storage Expansion 3	900	900
15-D-903 KL Fire System Upgrade	600	600
15-D-902 KS Engineroom team trainer facility	3,100	3,100
14-D-902 KL Materials characterization laboratory expansion, KAPL ..	30,000	30,000
14-D-901 Spent fuel handling recapitalization project, NRF	86,000	98,000
10-D-903, Security upgrades, KAPL	500	500
Total, Construction	121,100	133,100
Total, Naval Reactors	1,375,496	1,387,496

Federal Salaries And Expenses

•HR ____ RH

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Program	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
Program direction	402,654	396,654
Total, Office Of The Administrator	402,654	396,654
Defense Environmental Cleanup		
Closure sites:		
Closure sites administration	4,889	4,889
Hanford site:		
River corridor and other cleanup operations:		
River corridor and other cleanup operations	196,957	268,957
Central plateau remediation:		
Central plateau remediation	555,163	555,163
Richland community and regulatory support	14,701	14,701
Construction:		
15-D-401 Containerized sludge removal annex, RL	77,016	77,016
Total, Hanford site	843,837	915,837
Idaho National Laboratory:		
Idaho cleanup and waste disposition	357,783	357,783
Idaho community and regulatory support	3,000	3,000
Total, Idaho National Laboratory	360,783	360,783
NNSA sites		
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	1,366	1,366
Nevada	62,385	62,385
Sandia National Laboratories	2,500	2,500
Los Alamos National Laboratory	188,625	188,625
Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites	254,876	254,876
Oak Ridge Reservation:		
OR Nuclear facility D & D		
OR Nuclear facility D & D	75,958	75,958
Construction:		
14-D-403 Outfall 200 Mercury Treatment Facility	6,800	6,800
Total, OR Nuclear facility D & D	82,758	82,758
U233 Disposition Program	26,895	26,895
OR cleanup and disposition:		
OR cleanup and disposition	60,500	60,500
Total, OR cleanup and disposition	60,500	60,500
OR reservation community and regulatory support	4,400	4,400
Solid waste stabilization and disposition		
Oak Ridge technology development	2,800	2,800
Total, Oak Ridge Reservation	177,353	177,353
Office of River Protection:		
Waste treatment and immobilization plant		
01-D-416 A-D/ORP-0060 / Major construction	595,000	595,000
01-D-16E Pretreatment facility	95,000	95,000
Total, Waste treatment and immobilization plant	690,000	690,000
Tank farm activities		
Rad liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	649,000	649,000
Construction:		
15-D-409 Low Activity Waste Pretreatment System, Hanford	75,000	75,000
Total, Tank farm activities	724,000	724,000
Total, Office of River protection	1,414,000	1,414,000
Savannah River sites:		
Savannah River risk management operations	386,652	398,252
SR community and regulatory support	11,249	11,249
Radioactive liquid tank waste:		
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	581,878	581,878
Construction:		
15-D-402—Saltstone Disposal Unit #6	34,642	34,642

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Program	FY 2016 Request	House Authorized
05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River	194,000	194,000
Total, Construction	228,642	228,642
Total, Radioactive liquid tank waste	810,520	810,520
Total, Savannah River site	1,208,421	1,220,021
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant		
Waste isolation pilot plant	212,600	212,600
Construction:		
15-D-411 Safety significant confinement ventilation sys- tem, WIPP	23,218	23,218
15-D-412 Exhaust shaft, WIPP	7,500	7,500
Total, Construction	30,718	30,718
Total, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant	243,318	243,318
Program direction	281,951	281,951
Program support	14,979	14,979
Safeguards and Security:		
Oak Ridge Reservation	17,228	17,228
Paducah	8,216	8,216
Portsmouth	8,492	8,492
Richland/Hanford Site	67,601	67,601
Savannah River Site	128,345	128,345
Waste Isolation Pilot Project	4,860	4,860
West Valley	1,891	1,891
Technology development	14,510	18,510
Subtotal, Defense environmental cleanup	5,055,550	5,143,150
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	471,797	0
Total, Defense Environmental Cleanup	5,527,347	5,143,150
Other Defense Activities		
Specialized security activities	221,855	226,055
Environment, health, safety and security		
Environment, health, safety and security	120,693	120,693
Program direction	63,105	63,105
Total, Environment, Health, safety and security	183,798	183,798
Enterprise assessments		
Enterprise assessments	24,068	24,068
Program direction	49,466	49,466
Total, Enterprise assessments	73,534	73,534
Office of Legacy Management		
Legacy management	154,080	154,080
Program direction	13,100	13,100
Total, Office of Legacy Management	167,180	167,180
Defense-related activities		
Defense related administrative support		
Chief financial officer	35,758	35,758
Chief information officer	83,800	83,800
Management	3,000	3,000
Total, Defense related administrative support	122,558	122,558
Office of hearings and appeals	5,500	5,500
Subtotal, Other defense activities	774,425	778,625
Total, Other Defense Activities	774,425	778,625

DIRECTIVE REPORT LANGUAGE

Table Of Contents

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Items of Special Interest

Joint Standoff Weapon sustainment

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Items of Special Interest

Land-Based Anti-Ship Missile Program

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Items of Special Interest

Automated Test and Retest

Barking Sands Tactical Underwater Range

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Items of Special Interest

Combating Terrorism Technical Support Office

U.S. Special Operations Command Terrain Following/Terrain Avoidance

Radar program for MC-130J aircraft

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

BUDGET REQUEST ADJUSTMENTS

Air Force Remotely Piloted Aircraft Training and Operations

LOGISTICS AND SUSTAINMENT ISSUES

Depot Maintenance Capability

OTHER MATTERS

United States Special Operations Command Global Inform and Influence Activities

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Comptroller General Report on Nutrition Assistance for Active Duty Service Members and Their Families

Installation Access for Regular College Student Counseling

Timely Access to Child Care on Military Installations

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Contracting Activities to Employ Disabled Persons

Department Management of Unobligated Funds

Department of Defense Oversight of Non-Major Defense Acquisition Programs

Education and Training Related to Commercial Item Procurements

Education and Training Related to Setting of Requirements
Exchanges with Industry
Improvements in Accountability for Contracted Services Spending
Improving the Efficiency of the Defense Contract Audit Agency
Improving the Financial Auditability of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service
Improving the Goals and Metrics for Contracted Services
Improving the Requirements Development for and Acquisition of Contracted Services
Improving Transparency of Defense Contracted Services Budget Information
Inspector General Review of Requirements for Senior Department of Defense Officials Seeking Employment with Defense Contractors
Requirements Process
Secretary of Defense Review of Implementing Guidance and Regulation
Shared Savings through Value Engineering
Streamlining Acquisition Reviews by Reducing Unnecessary Documentation
Study and Report Related to Mandates regarding Suitability for Selection as a Senior Official in the Acquisition Workforce

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Improving Agility in Shaping the Workforce

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

Counter-Drug Authority Regarding Support to Certain Foreign Governments
Counternarcotics and Global Threats Strategy
National Guard Counterdrug Programs
Western Hemisphere Maritime Intelligence

OTHER MATTERS

Attendance at Professional and Technical Conferences
Comptroller General Review of Drawdown Authority
Congressional Review of Federal Acquisition Regulation Rulemaking
Defense Innovation Initiative
Navy's Proposed Transition to an Evolved Contracting Strategy
Notifications of Changes to the Defense Federal Acquisition Supplement to Congress
Pacific Command Operational Plans

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Command and Control within Operation Inherent Resolve
Comptroller General Inventory of Department of Defense Security Cooperation Programs
Guidelines for U.S.-Japan Defense Cooperation

Nonlethal Military Support for Ukraine
Report on Government Police Training and Equipping Programs
Russian Unconventional Warfare
Support to Coalition Partners Conducting Military Operations within Operation
Inherent Resolve
The Transition or Termination of the Mission to Counter the Lord's Resistance
Army

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Review of Non-Navy Workload within Navy Working Capital Fund Activities

TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE MATTERS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Funding Mechanism for Department of Defense Priorities at the National
Nuclear Security Administration

Organization of the Military Service and Technical Intelligence Centers

Strategic Deterrence Research and Education

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Weapons Activities

Defense Nuclear Security

Federal Salaries and Expenses

Classification guidance

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Items of Special Interest

Joint Standoff Weapon sustainment

The budget request contained \$21.4 million to fund termination costs for the Joint Standoff Weapon (JSOW) C-1 program.

The JSOW C-1 program provides for a standardized medium-range precision guided glide munition system that can engage defended targets from outside the range of standard anti-aircraft defenses. The committee has concerns about the proposed termination of the JSOW C-1 program given the current threat environment, as well as current munition inventories. The committee is troubled by the lack of analysis supporting the proposed termination by the Navy and its associated impacts to the industrial base. The committee notes this request contradicts budget justification material used as part of the President's request for fiscal year 2015. The committee also notes that the Chief of Naval Operations has indicated potential shortfalls exist for the JSOW C-1 munitions. The committee understands that a technical Nunn-McCurdy breach has been triggered by the reduction in quantities proposed in the request, and encourages the Secretary of Defense to expeditiously complete required certifications to continue the remaining program.

The committee is aware there is approximately \$2.00 billion in Foreign Military Sales (FMS) that are expected across the Future Years Defense Program for JSOW C-1 munitions, however the committee is concerned about the Navy's position that Foreign Military Sales alone would be sufficient to sustain the viability of the JSOW munitions industrial base. The committee notes FMS cases often take years longer than originally planned to materialize and believes the Navy is assuming unacceptable levels of risk. The committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by March 1, 2016, on the plan to support continued JSOW modernization, to include plans for integration on the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter, as well as the planned schedule for FMS sales.

The committee recommends \$69.2 million, an increase of \$47.8 million, to help procure additional JSOW C-1 munitions at the minimum sustaining rate of 200 per year in fiscal year 2016 to better sustain the industrial base and mitigate potential inventory shortfalls.

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Items of Special Interest

Land-Based Anti-Ship Missile Program

The committee notes that the October 2014 Army Operating Concept, "Win in a Complex World," emphasizes the role for Army forces to project land based power into other domains and that, "Future Army forces will support joint force freedom of movement and action through the projection of power from land across the maritime, air, space, and cyberspace domains." The committee also notes in a technical report prepared for the U.S. Army entitled "Employing Land Based Anti-

Ship Missiles in the Western Pacific” that the RAND corporation concluded that, “Land-based ASMs (Anti-Ship Missiles) are readily available on the world’s arms markets, are inexpensive, and would provide significant additional capabilities to the United States if integrated into the Army or the Marine Corps force structure.”

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2016, as to the feasibility, utility, and options for mobile, land-based systems to provide anti-ship fires. Such fires should be addressed within the total portfolio of land based fires against air and surface based threats, including total cost considerations such as research and development, procurement, sustainment, and force structure considerations.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Items of Special Interest

Automated Test and Retest

The committee recognizes the value that the Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) program provides to the Department of Defense in gaining access to new and innovative technologies that, when successful, can be integrated into new acquisition programs of record. As noted by the National Research Council in its most recent assessment of the Department of Defense SBIR program in 2014, these projects are highly successful at commercialization. Data from that study indicates that about 70 percent of Department of Defense Phase II projects reach the market. In addition, these projects “are in broad alignment with the agency’s mission needs,” and result in broader impacts on the innovation ecosystem, such as strong linkages with universities, support for graduate students, and licensing of technology from universities.

The committee is concerned that the Department of Defense is not fully utilizing the scope of the unique authorities embedded in the SBIR legislation. In addition to potentially shortening acquisition cycle time, SBIR can be useful in leveraging the innovation of the small business community and growing new technology areas. A good example of the benefits of the SBIR program is the Navy’s Automated Test and Retest (ATRT) Initiative, which has demonstrated impressive results in reducing the time, reducing the cost, and improving the quality of fielding new capabilities by performing research, development, and application of automated testing technologies for the test and evaluation of Naval warfare systems. The committee believes that the valuable lessons from such activities as ATRT should be more widely leveraged across the Navy and the rest of the Department of Defense. The committee believes it is especially important to make sure that program managers and contracting officers are fully cognizant of the unique authorities SBIR provides, and are duly encouraged to be as flexible and creative as possible in utilizing those authorities.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to brief the House Committee on Armed Services by November 1, 2015, on the Department's plans for improving the use of SBIR authorities. This briefing should address how to better educate program managers and contracting officers on the special authorities of SBIR, any recommendations for how to improve or strengthen those authorities, metrics for assessing the use of SBIR authorities, and a process for integrating lessons learned from past successes like ATRT into future acquisition program planning and workforce development for the relevant communities.

Barking Sands Tactical Underwater Range

The budget request contained \$39.1 million in PE 24571N for consolidated training systems. Of this amount, no funds were requested for research and development to upgrade the anti-submarine warfare (ASW) underwater range instrumentation needs at the Barking Sands Tactical Underwater Range at the Pacific Missile Range Facility in Hawaii.

The committee recognizes that the military's ability to conduct advanced ASW training is a critical aspect of our military technological superiority. The Barking Sands Tactical Underwater Range, which was designed, manufactured, and installed in 1994, is the largest underwater instrumented range in the world, and covers over 1,100 square nautical miles. However, the committee is very concerned that the current system is beyond its 20 year design life, and rapidly becoming difficult to operate, repair, and maintain. Senior leaders within the Nation's submarine community have been on record since 2012 calling for a range replacement to begin in order to maintain worldwide ASW fleet readiness and superiority. To support such efforts, the committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by March 1, 2016, on the Secretary's plan to meet this longstanding fleet requirement. The briefing should address the technology and instrumentation upgrade needs for the range with projected funding needs by fiscal year, a preliminary schedule of key milestones, and a fully competitive acquisition strategy to meet submarine fleet readiness requirements.

Additionally, the committee recommends \$54.1 million, and increase of \$15.0 million, in PE 24571N to support upgrading the ASW underwater range instrumentation needs at the Barking Sands Tactical Underwater Range.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Items of Special Interest

Combating Terrorism Technical Support Office

The budget request included \$71.2 million in PE 63122D8Z for the Combating Terrorism Technical Support Office (CTTSO).

The CTTSO identifies capabilities to combat terrorism and irregular adversaries and delivers these capabilities to geographic combatant commanders, the military services, the interagency, and international partners. The committee notes CTTSO's track record of success in demonstrating the effectiveness of technology when applied to combating terrorism and irregular warfare requirements, and that the CTTSO has most recently developed several capabilities to counter the growing threat being posed by Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL). The committee remains concerned with the success of ISIL's messaging and propaganda, and its ability to persuade, inspire, and recruit from across the globe. ISIL's continued success on the battlefield depends on this messaging, and the group's propaganda attracts recruits and other support that enables the organization to persist. Consequently, the committee believes that the campaign to degrade and defeat ISIL on the battlefield must be coupled with a comparable effort to degrade and defeat ISIL's message in the minds of potential supporters. The committee believes that the CTTSO is uniquely positioned to help counter ISIL's narrative and battlefield successes, and to enhance U.S., allied, and international partner Information Operations capabilities to mitigate and marginalize ISIL's ability to influence and inspire. Elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would provide additional authority for a pilot program to support information operations and strategic communications capabilities.

The committee urges the CTTSO to work with the combatant commands to provide technological and operational capabilities to support the tactical, operational, and strategic requirements of the combatant commanders. Further, the committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than July 30, 2015, on additional counter-ISIL activities and initiatives being conducted by the CTTSO.

The committee recommends \$96.2 million, an increase of \$25.0 million, in PE 63122D8Z for the Combating Terrorism Technical Support Office for distinct and focused counter-ISIL efforts, global in nature, including support for geographic combatant commander information operations requirements.

U.S. Special Operations Command Terrain Following/Terrain Avoidance Radar program for MC-130J aircraft

The budget request contained \$35.4 million for procurement of the MC-130 Terrain Following/Terrain Avoidance Radar program.

The committee notes that U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) recently conducted an analysis of alternatives for MC-130J Commando II aircraft, and that this analysis led to the decision to discontinue development of the ANP-241 radar and to transition to the AN/APQ-187 Silent Knight Radar. The committee understands that during contractor flight tests of the ANP-241 modified for terrain following, operators and testers deemed the ANP-241 unsafe and ineffective for Terrain Following/Terrain Avoidance (TF/TA) flight, and that any

modification to the current ANP-241 would require extensive redesign and result in a new radar system. As such, the committee supports the USSOCOM Commander's decision to accelerate transition to the AN/APQ-187 Silent Knight Radar program, and based on the justification provided to the committee from USSOCOM, recommends transferring available funding from the MC-130 Terrain Following/Terrain Avoidance Radar procurement program to higher priority programs in other budget appropriations. The committee directs the Commander, U.S. Special Operations Command to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by July 30, 2015, on the TF/TA radar program for MC-130J aircraft.

Further, the committee recommends no funding, a decrease of \$35.4 million, for procurement of the MC-130 Terrain Following/Terrain Avoidance Radar program. In lieu of these procurement funds, the committee recommends \$42.3 million, an increase of \$15.2 million, in PE 60403BB for continued development of the MC-130 Terrain Following/Terrain Avoidance Radar Program. In addition, the committee recommends \$23.2 million, an increase of \$5.0 million, in PE 1105219BB for Medium Altitude Long Endurance Tactical (MALET) MQ-9 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle development. Finally, the committee recommends \$26.9 million, an increase of \$15.2 million, for the continued procurement of the MALET MQ-9 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

BUDGET REQUEST ADJUSTMENTS

Air Force Remotely Piloted Aircraft Training and Operations

Since 2008, the Air Force has more than tripled the number of its Active Duty pilots flying remotely piloted aircraft (RPA). The committee is aware that due to increases in demand, RPA pilots have had a significant increase in workload going back to 2007. The committee is concerned that the Air Force continues to experience critical shortfalls in RPA pilots despite historical trends indicating continued growth in demand for RPA combat air patrols (CAPs) and remains concerned about the practice of involuntarily retaining pilots from other communities to fill RPA shortages. Most concerning is the committee's belief that the Air Force still lacks a viable and comprehensive corrective action plan to address critical and growing operational and training shortfalls within the RPA community as well as the manning challenges mentioned elsewhere in this report.

The committee notes that it has, on multiple occasions, tasked the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to examine this issue, and the GAO reviews have resulted in a number of recommended corrective actions. Despite these recommendations and internal Air Force assessments, the committee believes the Air Force has not been proactive enough in correcting known deficiencies and, in

some cases, has disregarded alternative recommended approaches that could help alleviate some of the most immediate and critical shortfalls. As an example, in its report, “Actions Needed to Strengthen Management of Unmanned Aerial System Pilots,” published in April 2014, the GAO recommended that the Air Force evaluate using alternative personnel populations such as civilians or enlisted personnel to conduct RPA missions; the Air Force did not concur, citing a year-and-half-old assessment.

The committee believes the Air Force’s reluctance to properly resource the RPA community, despite clear indications that the intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance, and strike capability of RPA systems is likely to continue to increase, as is the scope of tasks RPA units are expected to complete, has resulted in an unsustainable operations and personnel tempo.

To ensure the Air Force is properly addressing this critical training and operational aspects of this issue, in conjunction with the plan required elsewhere in this Act, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to:

(1) Assess the viability of using non-rated, civilian, contractor, or enlisted pilots to execute RPA missions;

(2) Develop a comprehensive training plan aimed at increasing the throughput of Undergraduate Remotely Piloted Aircraft Training (URT) without sacrificing quality and standards;

(3) Establish optimum and minimum crew ratios and, to the maximum extent possible, conduct missions in accordance with optimum ratios; and

(4) Identify any resource, legislative, or Departmental policy challenges impeding the corrective action needed to reach sustainable RPA operations tempo.

The Secretary shall brief the House Committee on Armed Services on these requirements by February 1, 2016.

Further, the committee recommends \$145.1 million, an increase of \$20.0 million, in Flight Training, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force, to increase URT capacity.

LOGISTICS AND SUSTAINMENT ISSUES

Depot Maintenance Capability

The Department of Defense maintains many complex weapon systems, such as aircraft and ships, and equipment, such as generators and radars, that require regular and emergency maintenance by both military depots and contractors to continue being available to meet national security goals. The committee notes that Department of Defense components are in the process of assessing the critical skills and competencies needed by the depot maintenance civilian workforce to support current and future national security requirements by projecting trends in the workforce based upon expected losses due to retirement and other attrition.

The committee recognizes the growing challenge to maintain both a healthy commercial depot maintenance industrial base and meet the organic core maintenance capability in compliance with section 2464 of title 10, United States

Code, which requires the Department to maintain a core maintenance capability involving a combination of personnel, facilities, equipment, processes, and technology that is government-owned and government-operated and needed to meet mobilization, contingency, and emergency requirements.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the House Committee on Armed Services by June 15, 2016, that evaluates to what extent the Department of Defense:

(1) Uses core capability requirements to manage current and future depot maintenance workloads;

(2) Is able to provide information that identifies trends in core capability workloads at selected military depots, and the effects, if any, they are having on capability;

(3) Engages in agreements such as public-private partnerships with contractors and the impact these agreements have on DOD meeting core capability requirements;

(4) Resources core requirements; and

(5) Adequately captures core depot-level maintenance capability requirements in the biennial core report required under subsection 2464(d) of title 10, United States Code, and any changes to subsection 2464(d) the Comptroller General would recommend to increase transparency within the report.

The Comptroller General may also include other related matters as deemed appropriate in order to provide a comprehensive examination of core depot maintenance capability.

The committee further directs the Comptroller General to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than January 31, 2016, on preliminary findings of the Comptroller General's evaluation.

OTHER MATTERS

United States Special Operations Command Global Inform and Influence Activities

The budget request included \$24.7 million in Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, for U.S. Special Operations Command global inform and influence activities. The committee notes that this program will resource the geographic combatant commanders military information support operations, as well as inform and influence activities. The budget request includes increases that are directly attributed to military information operational gaps.

Elsewhere in this report, the committee expresses concern with the information operations being conducted by the Federation of Russia in Ukraine and Eastern Europe, and the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL), and provides additional authority for a pilot program to support information operations and strategic communications capabilities. The committee urges U.S. Special Operations Command to leverage this authority to enhance information-related and strategic communications capabilities to support the tactical, operational, and strategic requirements of the various combatant commanders, including urgent and

emergent operational needs, and the operational and theater security cooperation plans of the geographic and functional combatant commanders.

Therefore, the committee recommends \$54.7 million, an increase of \$30.0 million, for U.S. Special Operations Command global inform and influence activities to expand activities against the Russian Federation and ISIL. The committee further directs the Commander, U.S. Special Operations Command and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than July 30, 2015, on global inform and influence activities, with an emphasis on efforts to counter Russian and ISIL propaganda.

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Comptroller General Report on Nutrition Assistance for Active Duty Service Members and Their Families

The Military Compensation and Retirement Modernization Commission recommended in its January 2015 report that the Department of Defense's Family Subsistence Supplemental Allowances program be disbanded for service members located in U.S. States and territories, and replaced by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. However, the committee is concerned that there may be a continued need for nutritional assistance among service members and their families.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to review the nutritional programs available to Active Duty service members and their dependents. The Comptroller General should provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by March 31, 2016, on preliminary findings, with a report to follow on a date agreed to at the time of the briefing. The review should address the following:

- (1) An assessment of the nutritional assistance programs available to service members and their families.
- (2) An assessment of the extent to which service members and their families rely upon nutritional assistance programs to supplement their nutritional needs.
- (3) An assessment of whether changes are needed to the system of nutritional assistance programs available for service members and their families' nutritional needs.

Installation Access for Regular College Student Counseling

The committee recognizes the importance of education opportunities for service members and their families and the ability of service members to use their

earned education benefits. However, the committee is concerned that military students using their education benefits to attend college or take classes on-line may need more timely face-to-face access to their school counselors on military installations.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to brief the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives by March 1, 2016, on a review of the instructions, policies, and agreements regarding installation access for representatives of institutions whose students receive tuition assistance funding, in order to enable student access to regular face-to-face counseling from their school representatives. The briefing shall include the results of the review of installation access policies and whether the Department of Defense installation access policies are consistent across the armed services.

Timely Access to Child Care on Military Installations

The committee is aware of the challenges some military families face in accessing child care services on military installations. The committee understands that the Military Compensation and Retirement Modernization Commission has recommended several initiatives to improve child care services available to military families. The committee encourages the Department of Defense to consider the recommendations and implement those recommendations that will improve timely access to child care services. In addition, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan to reduce the backlog of children waiting to receive child care services on military installations by 50 percent by October 1, 2017. Further, the committee directs the Secretary to brief the House Committee on Armed Services on the plan by March 31, 2016.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Contracting Activities to Employ Disabled Persons

The committee is concerned that disabled Americans, many of whom are veterans, want to work but need assistance in finding employment. The committee is aware that the AbilityOne Program, authorized by the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 8501) provides employment opportunities for Americans who have significant disabilities through Federal contracts with qualified, community-based, nonprofit agencies across the country. The committee recognizes that the AbilityOne Program currently provides diverse products and services, such as manufactured equipment for the warfighter, supply-chain management, and other essential services at military installations and offices. The committee believes that the AbilityOne Program network of nonprofit agencies could have the capability and

capacity to fulfill additional requirements for the Department of Defense while also providing valued employment opportunities to disabled Americans.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to examine the opportunities for the Department of Defense to increase contracting with organizations such as the AbilityOne Program or similar organizations that prioritize the hiring of disabled Americans. The committee further directs the Secretary to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 15, 2016, on the findings of this examination, along with recommendations to improve Department of Defense efforts to leverage the skills and capabilities of such workforce.

Department Management of Unobligated Funds

The committee is concerned that the current planning, programming, budgeting, and execution (PPBE) process of the Department of Defense has created an environment in which personnel are incentivized to spend, not save, in procuring products and services to meet the needs of the warfighter.

The committee is aware that on September 10, 2012, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics and the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) issued a joint memorandum to the military departments, the combatant commands, and other defense agencies addressing long-standing Department of Defense issues regarding the way the Department manages unobligated funds. In the memorandum, the Under Secretaries stressed the importance of spending money in a manner that maximizes value to the Department and to the taxpayer. The memorandum attempted to address the existing culture which measures program execution against established obligation benchmarks. In the memorandum, they wrote, "We will continue to hold our Program/contracts teams accountable for executing to their planned schedules, but we have to stop measuring only benchmark execution as the dispositive method of determining whether funds are available for higher priorities."

On August 20, 2014, the two Under Secretaries issued a follow-up memorandum to reaffirm their commitment to improve the way the Department manages unobligated funds. This memorandum stated that the following tenets should be adopted and enforced at all levels of the chain of command and by acquisition and financial managers throughout the Department:

"(1) Taxpayer funds should be obligated and ultimately expended only in the taxpayers' interest and if best value is received for the money in support of the warfighter.

(2) While they can be useful indicators, obligation rates slower than established benchmarks should not be the determinative measuring stick for program execution and must not be regarded as a failure.

(3) Late obligation of funds should not be presumed to imply that the funds are not needed or that future budgets should be reduced unless there is other evidence to support that conclusion. It may, however, indicate a need to examine

whether rephasing funding is appropriate to more properly align with actual program execution.

(4) Providing savings to the organization, military service, or Department of Defense component as early in the fiscal year as possible should be encouraged and rewarded, professionally and visibly.

(5) Savings will not be reallocated at any higher Department of Defense level than necessary to fulfill shortfalls in priority requirements.

(6) Managers who release unobligated funds to higher priorities will not automatically be penalized in their next year's budget with a lower allocation and may be candidates for additional funding to offset prior year reductions."

The committee supports these efforts. However, the committee continues to be made aware of anecdotes that the culture inside the Department is to continue to obligate funding regardless of necessity in order to either prevent those funds from expiring or being reallocated, or as a methodology to substantiate the future year's budget request. Therefore, the committee directs each of the Under Secretaries of the military departments to provide a briefing to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives not later than August 31, 2015, on the current progress achieved and challenges remaining in regards to the execution of the direction sent in the memo from the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics and the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) on August 20, 2014.

Department of Defense Oversight of Non-Major Defense Acquisition Programs

The committee notes that the military services manage many hundreds of acquisition category II and III programs each year. These programs range from multi-billion dollar aircraft radar modernization efforts to soldier clothing and protective equipment programs worth tens of millions of dollars. Many of these programs represent complex critical warfare capabilities.

At the committee's request, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) undertook a review of these programs (GAO-15-188) to determine the extent to which information is available on the number of these programs and their cost and schedule performance. The committee is concerned by GAO's conclusion that the military services' management information was too unreliable to assess these programs. The committee is also concerned by GAO's conclusion that the military services may lack sufficient cost and schedule metrics to assess performance trends. The committee notes that the military services have taken some steps to improve the reliability of the data and considered ways to improve the cost and schedule metrics. The committee encourages the military services to take additional steps to address the management and oversight issues identified by GAO as rapidly as possible.

The committee directs the Secretaries of the military departments to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than September 15, 2015, on their respective services' efforts to improve the reliability of management

information and to develop sufficient cost and schedule metrics for non-major defense acquisition programs.

Education and Training Related to Commercial Item Procurements

The committee is concerned that current education and training programs in the Department of Defense are insufficient to fully prepare military and civilian personnel to skillfully establish requirements, develop solid acquisition and contracting strategies, and conduct market research related to commercial item procurements. Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, to complete a review by October 1, 2015, of the Department's programs established to develop qualified acquisition, requirements, and contingency professionals as well as other professional military education curricula, and to identify areas where such efforts could be enhanced to improve workforce skills and knowledge related to commercial item procurements. The committee further directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by February 1, 2016, on the findings and recommendations of the review.

Education and Training Related to Setting of Requirements

The committee notes that, in accordance with section 801 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364), the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics, in consultation with the Defense Acquisition University, developed a training program to certify military and civilian personnel of the Department of Defense with responsibility for generating requirements for major defense acquisition programs. Despite this progress, the committee remains concerned that the currently established education and training programs in the Department of Defense are insufficient to prepare the requirements workforce to fully define operational requirements, ensure trade-offs are fully assessed, and ensure the approved requirements are essential, technically feasible, and affordable. In too many cases, the committee continues to observe programs that are initiated without sound fundamentals and that result in "requirements creep."

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of the effectiveness of Department's program established to develop requirements management certification training and the competency requirements for the personnel undergoing the training program by October 1, 2015. The Secretary of Defense should also include other education and training curricula to identify areas where such efforts could be enhanced to improve the workforce skills, training, and tools related to the setting of requirements for major defense acquisition programs, major systems, major automated information systems, contingency program management, and contracted services. The review should also

examine how these education and training programs address systems engineering analyses and cost estimating as part of the requirements development process. The committee further directs the Secretary to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by February 1, 2016, on the findings of the review along with any recommendations to improve the Department's requirements-setting skills, training, and tools.

Exchanges with Industry

The committee applauds efforts by the Secretary of Defense to provide opportunities for military officers and defense civilian personnel to conduct exchanges with industry, such as the Secretary of Defense Corporate Fellows Program (SDCFP). The committee is aware that the SDCFP was established by the Secretary of Defense in 1994 to become a long-term investment in transforming U.S. military forces and capabilities and was intended to play a key part of the Department of Defense strategy to achieve its transformational goals. While fellows have been assigned to such diverse and innovative businesses, such as Amgen, Boeing, CNN, Caterpillar, Cisco, Citicorp, DuPont, FedEx, General Dynamics, Honeywell, Hewlett-Packard, IBM, Lockheed Martin, McKinsey, Merck, Microsoft, Northrop Grumman, Oracle, Pfizer, Raytheon, Sears, Southern Company, Sun, 3M, and United Technologies, the committee notes that only 16 individuals are allowed to participate in the program on an annual basis. The committee also notes that at its inception over 20 years ago, the then-Secretary of Defense stated that the SDCFP was not intended to "produce better technologists or acquisition specialists." However, the committee believes that this program could be leveraged to enhance the professional development of the uniformed and civilian workforce of the Department of Defense.

The committee believes that the Department could benefit from a comprehensive review of this program, along with other fellowship programs and professional development exchanges. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of the SDCFP and other fellowship programs and professional development exchanges, and to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by September 15, 2015, on the findings and associated recommendations for strengthening the workforce. As part of the review, the Secretary should examine the potential effects on career progression and retention rates of participants in such programs and provide recommendations necessary to promote early, frequent, and ethical dialogues between all stakeholders on matters such as rules, acquisition policies, and contracting practices.

Improvements in Accountability for Contracted Services Spending

The committee notes that in a December 2014 report, "DOD Contract Services: Improved Planning and Implementation of Fiscal Controls Needed" (GAO-15-115), the Government Accountability Office made a number of recommendations to improve the control mechanisms for contracted services spending within the

Department of Defense, and the Department concurred with those recommendations. The committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than September 15, 2015, on the Department's efforts to implement effective control mechanisms for contracted services spending.

Improving the Efficiency of the Defense Contract Audit Agency

The committee continues to believe that more must be done to improve the efficiency of the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA). In 2012, the committee learned that DCAA had not been subject to a peer review since 2006, despite the fact that according to generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS), a peer review of government audit agencies should be conducted at least every 3 years. As a result, the committee included section 1614 in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), which assigned responsibility to the Inspector General of the Department of Defense for conducting peer reviews of Department of Defense audit agencies, including DCAA, in 2014.

As a result of that peer review, the Inspector General released a report on August 21, 2014, which found that 11 of 92 DCAA engagements reviewed during a 6 month period did not contain sufficient evidence for Inspector General reviewers to understand DCAA's auditing decisions. The Inspector General attributed these findings to an "absence of effective control measures in DCAA's policies and procedures" for compliance with GAGAS. Additionally, the Inspector General found that DCAA had yet to correct its performance despite being aware of issues identified in a September 2009 Government Accountability Office report (GAO-09-468) and, previously, by DCAA's own quality assurance procedures.

Furthermore, the committee is aware that the Inspector General released a report on September 8, 2014, on its review of audits issued by DCAA in fiscal years 2012-13. In conducting this review, the Inspector General examined a cross section of 16 DCAA audits completed between October 2011 and February 2013, including 5 audits of forward-pricing proposals and 11 audits of incurred costs and other audit types. The Inspector General identified 1 or more significant inadequacies on 13 of the 16 selected DCAA audits and found deficiencies in compliance with GAGAS in the areas of audit planning, evidence, working paper documentation, and supervision. Furthermore, the Inspector General review uncovered instances of auditors not obtaining adequate cost or pricing data. In addition to these findings, the committee continues to be concerned by the slow audit processes and extensive backlog at DCAA, which, according to the DCAA's annual Report to Congress dated March 24, 2014, included roughly 23,000 incurred cost submissions at the end of fiscal year 2013.

The committee recognizes that the DCAA has taken steps to improve its performance. However, the committee believes that its substandard performance impairs the defense acquisition process by incurring avoidable delays and by raising costs for the Government. The committee believes that much work remains to be

done to ensure that DCAA is capable of fully meeting applicable standards and of promoting the smooth and transparent functioning of the defense acquisition system.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to take immediate steps to address substandard performance by DCAA, to reduce its audit backlog, and to minimize costs and other harmful consequences for the Federal Government and defense industry contractors that are the result of DCAA delays. The committee further directs the Secretary to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2016, on the steps taken to address DCAA deficiencies, along with recommendations for any changes to statutory or regulatory guidance that may enable the DCAA to satisfy all applicable Federal and professional audit standards, to complete audits within a reasonable period of time, and to avoid placing unnecessary burdens on the Government or industry.

Improving the Financial Auditability of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service

The Department of Defense is responsible for more than half of the Federal Government's discretionary spending. However, according to a Government Accountability Office (GAO) Report (GAO-14-10), dated June 23, 2014, it remains one of the few major Federal entities that cannot sufficiently account for all its spending or assets, and remains the only major Federal agency that has been unable to receive an audit opinion on a complete set of its department-wide financial statements. The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84) mandated that the Department develop and maintain a Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness (FIAR) Plan that describes the specific actions to be taken to be ready for audit by September 30, 2017. It also required the Plan to describe the costs associated with correcting the Department's financial management deficiencies and validating that the Department's consolidated financial statements are ready for audit by 2017. The FIAR Plan is intended to be a strategic plan and management tool for guiding, monitoring, and reporting on the Department's ongoing financial management improvement efforts and for communicating the Department's approach to addressing its financial management weaknesses and achieving financial statement audit readiness.

The committee notes that the Department's financial management processes and operations remains a "high risk" area according to the 2015 Government Accountability Office's High Risk Report. The GAO found that significant weaknesses in the Department's financial and related business management systems and processes have adversely affected the Department's ability to control costs, ensure basic accountability, anticipate future costs and claims on the budget, measure performance, maintain funds control, prevent and detect fraud, waste, and abuse, address pressing management issues, and prepare auditable financial statements.

The GAO further reported that the Department needs to gain assurance that the service components have implemented their financial improvement plans effectively prior to asserting audit readiness. GAO issued the aforementioned report (GAO-14-10) with recommendations to help the Department fully implement its FIAR guidance with respect to the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) contract pay. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than August 31, 2015, on the corrective actions taken within the Department to address the GAO recommendations to improve DFAS in support of producing auditable financial statements.

Improving the Goals and Metrics for Contracted Services

The committee notes that the Department of Defense has taken a number of steps to improve its planning for and management of contracted services. Because of the billions of dollars the Department spends each year on services and the constrained fiscal environment, it is crucial for the Department to identify how it can best utilize its financial resources and acquire contracted services more efficiently and effectively. The committee commends the recent actions taken by the Office of Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy to establish leadership responsibilities and clarify management oversight for contracted services.

The committee also notes that in spite of failing to produce an effective inventory of contracts for services, as required by section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, the Department is taking action to obtain better contracted services data by improving and linking data within its contract and financial systems. The Government Accountability Office, while recognizing this progress, recommended in 2013 that the Department take steps to establish specific and measurable goals and metrics to assess progress. The committee is aware that the Department is developing and intends to approve contracted services goals and metrics in 2015, and supports these efforts.

The committee directs the Under Secretary for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than September 15, 2015, on the Department's progress in establishing contracted services goals and metrics.

Improving the Requirements Development for and Acquisition of Contracted Services

The Department of Defense obligated \$284.0 billion for goods and services in fiscal year 2014, more than half of which was for contracted services. In the committee report (H. Rept. 113-446) accompanying the Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, the committee noted that Congress has provided new tools and capabilities intended to improve the Department's processes for and oversight of the acquisition of contracted services. These include requiring the establishment of a management structure and review

process for high-dollar services and the designation of senior managers responsible for contract services approval and oversight within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics and the military departments.

Additionally, the committee is encouraged that the Department has taken several steps to improve its requirements development for and the acquisition of contracted services. Most notably, these actions include:

- (1) Developing a departmental instruction focused on the acquisition of contracted services;
- (2) Designating the Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics as the Department's focal point for the acquisition of contracted services;
- (3) Appointing senior contracted services managers within each of the military departments;
- (4) Establishing functional domain experts for each portfolio of contracted services the Department acquires; and
- (5) Creating a Services Requirements Review Board.

However, it is not clear to the committee how each of these offices and positions will coordinate with one another and with the requirements community, and whether these offices and positions have been, or will be, provided sufficient authorities and resources to carry out their responsibilities. Further, it is not clear whether these offices and positions will have the ability to: review ongoing and future requirements for the acquisition of contracted services to assess them against the Department's strategic priorities; recommend changes to acquisition strategies; or establish metrics to monitor contract services outcomes and identify risks.

Additionally, the committee notes that the Department has failed to produce the inventory of contracts for services required in section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, which would facilitate the Department's strategic workforce planning, workforce mix, and budget decision-making processes.

Consequently, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to develop a strategy on how the Department intends to coordinate the roles, responsibilities, authorities, and resources of the offices and positions involved in the requirements development for and the acquisition of contracted services, and to submit a report to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than January 29, 2016, identifying the roles, responsibilities, authorities, and resources of each office and position, as well as a description of how each office and position will coordinate with one another and with the requirements community. The strategy also should address how the Department will incorporate the outputs of the inventory of contract services into the Department's strategic workforce planning, workforce mix, and budget decision-making processes.

Elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to complete an examination of the decision authority related to acquisition of services by September 15, 2015, and to develop and promulgate guidance to strengthen

services contracts requirements development, source selection, and contract oversight and management. The committee expects that the conclusions from this examination of decision authorities and any related guidance will inform the development of the strategy for coordination of the activities of the offices and positions involved in the requirements development for and the acquisition of contracted services.

Improving Transparency of Defense Contracted Services Budget Information

The committee remains concerned about the lack of transparency in the Department of Defense's spending and budgeting for contracted services. Most contracts for services are funded through Department of Defense operation and maintenance accounts in major categories of services, such as knowledge-based or information technology services. The President's annual budget request and supporting budget documentation, however, provide limited insights into how requested funds will be spent on these major categories of services. In September 2014, the committee received the Department of Defense Report on the Civilian Personnel Workforce and Contracted Services Reductions in the Fiscal Year 2015 Budget, provided in accordance with section 955(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239). The committee is concerned that, as noted in the report, the Department of Defense does not project the number of service contractors beyond the current budget year. Further, the committee is concerned that the Department will be unable to develop an effective strategy for contracted services if it cannot forecast its future needs and determine what changes, if any, need to be made. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than February 15, 2016, on options to enhance the level of detail on contracted services in the Department of Defense's budget requests and future years defense planning documentation.

Inspector General Review of Requirements for Senior Department of Defense Officials Seeking Employment with Defense Contractors

The committee wishes to be apprised of the Department of Defense's record of compliance with section 847 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), regarding the requirement for certain senior officials of the Department of Defense to obtain written opinion regarding the applicability of post-employment restrictions. Therefore, the committee directs the Inspector General (IG) of the Department of Defense to conduct a review of the database, or other electronic or paper records, created pursuant to section 847 and to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, in a manner that ensures protection of confidential, personal, or proprietary information, by December 31, 2015, on the findings of that review. The report should include the following:

(1) The findings of any previous IG reviews to assess whether written opinions are being provided and retained in accordance with section 847;

(2) A review of the written ethics opinions that have been requested and provided pursuant to section 847 and a determination as to whether they comply with section 847;

(3) A summary, by Department of Defense organization, of the total number of opinions issued and total number of opinions retained pursuant to section 847;

(4) A summary of any referrals to, and/or complaints received by, the IG or the Department of Justice regarding potential violations of post-employment restrictions, including the final disposition of such cases;

(5) The status of any pre-2012 records established pursuant to section 847 of Public Law 110-81; and

(6) Any other matters the IG deems relevant to a comprehensive assessment of compliance with section 847.

Requirements Process

While constructive statutory and policy changes have been applied to the Department of Defense's acquisition process in recent years, the committee remains concerned that a primary cause of cost and schedule growth in defense acquisition programs continues to be a lack of discipline and rigor in the requirements process. The committee has received testimony that "requirements creep" continues to occur after requirements are finalized by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council and handed off to the acquisition community. The committee has also received testimony that this cost growth can be attributed to a lack of upfront systems engineering and requirements trade-off analysis.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to examine the defense requirements process and to submit a report on any findings and recommendations to the congressional defense committees by March 31, 2016. The Comptroller General's review should determine: (1) whether requirements creep occurs during product development; (2) the role that systems engineering and requirements trade-off analysis, or lack thereof, plays in the development of top-level and derived requirements; (3) whether the knowledge gap between validated requirements and weapon systems specifications is the cause of cost growth; and (4) ways to improve the requirements-setting process.

Secretary of Defense Review of Implementing Guidance and Regulation

In the committee's oversight and review of the defense acquisition system, it has identified factors that contribute to a less efficient and less responsive system, and found several cases in which the Department of Defense's implementation of congressionally-directed policy was inaptly applied. For example, while the committee required the Department to establish plans for mitigating corrosion over the life-cycle of weapon systems, recognizing that hardware such as ships, planes, and vehicles should be appropriately protected against the known

effects of corrosion, the Department applied this requirement to the procurement of items such as software. This overreaction created an undue burden of reporting and approval processes throughout the acquisition system.

In another case, Congress mandated an annual report on the Department's spending on contracts for services because the relevant data aggregated in the budget justification documents was insufficient for congressional oversight and for enabling defense officials to make informed decisions with respect to contracting for services. However, the Department responded to this requirement by submitting an enormous spreadsheet of disaggregated data that is time consuming and resource intensive to produce and that provides little value to consumers, rather than working with Congress to develop a product that could benefit decision-makers in the Department and in Congress.

In both of these cases, the committee believes the policy guidance is sufficient, but it is concerned that the Department's implementation efforts ultimately lead to greater inefficiencies and increased costs in the acquisition system. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics and the Senior Acquisition Executives of the military departments, to initiate a targeted review of Department of Defense guidance and regulations.

The goal of such a review should be to identify areas in which the guidance or regulation is causing unnecessary reporting, delays in decision-making, or other harmful consequences, and to take necessary steps to improve such guidance or regulation. While the committee expects such review may take many months, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2016, on initial findings of the review along with recommendations at that time for changes to guidance, regulation, or statute that may be needed to clarify congressional intent and to streamline implementation of congressionally-directed policy.

Shared Savings through Value Engineering

The committee notes that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issued final revisions to OMB Circular No. A-131, "Value Engineering" in December 2013. Value engineering encourages contractors to identify ways to reduce the cost of performance on existing contracts for goods and services and to share with the Government any savings produced. According to OMB, value engineering has generated billions of dollars of savings and cost avoidance. However, the committee is aware that the use of value engineering has waned in recent years and applauds OMB's efforts to reinvigorate its use where appropriate. The committee sees great potential for shared savings in the Department of Defense's current and future acquisitions to the extent tools such as value engineering are effectively implemented.

The committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to complete a review not later than January 30, 2016, on

the extent to which the Department is taking advantage of the opportunities for shared savings through greater use of value engineering in the acquisition of goods and services, the benefits it has achieved, barriers to effective implementation, and any unintended consequences. The committee further directs the Under Secretary to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2016, on the findings of the review along with any recommendations to improve the effectiveness of the Department's implementation of value engineering.

Streamlining Acquisition Reviews by Reducing Unnecessary Documentation

The committee remains concerned that the process used to manage the acquisition of weapon systems is inefficient, cumbersome, and bureaucratic, and that an over-focus on processes and procedures takes time away from conducting day-to-day core program management tasks such as contractor oversight, engineering, and risk management. As a result, section 824 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66) directed the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to review the Department of Defense weapon systems acquisition process to identify processes or procedures with little or no value added. The GAO completed the review (GAO-15-192) on February 24, 2015, and found that an extensive process has built up in which program offices and other Department of Defense organizations spend an enormous amount of time and effort preparing and reviewing documentation that does not appear to correspond to the value gained through that effort.

The committee notes that in April 2013, the Department proposed a pilot program to conduct streamlined acquisition reviews to reduce the burden of preparing and reviewing documentation for select acquisition programs. The Department set forth three criteria for programs to qualify: (1) have well defined requirements, (2) a strong relationship with industry, and (3) a highly qualified and appropriately staffed Government team that can remain with the program until the weapon system is delivered. However, the committee notes that in the almost two years since undertaking this effort, the Department has not identified programs which meet these criteria and is disappointed that the Department has been unable to conduct streamlined acquisition reviews. The committee considers this lack of progress as a symptom of the fundamental problems in the current acquisition system. The committee encourages the Department to redouble its effort to select appropriate acquisition programs for this important effort and looks forward to reviewing Department's progress.

Elsewhere in this Act, in part at the request of the Department, the committee includes several provisions that would reduce and consolidate reporting requirements in order to help reduce this burden. The committee's goal is to reduce or eliminate documentation and levels of review where possible when they add little or no value.

The committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to review how the Department is implementing

acquisition statutes and regulations and, based on that review, take action within the Under Secretary's existing authorities to reduce and eliminate unnecessary documentation and reviews. The committee further directs the Under Secretary to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than September 25, 2015, on the Department's progress streamlining acquisition reviews, selecting programs to pilot test streamlined acquisition reviews and recommendations for revision or repeal of statutes that preclude streamlined acquisition reviews.

Study and Report Related to Mandates regarding Suitability for Selection as a Senior Official in the Acquisition Workforce

The committee is concerned that current processes and practices for recruiting, evaluating, and hiring senior officials in the acquisition workforce unnecessarily create hiring delays and limit the pool of highly qualified candidates that would otherwise be willing to accept a position in Government service. Therefore, the committee directs the Chair of the Defense Business Board to conduct a study of the effects of current mandates and processes regarding the determination of suitability for the selection of senior officials in the acquisition workforce. At a minimum, the assessment should examine the following:

- (1) Nomination and confirmation processes;
- (2) Inference of the need for, or specific direction to, potential candidates to divest themselves of financial holdings or other assets;
- (3) Post-employment restrictions; and
- (4) Any other statutory, regulatory, or cultural barriers that may have an unnecessarily detrimental effect on the ability of the Department of Defense to recruit, develop, and retain highly qualified senior acquisition personnel.

The committee further directs the Chair of the Defense Business Board to submit a report to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2016, on the findings of the study and provide recommendations to improve the Department's ability to recruit, develop, and retain highly qualified senior acquisition personnel while appropriately mitigating real or perceived conflicts of interest.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Improving Agility in Shaping the Workforce

The committee believes that the Department of Defense should strive for balance when managing the total workforce in response to significant financial constraints. As such, the committee believes that, commensurate to military end strength reductions occurring in response to the Budget Control Act of 2011 (Public

Law 112–25) and to sequestration-level funding, reductions in Department of Defense civilian and contractor personnel should be part of a sensible approach to personnel management. However, the committee also believes that any personnel reductions should be done smartly and aim to retain high-performing personnel with the requisite talents, multidisciplinary knowledge, and up-to-date skills to help the Department remain agile in addressing the growing array of diverse threats facing the country and missions assigned to the Department.

However, the committee notes that managing employee performance has been a longstanding Government-wide issue and the subject of numerous reforms since the beginning of the modern civil service. A February 2015 report by the Government Accountability Office (GAO-15-19) indicated that without effective performance management, agencies run the risk of losing or failing to utilize the skills of top performers, and also risk failing to recognize and correct or remove poor performers. The GAO also reported that even a small number of poor performers can negatively affect employee morale and the capacity of agencies to meet its mission requirements.

The committee is aware that the Department of Defense has struggled in the past with building a system aimed at performance management. It recognizes the Department's continued focus on personnel management and supports the Department's ongoing efforts to improve its performance management system and appraisal process. However, in the current fiscal environment, the committee believes that the Department may need additional flexibility to manage the workforce. The committee seeks to provide the Secretary of Defense with the necessary authority and support to exercise such flexibility. The committee will continue working with the Department to enable it to make the tough decisions necessary for shaping and managing the workforce and to provide the Department with the tools necessary to recruit, hire, develop, and retain the best and brightest men and women to serve and support the Nation.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than June 30, 2015, on any legislative authority or regulatory policies in place that limit the Secretary of Defense's ability to appropriately balance the military, civilian, and contractor personnel within the Department. As part of this briefing, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide an evaluation of the Federal regulations governing the credit for performance that the Department uses in its determination of personnel retention standing, with a specific focus on how it impacts the Department's ability to retain high-quality personnel.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

Counter-Drug Authority Regarding Support to Certain Foreign Governments

The committee notes the importance of the counter-drug authority (the section "1033" authority), which allows the Department of Defense to provide equipment for the counter-drug activities of designated foreign governments. This authority originated in section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105-85) and, at the time, designated two South American governments as eligible to receive support with an annual funding cap of \$9.0 million. Since then, the number of designated countries eligible for support under this authority has grown to 39 foreign governments over 4 continents, and the annual funding cap has grown to \$125.0 million. This growth reflects the expanding reach and threat of drug traffickers and the globalization of transnational organized crime.

The committee believes consideration should be given as to whether this authority should remain a country-by-country authority or become a global authority. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by February 1, 2016, on the status of the section "1033" authority. The briefing should include an assessment of the following: the historical use of the authority and of the authority as it currently stands; the evolution of requirements behind the expansion of countries; the evolution of requirements behind the expansion of the funding cap; how countries and regions are prioritized; the advantages and disadvantages of a country-by-country versus global authority; and the funding challenges associated with a country-by-country versus global authority. Finally, the Secretary is directed to make the briefing available, upon request, to the other congressional defense committees.

Counternarcotics and Global Threats Strategy

The committee notes that the Department of Defense is updating its Counternarcotics and Global Threats Strategy, which was last updated in 2011, to ensure that it has an up-to-date strategy that meets current and emerging threats and security challenges.

While the 2011 strategy effectively laid out the goals that the Department of Defense seeks to achieve, the committee is concerned that it did not articulate a sound strategy to achieve those goals. Given the growing demand for increasingly limited resources, the committee is interested in ensuring that the Department of Defense has specific strategic plans, which are country and regionally based, that utilize authorities and resources in an effective and sustainable way to achieve measurable goals.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide an addendum to the Department of Defense's Counternarcotics and Global Threats Strategy. The Department of Defense shall include the following components in its updated strategy:

- (1) An assessment of the historical use of counternarcotics authorities and how well-suited these authorities are to address current and emerging threats;
- (2) An analysis of the evolution of the expansion of the number of countries touched by each authority and what is driving the expansion;
- (3) An analysis of the authorities as they stand currently, while identifying strengths and weaknesses of each authority; and
- (4) An analysis of funding challenges.

The strategy should also include specific plans for each country where counternarcotics authorities are utilized, as well as regional strategic plans. For both the country and regional plans, the strategy shall include the following:

- (1) Specific goals for each country and region and metrics to measure progress towards achieving each goal;
- (2) How each counternarcotics authority fits into each strategic plan and the limitations of each authority; and
- (3) How training and/or equipment provided under each authority provides a sustainable and lasting effect.

The committee further directs the Secretary to provide the addendum to the Department of Defense's Counternarcotics and Global Threats Strategy to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives upon completion of the strategy.

National Guard Counterdrug Programs

The committee acknowledges the continued contributions of the National Guard to domestic counterdrug programs. The National Guard, working with law enforcement agencies and community-based organizations, performs interdiction and anti-drug activities to counter illicit drug trafficking. It also operates regional counterdrug training centers across the country to provide education and training to local, State, and Federal law enforcement in counternarcotics and global threat reduction efforts.

For the past 5 fiscal years, the budget request for National Guard Counterdrug Programs has not included sufficient funds to meet program requirements. Recognizing this shortfall in funding, Congress has consistently provided additional funds to enable the Guard to meet its requirements. However, this additional funding has been made available for execution by the Guard in the third or fourth quarter of the fiscal year, making it difficult for the Guard to execute it by the end of the fiscal year. The committee recognizes that this is not the most efficient or effective way to plan for and execute a successful program. The committee continues to encourage the Department of Defense to submit an accurate budget request for National Guard Counterdrug Programs consistent with its requirements.

However, the committee also believes that, with appropriate planning, the National Guard should be able to obligate and expend additional funds, if made available, for its counterdrug programs even if received late in the fiscal year.

Therefore, the committee directs the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to brief the House Committee on Armed Services, not later than October 1, 2015, on the Guard's plan for how it can improve its execution of additional funding should the program receive it.

Lastly, as the tight fiscal environment continues, the committee continues to encourage the National Guard, in conjunction with the Secretary of Defense, to refine its priorities and missions.

Western Hemisphere Maritime Intelligence

The committee notes that the U.S. Navy has reduced its maritime security operations in the Western Hemisphere and, as a result, the U.S. Coast Guard has been increasingly relied upon to conduct such operations. However, neither the Navy nor Coast Guard have sufficient assets or capabilities in the Western Hemisphere to provide accurate and timely maritime intelligence information to support these operations.

The committee believes that the unique assets of the Department of Defense, specifically those operated by U.S. Southern Command (SOUTHCOM) and U.S. Northern Command (NORTHCOM), may be able to provide this intelligence information, but the committee lacks a full understanding of the maritime security intelligence gaps in the Western Hemisphere, the specific intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) capabilities that could be brought to bear against these gaps, and the options for improving intelligence sharing with the Coast Guard.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than October 1, 2015, on the following:

- (1) The maritime intelligence requirements in the Western Hemisphere and the extent to which they are being fulfilled or planned to be fulfilled;
- (2) An assessment of ISR assets and capabilities that NORTHCOM and SOUTHCOM could employ to fulfill unmet requirements;
- (3) Options for how to improve maritime intelligence sharing with the Coast Guard to assist with maritime security operations; and
- (4) The planned U.S. Navy presence in the SOUTHCOM area of responsibility in fiscal year 2016 and fiscal year 2017.

OTHER MATTERS

Attendance at Professional and Technical Conferences

The committee is concerned that many organizations within the Department of Defense have either eliminated or severely restricted temporary duty travel for professional and technical conferences. While the committee supports efforts to reduce non-essential costs, the committee believes such conferences provide value by enabling Department of Defense engineers, scientists, and other technical personnel to share research, learn about cutting-edge innovations, and

interact with their peers from across the country and the world. Furthermore, the committee recognizes that the formal presentation of one's own research to the broader technical community is often a requisite for professional advancement within that technical community.

The committee is aware that in a February 2014 memorandum, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics directed the Secretaries of the military departments, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, and the directors of the defense science and technology agencies to “give appropriate consideration to the importance of attendance at technical symposia and conferences that enhance communication between Department of Defense acquisition professionals and their industry counterparts.” The memo went further to provide the Under Secretary's “support [for] properly justified attendance by Department of Defense personnel to the extent possible, subject to the availability of resources, including travel funds.”

The committee supports the Under Secretary's guidance on this matter but is concerned that the lengthy and complex approval processes to enable conference attendance by Federal employees is unduly hampering the ability of academic and scientific personnel in the Department of Defense to perform their jobs, may inhibit career progression, and could discourage personnel with highly technical skills and competencies from entering the workforce.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to examine the Department of Defense policies related to professional travel and to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than October 1, 2015, on findings and recommendations necessary to further enable professional development of the workforce.

Comptroller General Review of Drawdown Authority

Since 1961, the President has had special authority to order the “drawdown” of defense articles and other services or military education and training from the Department of Defense and military service inventories and transfer them to foreign countries or international organizations. This authority, as outlined in section 506 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (Public Law 87–195), as amended, allows the President to provide this assistance without first seeking additional authority or appropriations from Congress.

The committee has observed what appears to be an increasing use of such authority in recent years to provide defense articles and services to such countries as Ukraine, the Republic of Iraq, the French Republic (for its operations in the Republic of Mali), and those supporting the African Union-led Central African Republic International Support Mission. While the committee does not dispute the merits of providing defense articles and services to such countries, it is concerned about the use of such authority as a way to circumvent Congress and the impact it may have on U.S. military stockpiles and readiness.

The committee notes that the Government Accountability Office last examined this issue in 2002, as required by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107-107). Its 2002 report (GAO-02-1027) noted that drawdowns had been used with greater frequency and that the Department was unable to systemically track and accurately report to Congress on the status of these drawdowns. The committee believes that an updated examination of the drawdown authority is warranted, including whether the use of the authority is achieving its intended purposes, and the impact, if any, on U.S. defense inventories (military stockpiles and readiness), as well as U.S. Government resources.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a review of drawdown authority and submit a report to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, not later than December 8, 2015, to include the following:

(1) To what extent has the authority to drawdown U.S. defense inventories been utilized to assist foreign countries or international organizations in addressing security threats, and what parameters were used to determine whether to provide assistance through this special drawdown authority or other security assistance authorities;

(2) The types of requirements that were met through this assistance, including the extent to which U.S. assistance provided through inventory drawdowns supported U.S. efforts to build partner capacity and supported the efforts of other nations to undertake operations that are in the U.S. interest;

(3) To what extent the executive branch has managed this drawdown authority to ensure that it is used appropriately, including the extent to which other authorities are considered and duplication of other U.S. or international efforts is minimized; and

(4) To what extent have drawdowns affected the readiness of the military services, to specifically include whether such drawdowns reduce the availability of defense articles (e.g., weapon systems) and spare parts needed for the military services to complete their missions; require the use of operation and maintenance funds to support drawdowns (e.g., refurbishing or repairing items and providing spare parts); and to what extent mechanisms exist for the military services to provide input regarding potential effects on readiness when decisions regarding drawdowns are under consideration.

Congressional Review of Federal Acquisition Regulation Rulemaking

The committee is concerned about the often lengthy rulemaking process associated with the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR). The FAR Operating Guide, which details the process, states that the standard timeline for FAR cases is 16 months from the time a report is submitted with a draft proposed or interim rule to the final publication of the rule. A variety of stakeholders have expressed

concerns to the committee that the FAR Council often fails to publish these rules in a timely manner or even meet this standard 16 month timeline goal.

The committee is aware that the FAR rulemaking process is unique in that it does not follow the typical Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) processes. Such rulemaking begins by going through the FAR Council process, which includes several layers of approval that include the Defense Acquisition Regulatory Council (DARC), Civilian Agency Acquisition Council (CAAC), General Services Administration (GSA), Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP), and OIRA. After the FAR Council process, rules are then sent for a final check through the OIRA clearance process before publication as a final rule. The committee notes that the FAR Council, CAAC, and DARC all have members representing various agencies and are all expected to reach consensus on these rules, which can be very complex.

The committee believes that the FAR rulemaking process should be reviewed with the goals of improving the timeliness of this process and identifying efficiencies which could improve the process. Therefore, the committee directs the Administrator of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy to conduct such a review and provide a briefing to House Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform not later than September 30, 2015, on the findings of the review. The briefing should include recommendations for improving the FAR rulemaking process.

Defense Innovation Initiative

In November 2014, the Secretary of Defense announced the Defense Innovation Initiative (DII) as a department-wide effort to develop "game changing" technologies, new operating concepts, and more innovative leaders to "maintain and advance the competitive advantage of America and its military allies." The Secretary also announced, as part of this Initiative, the development of a third offset strategy, a new Long-Range Research and Development Planning Program, and a new Advanced Capability and Deterrence Panel.

Since then, DII and its related efforts have been cited by senior Department of Defense officials in speeches and referenced in the Department's fiscal year 2016 budget request documentation. However, despite repeated requests for information, the Department has provided little detail on DII and its related efforts to the committee. While the speeches and budget documentation suggest these could be promising efforts, the committee is unable to oversee and assess their merits because it lacks detailed information from the Department.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide the House Committee on Armed Services with a briefing on the Defense Innovation Initiative and its related efforts not later than July 30, 2015. The briefing should include a discussion of the objectives of and plans for DII and its related efforts, how such efforts interrelate, and the organizations involved.

Navy's Proposed Transition to an Evolved Contracting Strategy

The Department of the Navy has briefed the congressional defense committees on an “evolved contracting strategy” to change the existing contracting methodology to procure non-nuclear surface ship maintenance and modernization, a critical function in the readiness-generation process. The committee notes that this change would generally, with some exceptions for emergent repairs, move the overall ship repair vehicle from a cost-plus type contract to fixed-price.

The Comptroller General of the United States in the March 17, 1982, report “Actions Needed to Reduce Schedule Slippages and Cost Growth on Contracts for Navy Ship Overhauls (PLRD-82-29)” found that “overhauls were delayed an average of 64 days and that contract cost growth over the award price was averaging about 62 percent for frigates, 55 percent for auxiliary ships, and 29 percent for amphibious ships.” The Comptroller General also indicated that “contract cost growth is of special concern because contract additives are price-based on sole-source negotiation with the enterprise awarded the basic contract. Under these conditions, the Navy is at a great disadvantage in trying to assure that the best price is being negotiated with the contractor. Furthermore, contract changes often contribute to overhaul delays.” The committee believes that the Comptroller General’s concerns of March 1982 may be applicable to the “evolved contracting strategy” that the Navy is planning to implement in the near future. Specifically, the committee is concerned these contracting methodology changes could induce availability delays and cost growth, especially as change orders are identified and adjudicated between the Navy and industry.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2016, on the risks and benefits of the proposed “evolved contracting strategy” to include the following aspects of ship material readiness:

- (1) The ability of the evolved contracting strategy to reduce availability costs, shorten schedule, and improve quality;
- (2) The ability of third-party advance planning for ship repair availabilities to develop stable, well-defined requirements;
- (3) The stability and viability of the ship repair industrial base, including the ability to invest in and retain critically skilled workers and safe, efficient facilities and to provide insight and continuity across the industrial base;
- (4) The ability of the Navy to retain ships in their respective homeport locations during availabilities and the applicability of section 7299a of title 10, United States Code, and Secretary of the Navy “Ship Depot Maintenance Solicitation Policy” memorandum dated June 16, 1995;
- (5) The opportunities for small-business participation and for industry teaming within the ship repair industrial base;
- (6) The ability to support the Navy in meeting service-life objectives for non-nuclear surface ships; and
- (7) The ability to meet operational availability requirements of the Navy Optimized Fleet Response Plan.

Notifications of Changes to the Defense Federal Acquisition Supplement to Congress

The committee notes that the Department of Defense maintains a well-established and actively maintained website and also uses other electronic media to provide timely publication notices of changes to the Defense Federal Acquisition Supplement. However, the committee continues to also receive hard copies of these publication notices. The committee believes that, should the Department continue to actively maintain a publicly available website, and sufficiently archive the notices, there is no need for hard copies of the notices to also be provided to the committee. Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to review current processes and, in coordination with the congressional defense committees, take such steps as necessary to streamline the delivery of publication notices to Congress not later than March 1, 2016.

Pacific Command Operational Plans

The committee is aware that the Department of Defense is updating many of its operational and contingency plans, including those within the U.S. Pacific Command's (PACOM) area of responsibility. The committee seeks a greater understanding of the factors driving the changes in these plans and the implications for PACOM's posture, force structure, and capability requirements, so that it can better assess the alignment of requirements and resources within the Department.

Therefore, the committee directs the Commander of U.S. Pacific Command to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than September 30, 2015, on the factors influencing and the implications of the updates to PACOM's operational and contingency plans. Specifically, the briefing shall include a discussion of the following:

- (1) Changes in assumptions related to the threat and strategic environment;
- (2) Changes in assumptions related to Department-wide policy, strategy, and defense planning guidance;
- (3) Changes in strategic theater objectives; and
- (4) The implications for the posture, force structure, and capability requirements of the U.S. Armed Forces within the PACOM area of responsibility (AOR) and for U.S. forces outside of the AOR that would be required to support the execution of plans.

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Command and Control within Operation Inherent Resolve

The committee notes the complexity of Operation Inherent Resolve (OIR). It comprises multiple lines of effort to include: training and equipping of various security forces in the Republic of Iraq and of vetted groups and individuals opposing the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, conducting air strikes in Iraq and Syria, combating the flow of foreign fighters to and from Iraq and Syria, conducting liaison activities across many elements in multiple countries, maintaining the international coalition and coordinating their activities, and improving the military capabilities of allies and partners in the region.

The committee further notes the geographic dispersion of OIR, which includes the operational planning and execution of this mission across at least four different countries. The committee believes that all of the lines of effort within OIR must be carefully coordinated across the full spectrum and area of operations. The committee is concerned that the current command and control relationships and arrangements within OIR are not achieving the coordination and synchronization required to be effective in meeting the OIR endstates.

As currently structured, training and equipping efforts in Syria, training and equipping efforts in Iraq, and the conduct of airstrikes in both Iraq and Syria appear to be synchronized at the U.S. Central Command (CENTCOM) level. Further, many of the associated activities required to effectively combat the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant, such as ending the flow of foreign fighters and coordinating with the Government of the Republic of Turkey, are, in large part, being conducted by other combatant commands, including U.S. European Command and U.S. Africa Command. The committee is concerned that the ineffective approach to coordinating all of these lines of effort could contribute to undermining the OIR mission.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than August 1, 2015, on the current command and control arrangements within OIR. The briefing should include a discussion of the command and control relationships of each command element within OIR, as well as the processes for coordinating and synchronizing efforts within CENTCOM, across combatant commands, and between allies and partners. The briefing should also include a description of how the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq integrates into the command and control structure for Operation Inherent Resolve in Iraq and any changes and recommendations under consideration to modify the current command and control arrangements in order to further enhance the effectiveness of the OIR mission.

Comptroller General Inventory of Department of Defense Security Cooperation Programs

The committee supports Department of Defense security cooperation efforts to develop and sustain partner nation security capabilities. Improving the security capabilities of partner nations may mitigate risks to U.S. national security and reduce the likelihood of U.S. intervention. The committee is concerned, however,

that Department of Defense security cooperation programs lack strategic direction, may not act in concert with other programs, and are not resourced for long-term sustainability. In this regard, the committee intends to work with the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives on a broad review of U.S. security cooperation and security assistance programs. To initiate this process, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, not later than January 28, 2016, on an inventory of Department of Defense security cooperation programs intended to build partner security capabilities. The inventory should include, but is not limited to, the following:

- (1) The name of the program;
- (2) The program's goals, objectives, and activities;
- (3) The executive branch organizations with responsibility for implementing the program;
- (4) The legislative authority for the program; and
- (5) The amount of funds expended for the program in each of the past 3 fiscal years.

Guidelines for U.S.-Japan Defense Cooperation

The committee is aware that the Governments of the United States and Japan are currently working towards finalizing a revision to the Guidelines for U.S.-Japan Defense Cooperation, which were last updated in 1997. In October 2014, the United States and Japan issued a joint interim report on the revised guidelines that summarized the intent of both governments to modernize their bilateral security relationship to reflect current and emerging security challenges, a greater contribution by Japan to the U.S.-Japan security alliance, and expanded cooperation in areas such as space, cyber, maritime security, and intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance.

The committee supports efforts by both governments to update these defense cooperation guidelines. It recognizes that, since 1997, the security environment in East Asia has changed substantially. Similarly, the committee also recognizes that Japan has enhanced its self-defense capabilities and capacities since 1997, and appreciates the contributions Japan continues to make to promote security in the region and globally, particularly in areas such as peacekeeping operations, humanitarian assistance and disaster relief, and maritime security. The committee further commends the Government of Japan for its July 2014 policy decision regarding collective self-defense, which will enable Japanese Self-Defense Forces to engage in a wider range of defense operations and complement ongoing efforts to update bilateral guidelines for defense cooperation.

The committee seeks to ensure that the updated guidelines will endure and that the Department of Defense takes necessary actions to implement the guidelines relevant to the Department once they are finalized. Therefore, the

committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing not later than September 11, 2015, to the House Committee on Armed Services on the Department's plans for implementing the guidelines. The briefing should include a discussion of the specific implementation measures the Department plans to take; any changes in the Department's roles, responsibilities, and missions with respect to the bilateral security alliance; and any changes to U.S. military posture, capabilities, and investments that would be required to support the revised guidelines.

Nonlethal Military Support for Ukraine

The committee notes that Congress has provided the President with the authority to provide increased military assistance for the Government of Ukraine, most recently in section 6 of the Ukraine Freedom Support Act of 2014 (Public Law 113-272) and in section 1535 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291), which authorized \$75.0 million in assistance to Ukraine as part of the Department of Defense's European Reassurance Initiative (ERI). The committee further notes that, to date, the security assistance provided by the United States to the Government of Ukraine has been nonlethal assistance, including the March 2015 announcement that \$75.0 million in ERI funds would be allocated to provide additional nonlethal equipment to Ukraine, including communications equipment, medical supplies, and force protection enablers.

The committee believes that defensive weapons and training are also necessary to enhance the defense of Ukraine, and elsewhere in this Act, it includes a provision that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, in concurrence with the Secretary of State, to provide assistance and sustainment to the military and national security forces of Ukraine, including the provision of lethal weapons of a defensive nature. However, the committee also recognizes that the United States must continue to provide nonlethal assistance as well to improve other aspects of the performance and professionalization of the Ukrainian Armed Forces.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to undertake a review of other, non-weapons-related activities that could enhance the performance and professionalization of the Ukrainian Armed Forces. In conducting such a review, the committee believes that the Secretary should consider the following areas: (1) logistics and transportation; (2) maintenance; (3) medical evacuation and treatment; (4) intelligence collection and analysis; (5) battlefield command and control; (6) counterintelligence; (7) institutional capacity building at the ministerial level; and (8) any other areas the Secretary considers important. The committee further directs the Secretary to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than September 30, 2015, on the results of the review.

Report on Government Police Training and Equipping Programs

In 2012, the committee received a one-time Presidential report on U.S. Government police training and equipping programs outside the United States, consistent with the requirements in section 1235(c) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383).

The committee acknowledges the value of this report in providing the relevant congressional committees with a comprehensive, whole-of-government survey and assessment of programs that the United States utilizes in training and equipping foreign police forces. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Attorney General of the United States, to submit an update to this report to the congressional defense committees, the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, the House Committee on Homeland Security, the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs, the House Committee on the Judiciary, and the Senate Committee on the Judiciary by March 1, 2016, on U.S. Government police training and equipping programs outside the United States. The report shall include the following:

(1) A list of all U.S. Government departments and agencies involved in implementing police training and equipping programs;

(2) A description of the scope, size, and components of all police training and equipping programs for fiscal years 2015 and 2016, to include: (a) the name of each country that received assistance under the program; (b) for each training activity, the number of foreign personnel provided training, their units of operation, location of the training, cost of the activity, the U.S. unit involved, and the nationality and unit of non-U.S. training personnel (if any) involved in each activity; (c) the purpose and objectives of the program; (d) the funding and personnel levels for the program in each such fiscal year; (e) the authority under which the program is conducted; (f) the name of the U.S. Government department or agency with lead responsibility for the program and the mechanisms for oversight of the program; and (g) the metrics for measuring the results of the program;

(3) An assessment of the requirements for police training and equipping programs, and what changes, if any, are required to improve the capacity of the U.S. Government to meet such requirements;

(4) An evaluation of the appropriate role of U.S. Government departments and agencies in coordinating on and carrying out police training and equipping programs;

(5) An evaluation of the appropriate role of contractors in carrying out police training and equipping programs, and what modifications, if any, are needed to improve oversight of such contractors; and

(6) Recommendations for legislative modifications, if any, to existing authorities relating to police training and equipping programs.

The report shall be delivered in unclassified form, that is made available to the public, and may include a classified annex, if necessary.

Lastly, the committee commends the work of the U.S. Government to train, equip, and build partnership capacities with its allies and partners across the globe.

Russian Unconventional Warfare

Tactics employed by the Russian Federation in its aggression against Ukraine are not unique. However, Russia has combined them in new, effective, and troubling ways. It has fomented and taken advantage of ethnic disputes to train, build, and equip a separatist army in Ukraine under Russian direction. It has combined this line of effort with propaganda, diplomatic, and economic measures to try to reduce the effectiveness of Ukraine's response, as well as the response of the United States and Europe, and to preserve and extend its perceived sphere of influence.

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) is the most successful military alliance in history, defending the security interests of its members against external threats for over 60 years. The committee supports the NATO alliance and believes that it can successfully continue to serve as a bedrock for U.S. and European security. However, the committee notes that the methods currently being used by Russia in Ukraine pose a challenge to the NATO system.

The core of the NATO alliance is provided by Article 5 of the Washington Treaty, which enshrines the principle of collective self-defense: "The Parties agree that an armed attack against one or more of them in Europe or North America shall be considered an attack against them all..." In the wake of Russian actions in Ukraine, both the United States, in a series of bilateral actions referred to as the European Reassurance Initiative, and NATO collectively, in the Readiness Action Plan, have taken steps to ensure that all parties are postured to respond to any new aggression. The committee is concerned, however, that these steps may not sufficiently address the challenges posed by Russian tactics.

At its core, collective self-defense requires that the parties to the treaty agree that one of the members is under attack. This implies that such aggression can be correctly attributed to some actor outside the alliance. Russia's actions have been designed to be deniable and difficult to attribute directly to Russian government activity. Should similar tactics, or even more covert methods, be applied to NATO member states that border Russia, it may be difficult to attribute them to Russian activity and therefore difficult to trigger a collective NATO response. It is likely that some NATO members will have different views on the degree of Russian involvement. In addition, it is possible that Russia would perceive NATO may have difficulty in coming to an agreement about a collective response, which could undermine NATO's ability to deter Russia from engaging in attempts to intervene in sovereign issues of NATO members.

The committee believes that the Department of Defense, and NATO, should fully explore how the United States, NATO, and member states can, as necessary, establish deterrence mechanisms against activities such as those undertaken by the Russian government in Ukraine. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Office of Net Assessment or other such organization as the Secretary considers appropriate, to undertake a study exploring various strategies for deterring external efforts to interfere with the internal workings of NATO

member states by Russia, or any other actor utilizing tactics such as propaganda in media, economic warfare, cyber warfare, criminal acts, and intelligence operations, similar to those being used by Russia in Ukraine. The committee expects the Secretary to deliver a report to the congressional defense committees containing the findings of such study not later than March 31, 2016.

This study would complement a provision contained elsewhere in this Act requiring the Secretary of Defense to develop a strategy for the Department of Defense to counter unconventional warfare threats posed by adversarial state and non-state actors.

Support to Coalition Partners Conducting Military Operations within Operation Inherent Resolve

Coalition countries, conducting military operations as part of Operation Inherent Resolve (OIR), have requested specific U.S. military assets and assistance to support their efforts to counter the threat presented by the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant in the Middle East and elsewhere. The committee values the contributions of these countries and urges the Department of Defense to continue consideration of their requests. The committee also recognizes that some of these coalition countries, including the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan and the United Arab Emirates, have requested unmanned aerial systems (UAS) capability.

The committee notes that on February 17, 2015, the United States announced a new export policy for military unmanned aerial systems. The new policy permits the export of Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR) Category I intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) UAS and Unmanned Combat Aerial Vehicles, subject to case-by-case interagency reviews and consistent with all applicable U.S. law and policy, including those related to the State of Israel's Qualitative Military Edge and MTCR international commitments.

Consistent with UAS policy guidelines, the committee supports the sale, lease, or transfer of U.S.-origin UAS, including Category I systems, to those friends and allies in the Middle East whose military requirements demand persistent ISR assets. The committee notes that appropriate sale, lease, or transfer of UAS technology can help build the capacity of partner nation military forces, foster interoperability with the United States, support critical counterterrorism objectives, and sustain the U.S. defense industrial base. In this context, the committee urges the interagency to review all such outstanding letters of request or license applications for the export of such systems.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs not later than September 15, 2015, on all pending requests from Jordan, and other Middle Eastern countries that are contributing to OIR, for the sale, lease, or transfer of UAS systems. The briefing should include the dates that requests were received, specific issues under consideration, and time horizon for completing a review of each request.

The Transition or Termination of the Mission to Counter the Lord's Resistance Army

The committee continues to closely monitor the mission to apprehend or remove Joseph Kony, the leader of the Lord's Resistance Army (LRA), from the battlefield, which is otherwise known as the Counter-LRA mission.

Section 1208 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66) authorized the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of Secretary of State, to provide logistic support, supplies, and services, and intelligence support, to foreign forces participating in operations to mitigate and eliminate the threat posed by the LRA, through September 30, 2017. However, the committee remains concerned that the Secretary of Defense has not provided to the committee details on its plan to transition or terminate the Counter-LRA mission should Joseph Kony be apprehended or removed from the battlefield or when the authority expires.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to brief the House Committee on Armed Services by September 30, 2015, on the transition plan for the Counter-LRA mission, to include addressing the transition or termination plan for the Counter-LRA mission; the authorities that would be required in any transition; and how the authorized resources would be utilized following any transition or termination of the Counter-LRA mission.

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Review of Non-Navy Workload within Navy Working Capital Fund Activities

The committee is aware of guidance issued by the Chief of Naval Operations to Navy Working Capital Funded entities, including the science and technology laboratories and test and evaluation centers, to increase the scrutiny of and elevate the approval levels for reimbursable work for other Federal agencies. The committee is concerned that an unintended consequence of such guidance is a failure to recognize how working capital funded entities operate and the value that outside, reimbursable work can have on reducing the overall rate structure for entities such as the naval warfare centers. The committee also believes that such a move could be detrimental to the overall efficiency of the Federal research and test enterprise by forcing other Federal partners to rely on contractors to provide these services or to build additional, redundant scientific and test capabilities. For example, the Department of Homeland Security works closely with the naval warfare centers to provide science, technology, test, and evaluation capabilities for its programs, and without that support, the Department of Homeland Security would have to devote a larger percentage of its research, development, test, and evaluation budget to providing those services itself.

The committee is concerned that the aforementioned guidance could be affecting decisions at naval facilities, including the Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division (NAWCAD) and the Naval Surface Warfare Center (NSWC). The committee has received information from the Department of the Navy that NAWCAD has not rejected any Department of Homeland Security work; however, NAWCAD had identified one instance where a fiscal year 2014 request by the Department of Homeland Security to extend the period of performance of an existing effort did not continue. Additionally, work valued at \$3.7 million to procure and install runway lighting systems for the New York Port Authority was rejected in fiscal year 2014 because it was deemed not to be within the NAWCAD mission area.

To understand the nature of the impact this guidance might have on operations and budget requests of Navy Working Capital Fund entities, the committee directs the Secretary of the Navy, in coordination with the Chief of Naval Operations, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by November 1, 2015, commenting on all discrepancies between the budgeted position and actual workload, as presented in the President's budget request for each fiscal year from 2012-15 for each subcategory under "Other Orders" as shown in the Sources of New Orders and Revenue budget display. The briefing shall include a discussion of the discrepancies, to include the rationale for refusing any workload from these entities.

TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE MATTERS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Funding Mechanism for Department of Defense Priorities at the National Nuclear Security Administration

The committee is aware that, from fiscal year 2011 to fiscal year 2015, the Department of Defense has transferred billions of dollars in defense budget authority to the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) during formulation of the President's annual budget request to support priorities set by the Nuclear Weapons Council. The committee notes the cooperation between NNSA and the Department of Defense, through the Nuclear Weapons Council, is to ensure the military's requirements related to nuclear weapons sustainment and modernization, including nuclear weapons life extension programs and plans for building a responsive infrastructure and capability, are met. The committee is also aware of the challenges related to management, accountability, and transparency resulting from this budget authority transfer.

Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by December 1, 2015, containing the Under Secretary's

assessment of costs, benefits, and risks of various options for either continuing, discontinuing, or modifying the current budget authority transfer mechanism, including assessing the benefit and risk of using a Work-For-Others funding mechanism. Such assessment should discuss what additional authorities may be needed to carry out each option.

Organization of the Military Service and Technical Intelligence Centers

The committee is aware of disparities in the organization and command structure of the intelligence centers aligned to the military services and the Defense Intelligence Agency, namely the National Air and Space Intelligence Center, the Office of Naval Intelligence, the Marine Corps Intelligence Activity, the National Ground Intelligence Center, and the Missiles and Space Intelligence Center. The committee believes that there would be benefits in applying a similar organizing model and command structure across the centers, including increased efficiencies, best practices, and improved overall long-term performance.

Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence to review the current organization and command structure of the military service and technical intelligence centers and to provide a report to the congressional defense and the congressional intelligence committees by December 1, 2015, on the current structure of the centers and recommendations for improvements.

Strategic Deterrence Research and Education

In the committee report (H. Rept. 113-102) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, the committee noted that “challenges remain in educating airmen on their role in safeguarding national security. Educating the warfighters who execute the daily mission of nuclear deterrence remains a critical element to ensuring the level of excellence required for the mission.”

In the committee report (H. Rept. 113-446) accompanying the Howard P. “Buck” McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, the committee noted that “the [Secretary of Defense] should take appropriate steps to refocus the military member education to ensure it is adequately covering, across-the-board, the essentials of nuclear deterrence policy and operations (including such concepts as strategic stability and escalation control)”.

In addition, the 2014 study by the National Research Council titled, “U.S. Air Force Strategic Deterrence Analytic Capabilities,” identified a continued deficiency in the science and research of nuclear deterrence and assurance. The Council found that, “The Air Force, working with its Service partners and the Department of Defense more generally, should pursue research on deterrence and assurance with a coherent approach that involves content analysis, leadership profiling, abstract modeling, and gaming and simulations as a suite of methods. It should organize its investments in analytic and other activities accordingly.”

The committee also notes that today's geopolitical environment presents various threats and opportunities related to nuclear deterrence. With several internal reviews and outside assessments suggesting an increased focus on strategic deterrence education and research programs, and the committee's multi-year emphasis on this subject, the committee seeks more clarity on the concrete actions taken by the Secretary of the Air Force, as well as future plans, for strengthening nuclear deterrence education and research within the Air Force.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2016, on the steps taken by various elements of the Air Force to improve service member education on nuclear deterrence and establish a sustainable, coherent, and robust strategic deterrence research program. Such education and research program should include examining the linkages among strategic deterrence and assurance, strategic stability, escalation control, missile defense, strategic conventional capabilities, nuclear terrorism, and nonproliferation efforts. This report should provide an overview of recent actions, as well a multi-year plan, to develop and sustain a research program that addresses the deficiencies identified by the National Research Council and other groups. The committee encourages the Secretary to leverage academia, industry, the other services, and other Government partners to meet the needs of this program.

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Weapons Activities

Defense Nuclear Security

As the Department of Energy and the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) continue to implement corrective actions resulting from the intrusion at the Y-12 National Security Complex in 2012, the committee notes modest improvements in certain aspects of the defense nuclear security program. For instance, a degree of clarification of roles, responsibilities, authority, and accountability for security has been undertaken, though the committee believes more should be done to formalize and institutionalize these efforts. The committee

is also encouraged by ongoing efforts to review and update certain security policies, including the Department's design basis threat.

As its oversight of these matters continues, the committee is concerned about the long list of deferred physical security expenditures across the nuclear security enterprise. Recent estimates by NNSA show a list of deferred security expenditures of over \$1.4 billion, which appears to be in addition to the \$3.6 billion backlog of general deferred maintenance across the enterprise. Modernization and recapitalization of the Perimeter Intrusion Detection and Assessment Systems (PIDAS) at the Y-12 National Security Complex and the Pantex Plant account for more than half of the \$1.4 billion. The committee believes NNSA must undertake a comprehensive program to prioritize and manage its physical security recapitalization efforts over the next 10 years. To enable this recapitalization to be both cost effective and appropriately designed, NNSA must conduct rigorous analysis of policies, technologies, and options to ensure it is postured to address current and future threats while striving for standardization and cost efficiencies wherever possible.

Therefore, the committee directs the Administrator for Nuclear Security to provide a report to the congressional defense committees by September 30, 2016, containing a 10-year plan to recapitalize the nuclear security enterprise's physical security systems. Such risk-based plan should include the following: a condition assessment for physical security equipment; a prioritized list with costs and schedules for recapitalization of individual systems and equipment; an analysis of security policies, technologies, and gaps related to current and evolving threats; and how standardization and cost efficiencies will be sought across the enterprise. The committee encourages NNSA to conduct rigorous and comprehensive analyses of alternatives for all major components of this recapitalization program, particularly the PIDAS modernization programs. The committee further directs the Administrator to provide an interim briefing to the congressional defense committees on the development of this plan by February 15, 2016.

To begin this planning and initial recapitalization of the NNSA physical security infrastructure, the committee recommends \$631.9 million, an increase of \$12.0 million to the budget request, for Defense Nuclear Security, Operations and Maintenance.

Federal Salaries and Expenses

Classification guidance

The committee notes the Department of Energy Inspector General's February 2015 report (DOE/IG-0935) that reviewed Los Alamos National Laboratory's (LANL) classification program found that LANL's, "Classification Officer had not always adequately protected and controlled classified information resulting in the misclassification and improper disclosure of sensitive, national security information." The Inspector General's investigation revealed six incidents in which LANL documents were misclassified. The report concluded that the

classification officer had not always ensured that derivative classifiers had appropriate and updated classification bulletins, including detailed interpretive guidance; had not classified information properly; and had not always reported security incidents as required.

The committee notes the importance, across the nuclear security enterprise and particularly at a national security laboratory, of consistent and rigorous application of classification standards. The committee is concerned about the inadequate response by LANL leadership on this problem and the lack of awareness of National Nuclear Security Administration officials. The committee directs the Administrator for Nuclear Security to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services, not later than July 31, 2015, on the measures taken to improve the effectiveness of the classification process and related oversight.

[PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

ADDENDUM: SUMMARY TABLES

***NOTE: THE SUMMARY TABLES ARE INFORMATIONAL ONLY AND WILL BE INCLUDED AS PART OF THE COMMITTEE REPORT.**

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2016 Request	House Change	House Authorized
DISCRETIONARY AUTHORIZATIONS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE			
National Defense Funding, Base			
Function 051, Department of Defense-Military			
Division A: Department of Defense Authorizations			
Title I—Procurement			
Aircraft Procurement, Army	5,689,357	179,800	5,869,157
Missile Procurement, Army	1,419,957	76,000	1,495,957
Weapons & Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	1,887,073	148,617	2,035,690
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	1,233,378	–11,000	1,222,378
Other Procurement, Army	5,899,028	–61,000	5,838,028
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	16,126,405	2,224,100	18,350,505
Weapons Procurement, Navy	3,154,154	77,800	3,231,954
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy & Marine Corps	723,741		723,741
Shipbuilding & Conversion, Navy	16,597,457	–327,190	16,270,267
Other Procurement, Navy	6,614,715	171,500	6,786,215
Procurement, Marine Corps	1,131,418	37,500	1,168,918
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	15,657,769	300,500	15,958,269
Missile Procurement, Air Force	2,987,045		2,987,045
Space Procurement, Air Force	2,584,061	26,000	2,610,061
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	1,758,843	–20,000	1,738,843
Other Procurement, Air Force	18,272,438	37,900	18,310,338
Procurement, Defense-Wide	5,130,853	132,480	5,263,333
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund	99,701	–99,701	0
Subtotal, Title I—Procurement	106,967,393	2,893,306	109,860,699
Title II—Research, Development, Test and Evaluation			
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	6,919,178	90,500	7,009,678
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy	17,885,916	–1,308,693	16,577,223
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force	26,473,669	–510,700	25,962,969
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	18,329,861	175,220	18,505,081
Operational Test & Evaluation, Defense	170,558		170,558
Subtotal, Title II—Research, Development, Test and Eval- uation	69,779,182	–1,553,673	68,225,509
Title III—Operation and Maintenance			
Operation & Maintenance, Army	23,822,655	–61,610	23,761,045
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve	1,035,017	6,000	1,041,017
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard	3,576,169	513,990	4,090,159
Operation & Maintenance, Navy	32,430,364	–831,200	31,599,164
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps	4,595,074	–326,000	4,269,074
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve	819,847	4,500	824,347
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	276,112	400	276,512
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force	31,317,486	–425,430	30,892,056
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	2,817,743	–98,200	2,719,543
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard	6,956,210	–60,600	6,895,610
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide	30,480,285	–372,300	30,107,985

April 23, 2015 (6:39 p.m.)

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2016 Request	House Change	House Authorized
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster and Civic Aid	100,266		100,266
Subtotal, Title III—Operation and Maintenance	138,227,228	–1,650,450	136,576,778
Title IV—Military Personnel			
Military Personnel Appropriations	130,491,227	–303,492	130,187,735
Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions	6,243,449		6,243,449
Subtotal, Title IV—Military Personnel	136,734,676	–303,492	136,431,184
Title XIV—Other Authorizations			
Working Capital Fund, Army	50,432	5,000	55,432
Working Capital Fund, Navy	0	5,000	5,000
Working Capital Fund, Air Force	62,898	5,000	67,898
Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide	45,084		45,084
Working Capital Fund, DECA	1,154,154	322,000	1,476,154
National Defense Sealift Fund	474,164	674,190	1,148,354
National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund	0	1,390,693	1,390,693
Chemical Agents & Munitions Destruction	720,721		720,721
Drug Interdiction and Counter Drug Activities	850,598	50,000	900,598
Office of the Inspector General	316,159	–1,000	315,159
Defense Health Program	32,243,328	–508,000	31,735,328
Subtotal, Title XIV—Other Authorizations	35,917,538	1,942,883	37,860,421
Total, Division A: Department of Defense Authorizations ...	487,626,017	1,328,574	488,954,591
Division B: Military Construction Authorizations			
Military Construction			
Army	743,245	–80,000	663,245
Navy	1,605,929	–244,004	1,361,925
Air Force	1,354,785	–75,000	1,279,785
Defense-Wide	2,300,767	–360,888	1,939,879
NATO Security Investment Program	120,000	30,000	150,000
Army National Guard	197,237	–29,800	167,437
Army Reserve	113,595	–9,300	104,295
Navy and Marine Corps Reserve	36,078		36,078
Air National Guard	123,538		123,538
Air Force Reserve	46,821		46,821
Subtotal, Military Construction	6,641,995	–768,992	5,873,003
Family Housing			
Construction, Army	99,695		99,695
Operation & Maintenance, Army	393,511		393,511
Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	16,541		16,541
Operation & Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	353,036		353,036
Construction, Air Force	160,498		160,498
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force	331,232		331,232
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide	58,668		58,668
Subtotal, Family Housing	1,413,181	0	1,413,181

Base Realignment and Closure

April 23, 2015 (6:39 p.m.)

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2016 Request	House Change	House Authorized
Base Realignment and Closure—Army	29,691		29,691
Base Realignment and Closure—Navy	157,088		157,088
Base Realignment and Closure—Air Force	64,555		64,555
Subtotal, Base Realignment and Closure	251,334	0	251,334
Undistributed Adjustments			
Prior Year Savings	0	–386,518	–386,518
Subtotal, Undistributed Adjustments	0	–386,518	–386,518
Total, Division B: Military Construction Authorizations	8,306,510	–1,155,510	7,151,000
Total, 051, Department of Defense-Military	495,932,527	173,064	496,105,591
Function 053, Atomic Energy Defense Activities			
Division C: Department of Energy National Security Authorization and Other Authorizations			
Environmental and Other Defense Activities			
Nuclear Energy	135,161		135,161
Weapons Activities	8,846,948	237,700	9,084,648
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	1,940,302	–39,000	1,901,302
Naval Reactors	1,375,496	12,000	1,387,496
Federal salaries and expenses	402,654	–6,000	396,654
Defense Environmental Cleanup	5,527,347	–384,197	5,143,150
Other Defense Activities	774,425	4,200	778,625
Subtotal, Environmental and Other Defense Activities	19,002,333	–175,297	18,827,036
Independent Federal Agency Authorization			
Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board	29,150		29,150
Subtotal, Independent Federal Agency Authorization	29,150	0	29,150
Subtotal, Division C: Department of Energy National Security Authorization and Other Authorizations	19,031,483	–175,297	18,856,186
Subtotal, 053, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	19,031,483	–175,297	18,856,186
Total, National Defense Funding, Base	514,964,010	–2,233	514,961,777

National Defense Funding, Overseas Contingency Operations

Function 051, Department of Defense-Military

Procurement			
Aircraft Procurement, Army	164,987		164,987
Missile Procurement, Army	37,260		37,260
Weapons & Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	26,030		26,030
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	192,040		192,040
Other Procurement, Army	1,205,596		1,205,596
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	493,271	–50,700	442,571

April 23, 2015 (6:39 p.m.)

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2016 Request	House Change	House Authorized
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	217,394		217,394
Weapons Procurement, Navy	3,344		3,344
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy & Marine Corps	136,930		136,930
Other Procurement, Navy	12,186		12,186
Procurement, Marine Corps	48,934		48,934
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	128,900		128,900
Missile Procurement, Air Force	289,142		289,142
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	228,874		228,874
Other Procurement, Air Force	3,859,964		3,859,964
Procurement, Defense-Wide	212,418		212,418
National Guard & Reserve Equipment	0	250,000	250,000
Subtotal, Procurement	7,257,270	199,300	7,456,570
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation			
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	1,500		1,500
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy	35,747		35,747
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force	17,100		17,100
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	137,087	25,000	162,087
Subtotal, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	191,434	25,000	216,434
Operation and Maintenance			
Operation & Maintenance, Army	11,382,750	120,800	11,503,550
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve	24,559		24,559
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard	60,845		60,845
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	3,762,257	337,743	4,100,000
Iraq Train & Equip Fund	715,000		715,000
Syria Train & Equip Fund	600,000	-68,550	531,450
Operation & Maintenance, Navy	5,131,588		5,131,588
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps	952,534		952,534
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve	31,643		31,643
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	3,455		3,455
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force	9,090,013	659,250	9,749,263
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	58,106		58,106
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard	19,900		19,900
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide	5,805,633	294,000	6,099,633
Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund	2,100,000	-2,100,000	0
Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance	39,738,283	-756,757	38,981,526
Military Personnel			
Military Personnel Appropriations	3,204,758		3,204,758
Subtotal, Military Personnel	3,204,758	0	3,204,758
Other Authorizations			
Working Capital Fund, Air Force	2,500		2,500
Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide	86,350		86,350
Drug Interdiction and Counter Drug Activities	186,000		186,000
Office of the Inspector General	10,262		10,262
Defense Health Program	272,704		272,704
Subtotal, Other Authorizations	557,816	0	557,816

April 23, 2015 (6:39 p.m.)

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2016 Request	House Change	House Authorized
Military Construction			
Army	0	76,000	76,000
Navy	0	136,996	136,996
Air Force	0	75,000	75,000
Defense-Wide	0	244,004	244,004
Subtotal, Military Construction	0	532,000	532,000
Subtotal, Overseas Contingency Operations	50,949,561	-457	50,949,104
Subtotal, 051, Department of Defense-Military	50,949,561	-457	50,949,104
Total, National Defense Funding, Overseas Contingency Operations	50,949,561	-457	50,949,104

National Defense Funding, Overseas Contingency Operations for Base Requirements

Function 051, Department of Defense-Military

Operation and Maintenance			
Operation & Maintenance, Army	11,284,891		11,284,891
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve	1,630,775		1,630,775
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard	3,141,808		3,141,808
Operation & Maintenance, Navy	9,770,392		9,770,392
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps	1,633,708		1,633,708
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve	181,911		181,911
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	924		924
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force	6,874,443		6,874,443
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	246,514		246,514
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide	1,960,558		1,960,558
US Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces, Defense	14,078		14,078
Cooperative Threat Reduction	358,496		358,496
Defense Acquisition Development Workforce Fund	84,140		84,140
Environmental Restoration, Army	234,829		234,829
Environmental Restoration, Navy	292,453		292,453
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	368,131		368,131
Environmental Restoration, Defense	8,232		8,232
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Sites	203,717		203,717
Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance	38,290,000	0	38,290,000
Subtotal, Overseas Contingency Operations for Base Requirements	38,290,000	0	38,290,000
Subtotal, 051, Department of Defense-Military	38,290,000	0	38,290,000
Total, National Defense Funding, Overseas Contingency Operations for Base Requirements	38,290,000	0	38,290,000
Total, National Defense Funding, Overseas Contingency Operations	89,239,561	-457	89,239,104

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2016 Request	House Change	House Authorized
Total, National Defense	604,203,571	-2,690	604,200,881
MEMORANDUM: BASE BUDGET REQUIREMENTS			
Base Funding	514,964,010	-2,233	514,961,777
OCO Funding for Base Requirements	38,290,000	0	38,290,000
Total, Base Budget Requirements	553,254,010	-2,233	553,251,777
MEMORANDUM: NON-DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS			
Title XIV—Armed Forces Retirement Home (Function 600) ...	64,300		64,300
Title XIV—Cemeterial Expenses, Army (Function 700)	70,800		70,800
Title XXXIV—Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves (Function 270)	17,500		17,500
Title XXXV—Maritime Administration (Function 400)	184,637		184,637
MEMORANDUM: TRANSFER AUTHORITIES (NON-ADD)			
Title X—General Transfer Authority	[5,000,000]		[5,000,000]
Title XV—Special Transfer Authority	[3,500,000]		[3,500,000]
MEMORANDUM: DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS NOT UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE (NON-ADD)			
Defense Production Act	[46,680]		[46,680]

NATIONAL DEFENSE BUDGET AUTHORITY IMPLICATION

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 2016 Request	House Change	House Authorized
Summary, Discretionary Authorizations Within the Jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committee			
SUBTOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (051)	495,932,527	173,064	496,105,591
SUBTOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE PROGRAMS (053)	19,031,483	-175,297	18,856,186
TOTAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE (050)—BASE BILL	514,964,010	-2,233	514,961,777
TOTAL, OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	89,239,561	-457	89,239,104
GRAND TOTAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE	604,203,571	-2,690	604,200,881
Base National Defense Discretionary Programs that are Not In the Jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committee or Do Not Require Additional Authorization			
Defense Production Act Purchases	25,000		25,000
Indefinite Account: Disposal Of DOD Real Property	8,000		8,000
Indefinite Account: Lease Of DOD Real Property	33,000		33,000
Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 051	66,000		66,000
Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program	104,000		104,000
Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 053	104,000		104,000
Other Discretionary Programs	7,566,000		7,566,000
Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 054	7,566,000		7,566,000
Total Defense Discretionary Adjustments (050)	7,736,000		7,736,000
Budget Authority Implication, National Defense Discretionary			
Department of Defense--Military (051)	585,238,088	172,607	585,410,695
Atomic Energy Defense Activities (053)	19,135,483	-175,297	18,960,186
Defense-Related Activities (054)	7,566,000		7,566,000
Total BA Implication, National Defense Discretionary	611,939,571	-2,690	611,936,881
National Defense Mandatory Programs, Current Law (CBO Baseline)			
Concurrent receipt accrual payments to the Military Retirement Fund	6,932,000		6,932,000
Revolving, trust and other DOD Mandatory	1,135,000		1,135,000
Offsetting receipts	-1,593,000		-1,593,000
Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 051	6,474,000		6,474,000
Energy employees occupational illness compensation programs and other	1,168,000		1,168,000
Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 053	1,168,000		1,168,000
Radiation exposure compensation trust fund	59,000		59,000
Payment to CIA retirement fund and other	514,000		514,000
Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 054	573,000		573,000
Total National Defense Mandatory (050)	8,215,000		8,215,000
Budget Authority Implication, National Defense Discretionary and Mandatory			
Department of Defense--Military (051)	591,712,088	172,607	591,884,695
Atomic Energy Defense Activities (053)	20,303,483	-175,297	20,128,186
Defense-Related Activities (054)	8,139,000		8,139,000
Total BA Implication, National Defense Discretionary and Mandatory	620,154,571	-2,690	620,151,881

April 22, 2015 (9:43 p.m.)